THE HADAMARD VARIATIONAL FORMULA FOR THE GROUND STATE VALUE OF $-\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-1}u$

By Tatsuzo Osawa

1. Introduction. This article is divided into three parts. In every part we study the Hadamard variational formula for the (non-trivial) ground state value of the semi-linear equation $-\Delta u = \lambda |u|^{p-1}u$.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N $(N \ge 2)$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let ρ be a smooth function on $\partial \Omega$. We denote $\nu(x)$ as the exterior unit normal vector at $x \in \partial \Omega$. If ε is small enough, we have a new domain Ω_{ε} bounded by

$$\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x); x \in \partial \Omega\}.$$

Let p be a fixed number satisfying 1 for <math>N=2, $1 for <math>N \ge 3$.

We consider the minimizing problem

(1.1)
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \inf_{X_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx,$$

where

$$X_{\varepsilon} = \{ \varphi \in H^{1}_{0}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}), \varphi \geq 0, \|\varphi\|_{L^{p+1}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon})} = 1 \}.$$

For the sake of simplicity we write $\| \|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})}$ as $\| \|_{p+1,\varepsilon}$. It is well known that there exists at least one solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying $\| u_{\varepsilon} \|_{p+1,\varepsilon} = 1$, and

$$-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \lambda_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{p}(x) \quad x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$$
$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}.$$

and $u_{\varepsilon} > 0$ in Ω_{ε} .

The author calls λ_{ε} as the Dirichlet ground state value on Ω_{ε} and u_{ε} as the Dirichlet ground state solution.

In this note we would like to consider ε -dependence of λ_{ε} , u_{ε} . One of the main result of this paper is the following: Here $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, $u_0 = u$.

THEOREM 1. Assume that the number of positive solution u which minimize $(1.1)_0$ is unique. Assume that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda p u^{p-1}) = \{0\}$. Then, we have the following limit.

Received December 14, 1991.

(1.2) $\delta \lambda = \lim \varepsilon^{-1} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) \\ = -\int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu_{x}} \right)^{2} \rho(x) d\sigma_{x},$

Here $\partial/\partial v_x$ denotes derivative along the exterior normal direction. Under the same assumption as above, we have the limit

$$\delta u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (u_{\varepsilon} - u)$$

exists and it satisfies

(1.3)
$$(-\Delta - \lambda \rho u^{p-1}) \delta u(x) = \delta \lambda u^{p}(x) \quad in \ \Omega$$
$$\delta u(x) = -\rho(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{x}}(x) \quad on \ \partial \Omega.$$

Remark. When Ω is a ball the assumption that the number of positive solution is unique is satisfied. See Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg [8]. See also p. 152 of Dancer [4]. The assumption of uniqueness of u can not be satisfied always. Brezis-Nirenberg [3] shows a counter example for uniqueness for Ω =annulus.

In section 2, we prove the Lipschitz continuity with respect to ε of ground state value. In section 3 we prove (1.2) under some assumption of Lipschitz continuity of solutions. In section 4 we give a condition by which we have Lipschitz continuity of solutions. In section 5 we study δu under the assumption that Ker $(\Delta + \lambda \rho u^{p-1}) = \{0\}$.

The Robin problem.

We consider the minimizing problem

(1.4)
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \inf_{X_{\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} dx + k \int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} \varphi^{2} d\sigma_{x} \right),$$

where

$$X_{\varepsilon} = \{ \varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}), \|\varphi\|_{p,\varepsilon} = 1, \varphi \ge 0 \}$$

Here k>0 is a positive constant. We see that there exists at least one solution of $u_{\varepsilon} \in X_{\varepsilon}$ such that it satisfies

(1.5)
$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x) &= \lambda_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{p}(x), \ u_{\varepsilon}(x) > 0 \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{x}} u_{\varepsilon}(x) + k \quad u_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0 \qquad x \in \partial \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

We write $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, $u_0(x) = u(x)$. We call λ_{ε} as the ground state value and u_{ε} as the ground state solution of $(1.4)_{\varepsilon}$.

THEOREM 2. Assume that u is unique. And we assume that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta + \lambda p u^{p-1}\right) = \{0\}.$$

Then,

(1.6)
$$\delta \lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda)$$

exists and is equal to

(1.7)
$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} (|\nabla_t u|^2 - (2\lambda/(p+1))u^{p+1} - (k^2 - (N-1)kH_1)u^2)\rho d\sigma_x,$$

where ∇_t denotes the gradient on the tangential plane at $x \in \partial \Omega$. Here H_1 denotes the mean curvature at $x \in \partial \Omega$ with respect to the interior normal direction.

Under the same assumption as above we get

(1.8)
$$\delta u(x) = \lim \varepsilon^{-1} (u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u(x)) \quad in \ \Omega$$
$$= -\delta \lambda (\lambda(p-1))^{-1} u$$
$$- \int_{\partial \Omega} \{ \nabla_t \Gamma(x, y) \nabla_t u(y) - \Gamma(x, y) (\lambda u(y))^p$$
$$+ (k^2 - (N-1)k H_1(y)) u(y)) \} \rho(y) d\Omega$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, y)$ is the Green function of $-\Delta - \lambda p u^{p-1}$ under the Robin condition on the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

Remark. As far as the author concerns, the semilinear problem (1.5) did not discuss in other articles.

