# THE GAUSS IMAGE OF FLAT SURFACES IN $\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{1}}$ 

By Kazuyuki Enomoto

Let $M$ be a surface of zero Gaussian curvature in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has flat normal connection. Let $G_{2,4}$ denote the Grassmann manifold consisting of oriented 2dimensional linear subspaces of $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$. The Gauss map $G: M \rightarrow G_{2,4}$ is defined by assigning each point of $M$ to the tangent plane of $M$ at the point.

In this paper we study the structure of the image of $M$ by the Gauss map. In [4], C. Thas showed that the Gauss image of a surface of zero Gaussian curvature in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has flat normal connection is flat. Theorem 1 gives a further information on the structure of the Gauss image. Namely, under the identification $G_{2,4}=S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, the Gauss image of $M$ is the Riemannian product of two curves, one in the first factor of $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and one in the second factor. We compute the geodesic curvatures of those curves and show that if those curves are totally geodesic, then $M$ is the Riemannian product of two plane curves.

In $\S 2$, we give some local formulas for principal curvatures and show that if certain functions defined from principal curvatures vanish everywhere, then the surface is the Riemannian product of two plane curves. In §3, we look at $G_{2,4}$ and give some basic formulas. In $\S 4$, we prove our theorems for the geometry of the Gauss image of $M$.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor Hung-Hsi Wu for many valuable suggestions.

## 1. Preliminaries.

Let $M$ be a connected $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ Riemannian manifold and let $\psi: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ be an isometric immersion of $M$ into an $N$-dimensional Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{N}$. Let $D$ and $\bar{D}$ denote the covariant differentiations of $M$ and $\boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ respectively. Let $X, Y$ be tangent vector fields on $M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{X} Y=D_{X} Y+B(X, Y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(X, Y)$ is the normal component of $\bar{D}_{X} Y$.
Let $\xi$ be a normal vector field on $M$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{X} \xi=-A_{\xi} X+D_{X}^{\perp} \xi \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $A_{\xi} X$ and $D_{\bar{X}}^{\frac{1}{\xi} \xi}$ are the tangential and normal components of $\bar{D}_{X} \xi$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A_{\xi} X, Y\right\rangle=\langle B(X, Y), \xi\rangle \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the inner product of \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$. The linear transformation $A_{\xi}$ on the tangent bundle $T M$ is called the shape operator of $M$ with respect to $\xi$. Since $A_{\xi}$ is symmetric, i. e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A_{\xi} X, Y\right\rangle=\left\langle X, A_{\xi} Y\right\rangle, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

all eigenvalues of $A_{\xi}$ are real. An eigenvalue of $A_{\S}$ is called a principal curvature with respect to $\xi$. An eigenvector of $A_{\xi}$ is called a principal vector with respect to $\xi$.

Let $R$ and $R^{\perp}$ be the curvature tensors associated with $D$ and $D^{\perp}$ respectively, i. e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(X, Y) Z=D_{X} D_{Y} Z-D_{Y} D_{X} Z-D_{[X, Y]} Z  \tag{1.5}\\
& R^{\perp}(X, Y) \xi=D_{X}^{\perp} D_{Y}^{\perp} \xi-D_{Y}^{\perp} D_{X}^{\perp} \xi-D_{[X, Y]}^{\perp} \xi \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X, Y, Z$ are tangent to $M$ and $\xi$ is normal to $M$.
Then for any tangent vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ and normal vector fields $\xi, \eta$, we have the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle R(X, Y) Z, W\rangle=-\langle B(X, Z), B(Y, W)\rangle+ & \langle B(Y, Z), B(X, W)\rangle  \tag{1.7}\\
& \text { (Gauss equation) } \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last formula, the covariant derivative of $B$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{X} B\right)(Y, Z)=D_{X} B(Y, Z)-B\left(D_{X} Y, Z\right)-B\left(Y, D_{X} Z\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normal connection $D^{\perp}$ is said to be fat if $R^{\perp}=0$. (1.8) implies that $D^{\perp}$ is flat at $p \in M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\xi} A_{\eta}=A_{\eta} A_{\xi} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any two normal vectors $\xi$ and $\eta$ at $p$. Thus if $D^{\perp}$ is flat at $p \in M$, there exists an orthonormal base $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ of $T_{p} M$ such that each $e_{\imath}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ is a principal vector with respect to any normal vector at $p$.

## 2. Local Formulas for Flat Surfaces in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$.

Let $M$ be a surface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has zero Gaussian curvature and flat normal connection.

