J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (JMKYAZ) 12-1 (1972) 129-140

# Polyharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds

By

Cecilia WANG and Leo SARIO

(Communicated by Professor Kusunoki, July 1, 1971)

A polyharmonic function is a  $C^{2n}$  solution,  $n \ge 2$ , of the equation

(1)  $\Delta^n u = 0.$ 

We sometimes also use the term *n*-harmonic to specify the degree. The object of the present study is a polyharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds, i.e. the problem of existence of polyharmonic functions with various boundedness properties. We shall show that much of the biharmonic classification theory developed in Nakai-Sario [4], [5], Sario-Wang-Range [9], and Kwon-Sario-Walsh [2], can be generalized to the polyharmonic case. The higher degree brings forth fascinating new versality, as various boundedness conditions can be separately imposed on the functions and the iterates of the Laplacian.

In §1 we introduce the quasipolyharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds based on the equation  $\Delta^n u = 1$ , and characterize the corresponding null classes in terms of the harmonic Green's function. Polyharmonic projection and decomposition are the topics of §2. As an application we find a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution of the polyharmonic Dirichlet problem. We also briefly discuss the classification theory associated with the class of q-polyharmonic functions.

The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G20, University of California, Los Angeles.

### **§1.** Quasipolyharmonic classification

1. On a smooth noncompact Riemannian manifold R of dimension  $m \ge 2$  with a smooth metric tensor  $(g_{ij})$ , the Laplace-Beltrami operator is

(2) 
$$\mathcal{\Delta} \cdot = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}},$$

where  $x = (x^1, ..., x^m)$  is a local coordinate system,  $g = \det(g_{ij})$ , and  $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ . We call a  $C^{2n}$  function quasipolyharmonic or *n*-quasi-harmonic if it satisfies

$$\Delta^n u = c$$

with some constant c. For the purpose of the classification of manifolds, we normalize by setting

(3) 
$$Q_n = \{ u \in C^{2n} | \Delta^n u = 1 \}.$$

For a given class X of functions we denote by  $O_X$  the class of Riemannian manifolds on which there exist no nonconstant functions in X, and by  $X_T$  the class of functions which is mapped into X by a given operator T. We shall characterize the manifolds  $R \notin O_{Q_n X_0 X_{14} \cdots X_{(n-1)4^{n-1}}}$ in terms of the function  $G_n 1$  determined by the harmonic Green's function g(x, y) on R by

(4) 
$$Gf = \int_{R} g(\cdot, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1,$$

and by

(5) 
$$G_n f = G \cdots G f$$
 for  $n > 1$ ,

where the iteration  $G \cdots G$  is taken n times.

2. Positive  $\Delta^{n-1}f$ . Let P, B, and D be the classes of nonnega-

tive functions, bounded functions, and functions with finite Dirichlet integrals, respectively. We shall write  $G_n f \in X$  to mean  $G_n |f| < \infty$  for X=P;  $|\sup_R G_n f| < \infty$  for X=B;  $D(G_n f) < \infty$  for X=D; and  $|\sup_R G_n f| < \infty$ ,  $D(G_n f) < \infty$  for  $X=C=B \cap D$ . It is known [5] that  $R \notin O_{QX}$  if and only if  $G1 \in X$ , and, whenever the integrals are well defined,  $D(G_n 1)=G(G_{n-1}1, G_{n-1}1)$  with

(6) 
$$G(f_1, f_2) = \int_{R \times R} g(x, y) f_1(x) f_2(y) dx dy.$$

**Theorem 1.** A Riemannian manifold R belongs to  $O_{Q_n X P_d \cdots P_{d^{n-1}}}$ if and only if  $G_n 1 \notin X$ , where X = P, B, D, or C.

*Proof.* By the equality  $\Delta G_n 1 = G_{n-1} 1$ ,  $G_n 1 \in X$  implies  $G_n 1 \in Q_n X P_{\Delta} \cdots P_{\Delta^{n-1}}$ , and therefore  $R \notin O_{Q_n X P_{\Delta} \cdots P_{\Delta^{n-1}}}$ .