In Part II, section 6, we examine the continuity property of λ_{ε} . In section 7 we prove (1.8) under the assumption of Lipschitz continuity of u_{ε} .

Neumann condition.

We consider the minimizing problem.

(1.10)
$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \inf_{X_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx,$$

where

$$X_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ \varphi \in H^{1}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}), \|\varphi\|_{p+1, \varepsilon} = 1, \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |\varphi|^{p-1} \varphi dx = 0 \right\}.$$

If we replace X_{ε} by $Y_{\varepsilon} = \{\varphi \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \|\varphi\|_{p+1,\varepsilon} = 1\}$. Then, we see that $\tilde{\lambda}_{\varepsilon} = \inf_{Y_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx = 0$, when $u_{\varepsilon} = \text{constant}$. It is easy to show that $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 0$, and there exists at least one solution u_{ε} of (1.10) which satisfies

(1.11)
$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x) &= \lambda_{\varepsilon} | u_{\varepsilon} |^{p-1} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{x}} u_{\varepsilon}(x) &= 0 \qquad x \in \partial \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

The author would like to call λ_{ε} as the second state value and u_{ε} as the second state solution of (1.10). The condition

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\varphi|^{p-1} \varphi dx = 0$$

is natural, since

$$-\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = -\int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\partial u/\partial \nu_{x}) d\sigma_{x} = \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} u_{\varepsilon}(x) dx.$$

We write $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, $u_0 = u$.

We have the following

THEOREM 3. We assume that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda p |u|^{p-1}) = \{0\}$. We also assume that u is unique up to its signature. Then, we have u_{ε} ($\varepsilon > 0$) such that

(1.12)
$$\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon).$$

See \tilde{u}_{ε} for the Notation in section 2. Moreover,

(1.13)
$$\delta \lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda)$$

exists and is equal to

(1.14)
$$\delta \lambda = \int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} \{ |\nabla_t u|^2 - ((2\lambda)/(p+1))| u|^{p+1} \} \rho d\sigma_x.$$

Under the same assumption as above we have

(1.15)
$$\delta u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1}(u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u(x)) \qquad x \in \Omega$$

exists and is equal to

(1.16)
$$\delta u(x) = -\delta \lambda u(x) / (\lambda(p-1)) - \int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} \{ \nabla_t \Gamma(x, y) \cdot \nabla_t u(y) - \lambda \Gamma(x, y) | u(y) |^{p-1} u(y) \} \rho d\sigma_x$$

Here $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, y)$ is the Green (Neumann) function of $-\Delta - \lambda p |u|^{p-1}$ with respect to the Neumann condition.

In section 9 we prove the Lipschitz continuity of λ_{ε} .

Part I

§2. Lipschitz continuity of ground state value.

In this section we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$|\lambda_{\varepsilon}-\lambda|\leq C\varepsilon$$
.

Remark. From this proposition we can deduce $||u||_{C^{3}, \alpha(\mathfrak{Q}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C$. See the Appendix.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. First we would like to construct nice C^{∞} -diffeomorphism between $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$. Let U_0 be a neighbourhood of $\partial \Omega$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that the following holds:

For any $x \in U_0$ there exists unique x' such that $|x-x'| = \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$. We write x' = P(x). Then, $P \in C^{\infty}(U_0, \partial \Omega)$. Let $\nu(x)$ be an exterior normal vector at x. Then, $\nu \in C^{\infty}(\partial \Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

We construct the following diffeomorphism. Let Ω' be (Ω'') be, respectively) a bounded domain with boundary $\partial \Omega' = \{x - \delta \nu(x); x \in \partial \Omega\}$ $(\partial \Omega'') = \{x - 2\delta \nu(x); x \in \partial \Omega\}$. Fix a compact set K in Ω . Then, $K \subset \Omega'' \subset \Omega' \subset \Omega$ for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Fix small $\varepsilon \ge 0$. Then, take δ such that $\Omega' \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}$. Take $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, R)$ such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, $\varphi = 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}'' \varphi = 1$ on $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'$. Then, we set

$$\begin{split} \varPhi_{\varepsilon}(x) &= x \qquad x \in \mathcal{Q}'' \\ &= x + \varepsilon \varphi(x) \rho(P(x)) \nu(P(x)) \qquad x \in \bar{\mathcal{Q}} \smallsetminus \mathcal{Q}'' \,. \end{split}$$

Then, we can take ε such that Φ_{ε} is a bijection $\bar{\varOmega} \simeq \bar{\varOmega}_{\varepsilon}$. We see that $\Phi_{\varepsilon} : \bar{\varOmega} \simeq \bar{\varOmega}_{\varepsilon}$ is surjective diffeomorphism. It is easy to see that the following properties (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) hold.

- (2.1) If we put $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x) = x + \varepsilon S_{\varepsilon}(x)$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then, $S_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|S_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^m(\overline{\Omega})n} \leq C_m$ (independent of ε) for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Conversely, there is $t_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|t_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^m(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})n} \leq C_m$ (independent of ε) for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) = x + \varepsilon t_{\varepsilon}(x)$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$.
- (2.2) For $x \in K$, $s_{\varepsilon}(x) = t_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$.
- (2.3) If $x \in (\text{some neighbourhood of } \partial \Omega) \cap \overline{\Omega}$, then $S_{\varepsilon}(x) = \rho(P(x))\nu(P(x))$. If $x \in (\text{some neighbourhood of } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap \overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$, then $t_{\varepsilon}(x) = -\rho(P(x))\nu(P(x))$.