In this section we derive some local formulas for $M$.
Since the normal connection of $M$ is flat, there exists an orthonormal local frame ( $e_{1}, e_{2}$ ) of $T M$ such that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are common principal vectors for all normal vectors at each point. For each normal vector $\xi$ we set $h_{2 j}(\xi)=\left\langle A_{\xi} e_{2}, e_{\jmath}\right\rangle$ (i, $j=1,2$ ). Since $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are principal vectors for any $\xi$, we have $h_{12}(\xi)=$ $h_{21}(\xi)=0$.

Lemma 2.1. For each point $p$ of $M$ there exists an orthonormal basis ( $e_{3}, e_{4}$ ) of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$, the normal space of $M$ at $p$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{22}\left(e_{3}\right)=0, \quad h_{11}\left(e_{4}\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let ( $\tilde{e}_{3}, \tilde{e}_{4}$ ) be any orthonormal basis of $T_{p}^{\perp} M$. Since the Gaussian curvature is zero, it follows from (1.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A_{\tilde{e}_{3}}+\operatorname{det} A_{\tilde{e}_{4}}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{11}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\right) h_{22}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\right)+h_{11}\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right) h_{22}\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{3}=\cos \theta \tilde{e}_{3}+\sin \theta \tilde{e}_{4}  \tag{2.4}\\
& e_{4}=-\sin \theta \tilde{e}_{3}+\sin \theta \tilde{e}_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{22}\left(e_{3}\right)=\cos \theta h_{22}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\right)+\sin \theta h_{22}\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& h_{11}\left(e_{4}\right)=-\sin \theta h_{11}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\right)+\cos \theta h_{11}\left(\tilde{e}_{4}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.3) implies that the system of equations (2.1) has a non-trivial solution for $\theta$.

> Q.E.D.

Let $\lambda=h_{11}\left(e_{3}\right)$ and $\mu=h_{22}\left(e_{4}\right)$. Let $M_{1}=\left\{p \in M: A_{\xi} \neq 0\right.$ for all $\left.\xi \in T_{p}^{\perp} M\right\}$. Then $p \in M_{1}$ if and only if $\lambda$ and $\mu$ satisfy $\lambda \mu \neq 0$ at $p$. In [3], Reckziegel proved that the principal curvatures and principal vector fields with respect to a continuous normal vector field $\xi$ are $C^{\infty}$ on $U \subset M$ if no point in $U$ is umbilical with respect to $A_{\xi}$. By this theorem, we see that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ define $C^{\infty}$ vector fields on $M_{1}$, and $\lambda$ and $\mu$ define $C^{\infty}$ functions on $M_{1}$. We continue to denote these vector fields and functions by $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $\lambda, \mu$. We define a 1 -form $\omega_{A B}(A, B=1, \cdots, 4)$ on $M_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{A B}(X)=\left\langle\bar{D}_{X} e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X \in T M$. Setting $X=e_{1}$ and $Y=Z=e_{2}$ in (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{e_{1}}\left(\mu e_{4}\right)+\mu \omega_{12}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{4}+\lambda \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{3}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this gives

