KÖDAI MATH. SEM. REP. 22 (1970), 138–141

# ON A CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION OF A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

#### By Hitosi Hiramatu

### Ishihara and Obata [2] proved the following

THEOREM. If M is a differentiable and connected Riemannian manifold of dimension >2, which is not locally conformally Euclidean and if M admits a conformal transformation  $\varphi$  such that the associated function  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  satisfies  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) < 1 - \varepsilon$ or  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) > 1 + \varepsilon$  for each  $x \in M$ ,  $\varepsilon$  being a positive number, then  $\varphi$  has no fixed point.

On the other hand, the author [1] studied that a differentiable and connected Riemannian manifold admitting a conformal transformation, group of sufficiently high dimension is locally conformally Euclidean. In connection with the above theorem, in this note, the author will obtain results concerning the fixed point of a conformal transformation of a Riemannian manifold and concerning the locally conformally flatness of the Riemannian manifold.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a differentiable<sup>1)</sup> and connected Riemannian manifold with the fundamental metric tensor field g. A diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called a conformal transformation on  $\mathfrak{M}$  if there exists a positive valued function  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\varphi g = \alpha_{\varphi} g^{2}$  holds, and a homothetic transformation on  $\mathfrak{M}$  if  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  is constant on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The function  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  connected with  $\varphi$  is called the associated function of  $\varphi$ . The  $\alpha_{\varphi}$ is necessarily differentiable. If  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  is identically equal to unity, then  $\varphi$  is nothing else than an isometry on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a conformal transformation on  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $a_{\varphi}$  and  $A_{\varphi}$  denote  $\inf \{\alpha_{\varphi}(x); x \in \mathfrak{M}\}$  $(\geq 0)$  and  $\sup \{\alpha_{\varphi}(x); x \in \mathfrak{M}\}$   $(\leq \infty)$  respectively. Then  $a < A_{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is not a homothetic transformation,  $a_{\varphi} = A_{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is a homothetic transformation and  $a_{\varphi} = A_{\varphi} = 1$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is an isometry.

We shall denote by (A) the following property: there exists a real number  $\varepsilon$ such that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and such that for each point  $x \in \mathfrak{M}$  either  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) < 1 - \varepsilon$  or  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) > 1 + \varepsilon$ holds. Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is assumed to be connected and  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\{\alpha_{\varphi}(x); x \in \mathfrak{M}\}$  is a connected subset in real number space. Therefore the property (A) is equivalent to a property that only one of the following (1) and (2) occurs: (1)  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) < 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{M}$  and (2)  $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) > 1 + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ . We remark that if (A)

Received November 13, 1969.

<sup>1)</sup> Here and hereafter, by differentiability we understand that of class  $C^{\infty}$ .

<sup>2)</sup> Definition of  $\varphi$  is as follows. If f is a function on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\varphi f = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ ; if X is a contravariant vector field on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $(\omega X)f = \varphi(X(\varphi^{-1}f))$  for all functions f on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; if  $\omega$  is a covariant vector field on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $(\varphi \omega)X = \varphi(\omega(\varphi^{-1}X))$  for all contravariant vector fields X on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; and so on.

is assumed the  $\varphi$  is not an isometry.

LEMMA 1. If (A) is assumed, then  $a_{\varphi} > 1$  or  $a_{\varphi^{-1}} > 1$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}$  being the inverse of  $\varphi$  and  $a_{\varphi^{-1}} = \inf \{ \alpha_{\varphi^{-1}}(x); x \in \mathbb{M} \}.$ 

*Proof.* If the case (2) occurs, the result is clear. To prove our result, it suffices to consider the case in which (1) occurs. Considering the inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$(\varphi^{-1}\varphi)g = \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_{\varphi}g) = (\varphi^{-1}\alpha_{\varphi} \cdot \alpha_{\varphi} - 1)g$$

from which  $1/\alpha_{\varphi} \circ \varphi = \alpha_{\varphi}^{-1}$  because  $\alpha_{\varphi}^{-1} = 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha_{\varphi}^{-1} > 1$ .

Under the condition (A), by considering the inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$  of  $\varphi$  if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that  $a_{\varphi} > 1$ . Hereafter we shall use this fact.