It is an easy exercise that $J\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)=1+O(\varepsilon)$, where $J\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ denotes Jacobian. By using the above Φ_{ε} we can make pull back and push foward of functions. We put $(\Phi_{\varepsilon}*f)(x)=f(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x))$ for function f on $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon}$.

Notation. If $\varphi \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$, then $\tilde{\varphi} = \Phi^{*}\varphi$

 $\psi \in C^{0}(\overline{\Omega})$, then $\hat{\psi} = (\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*})^{-1} \psi$.

Let Δ denote the Laplacian. Then, we denote

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*} \Delta \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*-1}$$
$$\hat{\Delta} = \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*-1} \Delta \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}.$$

We also write $\tilde{\nabla} = \Phi_{\varepsilon}^* \nabla \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*-1}$, $\hat{\nabla} = \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*-1} \nabla \Phi_{\varepsilon}^*$.

Example. If u satisfies $-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \lambda_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}(x)^{p}$ $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ then, $-\tilde{\Delta} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \lambda_{\varepsilon} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)^{p}$ in $x \in \Omega$.

For Φ_{ε} the following result hold. We do not give a proof.

LEMMA 2.2. We have the following properties (i) \sim (viii).

- (i) $|J \Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)| = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$ uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.
- (ii) $|J\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x)| = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$ uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$.
- (iii) $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*}: C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}) \to C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}),$ $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{*-1}: C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \to C^{m,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}),$ is a bounded linear mapping for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1.$
- (iv) For $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$, $(\partial \tilde{\varphi}/\partial \nu)(x) = (\partial/\partial \nu)\varphi(x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x)) \ x \in \partial \Omega$. Here $\partial/\partial \nu$ denotes the normal derivative at $\partial \Omega$.
- (v) For $\varphi \in C^{1+m,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})$, then $\|\tilde{\nabla}u - \nabla u\|_{Cm,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})_n \leq C_m \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{C^{1+m,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})}$ for $m \in N \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
- (vi) For $C^{2+m,\alpha}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$, then $\|\tilde{\Delta}\varphi - \Delta\varphi\|_{Cm,\alpha(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C_m \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{C^{2+m,\alpha(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})}}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$
- (vii) For $\varphi \in C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{Q \cup Q_{\varepsilon}})$, $\|\tilde{\varphi} \varphi\|_{C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_{m,\varepsilon,\varphi} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. And the convergence is uniform for $\|\varphi\|_{C^{m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega \cup Q_{\varepsilon}})} \leq C$.
- (viii) For $\varphi \in C^{1+m, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}})$, then $\|\tilde{\varphi} \varphi\|_{C^{m, \alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})} \leq C_m \varepsilon \|\varphi\|_{C^{1+m, \alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}})}$ for $m \in N \cup \{0\}, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

We give a proof of (vii), (viii) only for n=0, $\alpha=0$. $\tilde{\varphi}(x)-\varphi(x)=\varphi(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x))-\varphi(x)=\varphi(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x))-\varphi(x)$, where $\sup |\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)-x| \leq C\varepsilon$ and the continuity implies (vii). $\tilde{\varphi}(x)-\varphi(x)=\varphi(\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x))-\varphi(x)\leq |\Phi_{\varepsilon}(x)-x| ||\nabla \varphi||_{C^{0}(\overline{Q\cup Q_{\varepsilon}})}$ implies (viii).

Now we are in a time to prove Proposition 2.1. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{\nabla}u|^2 |J \Phi_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 |J \Phi_{\varepsilon}| \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\nabla}u - \nabla u) (\tilde{\nabla}u + \nabla u) |J \Phi_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= \lambda + O(\varepsilon) \,. \end{split}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} |\hat{u}|^{p+1} dx &= \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^{p+1} |J \Phi_{\varepsilon}| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}} |u|^{p+1} dx + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= 1 + O(\varepsilon) \,. \end{split}$$

Since $\hat{u}|_{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} = 0$, $\hat{u} \in H^{1}_{0}(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon})$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} \leq \lambda + O(\varepsilon).$$

Conversely we also get $\lambda \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$. Thus, we get the desired result.

§3. Variational formula for ground State value.

In the present time, we assume that

$$\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \varepsilon$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Under this assumption we will prove Theorem 1. The validity of the assumption (3.1) is discussed in sections 4 and 5.

In this section we use an idea of using Whitney's extension by which the Hadamard variational formula for linear problem is proved. See Fujiwara-Ozawa [5].

We can show the following.

LEMMA 3.1. There exists a
$$C^3$$
 extension \bar{u}_{ε} of u_{ε} to \mathbb{R}^n such that
(i) $\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^3(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C < +\infty$
(ii) $\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^2(\bar{Q})} \leq C \varepsilon$.

Proof. (i) is trivial. We have

$$\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})}=\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})}+\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})}.$$

Then, by (3.1), $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \varepsilon$. We know that $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = \hat{\bar{u}}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Then,

$$\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})} = \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{\bar{u}}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})} \leq C\varepsilon \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{3}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C\varepsilon$$

by (viii) of Lemma 2.2.

For the sake of simplicity we put $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$. Then, $f'(t) = p|t|^{p-1}$.

LEMMA 3.2. The estimates (i) $\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})-f(u)\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}=O(\varepsilon)$ (ii) $\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})-f(u)-f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}=O(\varepsilon)$ (iii) $\|\Delta\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}=O(\varepsilon)$

hold.