$$
e_{1} \mu-\mu \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)-\mu \omega_{34}\left(e_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we set $X=e_{\varepsilon}$ and $Y=Z=e_{1}$ in (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2} \lambda-\lambda \omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)+\lambda \omega_{34}\left(e_{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\bar{D}_{e_{1}} e_{2}-\bar{D}_{e_{2}} e_{1}=\omega_{21}\left(e_{1}\right) e_{1}+\omega_{12}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}, e_{1}\right\rangle=e_{1}\left(\omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)\right)+e_{2}\left(\omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)-\left(\omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)^{2}-\left(\omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.11) with (2.13), we have on $M_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}, e_{1}\right\rangle & =e_{1}\left(\frac{e_{1} \mu}{\mu}\right)+e_{2}\left(\frac{e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda}\right)-\left(\frac{e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{e_{1} \mu}{\mu}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\frac{e_{1} e_{1} \mu}{\mu}-\frac{2\left(e_{1} \mu\right)^{2}}{\mu^{2}}+\frac{e_{2} e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda}-\frac{2\left(e_{2} \lambda\right)^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \\
& =-\mu e_{1} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}-\lambda e_{2} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \\
& =-\lambda \mu\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Gaussian curvature is zero, (2.14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{1}$. The normal curvature on $M_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle R^{\perp}\right.\left.\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}, e_{4}\right\rangle  \tag{2.16}\\
&=e_{1}\left(\omega_{34}\left(e_{2}\right)\right)-e_{2}\left(\omega_{34}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)+\omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right) \omega_{34}\left(e_{1}\right)-\omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right) \omega_{34}\left(e_{2}\right) \\
&=e_{1}\left(-\frac{\mu e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda^{2}}\right)-e_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda e_{1} \mu}{\mu^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda e_{1} \mu}{\mu^{2}}\right)-\left(\frac{e_{1} \mu}{\mu}\right)\left(-\frac{\mu e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda^{2}}\right) \\
&=-\frac{\mu e_{1} e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{2 \mu\left(e_{1} \lambda\right)\left(e_{2} \lambda\right)}{\lambda^{3}}-\frac{\lambda e_{2} e_{1} \mu}{\mu^{2}}+\frac{2 \lambda\left(e_{1} \mu\right)\left(e_{2} \mu\right)}{\mu^{3}} \\
&=\mu e_{1} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda}+\lambda e_{2} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu} \\
& \quad=\lambda \mu\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the normal connection is flat, (2.16) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1} e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2} e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. On $M_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}, \frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}\right]=0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}, \frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}\right]=D_{(1 / \lambda) e_{1}} \frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}-D_{(1 / \mu) e_{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\frac{e_{1} \mu}{\lambda \mu^{2}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\lambda \mu} \omega_{21}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{e_{2} \lambda}{\lambda^{2} \mu} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\lambda \mu} \omega_{12}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda^{2} \mu}\left(e_{2} \lambda-\lambda \omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) e_{1}-\frac{1}{\lambda \mu^{2}}\left(e_{1} \mu-\mu \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)\right) e_{2} \\
& =0 \quad \text { (by (2.9) and (2.11)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists a local coordinate system $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ on $M_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}}=\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}=\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define functions $f$ and $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=e_{1} \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad g=e_{2} \frac{1}{\lambda} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.15) and (2.17) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{2}}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{2}}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_{1}}=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
If we set $v_{1}=u_{1}-u_{2}$ and $v_{2}=u_{1}+u_{2},(2.21)$ and (2.22) imply that there exist functions $\psi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)+\psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)  \tag{2.23}\\
& g\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)-\psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

In $\S 4$ we will give a geometric interpretation of $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ in terms of the Gauss map of $M$.

Note that $f=C_{1}$ and $g=C_{2}\left(C_{1}\right.$ and $C_{2}$ are constant) are trivial solutions for the system of differential equations (2.21) and (2.22). We now show that these solutions characterize the "standard" torus in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $M$ be a closed surface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has zero Gaussian curvature and flat normal connection. Suppose $M_{1}=M$. Then $f$ is constant if and only if $M$ is the product of two closed plane curves.

Proof. If $M$ is a product space, it is easy to see that $f \equiv 0$ and $g \equiv 0$.
Suppose that $f \equiv C_{1}$ on $M$. Then (2.21) and (2.22) imply that $g$ is also constant on $M$. We set $g \equiv C_{2}$. Since $M_{1}=M, \lambda$ and $\mu$ are globally defined $C^{\infty}$ functions. Since $M$ is compact, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ must have critical points $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ respectively. Then $f\left(p_{2}\right)=0$ and $g\left(p_{1}\right)=0$, which implies that $f \equiv 0$ and $g \equiv 0$ on M. Thus we have $e_{1} \mu \equiv 0$ and $e_{2} \lambda \equiv 0$. By (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right) \equiv 0, \quad \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right) \equiv 0, \quad \omega_{34}\left(e_{1}\right) \equiv 0, \quad \omega_{34}\left(e_{2}\right) \equiv 0, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{e_{1} e_{2}} \equiv 0, \quad \bar{D}_{e_{2}} e_{1} \equiv 0, \quad \bar{D}_{e_{1}} e_{4} \equiv 0, \quad \bar{D}_{e_{2}} e_{3} \equiv 0 . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $p_{0} \in M$ and let $\Gamma_{i}\left(s_{2}\right)(i=1,2)$ be the maximal integral curve of $e_{2}$ with initial point $p_{0}$, i. e. $\frac{d \Gamma_{i}}{d s_{i}}\left(s_{i}\right)=e_{i}\left(\Gamma_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\Gamma_{i}(0)=p_{0}$. We choose a Cartesian coordinate system ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) on $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A}\left(p_{0}\right)=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{A}}\left(p_{0}\right)=e_{A}\left(p_{0}\right) \text { for } A=1,2,3,4 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.26) implies that $e_{2}$ and $e_{4}$ are constant along $\Gamma_{1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)=e_{2}\left(p_{0}\right)=(0,1,0,0) \quad \text { for any } s_{1} . \\
& e_{4}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)\right)=e_{4}\left(p_{0}\right)=(0,0,0,1)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\Gamma_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)$ is orthogonal to $(0,1,0,0)$ and $(0,0,0,1)$ at each $s_{1}$. Thus $\Gamma_{1}$ lies in the $x_{1}-x_{3}$ plane. Similarly, we see that $\Gamma_{2}$ lies in the $x_{2}-x_{4}$ plane. We write $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{11}\left(s_{1}\right), 0, \Gamma_{13}\left(s_{1}\right), 0\right)  \tag{2.29}\\
& \Gamma_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)=\left(0, \Gamma_{22}\left(s_{2}\right), 0, \Gamma_{24}\left(s_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{s}(t)$ be the integral curve of $e_{2}$ with initial point $\Gamma_{1}(s)$, i. e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{s}^{\prime}(t)=e_{2}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right)  \tag{2.30}\\
& \alpha_{s}(0)=\Gamma_{1}(s) .
\end{align*}
$$