LEMMA 2. If (A) is assumed, then for any given points p and q of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and for any given positive integer m the relation

$$d(\varphi^m p, \varphi^m q) \leq (a_{\varphi})^{-m/2} d(p, q)$$

holds, where d denotes the metric function on  $\mathfrak{M}$  connected with g.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma: [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a piecewisely C'-differentiable curve joining p to q. Then the length  $L(\varphi \circ \sigma)$  of the transformed curve  $\varphi \circ \sigma$  joining  $\varphi p$  to  $\varphi q$  is given by the integral

$$\begin{split} L(\varphi \circ \sigma) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ g_{(\varphi \circ \sigma)(t)} \left( \varphi \, \frac{d\sigma}{dt}, \varphi \, \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right) \right]^{1/2} dt \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\varphi}((\varphi \circ \sigma)(t))} \, g_{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt}, \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right) \right]^{1/2} dt. \end{split}$$

Since  $a_{\varphi} \leq \alpha_{\varphi}((\varphi \circ \sigma)(t))$  for all  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ , we have

$$\begin{split} L(\varphi \circ \sigma) &\leq (a_{\varphi})^{-1/2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left[ g_{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt}, \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right) \right]^{1/2} dt \\ &= (a_{\varphi})^{-1/2} L(\sigma), \end{split}$$

where  $L(\sigma)$  denotes the length of  $\sigma$ . It follows from the above relation that

$$d(\varphi p, \varphi q) \leq (a_{\varphi})^{-1/2} d(p, q)$$

and consequently for any given positive integer m

$$d(\varphi^m p, \varphi^m q) \leq (a_{\varphi})^{-m/2} d(p, q).$$

#### HITOSI HIRAMATU

Now we shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a differentiable, connected and complete Riemannian manifold and let  $\varphi$  be a conformal transformation on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If (A) is assumed, then  $\varphi$  has only one fixed point.

*Proof.* From the assumption (A), by using Lemma 1, we can assume without loss of generality that  $a_{\varphi} > 1$ . Take any point p of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then, for any given positive integers m and l, we have by using Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi^{m}p,\varphi^{m+l}p) &\leq d(\varphi^{m}p,\varphi^{m+1}p) + d(\varphi^{m+1}p,\varphi^{m+2}p) + \dots + d(\varphi^{m+l-1}p,\varphi^{m+l}p) \\ &\leq (a_{\varphi})^{-m/2}d(p,\varphi p) + \dots + (a_{\varphi})^{-(m+l-1)/2}d(p,\varphi p) \\ &< (a_{\varphi})^{-m/2}d(p,\varphi p) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (a_{\varphi})^{-s/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above relation that a sequence of points  $\{\varphi^m p\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence because the series in the right hand side of the above relation converges. Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is assumed to be complete, the sequence of points has the limit point  $p_0$ . It is easily proved that  $\varphi$  leaves  $p_0$  invariant. Next, if  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are two fixed points of  $\varphi$ , then from Lemma 2, we have

$$d(x_0, y_0) = d(\varphi^m x_0, \varphi^m y_0) \leq (a_{\varphi})^{-m/2} d(x_0, y_0)$$

for any positive integer *m* from which  $d(x_0, y_0) = 0$  and hence  $x_0 = y_0$ .

From Theorem 1 and the already expressed theorem of Ishihara and Obata, we have

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a differentiable, connected and complete Riemannian manifold of dimension >2 and let  $\varphi$  be a conformal transformation on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If (A) is assumed, then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is locally conformally Euclidean.

As a corollary to Theorem 2, we have the following fact due to Ishihara and Obata [2].

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a differentiable, connected and complete Riemannian manifold of dimension >2, which is not locally conformally Euclidean. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  admits a conformal transformation  $\varphi$ , then  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  can take value unity or an arbitrary value closed to unity.

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is assumed to be connected and  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  is continuous, if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is compact, then the set  $\{\alpha_{\varphi}(x); x \in \mathfrak{M}\}$  is compact and connected subset in real number space and hence is a closed interval. Therefore, we have

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a differentiable, connected and compact Riemannian manifold of dimension >2, which is not locally conformally Euclidean. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  admits a conformal transformation  $\varphi$ , then  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  takes value unity.

140

## Bibliography

- HIRAMATU, H., Riemannian manifolds and conformal transformation groups. Tensor 8 (1958), 123-150.
- [2] ISHIHARA, S., AND M. OBATA, On the group of conformal transformations of a Riemannian manifold. Proc. Japan Acad. 31 (1955), 426–429.

Faculty of Engineering, Kumamoto University.