Proof. (i) is determined by Lemma 3.1. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u) = f'(u + \theta_{\varepsilon}(x)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u))(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}).$$

Then, $||f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})-f(u)-f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)||_{C^{0}(\bar{D})} = ||f'(u+\theta_{\varepsilon}(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u))-f'(u))(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)||_{C^{0}(\bar{D})} \le O(\varepsilon)O(1) = o(\varepsilon).$

We want to prove (iii). We have $\Delta \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) = 0$ in Ω . Then, $\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) = \Delta(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})) + (\Delta - \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\varepsilon$, we have $\|\Delta(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon)$ as in the proof of (ii) in Lemma 3.1. Similarly $\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon)$.

We know that $|\lambda_{\varepsilon}| \leq C$. Therefore, as in the Appendix $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{3}(\bar{\omega})} \leq C$, which implies $\|(\Delta - \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\omega})} = O(\varepsilon)$.

We prove the following.

LEMMA 3.3. The equality

$$\left\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\rho\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right\|_{C^{0}(\partial\Omega)}=o(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

Proof. We put $x \in \partial \Omega$. Then, $0 = u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x)) = \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x))$. On the other hand

$$0 = \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x)) = \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) + \varepsilon \rho(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) + o(\varepsilon).$$

Here $o(\varepsilon)$ is uniform with respect to $x \in \partial \Omega$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{C^{0}(\partial \Omega)} &\leq \varepsilon \left\| \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) \right\|_{C^{0}(\partial \Omega)} + o(\varepsilon) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon \| \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u \|_{C^{1}(\partial \Omega)} + o(\varepsilon) \,. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 we get the desired result.

The following Lemma 3.4 is easy to see. Thus, we omit its proof.

LEMMA 3.4. For given $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon} \cup \Omega})$. Then,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \varphi dx - \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \varphi dx = \varepsilon \int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} \varphi \rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$

and $o(\varepsilon)$ is uniform with respect to φ satisfying $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1}(\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon} \cup \Omega})} \leq C$.

The following Lemma is used in the proof of variational formula for the ground state value.

LEMMA 3.5. The equation

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)dx = -(\varepsilon/(p+1))\int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} |u|^{p+1}\rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

COROLLARY 3.6. The equation

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)dx = o(\varepsilon)$$

is valid.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We have

(3.2)
$$(p+1)\int_{\Omega} f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)dx = \int_{\Omega} u f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)dx .$$

Here we used uf'(u) = pf(u). (3.2) is equal to

$$= \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)dx - \int_{\Omega} f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)^{2}dx$$
$$+ \int_{\Omega} f(u)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u)udx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} (f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) - (f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u)))\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}dx$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)^{2}dx + \int_{\Omega} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u)udx.$$

The first term in the right hand side of (3.2) is $o(\varepsilon)$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii). The second term in the right hand side of (3.2) is $O(\varepsilon^2)$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii).

We see that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) u dx = 1.$$

Thus, the third and the fourth term in the right hand side of (3.2) is equal to

$$-\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}dx + \int_{\Omega} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}dx = -\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}\rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$
$$= -\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} f(u)u\rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p+1}\rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon).$$

Here we used Corollary 3.6 and Lemma 3.1, (ii), Lemma 3.2 (i).

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1. By the Green formula and $u|_{\partial 2}=0$, we have

(3.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u \Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial u / \partial \nu) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} d\sigma.$$

We have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx = -\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} u\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon}} u\Delta u_{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}} u\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx \\ &= -\lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega_{\varepsilon}} uf(u_{\varepsilon}) dx - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}} uf(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}} u(\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})) dx \\ &= -\lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} uf(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\varepsilon}} u(\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})) dx \\ &= (3.4) \end{split}$$

The second term in the right hand side of (3.4) satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}\| \|u\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})} \|\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon^{2})$$

by Lemma 3.2 (iii). Thus,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} u \Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx = -\lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} u f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + o(\varepsilon) \, d\varepsilon$$

Therefore, the left hand side of (3.3) is equal to

$$\begin{split} &-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + o(\varepsilon) \\ &= (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} u f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} (f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) u - f(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx + o(\varepsilon) \\ &= \lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda + (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} u (f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u)) dx \\ &+ \lambda (p-1) \int_{\Omega} f(u) (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) dx + \lambda I_{\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon) \,, \end{split}$$

using $\int_{\Omega} u f(u) dx = 1$, where

$$I_2 = \int_{\Omega} (f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})u - f(u)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - (p-1)f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u))dx.$$

Since $\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda = O(\varepsilon)$, $||f(u_{\varepsilon}) - f(u)||_{C^0(\bar{D})} = O(\varepsilon)$, we have estimated a term in the above formula. The integrand in I_2 satisfies

$$\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})u - f(u)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - (p-1)f(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}$$

= $\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})u - f(u)u_{\varepsilon} - (uf'(u) - f(u))(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}$
= $\|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})u - f(u)u - uf'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})}$
= $\|u(f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u) - f'(u)(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u))\|_{C^{0}(\bar{D})} = o(\varepsilon)$

by Lemma 3.2 (ii).

Summing up these facts we get by Lemma 3.5

$$(3.3) = \lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda - \varepsilon(p-1)/(p+1)\lambda \int_{\partial Q} |u|^{p+1} \rho \, d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$

= $\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda + o(\varepsilon)$.