We write $\alpha_{s}(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s}(t)=\alpha(s, t)=\left(\alpha_{1}(s, t), \alpha_{2}(s, t), \alpha_{3}(s, t), \alpha_{4}(s, t)\right) . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from (2.30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right)=\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}=\sum_{A=1}^{4} \frac{\partial \alpha_{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{A}} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right)=\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s}=\sum_{A=1}^{4} \frac{\partial \alpha_{A}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{A}} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right)=a(s, t) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s}+b(s, t) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is possible since $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$ span the tangent plane of $M$ at each point. Since $\bar{D}_{e_{1}} e_{2}=\bar{D}_{e_{2}} e_{1}=0$ by (2.26), at each point of $M$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\bar{D}_{e_{1}} e_{2}-\bar{D}_{e_{2}} e_{1}=0 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.32), (2.34) and (2.35) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{1}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right), e_{2}\left(\alpha_{s}(t)\right)\right]=-\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial s}-\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}=0 \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial a}{\partial t}=0, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial t}=0 \quad \text { for all } s \text { and } t \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $a(s, t)=a(s, 0)$ and $b(s, t)=b(s, 0)$, but since $\alpha_{s}(0)=\Gamma_{1}(s), a(s, 0)=1$ and $b(s, 0)=0$. Therefore $a(s, t)=1$ and $b(s, t)=0$ for all $s$ and $t$. This completes the proof for (2.33).

Since $\bar{D}_{e_{1}} e_{2}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial s \partial t}=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \alpha_{A}}{\partial s \partial t}=0 \quad(A=1,2,3,4) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.39) implies that $\alpha_{A}(s, t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{A}(s, t)=\alpha_{A 1}(s)+\alpha_{A 2}(t) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha(s, 0)=\Gamma_{1}(s)$ and $\alpha(0, t)=\Gamma_{2}(t)$, it follows from (2.29) and (2.40) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(s, t)=\left(\Gamma_{11}(s), \Gamma_{22}(t), \Gamma_{13}(s), \Gamma_{24}(t)\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Gamma_{1}(s)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(t)$ are smooth curves on $M=M_{1}$ which are defined for $-\infty<s$ $<+\infty$ and $-\infty<t<+\infty$. (Note that $s$ and $t$ are the arc length parameters of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ respectively.) By (2.41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}=\{\alpha(s, t):-\infty<s, t<+\infty\} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\alpha(s, t)$, (2.42) implies that $\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$ is an open subset of $M$. Since $\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$ is closed and $M$ is connected, $M=\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$. Since $M$ is compact, $\Gamma_{1}=M \cap \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is a closed plane curve. (Similarly $\Gamma_{2}$ is a closed plane curve.)
Q. E. D.

## 3. Geometry of $G_{2,4}$.

Let $G_{2,4}$ denote the Grassmann manifold consisting of oriented 2-dimensional linear subspaces of $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$. We now recall some basic facts for $G_{2,4}$. (See [2] for more details.)