To prove the converse, consider first the case X=P. Since  $R \notin O_{Q_n PP_d \cdots P_{d^{n-1}}}$ , there exists a function  $f \in Q_n PP_d \cdots P_{d^{n-1}}$ . Clearly f is non-negative superharmonic and thus harmonizable. For any regular sub-region  $\mathcal{Q} \subset R$ ,

(7) 
$$f = h_f^{\mathcal{Q}} + G_{\mathcal{Q}} h_{\mathcal{A}f}^{\mathcal{Q}} + \dots + G_{n\mathcal{Q}} \mathbf{1}.$$

Here  $h_f^g$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$ , continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , equal to f on  $R-\Omega$ , and  $G_{\Omega}f = \int_R g_{\Omega}(\cdot, y) f(y) dy$  with  $g_{\Omega}(\cdot, \cdot)$  the harmonic Green's function on  $\Omega$ ,  $g_{\Omega} | R - \Omega = 0$ . By the harmonizability of f, the limit of  $G_{\Omega}h_{df}^g + \cdots + G_{n\Omega}1$  as  $\Omega \to R$  exists. Since all terms in  $G_{\Omega}h_{df}^g + \cdots + G_{n\Omega}1$ are nonnegative, they converge separately as  $\Omega \to R$ . By the monotone convergence theorem,  $G_n 1 = \lim_{\Omega \to R} G_{n\Omega} 1$ .

For X=B, the proof of the existence of  $G_n1$  is similar to that in the case X=P. The boundedness of  $G_n1$  follows from the boundedness of  $f-h_f^2$ .

In the case X=D, let  $f \in Q_n DP_{d} \cdots P_{d^{n-1}}$ . For every regular subregion  $Q \subset R$ , 132 Cecilia Wang and Leo Sario

(8) 
$$D(G_{\mathcal{Q}}h_{\mathcal{A}f}^{\mathcal{Q}}+\cdots+G_{n\mathcal{Q}}1)\leq D(f)<\infty.$$

Since

(9) 
$$D(G_{g}h_{df}^{g} + \dots + G_{(n-1)g}h_{d^{n-1}f}^{g}, G_{ng}1)$$
$$= G_{g}(h_{df}^{g} + \dots + G_{(n-2)g}h_{d^{n-1}f}^{g}, G_{(n-1)g}1) \ge 0,$$

we have

(10) 
$$D(G_{ng}1) \leq D(G_{g}h_{df}^{g} + \cdots + G_{ng}1) < D(f),$$

or equivalently,

(11) 
$$G_{\mathcal{Q}}(G_{n\mathcal{Q}}1, G_{n\mathcal{Q}}1) < D(f).$$

The monotone convergence theorem yields

(12) 
$$D(G_n 1) = G(G_{n-1} 1, G_{n-1} 1) < \infty.$$

The theorem for X=C is a consequence of X=B and X=D.

Corollary. The following inclusion relations are valid:

$$O_{QP} \subset O_{Q_n PP_d \dots P_{d^{n-1}}} \begin{array}{c} \subset & O_{Q_n BP_d \dots P_{d^{n-1}}} \\ \subset & O_{Q_n DP_d \dots P_{d^{n-1}}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ O_{Q_n CP_d \dots P_{d^{n-1}}} \end{array}$$

3. Denote by  $h_f^{n\,2}$  the *n*-harmonic function on  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $\mathcal{\Delta}^i h_f^{n\,2} = \mathcal{\Delta}^i f$ on  $R - \mathcal{Q}$  for i = 0, ..., n-1. Consider the class  $H^n = H^n(R)$  of *n*-harmonic functions on R, and the class

$$H^{n*} = \{ f | \lim_{\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{R}} h_f^{n\mathcal{Q}} < \infty \}.$$

Set  $h_f^n = \lim_{Q \to R} h_f^{nQ}$ .