By Lemma 3.3, the right hand side of (3.3) is equal to

$$-\varepsilon\!\!\int_{\partial\mathcal{Q}}(\partial u/\partial\nu)^2\rho\,d\,\sigma\!+\!o(\varepsilon)\,.$$

Therefore, $\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda = -\varepsilon \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial u / \partial v)^2 \rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$, which implies Theorem 1.

§4. Variational formula for ground state solution.

In this section we assume the following.

(4.1)
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda \rho u^{p-1}) = \{0\}.$$

And we will show the following important result.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Assume that the minimizer of (1.1) is unique. Assume that (4.1) holds. Then, we have (3.1).

Remark. The condition (4.1) will be closely related to bifurcation phenomena.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. By the regularity theorem (in the Appendix) $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C$. Thus, $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$. We take $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$. Then, $C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^{3,\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a compact embedding. Thus, K given by $K = \{\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}; 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1\}$ is compact in $C^{3,\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})$. As a corollary of this compactness result, we get the following:

Assume that the ground state solution on Ω is unique, then for any ground state solution on Ω_{ε} ($\varepsilon > 0$), u_{ε} , we have $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $C^{\mathfrak{z}, \alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})$. Thus, $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{\mathfrak{z}}(\bar{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0$.

We have $(\Delta + \lambda p | u | p^{-1})(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) = (\Delta + \lambda f'(u))(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) = (\Delta - \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda)f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) - \lambda(f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u) - f'(u)(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u)) = g_{\varepsilon}$. Here we used $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon}f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. Also $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} = u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Thus, by the assumption and (4.1)

(4.2)
$$\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}, \alpha'(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \|g_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})}.$$

Here

$$\|(\Delta - \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \varepsilon \|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{2,\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})} \qquad \text{(Lemma 2.2 (vi))}$$
$$|\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda| \leq C \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$||f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})-f(u)-f'(u)(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u)||_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})}=o(||\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u||_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})})$$

without any use of $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \varepsilon$. We have

 $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2}, \alpha'(\Omega)} \leq C, \qquad \|f(\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon})\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C.$

Then,

$$||f_{\varepsilon}||_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \varepsilon + o(||\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u||_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})})$$

Then, by (4.2)

$$\|\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2},\alpha'(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\varepsilon + o(\|\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2},\alpha'(\bar{\Omega})}).$$

Therefore, we get the desired result.

5. Explicit representation of δu .

Assume that the ground state solution in Ω is unique. Assume that $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda \rho u^{p-1}) = \{0\}$. Then, we want to show that

$$\delta u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1}(u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u(x))$$

exists and which is equal to

$$-((\delta\lambda)/(\lambda(p-1)))u(x)+\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial\Gamma(x, y)}{\partial\nu_{y}}\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial\nu_{y}}\rho(y)d\sigma_{y}.$$

Here $\Gamma(x, y)$ is the Green function of the operator $-(\Delta + \lambda p |u|^{p-1})$ under the Dirichlet condition.

Proof. We put $f(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$, $f'(t) = p|t|^{p-1}$. We use the same notation as before. By the Green formula we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) - u(x) &= -\langle (\Delta_{y} + \lambda f'(u(y))) \Gamma(x, y), \ \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) - u(y) \rangle_{y} \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x, y) (\Delta_{y} + \lambda f'(u(y))) (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) - u(y)) dy \\ &- \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Gamma(x, y)}{\partial \nu_{y}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) - u(y)) d\sigma_{y} \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \Gamma(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{y}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(y) - u(y)) d\sigma_{y} \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\Delta + \lambda f'(u)) (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) dy \\ &- \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \nu_{y}} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} d\sigma_{y} \\ &= -J_{1} - J_{2} \,. \end{split}$$

We fix $x \in \Omega$. Then, $\|\partial \Gamma / \partial \nu_y\|_{\mathcal{C}^0_y(\partial \Omega)} \leq C$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3.

$$J_2 = -\varepsilon \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \nu_y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_y} \rho d\sigma_y + 0(\varepsilon).$$

We examine J_1 .

$$J_{1} = \int_{\mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(\Delta + \lambda f'(u)) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(\Delta + \lambda f'(u)) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} dx$$
$$- \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(\Delta + \lambda f'(u)) u dx$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}\setminus\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda f'(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{Q}\setminus\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{Q}\cap\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} (-\Gamma \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda f'(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(-\lambda f(u) + \lambda f'(u) u) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(-\lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda f'(u) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{Q}\setminus\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(-\lambda f(u) + \lambda f'(u) u) dx \\ &= -(\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma f(u) dx \\ &- (\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u)) dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \Gamma(f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u) - f'(u) (u_{\varepsilon} - u)) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{Q}\setminus\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(\Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})) dx \\ &= -(\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda) J_{3} - J_{4} - \lambda J_{5} - J_{5}. \end{split}$$

We have $(\Delta + \lambda p f'(u))u/(\lambda(p-1)) = f(u)$ in Ω and $u/(\lambda(p-1)) = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Therefore, $J_3 = -u/(\lambda(p-1))$. For $\alpha' > 0$, $\|J_4\|_{C^2, \alpha'(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C |\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda| \|f(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) - f(u)\|_{C^{\alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})} = o(\varepsilon)$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). We see that $J_5 = o(\varepsilon)$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii). We have

$$|J_{\epsilon}| \leq \max(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\epsilon}) \|\Gamma\|_{C^{0}(\overline{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\epsilon}})} \|\Delta u_{\epsilon} + \lambda_{\epsilon} f(u_{\epsilon})\|_{C^{0}(\overline{\Omega})}$$
$$= o(\epsilon)$$

by Lemma 3.2, (iii).