Let $P_{0} \in G_{2,4}$ and let $\left\{\dot{e}_{1}, \cdots, \dot{e}_{4}\right\}$ be a fixed orthonormal basis of $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\dot{e}_{1} \wedge \dot{e}_{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be an element of $G_{2,4}$ in a neighborhood of $P_{0}$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{4}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=e_{1} \wedge e_{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $e_{1}=\sum_{A=1}^{4} a_{1 A} e_{A}$ and $e_{2}=\sum_{A=1}^{4} a_{2 A} \mathscr{e}_{A}$, where $a_{1 A}$ and $a_{2 A}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{A}\left(a_{1 A}\right)^{2}=1  \tag{3.3}\\
& \sum_{A}\left(a_{2 A}\right)^{2}=1  \tag{3.4}\\
& \sum_{A} a_{1 A} a_{2 A}=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We assign to $P$ an element $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ of $C^{4}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{A}=a_{1 A}+i a_{2 A} \quad(A=1, \cdots, 4) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda z$ corresponds to the same plane $P$ for all $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{C}-\{0\}$, the correspondence $\varphi: P \mapsto z$ can be regarded as a map from $G_{2,4}$ into $P_{3} C$. Moreover, (3.3)-(3.5) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{A} z_{A}^{2} \equiv 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\varphi$ defines a map from $G_{2,4}$ into $Q$, where $Q$ is the quadric in $P_{3} C$ which is defined by the equation (3.7). $\varphi$ is a bijection, and moreover, $\varphi$ becomes an isometry when we equip $G_{2,4}$ with the standard invariant metric and $Q$ with the metric induced from the Fubini-Study metric on $P_{3} C$. Let $F$ be a map from $Q$ into $\boldsymbol{C} \times \boldsymbol{C}$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\frac{z_{3}+i z_{4}}{z_{1}-i z_{2}}, \quad w_{2}=\frac{-z_{3}+i z_{4}}{z_{1}-i z_{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we regard $F$ as a map from $Q$ into $P_{1} \boldsymbol{C} \times P_{1} \boldsymbol{C}$, then $F$ is a bijection. Moreover when we equip $P_{1} \boldsymbol{C} \times P_{1} \boldsymbol{C}$ with the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{2\left|d w_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+\left|w_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2\left|d w_{2}\right|^{2} \mid}{\left(1+\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ becomes an isometry. Since $P_{1} \boldsymbol{C} \times P_{1} \boldsymbol{C}$ is isometric to $S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ under the metric (3.10), $F \circ \varphi$ defines an isometry between $G_{2,4}$ and $S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$.

Let $P(t)$ be a curve in $G_{2,4}$ with $P(0)=P_{0}$. Let $e_{1}(t)=\sum_{A} a_{1 A}(t) \dot{e}_{A}$ and $e_{2}(t)=$ $\sum_{A} a_{2 A}(t) \dot{e}_{A}$ be orthonormal vectors in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which span $P(t)$. Set $z_{A}(t)=a_{1 A}(t)+i a_{2 A}(t)$. We identify $P(t)$ with a curve $\left(z_{1}(t), z_{2}(t), z_{3}(t), z_{4}(t)\right)$ on $Q$. Since $z_{1} \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of $P_{0},\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)$ and $\left(1, \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}, \frac{z_{3}}{z_{1}}, \frac{z_{4}}{z_{1}}\right)$ represent the same element of $G_{2,4}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(t)=\left(0,\left(\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)^{\prime},\left(\frac{z_{3}}{z_{1}}\right)^{\prime},\left(\frac{z_{4}}{z_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(0)=\left(0, i z_{1}^{\prime}(0)-z_{2}^{\prime}(0), z_{3}^{\prime}(0), z_{4}^{\prime}(0)\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{A} z_{A}(t)^{2} \equiv 0$, we have $i z_{1}^{\prime}(0)-z_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(0)=\left(0,0, z_{3}^{\prime}(0), z_{4}^{\prime}(0)\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (2.7), we set $\omega_{A B}=\left\langle\bar{D} e_{A}, e_{B}\right\rangle(A, B=1, \cdots, 4)$. Since $d a_{\imath \alpha}=\omega_{i \alpha}(i=1,2, \alpha=3,4)$, (3.13) implies that $\left\{\omega_{i \alpha}: i=1,2, \alpha=3,4\right\}$ form a co-frame of $T_{P_{0}} G_{2,4}$. We define another co-frame $\left\{\theta_{(i \alpha)}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{(13)}=\omega_{23}, \quad \theta_{(14)}=\omega_{24}, \quad \theta_{(23)}=-\omega_{13}, \quad \theta_{(24)}=-\omega_{14} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\left\{\dot{e}_{\imath} \wedge \dot{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ denote the dual frame of $\left\{\theta_{(i \alpha)}\right\}$. In a similar way, if an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{A}\right\}$ is given at $P \in G_{2,4},\left\{e_{2} \wedge e_{\alpha}\right\}$ is defined as a frame for $T_{P} G_{2,4}$. We note that, under these definitions, the following formal expression makes real sense now:

