## By Gisiro MARUYAMA

(Communicated by T.Kawata)

Let f(t) be a real measurable function of a real variable t, and  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be an increasing positive sequence with certain gap conditions. Asymptotic properties of the sequence of functions  $f(\lambda_k t)$ , such as the asymptotic distribution of their partial sums and almost everywhere convergence or divergence of the series  $\sum c_k f(\lambda_k t)$ ,  $c_k$  constant coefficients, have been discussed by M.Kac,<sup>10</sup> R.Fortet,<sup>40</sup> R.Salem and A.Zygmund,<sup>30</sup> R.Fortet and J.Ferrand,<sup>40</sup> and T.Kavata.<sup>90</sup> The object of this note is to prove the following theorem which corresponds to the law of the iterated logarithm in the theory of probability. The proof given here deponds on Kac's method of approximating a gap sequence by a set of independent functions.

<u>Theorem. Let</u> f(t),  $\delta \le t \le l$ , <u>be a</u> <u>periodic continuous function which has</u> <u>the vanishing mean</u>  $\int_{0}^{t} f(t) dt = 0$  <u>and</u> <u>satisfies a Lipschitz condition of</u> <u>order</u>  $\ll$ ,  $\ll >0$ . <u>Then we have</u>

.

$$\underbrace{\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(t) + f(t^{2}t) + \dots + f(t^{n}t)}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \sigma$$
for almost all t , where
$$\sigma^{2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ f(t) + \dots + f(t^{n}t) \right\}_{0}^{2} dt$$

<u>Proof.</u> Let us put  $\lambda^{(\chi)} = [ch_{\chi\chi}]$ , where  $c = 1/\alpha L_{\eta\chi}$  and [4] denotes the integral part of a , and define non-negative sequences of integers  $\{d_{\chi_{1}}, \{p_{\chi}\}, \{m_{\chi}\}, \{n_{\chi}\}$  in the following way. Choose an integer N so large, that we have

$$c \log \left\{ 2 \left( \log v \right)^2 \right\} < \left[ \left( \log v \right)^2 \right]$$
 for  $v \ge N$ 

6)

and let  $|\leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{N-1} \leq [(l_{eq} N)^2]$ but  $d_{\varphi}$  ( $|\leq v \leq N-1$ ) otherwise arbitrary;  $d_{\varphi} = [(l_{eq} v)^2]$  for  $v \geq N$ ;  $p_{\varphi} = d_{\varphi}$  for  $|\leq v \leq N-1$ ;

$$P_{v} = \lambda \left( d_{1} + \dots + d_{v} + \beta_{1} + \dots + \beta_{v-1} \right)$$
  
for  $v \ge N$ , and put  
 $m_{v} = d_{1} + \dots + d_{v} + \beta_{1} + \dots + \beta_{v-1}$ 

$$n_v = m_v + \lambda(m_v)$$

Then by the above choice of N and definition of  $p_{\nu}$ 

(2) 
$$\mathbb{P}_{N} \leq \lambda (2Nd_{N}) \leq c \log \{2N(\log N)^{\circ}\} \leq d_{N}$$
  
(3)  $\mathbb{P}_{v} > \lambda (d_{v} + \dots + d_{v})$  for  $v \geq 1$ .

and hence, starting from (2), we can inductively show that we have

(4) 
$$p_{v} \leq d_{v}$$
 for all  $v \geq 1$ .

Now, for any positive sequences 
$$\{a_v\}$$
  
and  $\{f_v\}$  let us agree to write  
 $a_v \sim f_v$ , when  $a_v f_v \rightarrow I$ , as  
 $v \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, taking into account  
of (3) and (4) we obtain

Consider the dyadic expansions of all real numbers t ,  $0 \le t \le |$  ,

$$t = \frac{\varphi_{i}(t)}{2} + \frac{\varphi_{2}(t)}{2^{2}} + \cdots, \quad \varphi_{i}(t) = \frac{1 + \Gamma_{i}(t)}{2},$$

where by  $\Gamma_i(t)$  we denote Rad-macher's system of independent func-tions, and put

$$\theta_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{t})}{2} + \dots + \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{m}+\lambda(\mathbf{m})}(\mathbf{t})}{2^{1+\lambda(\mathbf{m})}},$$
  

$$\mu_{\nu} = \int_{0}^{1} f(\theta_{\nu}(\mathbf{t})) dt, \quad x_{\nu} = x_{\nu}(\mathbf{t}) = f(\theta_{\nu}(\mathbf{t})) - \mu_{\nu},$$
  