**Proposition.** A Riemannian manifold R belongs to  $O_{Q_nH^{n*}}$  if and only if  $G_n 1 = \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $G_n 1 < \infty$ , then  $G_n 1 \in Q_n$ . On a regular region  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we have the decomposition

(13) 
$$G_n 1 = h_{G_n 1}^{ng} + G_{ng} 1.$$

Since  $G_n 1 = \lim_{g \to R} G_{ng} 1$ ,  $\lim_{g \to R} h_{G_n 1}^{ng} = 0$ . Therefore  $G_n 1 \in H^{n*}$  and  $R \notin O_{Q_n H^{n*}}$ .

Conversely, let  $f \in Q_n H^{n*}$ . By (7) and  $f \in H^{n*}$ , the limit  $G_{ng}$ 1 exists, and the proposition follows from the monotone convergence theorem.

Corollary.  $O_{Q_nH^{n*}} = O_{Q_nP\dots P_d^{n-1}}$ .

4. Bounded  $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}f$ . Consider a function  $f \in Q_n X_{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}f \in QX$ . Thus we have

We shall show that the converse is also true for X=P, C, D, or C.

Lemma. For  $n \ge 1$ ,

with X=P, B, D, or C.

*Proof.* Let  $R \notin O_{QX}$ . It is known that  $G1 < \infty$ . Since  $G1 \in C^{\infty}$ , there exists a function  $f_2 \in C^{\infty}$  with  $\Delta f_2 = G1$  (cf. [3]). Clearly  $f_2 \in Q_2X_4$ . By repeating the above process, we can find  $f_3, \dots, f_n \in C^{\infty}$  such that  $f_i \in Q_i X_{d^{i-1}}$  for  $i \geq 3$ . In particular,  $f_n \in Q_n X_{d^{n-1}}$ , and Lemma 1 follows.

**Theorem 2.** A Riemannian manifold R belongs to  $O_{Q_n X_0 \cdots X_{(n-2)} d^{n-2}}$  $B_{d^{n-1}}$  if and only if  $G1 \notin B$ , where  $X_i = P$ , B, or  $P \cap B$ , and  $i = 0, \dots, n-2$ . Proof. By Lemma 1,  $O_{QB} = O_{Q_n B_{d^{n-1}}} \subset O_{Q_n X_0 \cdots X_{(n-2)d^{n-2}B_{d^{n-1}}}}$ . Consequently  $R \notin O_{Q_n X_0 \cdots X_{(n-2)d^{n-2}B_{d^{n-1}}}$  implies  $G1 \in B$ .

Conversely, if  $G1 \in B$  then  $G_n 1 \in B$ . Theorem 2 follows from  $G_n 1 \in Q_n X_0 \cdots X_{(n-2)d^{n-2}} B_{d^{n-1}}$ .

**Corollary.** For  $X_i = P$ , B, or  $P \cap B$ , and  $Y_i = X_i$ , D, or C,

 $O_{Q_n B P_d \cdots P_{d^{n-1}}} \subset O_{QB} = O_{Q_n X_0 \cdots X_{(n-2)d^{n-2}B_{d^{n-1}}}} \subset O_{Q_n Y_0} \cdots Y_{(n-2)d^{n-2}B_{d^{n-1}}}$ 

The last inclusion is a consequence of  $O_{QB} = O_{Q_n B_{d^{n-1}}} \subset O_{Q_n Y_{0} \dots Y_{(n-2)d^{n-2}}}$  $B_{d^{n-1}}$ .

## **5.** Bounded Dirichlet finite $\Delta^{n-1}f$ . We assert:

**Theorem 3.** A Riemannian manifold R belongs to  $O_{Q_n Z_{0} \dots Z_{(n-2)} d^{n-2}}$  $C_{d^{n-1}}$  if and only if  $G1 \notin C$ , where  $Z_i = P, B, D$  or C.

*Proof.* Since  $O_{QC} = O_{Q_n C_{d^{n-1}}} \subset O_{Q_n Z_0 \cdots Z_{(n-2)d^{n-2}} C_{d^{n-1}}}, R \notin O_{Q_n Z_0 \cdots Z_{(n-2)d^{n-2}}} C_{d^{n-1}}$  implies  $G1 \in C$ .