Summing up these facts, we get $J_1 = (\varepsilon \delta \lambda / (\lambda (p-1)))u + o(\varepsilon)$, which implies

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u = \varepsilon \Big(-\delta \lambda u / (\lambda(p-1)) + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \nu_{y}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{y}} \rho d\sigma_{y} \Big) + o(\varepsilon) \,.$$

Part II

6. Continuity of Robin ground state value.

PROPOSITION 6.1. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that $|\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda| \leq C \varepsilon$ holds. Moreover, $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{C^{3, \alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C$.

Proof. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}|^{2} dx = \int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + O(\varepsilon)$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |\hat{u}|^{p+1} dx = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$$

for $\hat{u} \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$. Also, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}} k \,\hat{u}^2 d\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_x = \int_{\partial\Omega} k \, u^2 J(x) d\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_x \,,$$

where J(x) is a Jacobian of $x \to x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x)$. It is easy to see that $J(x) = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, $\int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} k \hat{u}^2 d\sigma = \int_{\partial \Omega} k u^2 d\sigma + O(\varepsilon)$. Thus, $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}} k \hat{u}^2 d\sigma = \lambda + O(\varepsilon)$. Summing up these facts $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \leq \lambda + O(\varepsilon)$. We also have the inverse relations

$$\lambda \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$$

We get the desired result.

PROPOSITION 6.2. Assume that the ground state solution of (1.4) is unique. Then, $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $C^{3, \alpha'}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of regularity of solution and compact embedding $C^{3, \alpha'}(\Omega) \subset C^{3, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for $\alpha < \alpha'$.

7. Variational formula for the ground state value.

In this section we assume that $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})}=O(\varepsilon)$. This is proved in the later section.

PROPOSITION 7.1. Under the above condition

$$\delta \lambda = \int_{\partial \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ |\nabla_t u|^2 - (2\lambda/(p+1))u^{p+1} - (k^2 - (N-1)kH_1)u^2 \right\} \rho d\sigma.$$

Here $H_1 = H_1(x)$ is the first mean curvature at $x \in \partial \Omega$ with respect to the inner normal vector. Here ∇_t denotes the gradient on the tangent plane.

Proof of Proposition 7.1 goes as similar as stated before. We need some Lemmas which are characteristic to Robin problem.

LEMMA 7.2. The equality

$$\left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}+k\right)\vec{u}_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon\left(-k\rho\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}-\rho\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial\nu^{2}}+\nabla_{t}u\nabla_{t}\rho\right)\right\|_{C^{0}(\partial\Omega)}=o(\varepsilon).$$

holds.

$$\begin{aligned} Proof. \quad \nu_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho(x)\nu(x)) &= (\nu(x)-\epsilon\nabla_{t}\rho(x))(1+\epsilon^{2}|\nabla_{t}\rho|^{2})^{-1/2}. \quad \text{Thus,} \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{\epsilon}} \,\bar{u}_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho(x)\nu(x)) = \nabla\bar{u}_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho(x)\nu(x))\cdot\nu_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho\nu(x)) \\ &= (1+\epsilon^{2}|\nabla_{t}\rho|^{2})^{-1/2}\nabla\bar{u}_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho\nu(x))\cdot(\nu-\epsilon\nabla_{t}\rho) \\ &= (1+O(\epsilon^{2}))(\nabla\bar{u}_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho\nu(x))\cdot(\nu-\epsilon\nabla_{t}\rho) \\ &= (1+O(\epsilon^{2}))\left(\frac{\partial\bar{u}_{\epsilon}}{\partial\nu}(x)+\epsilon\rho\frac{\partial^{2}\bar{u}_{\epsilon}}{\partial\nu^{2}}(x)+o(\epsilon)+\nabla\bar{u}_{\epsilon}(x+\epsilon\rho\nu)\cdot\nabla_{t}\rho\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial\bar{u}_{\epsilon}}{\partial\nu}+\epsilon\rho\frac{\partial^{2}\bar{u}_{\epsilon}}{\partial\nu^{2}}-\epsilon\nabla_{t}u\cdot\nabla_{t}\rho+o(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x+\varepsilon\rho\nu)=\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\rho(\partial\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}/\partial\nu)+o(\varepsilon)$. Then, $0=((\partial/\partial\nu_{\varepsilon})+k)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x+\varepsilon\rho\nu)=((\partial/\partial\nu)+k)\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon(-k\rho(\partial\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}/\partial\nu)-\rho(\partial^{2}/\partial\nu^{2})\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}+\nabla_{t}u\cdot\nabla_{t}\rho)+o(\varepsilon)$. Thus, $\|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})}\rightarrow 0$ implies our Lemma 7.2. Here it should be noticed that $\|u_{\varepsilon}-u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})}\leq C\varepsilon$ does not used here.