(6) 
$$S_{\nu} = \sum_{p=\pi_{\nu-1}+1}^{m_{\nu}} x_{p}, \quad T_{\nu} = \sum_{p=m_{\nu}+1}^{n_{\nu}} x_{p},$$
  

$$\sigma_{\nu}^{-2} = \int_{0}^{1} S_{\nu}^{-2} dt, \quad \tau_{\nu}^{2} = \int_{0}^{1} T_{\nu}^{-2} dt$$

Then by the periodicity and Lipschitz condition imposed on f(t) we obtain

1

(7) 
$$f(2^{t}) - f(\theta_{v+1}(t)) = O(2^{-a^{-1}(v)}) = O(v^{-2}),$$

and therefore

(8) 
$$\mu_{\nu} = \int_{0}^{1} f(2^{\nu-t}) dt + \int_{0}^{1} \{f(\theta, t) - f(2^{\nu-t})\} dt$$
$$= O(\nu^{-2}) \cdot$$

(9) 
$$\sigma_{v}^{2} = \int \{f(t) + \dots + f(2^{d_{v}}t)\}^{2} dt + o(I)$$
  
=  $d_{v} \sigma^{2}(1 + o(I))$ ,

and similarly

(10) 
$$\tau_{v}^{2} = p_{v} \sigma^{2} (1 + o(1))$$
.

Since, as is seen from (6),  $S_{\nu}$  depends only on  $r_i(t)$ ,  $\pi_{\nu-i+1} \leq i \leq \pi_{\nu}$ ,  $\{S_{\nu}\}$  is a set of independent functions, each with common mean value 0, and the same is true of  $\{T_{\nu}\}$ . Hence, if we write (11)  $\sum_{p=1}^{n_k} x_p = \sum_{\nu=1}^k S_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^k T_{\nu}$ , then by (5), (9)/and (10) the "variances"  $B_k$  and  $C_k$  of the two terms in the right-hand member of (11) are given by

$$B_{k} = \sum_{i}^{k} \sigma_{v}^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} k (\log k)^{2} ,$$
  

$$C_{k} = \sum_{i}^{k} \tau_{v}^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} c k \log k ,$$

and they satisfy

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (l\,2) & \frac{B_{k}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow \sigma^{2}, & \frac{C_{k}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0, & k \rightarrow \infty, \\ \\ (l\,3) & \frac{B_{k}}{\log \log B_{k}} \sim \frac{\sigma^{2} k \left(\log k\right)^{2}}{\log \log k}, \\ & \frac{C_{k}}{\log \log C_{k}} \sim \frac{\sigma^{2} c k \log k}{\log \log k} \end{array}$$

$$\max_{0 \le t \le 1} \left| S_{k}(t) \right| = O(d_{k}) = O\left(\frac{B_{k}}{l_{og}} l_{g} B_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and also

$$\max_{0 \le t \le 1} |T_{k}(t)| = O(p_{k}) = o\left(\frac{C_{k}}{k_{y}} L_{y} C_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore we can apply Kolmogoroff's theorem on the law of the iterated logarithm, getting almost everywhere in t .

(14)  

$$\frac{\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}}{\sqrt{2 n_{k} \log \log n_{k}}} - \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}}{\sqrt{2 B_{k} \log \log B_{k}}}}{\times \left(\frac{B_{k} \log \log B_{k}}{n_{k} \log \log n_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \sigma,$$

and similarly

(15) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum T_{k}}{\sqrt{2 n_{k} \log \log n_{k}}} = 0.$$

Given n , let us choose  $n_{\kappa}$  that  $n_{\kappa-1} < n \leq n_{\kappa}$  , then such

(16) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(2^{\nu}t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k-1}} f(2^{\nu}t) + O(p_{k} + d_{k}),$$

and

$$n\log\log n \sim n_{\kappa}\log\log n_{\kappa}, n \rightarrow \infty$$
.

Hence, by (11), (14), (15) and (16) we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(2^{j}t)}{\sqrt{2 n \log \log n}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}}{\sqrt{2 n_{k} \log \log n_{k}}} = \sigma$$

for almost every t . This proves the theroem.

(\*) Received May 11, 1950.

- M.Kac: On the distribution of values of sums of the type ∑f(2\*t) , Ann.Math., 47 (1946).
   R.Fortet: Sur une suite egalement repartie, Studia Math., 9(1940).
- (3) R.Salem and Zygmund: On lacunary trigonometrical series, I and II, Proc. Nat.Acad.Science, 35(1947), 34(1948).
  (4) R.Fortet and J.Ferrand: Sur des suites arithmetiques equirepar-ties, C.R.Acad.Sc., Paris, 224 (1947).
  (5) As yet not published.
  (6) See (1).

Kyusyu University.