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right) & =d e_{1} \wedge e_{2}+e_{1} \wedge d e_{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =-\omega_{13} e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-\omega_{14} e_{2} \wedge e_{4}+\omega_{23} e_{1} \wedge e_{3}+\omega_{24} e_{1} \wedge e_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.10), we see that the metric on $G_{2,4}$ is given by $\sum_{i, \alpha}\left(\omega_{i a}\right)^{2}$. This implies that $\left\{e_{2} \wedge e_{\alpha}\right\}$ forms an orthonormal frame at each point of $G_{2,4}$.

Let $\left\{\theta_{(i \alpha)(j \beta)}\right\}$ be the connection forms associated with $\left\{\theta_{(i \alpha)}\right\}$. Then the structure equation is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta_{(i \alpha)}=\sum_{j, \beta} \theta_{(j \beta)} \wedge \theta_{(j \beta)(2 \alpha)} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ denote the complements of $i$ and $\alpha$ in $\{1,2\}$ and $\{3,4\}$ respectively.
Lemma 3.1. $\quad \theta_{\left(i^{\prime} \alpha\right)(i \alpha)}=\omega_{i^{\prime}{ }_{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{\left(i \alpha^{\prime}\right)(i \alpha)}=\omega_{\alpha^{\prime} \alpha} \\
& \theta_{\left(i^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right)(i \alpha)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We write (3.14) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{(i \alpha)}=\varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i^{\prime} \alpha}, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}=(-1)^{2+1}$. Since $\omega_{i \alpha}$ satisfies the structure equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{i \alpha}=\sum_{A} \omega_{i A} \wedge \omega_{A \alpha}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d \theta_{(i \alpha)} & =\varepsilon_{i} d \omega_{i^{\prime} \alpha}  \tag{3.19}\\
& =\varepsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{A} \omega_{i^{\prime} A} \wedge \omega_{A \alpha}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon_{i}\left(\omega_{i^{\prime},} \wedge \omega_{i \alpha}+\omega_{i^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}} \wedge \omega_{\alpha^{\prime} \alpha}\right) \\
& =\theta_{\left(i^{\prime} \alpha\right)} \wedge \omega_{i^{\prime} i}+\theta_{\left(i \alpha^{\prime}\right)} \wedge \omega_{\alpha^{\prime} \alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

The lemma follows from (3.16) and (3.19).
Q. E. D.

As we see above, $G_{2,4}$ can be identified with $S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$. For brevity, we write $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ for those two factors. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the 2-dimensional linear subspaces of $T_{P} G_{2,4}$ which are tangent to $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ respectively.

Lemma 3.2. $V_{1}$ is spanned by $e_{1} \wedge e_{3}-e_{2} \wedge e_{4}$ and $e_{1} \wedge e_{4}+e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$, and $V_{2}$ is spanned by $e_{1} \wedge e_{3}+e_{2} \wedge e_{4}$ and $e_{1} \wedge e_{4}-e_{2} \wedge e_{3}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for $P_{0}$. Let $\tilde{X} \in T_{P_{0}} G_{2,4} . \quad \tilde{X} \in V_{1}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d w_{2}(\tilde{X})=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.9), we see that (3.20) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d z_{3}(\tilde{X})=i d z_{4}(\tilde{X}) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d z_{\alpha}=\omega_{1 \alpha}+i \omega_{2 \alpha}$, (3.21) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{13}(\tilde{X})=-\omega_{24}(\tilde{X}), \quad \omega_{23}(\tilde{X})=\omega_{14}(\tilde{X}) . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{X} & =-\omega_{13}(\tilde{X}) e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-\omega_{14}(\tilde{X}) e_{2} \wedge e_{4}+\omega_{23}(\tilde{X}) e_{1} \wedge e_{3}+\omega_{24}(\tilde{X}) e_{1} \wedge e_{4}  \tag{3.23}\\
& =\omega_{24}(\tilde{X})\left(e_{2} \wedge e_{3}+e_{1} \wedge e_{4}\right)+\omega_{23}(\tilde{X})\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{3}-e_{2} \wedge e_{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, any vector $\tilde{Y}$ in $V_{2}$ can be written as a linear combination of $e_{1} \wedge e_{3}$ $+e_{2} \wedge e_{4}$ and $e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-e_{1} \wedge e_{4}$.
Q. E. D.