LEMMA 7.3. The equality

$$\left\|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}+k\right)\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)}=O(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

Proof. For $x \in \partial \Omega$, $(\partial/\partial \nu) \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = (\partial/\partial \nu) u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho(x)\nu(x)) = (\partial/\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}) u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho \nu) + O(\varepsilon)$. Then,

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{\varepsilon}} + k\right) u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon \rho \nu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) + O(\varepsilon) + k \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2, (1.7). By the Green formula and $((\partial/\partial \nu) + k)u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$,

(7.1)
$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u \cdot \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u \Delta \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dx = -\int_{\partial \Omega} u \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + k\right) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} d\sigma$$

As in the proof of theorem for the ground state value of Dirichlet condition we have

$$(7.1) = \lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda - \varepsilon ((p-1)/(p+1)) \lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{p+1} \rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon),$$

On the other hand the right hand side of (7.1) is equal to

$$=\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(k u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \rho + u \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} \rho - u \nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t \rho \right) d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$

$$=\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(k u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + |\nabla_t u|^2 + (N-1)kHu^2 - \lambda u^{p+1} \right) \rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$$

$$=\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} (|\nabla_t u|^2 - \lambda u^{p+1} - (k^2 - (N-1)kH)u^2) \rho d\sigma + o(\varepsilon).$$

Here there is the equation as the background of our calculus.

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_t (u \nabla_t u \rho) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (|\nabla_t u|^2 \rho + u |\nabla_t u|^2 \rho + u \nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t \rho) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \Big(|\nabla_t u|^2 \rho + u \Big(\Delta u - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} - (N-1) H \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big) \rho + u \nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t \rho \Big) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (|\nabla_t u|^2 + (N-1) k H u^2 - \lambda u^{p+1}) \rho d\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} u \Big(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} \rho - \nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t \rho \Big) d\sigma \,. \end{split}$$

Summing up these facts we get the desired result. It should be remarked that the relation $\Delta u = -\lambda u^p$, $\partial u/\partial \nu = -ku$, and $\Delta \varphi = \partial^2 \varphi / \partial \nu^2 + (N-1)H(\partial \varphi / \partial \nu) + \nabla_t^2 \varphi$ on $\partial \Omega$, etc was used.

8. Variational formula for the ground state solution.

We have the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 8.1. If the ground state solution u is unique and $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda p u^{p-1}) = \{0\}$, then $\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon)$ holds.

The proof is similar to the Dirichlet case using Lemma 7.3. Thus we omit it.

We prove the following Proposition 8.2.

PROPOSITION 8.2. Assume that u is unique and $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda p u^{p-1}) = \{0\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u &= -(\delta \lambda / (\lambda (p-1))) u \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \Gamma \Big(-\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} + \nabla_t u \cdot \nabla_t \rho - k \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$= -(\delta \lambda/(\lambda(p-1)))u$$

- $\int_{\partial \partial} (\nabla_{\iota} \Gamma \cdot \nabla_{\iota} \Gamma - \Gamma(\lambda u^{p} + (k^{2} - (N-1)kH)u)) d\sigma.$

Proof. By the Green formula with $((\partial/\partial\nu)+k)u|_{\partial\Omega}=0$, $((\partial/\partial\nu)+k)\Gamma|_{\partial\Omega}=0$, we have

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u = -\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\Delta + \lambda f'(u))(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - u) dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \Gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + k\right) \bar{u}_{\varepsilon} d\sigma_{y} = -P_{1} + P_{2}$$

As in the Dirichlet case $P_1 = \varepsilon (\delta \lambda / (\lambda(p-1)))u + o(\varepsilon)$ by $\int_{\Omega} \Gamma f(u) dx = -u/(\lambda(p-1))$. $P_2 = \varepsilon \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(-k\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \nu^2} + \nabla_t u \nabla_t \rho \right) d\sigma + o(\varepsilon)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, we get the desired result by Lemma 7.2.

Part III

9. Neumann second state value.

In the section we prove the following Proposition 9.1.

PROPOSITION 9.1. There exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$(9.1) |\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda| \leq C \varepsilon$$

holds. Moreover,

$$(9.2) \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\varepsilon})} \leq C$$

Proof. Proof of (9.2) is in the Appendix. We prove (9.1). Recall that $\hat{u} \in H^1(\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon})$. Then,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla \hat{u}|^2 dx = \lambda + O(\varepsilon)$$
$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\hat{u}|^{p+1} dx = 1 + O(\varepsilon).$$

We also have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\hat{u}|^{p-1} \hat{u} dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} u |J\Phi_{\varepsilon}| dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} u dx + O(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon).$$

We have the following Claim. The proof of this Claim is rather complicated. So we want to prove Proposition 9.1 using this Claim 9.2.

Claim 9.2. There exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon} \in R$ such that $v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} + C_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} v_{\varepsilon} dx = 0$$

and $C_{\varepsilon} = O(\varepsilon)$.

We use this Claim. Then, $v_{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx = \lambda + O(\varepsilon) \\ &\int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |u_{\varepsilon} + C_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon}} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx + O(\varepsilon) = 1 + O(\varepsilon) \end{split}$$

Therfore, $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \leq \lambda + O(\varepsilon)$.

Conversely we get $\lambda \leq \lambda_{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon)$ using the diffeomorphism $u_{\varepsilon} \simeq \tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$. Thus, we have the desired result.

