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As for the 14th problem of Hilbert, we know that the answer is negative (see [2]). But there are several known sufficient conditions for the affirmative answer (those in [6] and [8] are important). In the present paper, we are to give some sufficient conditions of a new type but related to the one given in [4]. We shall treat the problem in a generalized form. Namely, we consider a pseudo-geometric integral domain $K$, satisfying two conditions ( $K, 1$ ) and ( $K, 2$ ) below, as a ground ring. ${ }^{1)}$
( $K, 1$ ) The altitude formula holds for $K$.
( $K, 2$ ) Normal spots over $K$ are analytically irreducible.
Let $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ be normal affine rings over $K$ and let $A$ be the direct sum of them. Let $R$ be an integral domain containing $K$ and contained in $A$ such that (i) the field of quotients $Q(R)$ of $R$ is a subring of the total quotient ring of $A$ (i.e., the natural homomorphism from $R$ into $A_{i}$ (given by multiplying the identity of $A_{i}$ ) is injective ( $=$ isomorphism into)) and (ii) $R=A \cap Q(R)$. Our aim is to give some sufficient conditions for this ring $R$ to be an affine ring over $K$. In our treatment, we assume one more condition for

[^0]$R$. Namely, we assume that for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$, there is, a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $A$ which lies over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then our main results. can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. If $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite basis for every maximal. ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$, then $R$ is finitely generated over $K$.

Theorem 2. Assume that for every pair of maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 1 in $R$ such that $\mathfrak{p \subseteq m}$, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite basis and that every normal spot over $K$ contains a prime element unless the spot is a field, ${ }^{2}$. then $R$ is finitely generated over $K$.

We shall show also in this paper that the main theorem in [4] can be simplified if the ground rings are restricted to such one in. the present paper.

## §1. The main theorems.

We shall make use of the following lemma of Zariski [7]: ${ }^{3)}$
Lemma 1. If a normal spot $P$ over $K$ is dominated by another spot $Q$ over $K$, then $P$ is a subspace of $Q$ (under their natural topologies).

Now we shall prove Theorem 1. So, we assume that $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{n} t}$ has. a finite basis for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m t}$ of $R$. Since $A_{i}$ are normal rings and since $R=A \cap Q(R)$, there is a normal affine ring $B$ over $K$ with an ideal a such that $R$ is the a-transform $T(\mathfrak{a})$ of $B$ (see [4]). Assume for a moment that $R$ is not finitely gederated over $K$. Then, as was shown in the proof of Theorem 4 in [1] or in that of Lemma 2.7 in [4], there is a sequence of normal affine rigns. $B=B_{0} \subset B_{1} \subset \cdots \subset B_{r} \subset \cdots$ with ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{a}_{r} \subset \cdots$ such that (1) $R=\bigcup_{i} B_{i}$ and (2) each $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ is different from $B_{i}$ and is the intersection of prime ideals of height 1 in $B_{i}$ which contains $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ be

[^1]the union of all $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ and let m be a miximal ideal of $R$ containing $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$. Since $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite basis, $B$ may be replaced by one $B_{i}$ which contains a basis for $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus we may assume that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}=$ $\mathfrak{m} \cap B$ generates $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (in $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ). Since there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ of $A$ which lies over $\mathfrak{m}$, we see that $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is finite algebraic over $B / \mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}$. Now we consider the rings $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}, R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}} . B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}$ are normal spots over $K$, and therefore $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ is a subspace of $A_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}$ Since $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is in between of them, we see that $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a local ring which may not be Noetherian and $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ is a subspace of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Namely, the completion $\left(B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}}\right)^{*}$ of $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ can be regarded as a subring of the completion $\left(R_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{*}$ of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}$ generates $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and since $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is finite algebraic over $B / \mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}$, we see that $\left(R_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{*}$ is. integral over $\left(B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{*}$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is contained in the field of quotients of $B$, it follows now that $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is integral over $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ and therefore $R_{\mathfrak{n}}=B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ (see [5] (37.4)). This contradicts to the infiniteness. of the sequence $B \subset B_{1} \subset \cdots \subset B_{r} \subset \cdots$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Now we shall prove Theorem 2. By virtue of Theorem 1, we have only to show that $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite basis for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of $R$. Take a normal affine ring $B$ with an ideal a such that $R$ is the a-transform $T(\mathfrak{a})$ of $B$ and set $\mathfrak{n}^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{n} \cap B$. Then $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$ is a normal spot, hence it has a prime element, say $p$. Then $p$ is a prime element in $R_{\mathrm{m}}$. Therefore our assumption say that $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{n}} / p R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ has a finite basis, which implies that $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ had a finite basis. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## §2. Supplementary remarks.

(1) On the proof of the main theorem of [4]. The main. theorem of [4] is as follows:

Let $K$ be a pseudo-geometric ring and let $A$ be a finitely generated ring over $K$. If a ring $R$ which is in between $K$ and $A$ is strongly submersive in $A$, then $R_{\mathrm{red}}=R /($ the radical of $R)$;
is a finite $K$-algebra.
What we want to remark here is that if $K$ is such one as in §1, and if furthermore $K$ satisfies the condition that every normal spot over $K$ has a semi-prime element unless the spot is a field, then the assertion can be proved in much simpler way.

In deed, it is easy to reduce the assertion to the case as in the begining of this paper. Take $B$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2 , and we take a semi-prime element $s$ of $B_{\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}}$. Then $s$ is semiprime in $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{m}} / s R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. is a subdirect sum of a finite number of Noetherian rings (using induction argument on height m ), hence is Noetherian. Therefore $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite basis, and $R$ is a finite $K$. algebra. One should note that in this case, among the preliminary results in §1 of [4], we need only Lemma 1.2 which asserts that if $R$ is strongly submersive in $A$ and if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of $R$, then $R / a$ is strongly submersive in $A / \mathfrak{a} A$.