## 4. Gauss Image of Flat Surfaces in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$.

Let $M$ be a surface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ and let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{4}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame field defined in an open set $U \subset M$ such that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are tangent to $M$ at each point of $U$. We define the Gauss map $G: M \rightarrow G_{2,4}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=e_{1}(p) \wedge e_{2}(p) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $p \in M$. Let $d G: T M \rightarrow T G_{2,4}$ denote the differential of $G$. Then it follows from (3.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G(X)=-\omega_{13}(X) e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-\omega_{14}(X) e_{2} \wedge e_{4}+\omega_{23}(X) e_{1} \wedge e_{3}+\omega_{24}(X) e_{1} \wedge e_{4} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $X \in T_{F} M$.
Lemma 4.1. The Gauss map $G$ is singular at $p$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} A_{\xi}=0$ for any normal vector $\xi$ at $p$.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is singular at $p$ and $d G\left(a e_{1}+b e_{2}\right)=0$. Then, by (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a h_{11}\left(e_{3}\right)+b h_{12}\left(e_{3}\right)=0 \\
& a h_{11}\left(e_{4}\right)+b h_{12}\left(e_{4}\right)=0 \\
& a h_{12}\left(e_{3}\right)+b h_{22}\left(e_{3}\right)=0  \tag{4.3}\\
& a h_{12}\left(e_{4}\right)+b h_{22}\left(e_{4}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

(4.3) implies that $\operatorname{det} A_{e_{3}}=\operatorname{det} A_{e_{4}}=0$. Since $e_{3}$ (or $e_{4}$ ) can be chosen arbitrarily in $T_{p}^{\perp} M$, it follows that $\operatorname{det} A_{\xi}=0$ for any $\xi \in T_{p}^{\perp} M$. Conversely, if $\operatorname{det} A_{e_{3}}=$ $\operatorname{det} A_{e_{4}}=0$, (4.3) has a non-trivial solution for $a$ and $b$, which implies that $G$ is singular at $p$.
Q. E. D.

Now we prove the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a surface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has zero Gaussian curvature and flat normal connection. Let $p \in M$. Suppose that the Gauss map $G: M \rightarrow G_{2,4}$ $=S_{1} \times S_{2}$ is non-degenerate at $p$. Then there exists a nerghborhood $U$ of $p$ and
curves $\gamma_{1} \subset S_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2} \subset S_{2}$ such that $G(U)$ is the Riemannian product of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$.
Proof. We write the shape operators in the following matrix forms:

$$
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{11}\left(e_{3}\right) & h_{12}\left(e_{3}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
h_{21}\left(e_{3}\right) & h_{22}\left(e_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{11}\left(e_{4}\right) & h_{12}\left(e_{4}\right) \\
h_{21}\left(e_{4}\right) & h_{22}\left(e_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can find an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1} \cdots, e_{4}\right\}$ such that the shape operators are written as

$$
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda & 0  \tag{4.5}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $G$ is non-degenerate at $p$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\lambda \mu \neq 0$ in a neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of $p$. Then, by Reckziegel's theorem ([3]), each $e_{A}(A=1, \cdots, 4)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ vector field and $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions on $U^{\prime}$. Using (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& d G\left(e_{1}\right)=-\lambda e_{2} \wedge e_{3}  \tag{4.6}\\
& d G\left(e_{2}\right)=\mu e_{1} \wedge e_{4} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $X_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}$ and $X_{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}$. Then it follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d G\left(X_{1}\right)=-e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-e_{1} \wedge e_{4}  \tag{4.8}\\
& d G\left(X_{2}\right)=-e_{2} \wedge e_{3}+e_{1} \wedge e_{4} . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 3.2, we see that $d G\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $d G\left(X_{2}\right)$ are tangent to $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ respectively.

Let $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ be the coordinate system on $M$ which is introduced in $\S 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}=\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}-\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}=X_{1}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}}=\frac{1}{\lambda} e_{1}+\frac{1}{\mu} e_{2}=X_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

$G(M)$ is parameterized by ( $v_{1}, v_{2}$ ) through $G$ and locally $G(M)$ can be expressed as $\left\{\left(f_{1}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)\right\}$, where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are differentiable maps from an open set of $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ into $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ respectively. Since $d G\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\right)=d G\left(X_{1}\right)$ is tangent to $S_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\right) \equiv 0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there exists a differentiable map $\gamma_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ from an open set of $\boldsymbol{R}$ into $S_{2}$
such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}\left(v_{2}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $d G\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}}\right)$ is tangent to $S_{2}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f_{1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}}\right) \equiv 0, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence there exists a differentiable map $\gamma_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ from an open set of $\boldsymbol{R}$ into $S_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\gamma_{1}\left(v_{1}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Q. E. D.