Proof of Claim 9.2. We generalize Claim 9.2 as the following statement. Fix $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C^{0}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

- $(i) \|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$
- (ii) $\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)} \ge C' > 0.$
- (iii) $\int_{O} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx = O(\varepsilon).$

Then, there exists unique constant $C_{\varepsilon} \in R$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} + C_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} (\varphi_{\varepsilon} + C_{\varepsilon}) dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\varepsilon} = O(\varepsilon) \,.$$

Proof. We put $g(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$, then $g'(t) = p|t|^{p-1}$. Thus, for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, the function $t \to g(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)+t)$ is strict monotone increasing function. Since $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C^{0}(\overline{\Omega})$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\int_{\mathcal{Q}}g(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)+t)dx=\pm\infty$$

The continuity $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} g(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)+t) dx$ is easy to see. Therefore, there exists C_{ε} such that $\int_{\Omega} g(\varphi_{\varepsilon}+C_{\varepsilon}) dx=0$. We put $F(t)=\int_{\Omega} g(\varphi_{\varepsilon}+tC_{\varepsilon}) dx$. Then, $F'(t)=\int_{\Omega} C_{\varepsilon}g'(\varphi_{\varepsilon}+tC_{\varepsilon}) dx$. Then, by the mean value theorem there exists $0 < t_{\varepsilon} < 1$ such that $F(1)-F(0)=F'(t_{\varepsilon})$. We know that F(1)=0, $F(0)=O(\varepsilon)$. therefore

$$C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} g'(\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon}) dx = O(\varepsilon).$$

We want to show

(9.3)

$$\int_{\Omega} g'(\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon}C_{\varepsilon}) \geq C'' > 0$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \ge \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} > 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx$$

 $\geq \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx, \quad \text{if} \quad C_{\varepsilon}>0.$

If $C_{\varepsilon} < 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \ge \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} < 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} + t_{\varepsilon} C_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \geq \min \Big(\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} > 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx, \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} < 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \Big).$$

Now we assume that (10.3) does not hold. Then,

$$\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}<0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Without loss of generalities

$$\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} d\, x \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Then, by (i) we have $\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^p dx \to 0$, $\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx \to 0$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}>0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand $O(\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx = \left(\int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} > 0} - \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} < 0} \right) |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \varphi_{\varepsilon} dx$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} < 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \int_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} < 0} |\varphi_{\varepsilon}|^{p+1} dx \longrightarrow 0 \,. \end{split}$$

and

We have a contradiction by (ii). Now the assertion holds.

10. Variational formula.

We impose the assumption

(10.1)
$$\|\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon} - u\|_{C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})} = O(\varepsilon)$$

and

(10.2)
$$\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta + \lambda p | u | {}^{p-1}) = \{0\}$$

and assume that the minimizer u is unique up to its signature. Then, we have Theorem 3.

We do not give Theorem 3, since it is a routine work for the readers who read Dirichlet and Robin cases.

Appendix.

We state the regularity theorem in the following manner. This is a consequence of famous Sobolev embedding theorem (Adams [1]), Schauder estimate (Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg [2]), L^p -estimate (Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg [2]) and bootstrap argument.

Let λ_{ε} , u_{ε} be the Dirichlet (Robin, Neumann) ground state value, solution, respectively. Then, there is a locally bounded function F such that $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{3, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})$ and $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{C^{3, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_{\varepsilon})} \leq F(\lambda_{\varepsilon})$. (If p < 2 then, $\alpha = p - 1$, If $p \geq 2$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ can be taken arbitrary.)

The reader who is unfamiliar with Hadamard's variation may be referred by Hadamard [9], Garabedian [6], Garabedian-Schiffer [7].

Our theorem combined with Ozawa [10] we get a singular variational formula Osawa-Ozawa [11] for nonlinear eigenvalues.

References

- [1] R. ADAMS, Sobolev spaces, Academic Press (1975).
- [2] S. AGMON, A. DOUGLIS AND L. NIRENBERG, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I, Comm. pure Appl. Math., 12 (1959), 623-727.
- [3] H. BREZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Commun. Pure and Appl. Math., 36 (1983), 437-477.
- [4] E.N. DANCER, The effect of domain shape on the number of positive solutions of certain nonlinear equations. J. of Diff. Equations., 74 (1988), 120-156.
- [5] D. FUJIWARA AND S. OZAWA, Hadamard's variational formula for the Green functions of some normal elliptic boundary problems, Proc. Japan Acad. 54A, (1978), 215-220.
- [6] P.R. GARABEDIAN, Partial Differential Equations, J. Wiley and Sons. Inc., New York (1964).
- [7] P.R. GARABEDIAN AND M.M. SCHIFFER, Convexity of domain functionals, J. Anal. Math. 2 (1978), 281-368.
- [8] B. GIDAS, W. M. NI AND L. NIRENBERG, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Commun. Math. Phys., 68 (1979), 209-243.
- [9] J. HADAMARD, Mémoire sur le problème d'anlyse relatif à l'équilibre des plaques élastiques encastrées, Oeuvres, C. N. R. S. tom 2 (1968), 515-631.
- [10] S. OZAWA, Asymptotic property of an eigenfunction of the Laplacian under singular variation of domains, —the Neumann conditions— Osaka J. Math., 22 (1985), 639-655.
- [11] T. OSAWA AND S. OZAWA, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems and singular variation of domains (to appear in Kodai Math. J.).

Integrated Information Network Systems Group FUJITSU LIMITED Kami-Kodanaka, Nakahara-ku. Kawasaki, Japan and Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Tokyo Institute of Technology Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku 152 Tokyo, Japan