Conversely. if  $G1 \in C$ , then  $G_k1 \in B$  and

(16) 
$$D(G_k 1) = G(G_{k-1} 1, G_{k-1} 1) \leq (\sup_R G_{k-1} 1)^2 G(1, 1) < \infty$$

for  $k \leq n$ . Thus  $G_n 1 \in Q_n Z_0 \cdots Z_{(n-2)A^{n-2}} C_{A^{n-1}}$ , and Theorem 3 follows.

**Corollary.** For  $Z_i = P, B, D$  or C,

(17)  $O_{QC} = O_{Q_n Z_0 \cdots Z_{(n-2)} A^{n-2} C_{A^{n-1}}}.$ 

### §2. Polyharmonic projection and decomposition

6. Denote by  $M_1 = M_1(R)$  the class of bounded continuous harmonizable functions on a Riemannian manifold R. We shall show that every function  $f \in M_1^n = M_1 M_{1d} \dots M_{1d^{n-1}}$  can be written uniquely as u + g with u an n-harmonic function and  $g \in N_1^n = N_1 N_{1d} \dots N_{d^{n-1}}$ . Here  $N_1$ is the subclass of potentials in  $M_1$ , that is, functions with null harmonic parts. **Theorem 4.** On every Riemannian manifold R which carries QB-functions,

$$M_1^n = H^n M_1^n \bigoplus N_1^n.$$

Proof. We may write

(19) 
$$f = h_f^{\mathcal{Q}} + G_{\mathcal{Q}} h_{\mathcal{A}f}^{\mathcal{Q}} + \dots + G_{n-1,\mathcal{Q}} h_{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}f}^{\mathcal{Q}} + G_{n,\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{A}^n f$$

for every regular subregion  $\Omega \subset R$ . Since  $R \notin O_{QB}$  is equivalent with  $\sup_R G_1 < \infty$ , we have  $\sup_R G_k 1 < \infty$  and  $\sup_R G_k h_{d^k f} < \infty$  for  $n \ge h \ge 1$ . By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

(20) 
$$G_n \Delta^n f = f - (h_f + Gh_{\Delta f} + \dots + G_{n-1}h_{\Delta^{n-1}f}).$$

Since  $\Delta G_i g = G_{i-1}g$ , and  $G_i g \in N_1$  for  $g \in C^{\infty}$  and all *i* (cf. [9]), we see that  $(f - G_n \Delta^n f) \in H^n M_1^n$ , and  $G_n \Delta^n f \in N_1^n$ . Therefore  $f = (f - G_n \Delta^n f)$  $+ G_n \Delta^n f$  is the desired decomposition provided we can prove the uniqueness. Let  $u \in H^n \cap N_1^n$ . Since  $\Delta^{n-1} u \in H \cap N_1$ ,  $\Delta^{n-1} u \equiv 0$  on *R*. Thus we have  $u \in H^{n-1} N_1^{n-1}$ . On repeating the above reasoning we conclude that  $u \equiv 0$  on *R*, and the proof is complete.

We shall call the *n*-harmonic function u in Theorem 4 the polyharmonic projection of  $f \in M_1^n$ . On Wiener's harmonic boundary  $\alpha$ , it is clear that  $u \mid \alpha = f \mid \alpha, \ \Delta u \mid \alpha = \Delta f \mid \alpha, \ \dots, \ \text{and} \ \ \Delta^{n-1}u \mid \alpha = \Delta^{n-1}f \mid \alpha$ .

7. If we restrict the class of polyharmonic functions to  $M_1^n$ , then we have the following direct sum decomposition:

**Theorem 5.** On a Riemannian manifold  $R \notin O_{QB}$  every function  $f \in H^n M_1^n$  can be written uniquely as

$$(21) f = u + G_i v$$

with  $u \in H^i B$  and  $v \in H^{n-i-1}B$ ,  $n > i \ge 0$ . Equivalently,

(22) 
$$f = h_0 + Gh_1 + \dots + G_{n-1}h_{n-1}$$

with  $h_i \in HB$ .