Now we compute the curvatures of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. Let $G^{*}\left(T G_{2,4}\right)$ denote the vector bundle on $M$ induced from $T G_{2,4}$, the tangent bundle of $G_{2,4}$, by $G$. Let $\tilde{D}$ be the Riemannian connection on $G *\left(T G_{2,4}\right)$ associated with the metric $\tilde{g}=\sum_{2, \alpha} \omega_{i \alpha}^{2}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}\left(e_{\imath} \wedge e_{\alpha}\right)=\omega_{i \imath^{\prime}} e_{i^{\prime}} \wedge e_{\alpha}+\omega_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} e_{\imath} \wedge e_{\alpha^{\prime}}, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are the complements of $\imath$ and $\alpha$ in $\{1,2\}$ and $\{3,4\}$, respectively. $\tilde{X}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d G\left(X_{1}\right)$ is a unit tangent vector of $\gamma_{1}$ and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}_{1}} \tilde{X}_{1}= & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{D}_{X_{1}}\left(-e_{2} \wedge e_{3}-e_{1} \wedge e_{4}\right)  \tag{4.16}\\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(-\omega_{21}\left(X_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{3}-\omega_{34}\left(X_{1}\right) e_{2} \wedge e_{4}-\omega_{12}\left(X_{1}\right) e_{2} \wedge e_{4}-\omega_{43}\left(X_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{3}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_{21}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu} \omega_{21}\left(e_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_{43}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu} \omega_{43}\left(e_{2}\right)\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_{34}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu} \omega_{34}\left(e_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda} \omega_{12}\left(e_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\mu} \omega_{12}\left(e_{2}\right)\right) e_{2} \wedge e_{4} \\
= & 2\left(e_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)+e_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right) \tilde{\nu}_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\nu}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{2} \wedge e_{4}-e_{1} \wedge e_{3}\right) . \quad \tilde{\nu}_{1}$ is a unit vector which is normal to $G(M)$ and tangent to $S_{1}$. The last equality in (4.16) follows from the Codazzi equations (2.9)-(2.12). If we set $\tilde{X}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d G\left(X_{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{2} \wedge e_{4}+e_{1} \wedge e_{3}\right)$, we obtain the following formula by a similar computation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}_{2}} \tilde{X}_{2}=2\left(e_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)-e_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right) \tilde{\nu}_{2} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.16) and (4.17), we can give a geometric interpretation of a formula obtained in § 2 .

Proposition 4.1. Let $\psi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ be the functions given in (2.23) and (2.24). Then the curvatures $\kappa_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ of $\gamma_{1}\left(v_{2}\right)$ and $\kappa_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ of $\gamma_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)=2 \sqrt{2} \psi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right) \\
& \kappa_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)=2 \sqrt{2} \psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right) . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following theorems, we give characterizations of surfaces which are product spaces in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$. Theorem 2 also follows from the work of Chen-Yamaguchi [1].

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a surface in $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ which has zero curvature and flat normal connection. If $G(M)$ is totally geodesic in $G_{2,4}, M$ is locally the Riemannian product of two plane curves.

Proof. If $G(M)$ is totally geodesic, the curvatures of $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are identically zero. This implies that $e_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \equiv 0$ and $e_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \equiv 0$ on $M$. Then, as we see in the proof for Proposition 2.1, $M$ is locally the Riemannian product of two plane curves.
Q. E. D.

Theorem 3. Let $M$ be as in Theorem 2. In addition, suppose that $M$ is compact. Then if the length of the mean curvature vector of $G(M) \subset G_{2,4}$ is constant, $M$ is globally the Riemannian product of two closed plane curves.

Proof. The mean curvature vector $H$ of $G(M)$ in $G_{2,4}$ is given by $H=\kappa_{1} \tilde{\nu}_{1}$ $+\kappa_{2} \tilde{\nu}_{2}$. Hence, if $|H|^{2}=\kappa_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)^{2}$ is constant, both $\kappa_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $\kappa_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ must be constant. Then $e_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\kappa_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)+\kappa_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)\right)$ is constant and the theorem follows from Proposition 2.1.
Q. E. D.
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