# Some remarks on the existence of independent solutions for homogeneous first order differential system

# By

Haruki NINOMIYA

(Received January 6, 1973)

#### §1. Introduction

In an open set U containing the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we consider homogeneous first order partial differential operators

$$L_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_k^j(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \qquad (k = 1, ..., m)$$

with coefficients in  $C^1(U)$ . Let  $A(x) = (a_k^j(x))_{\substack{j=1,\dots,n \\ k=1,\dots,m}}$  be a (m, n)-matrix. Let an integer  $r_0 = n - \max_{x \in U}$  rank A(x). In this note we investigate the condition for the existence of independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class for the system of differential equations  $L_k(f) = 0$   $(k=1,\dots,m)$  in a neighborhood of the origin contained in U; here r (at least  $C^1$ -class) functions  $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x)$  are called, by definition, independent if  $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_r \neq 0$  holds.

When the coefficients are real-valued in  $C^{1}(U)$ , we see that it is equivalent to say that there exists a regular change of coordinates around the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  by which all the operators  $L_{k}$  can be transformed into the operators in n-r new coordinates variables with r real parameters, where r denotes the number of independent solutions for  $L_{k}(f)=0$  (k=1, ..., m).

To make our problem clear, let us consider the case of single equation  $L(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = 0$ . Suppose all the  $a_j(x)$  are real-valued in  $C^1(U)$  otherwise they are analytic in U. We know that if one of them is not zero at the origin, there exist n-1 independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class for that in a neighborhood of the origin. Thus our problem is to investigate the condition for the existence of  $r(r \le n-1)$  independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class in a neighborhood of the origin when  $a_j(0)=0$  (j=1,...,n). We want to present a point of view to this problem.

Finally, I thank Prof. S. Mizohata for his kind helpful advice.

# §2. A theorem

Firstly we state a lemma which is basic in later discussion:

**Lemma.** Let us assume that there exist  $r (r \leq n-1)$  independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class  $f_j(x)$  (j=1,...,r) for  $L(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = 0$  in a neighborhood of the origin contained in U, where  $a_j(x)$  (j=1,...,n) are  $C^1(U)$ . Then there exist n-r homogeneous first order partial differential operators  $P_j$  (j=1,...,n-r), which can be determined only by those r independent solutions  $f_j(x)$  (j=1,...,r) in a neighborhood of the origin the following conditions:

(1) L is expressed as a linear combination of  $P_j$ ; namely there exist functions  $c_j(x)$  (j=1,...,n-r) in  $C^1(V)$  such that

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} c_j(x) P_j \text{ in } V;$$

(2)  $P_j(f_{\lambda})=0$  for  $j=1, ..., n-r, \lambda=1, ..., r$  in V; and furthermore

(3)  $\{P_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n-r}$  is Jacobi's system.

**Proof.** Relabelling the variables if necessary, we may suppose  $D \equiv$ 

606

 $\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_r)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_r)} \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of the origin V contained in U. Then from the system of equations:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1}\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\ldots+a_{r}\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{r}}=-a_{r+1}\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{r+1}}-\ldots-a_{n}\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}},\\ & \ddots\\ & \ddots\\ & \ddots\\ & \ddots\\ & \ddots\\ & \ddots\\ & a_{1}\frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{1}}+\ldots+a_{r}\frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{r}}=-a_{r+1}\frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial r+1}-\ldots-a_{n}\frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{n}},\end{array}\right)$$

we can express  $a_1(x), ..., a_r(x)$  as the linear combinations of  $a_{r+1}(x), ..., a_n(x)$  with coefficients in  $C_1(V)$ , say,  $a_j(x) = \sum_{i=r+1}^n a_j(x) c_j^i(x)$  (j=1, ..., r); more precisely:

$$c_{j}^{\lambda}(x) = -\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial(f_{1}, \dots, f_{\lambda-1}, f_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda+1}, \dots, f_{r})}{\partial(x_{1}, \dots, x_{\lambda-1}, x_{j}, x_{\lambda+1}, \dots, x_{r})}$$
  
$$j = r + 1, \dots, n; \ \lambda = 1, \dots, r.$$

Consequently, we have

$$L = a_{r+1}(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{r+1}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \dots + a_{n}(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{n}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \right).$$
 Set  $H_{j} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{j}^{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$  for  $j = r+1, \dots, n$ .

And denote  $P_k \equiv H_{k+r}$  (k=1, ..., n-r). Then there remains to prove (2) and (3) for these  $P_k$  (k=1, ..., n-r). Firstly we can easily verify that for j=r+1, ..., n

$$P_{j-r}(f) = H_{j}(f) = \frac{1}{D} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}, & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, & \dots, & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{r}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{j}}, & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}, & \dots, & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{r}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{j}}, & \frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{1}}, & \dots, & \frac{\partial f_{r}}{\partial x_{r}} \end{bmatrix}$$

which show that (2) holds. On the other hand,  $P_1, \ldots, P_{n-r}$  are clearly linearly independent in V. Since they have r independent solutions  $f_j(x)$   $(j=1,\ldots,r)$ ,  $\{P_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-r}$  is Jacobi's system. q.e.d.