8. Denote by  $M_2(R) = M_2$  the Royden algebra, consisting of bounded Dirichlet finite Tonelli functions. Set

(23) 
$$M_2^n = M_2 M_{2d} \cdots M_{2d^{n-1}}.$$

Let  $N_2(R) = N_2$  be the potential subalgebra of  $M_2$ , and define  $N_2^n$  in analogy with  $M_2^n$ .

**Theorem 6.** On a Riemannian manifold R which carries QC-functions,

$$M_2^n = H^n M_2^n \bigoplus N_2^n.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5,

$$f-G_n \Delta^n f=h_f+Gh_{\Delta f}+\cdots+G_{n-1}h_{\Delta^{n-1}f}.$$

Since

$$D(G_{i}h_{d^{i}f}) = G(G_{i-1}h_{d^{i}f}, G_{i-1}h_{d^{i}f})$$

$$\leq (\sup_{R}G_{i-1}h_{d^{i}f})^{2}G(1, 1) < \infty,$$

we obtain  $f - G_n \Delta^n f \in H^n M_2^n$ . In the same manner as in Theorem 5, we can show that  $(f - G_n \Delta^n f) + G_n \Delta^n f$  is the desired unique decomposition of f.

**Theorem 7.** Let R be a Riemannian manifold which carries QCfunctions. Every  $f \in H^n M_2^n$  has the unique decomposition

$$(25) f = u + G_i v_i$$

with  $u \in H^iC$ , and  $v \in H^{n-i-1}C$  for  $n > i \ge 0$ . Equivalently,

(26) 
$$f = h_0 + Gh_1 + \dots + G_{n-1}h_{n-1}$$

136

with  $h_i \in HC$ .

9. The polyharmonic projection and decomposition theorem have thus been proved for certain subclasses of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . It is natural to ask whether the theorems remain true if we suppress the boundedness condition in the definition of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Denote by  $M_3$  the class of continuous harmonizable functions and by  $M_4$  the class of Tonelli functions with finite Dirichlet integrals. Consider the family

(27) 
$$M_{iX_{i}}^{n} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} M_{id^{k}}(F_{X_{i}}^{k})_{\pi d^{k}}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where  $\pi$  is the harmonic projection and

$$F_{X_i}^k = \{f \mid G_k f \in X_i\}$$

with  $X_1=B$ ,  $X_2=C$ ,  $X_3=P$ , and  $X_4=D$ . Define  $N_{iX_i}^n$  analogously.

Theorem 8. On an arbitrary hyperbolic Riemannian manifold R,

$$(29) M^n_{iX_i} = H^n M^n_{iX_i} \bigoplus N^n_{iX_i}$$

for i=1, 2, 3, 4.

We note that  $M_1^n \equiv M_{1B}^n$  if  $R \notin O_{QB}$ , and  $M_2^n \equiv M_{2C}^n$  if  $R \notin O_{QC}$ . Therefore Theorem 8 is weaker than Theorems 4 and 6. Its proof is analogous. We also have the following decomposition:

**Theorem 9.** Let R be an arbitrary hyperbolic Riemannian manifold. Then every  $f \in H^n M^n_{iX_i}$  can be written uniquely as

$$(30) f = u + G_i v$$

with  $u \in H^i$  and  $v \in H^{n-i-1}$  for  $n > i \ge 0$ . Equivalently

(31) 
$$f = h_0 + Gh_1 + \dots + G_{n-1}h_{n-1}$$

with  $h_i \in H$ .

### Cecilia Wang and Leo Sario

138

10. Polyharmonic Dirichlet problem. Given bounded continuous functions  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$  on the Royden harmonic boundary  $\beta$ , the polyharmonic Dirichlet problem is to find a function u on R with

(32) 
$$\begin{cases} u \in H^n C C_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots C_{\mathcal{A}^{n-1}} \\ u \mid \beta = f_0, \ \mathcal{A}u \mid \beta = f_1, \ \mathcal{A}^{n-1}u \mid \beta = f_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

We shall assume that  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$  can be extended continuously to functions in Royden's algebra. Unconditional solvability of the above problem is not expected, since there are Riemannian manifolds on which the only *n*-harmonic functions are constants. Theorem 6 enables us to show:

**Theorem 10.**  $R \notin O_{QC}$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for problem (32) to have a solution. The solution is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in M_2$  be the extended functions of  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$  respectively. Theorem 6 implies that  $h_{g_0} + Gh_{g_1} + \dots + G_{n-1}h_{g_{n-1}}$  is the unique solution of (32).