By the way, we like to note here that the above mentioned proof really yields

Theorem 2*. Let $K$ and $R$ be as in the beginning of this paper. If, for every pair of maximal ideal $m$ of $R$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 1 in $R$ contained in $m$, the ring $R_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is Noetherian and if every normai spot over $K$ has a semi-prime element unless the spot is a field, then it follows that $R$ is finitely generated over $K$.
(2) On the height of prime ideals of $R$.

For an integral domain I, we consider the following chain condition: ${ }^{4)}$
(C) If $p$ is a prime ideal of $I$, then every descending chain of prime ideals in $I$ which begins with $\mathfrak{p}$ and ends with 0 can be refined so that its length is equal to the height of $\mathfrak{p}$.

We begin with an easy

[^2]Lemma 2. Let I be a Noetherian integral domain for which the altitude formula and the chain condition (C) hold good. Then these conditions hold for every affine ring over I.

Since the proof is easy, we omit it.
Now we come to the main remark:
Theorem 3. Let $I$ be a Noetherian integral domain for which the altitude formula and the chain condition ( $C$ ) hold. Let $A$ be an affine ring over $I$ and let $R$ be a subring of $A$ which contains $K$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of $R$ for which there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $A$ which lies over $\mathfrak{p}$, then
height $\mathfrak{p}+$ trans. deg $g_{I /\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\cap}\right)} R / \mathfrak{p}=$ height $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)+$ trans. deg $g_{I} R$.
Proof. If $\mathfrak{p}=0$, then the aesertion is obvious, and we use induction argument on height $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $I^{*}$ be an afflne ring over $I$ which is contained in $R$. Then, by the altitude formula applied to $\mathfrak{p}^{*}=\mathfrak{p} \cap I^{*}$, we have
height $\mathfrak{p}^{*}+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}{ }_{I /(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)} I^{*} / \mathfrak{p}^{*}=$ height $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{I} I^{*}$.
Therefore the assertion is equivalent to the formula for $I^{*}$ instead of $I$. Therefore $I$ may be replaced by any of such $I^{*}$ by virtue of Lemma 2. In particular, we may assume that $R / \mathfrak{p}$ is algebraic over $I /(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)$. Considering $I_{(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)}$ instead of $I$, we may assume that $\mathfrak{p} \cap I$ is the unique maximal ideal of $I$. Now, let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of prime ideals of $A$ which lie over $\mathfrak{p}$. We may assume that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is maximal in $\mathfrak{P}$. Since $R / \mathfrak{p}$ is a field by our assumption and since $A / \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is an affine ring over the field $R / \mathfrak{p}$, we see that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is a maximal ideal of $A$ and $A / \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is algebraic over the field $R / \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ be a prime ideal of $A$ such that (i) $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. (ii) depth $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}=1$ and (iii) $\mathfrak{p} A \Phi q^{\prime}$. Set $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{q}^{\prime} \cap R$.

Case 1. Assume that $\mathfrak{q} \cap I=\mathfrak{p} \cap I$. Then, since $A / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ is an affine ring over the field $I /(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)$, we see that trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{I\left(\mathfrak{p}_{n}\right)} A / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}=1$.

Since $R / \mathfrak{q}$ is a subring of $A / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ and since $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$, we see that trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{I /(\mathcal{P} \cap)} R / \mathfrak{q}=1$. Now, by induction assumption, we have: height $\mathfrak{q}+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{I /(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)} R / \mathfrak{q}=$ height $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{l} R$. Since height $\mathfrak{p}$. $\geq$ (height $\mathfrak{q}$ ) +1 , we see that height $\mathfrak{p}+$ trans. $\operatorname{deg}_{I /(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)} R / \mathfrak{p} \geq$ height $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)+\operatorname{trans} . \operatorname{deg}_{l} R$. The converse inequality holds obviously because $I$ is Noetherian and we settle this case.

Case 2. Assume that $\mathfrak{q} \cap I \neq \mathfrak{p} \cap I$. Since $A / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ is an affine ring over the ring $I /(\mathfrak{q} \cap I)$ and since $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ is a maximal ideal of height 1 which lies over the maximal ideal $(p \cap I) /(q \cap I)$, we see that $A / \mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$ is algebraic over $I /(\mathfrak{q} \cap I)$ and that $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I) /(\mathfrak{q} \cap I)$ is of height 1. By the chain condition (C), we see that height $(\mathfrak{p} \cap I)=1+$ +height ( $\mathfrak{q} \cap I$ ), and therefore we settle this case similarly as in Case 1 above. Thus the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.
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[^0]:    1) As for the words pseudo-geometric, altitude formula, affine rings, height, depth and so on, they are understood as those in our book [5]. As for these conditions ( $K, 1$ ) and ( $K, 2$ ), we may start with the derived normal ring of $K$ instead of $K$ itself. Then ( $K, 1$ ) follows from ( $K, 2$ ) (See [3]). Therefore it is really enough to assume ( $K, 2$ ) only. Spot=locality.
[^1]:    2) This second condition is satisfied by fields.
    3) Though Zariski stated this result for spots over a field, his Theorem 1 in [7] is good for the general case and we have no difficulty in proving this lemma.
[^2]:    4) One can show easily that the chain condition (C) is satisfied by $K$ in the beginning of the present paper.