Now, let r be a positive integer such that  $r \leq r_0$ . Then, from this lemma we have the following

**Theorem** Let  $L_k$  (k=1, ..., m) be homogeneous first order partial differential operators

$$L_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_k^j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

where the coefficients are real-valued in  $C^1(U)$ , otherwise they are analytic in U (k=1,...,m; j=1,...,n). Then there exist r independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class for the system of differential equations  $L_k(f)=0$  (k=1,...,m) in a neighborhood of the origin when and only when there exist n-r homogeneous first order partial differential operators  $P_j(j=1,...,n-r)$  with real-valued coefficients of  $C^1$ -class, otherwise with complex-valued ones analytic in a neighborhood of the origin respectively satisfying the following:

(1)  $L_k$  (k=1, ..., m) are expressed as the linear combinations of  $P_j$  (j=1, ..., n-r); namely in a neighborhood of the origin it holds that

$$L_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} c_k^j(x) P_j$$

where the coefficients are real-valued functions of  $C^1$ -class, otherwise complex-valued ones analytic;

and moreover

(2)  $\{P_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n-r}$  is Jacobi's system.

**Proof.** This is easy. The necessity is an immediate consequence of the above lemma. In fact, let  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x)$  be a system of independent solutions for  $L_k(f)=0$   $(k=1, \ldots, m)$ . Then it suffices to apply the lemma to each  $L_k(f)=0$ , taking account of the fact that  $P_j$  are de-

termined only by  $f_i(x)$  (i=1,...,r).

The sufficiency is shown as follows: Since  $P_j(f)=0$  (j=1,..., n-r) is Jacobi's system, this system has r independent solutions  $f_1(x),..., f_r(x)$  of C<sup>2</sup>-class in a neighborhood of the origin. These  $f_j(x)$  are the solutions of  $L_k(f)=0$  (k=1,...,m). q.e.d.

# §3. Remarks

1. We can restate the theorem as follows: Under the same assumptions and notations as the theorem, there exist r independent solutions for  $L_k(f)=0$  (k=1,...,m) in a neighborhood of the origin if and only if there exist  $\{j_1,...,j_r\} \subset \{1,...,n\}$  and real-valued functions  $b_j^{j_s}(x)$  of  $C^1$ -class in a neighborhood of the origin or complex-valued ones analytic respectively according as the coefficients are real-valued ones of  $C^1$ -class or complex-valued ones analytic  $(s=1,...,r; j\in\{1,...,n\}-\{j_1,...,j_r\}\equiv I)$  such that

(1.1) 
$$a_k^{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j \in I} a_k^j(x) b_k^{\lambda}(x) \text{ for } \lambda \notin I \text{ and } k=1, ..., m;$$

(1.2) 
$$\frac{\partial b_j^{\mu}}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{\lambda \notin I} b_i^{\lambda} \frac{\partial b_j^{\mu}}{\partial x_{\lambda}} = \frac{\partial b_i^{\mu}}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{\lambda \notin I} b_i^{\lambda} \frac{\partial b_i^{\mu}}{\partial x_{\lambda}} \quad i, j \in I, \ \mu \notin I.$$

2. When  $a_k^j(x)$  are complex-valued in  $C^1(U)$  and not always analytic, the condition stated in the theorem remains a necessary one in order that there exist r independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class for  $L_k(f)=0$  (k=1, ..., m) in a neighborhood of the origin. In the actual case we do not know any satisfactory sufficient condition. But, under the conditions (1) and (2) of the theorem, the analyticity in the r suitable variables assures the existence of r independent solutions of  $C^2$ -class for  $L_k(f)=0$  (k=1, ..., m); for this, we refer to A. Andreotti and C. D. Hill [1].

3. Let us consider the case n=2. Namely we consider the operators  $L_k = a_k^1(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_k^2(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$  (k=1, ..., m) with real-valued coefficients in  $C^1(U)$ , otherwise with complex-valued ones analytic in U. The theorem states that there exists a solution of  $C^2$ -class for  $L_k(f)=0$ 

609

(k=1, ..., m) such that grad  $f(0) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of the origin when and only when there exist a  $C^1$ -class or analytic function b(x)(c(x)) in a neighborhood of the origin according as the coefficients are real-valued functions in  $C^1(U)$ , or complex-valued ones analytic in U, satisfying the following:

$$a_k^1(x) = b(x)a_k^2(x)$$
 (or  $a_k^2(x) = c(x)a_k^1(x)$ )  $k = 1, ..., m$ .

In other words the functions:

$$a_k^1(x)/a_k^2(x)$$
 or  $(a_k^2(x)/a_k^1(x))$   $k=1, ..., m$ 

defined where  $a_k^2(x) \neq 0$  (or  $a_k^1(x) \neq 0$ ) for k=1, ..., m are the restrictions of the function which is in  $C^1$  or analytic in a neighborhood of the origin to the places where  $a_k^2(x) \neq 0$  (or  $a_k^1(x) \neq 0$ ).

# DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMTICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY

#### Reference

 A. Andreotti and C. D. Hill, Complex characteristic coordinates and tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations, Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa, 1972 (26) 299-324.