Conversely, consider  $f_0=0, f_1=1$ . There exists a function  $u \in H^2CC_4$  with  $u | \beta=0$  and  $\Delta u | \beta=1$ . By the maximum principle,  $\Delta u \equiv 1$  on R. Thus  $u \in QC$ , and the proof is complete.

Making use of Wiener's harmonic boundary  $\alpha$  we obtain similarly (cf. Tanaka [10]):

**Theorem 11.** Given bounded continuous functions  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ on the Wiener harmonic boundary  $\alpha$ ,  $R \notin O_{QB}$  is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a function  $u \in H^n BB_{d} \dots B_{d^{n-1}}$  on R with  $\Delta^k u | \alpha = f_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

11. Let q be a nonnegative  $C^2$  function on R. We call a  $C^{2n}$  function u q-polyharmonic if it satisfies the equation

$$(33) \qquad (\varDelta + q)^n u = 0,$$

and q-quasipolyharmonic if

$$(34) \qquad (\varDelta + q)^n u = c e_q,$$

where c is a constant and  $e_q$  the q-elliptic measure of R (cf. [11]). Set

(35) 
$$Q_{qn} = \{ u \in C^{2n} | (\varDelta + q)^n u = e^q \}.$$

We shall show that the nondegeneracy of a manifold with respect to  $Q_{qn}$ -functions is determined by an operator on the q-harmonic Green's function  $g_q(x, y)$ , and the q-elliptic measure  $e_q$  on R. Consider the operator  $G_{qn}$  defined by

(36) 
$$\begin{cases} G_q e_q = \int_R g_q(x, y) e_q(y) dy & \text{for } n = 1, \\ G_{qn} e_q = G_q \cdots G_q e_q & \text{for } n > 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $G_q \cdots G_q$  means iteration *n* times. Denote by *E* the class of functions with finite energy integrals, and set  $K = E \cap B$ . In analogy with Theorems 1-3 we have:

**Theorem 12.** Let R be a q-hyperbolic Riemannian manifold.

- (i)  $R \notin O_{Q_{qn}XP_{d}\dots P_{d}^{n-1}}$  if and only if  $G_{qn}e_q \in X$  for X=P, B, E, or K.
- (ii)  $R \notin O_{Q_{q_n}BB_{A}\dots B_{A}^{n-1}}$  if and only if  $G_q e_q \in B$ .
- (iii)  $R \notin O_{Q_{qn}KK_{d}\cdots K_{d}^{n-1}}$  if and only if  $G_q e_q \in K$ .

Theorems 4–11, with obvious modifications, also remain valid for q-polyharmonic functions.

#### References

[1] C. Constantinescu-A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer, 1963, 244 pp.

- [2] Y.K. Kwon-L. Sario-B. Walsh, Behavior of biharmonic functions on Wiener's and Royden's compactifications, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), (to appear).
- [3] B. Malgrange, Existence et approximation des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles et des équations de convolution, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 6 (1955/56), 271-355.
- M. Nakai-L. Sario, Biharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 432-436.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Quasiharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, A property of biharmonic functions with Dirichlet finite Laplacians, Math<sup>.</sup> Scand. (to appear).
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions with Dirichlet finite Laplacians, Math. Z. 122 (1971), 203-216.
- [8] L. Sario-M. Nakai, Classification of Riemann surfaces, Springer, 1970, 446 pp.
- [9] L. Sario-C. Wang-M. Range, *Biharmonic projection and decomposition*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. No. 494 (1971), 14 pp.
- [10] H. Tanaka, On Wiener functions of order m, (to appear).
- [11] C. Wang-L. Sario, The class of (p, q)-biharmonic functions, Pacific J. (to appear).