

# On the homotopy type of $\text{FDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$

By

Kazuhiko FUKUI and Shigehiro USHIKI

(Received March 22, 1974)

## §1. Introduction.

In this article, we study some topological subgroups of the group of diffeomorphisms of a manifold.

Our main result is that the topological group of foliation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S^3$  with respect to the Reeb foliation has the homotopy type of a torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

Authors are grateful to Professor N. Shimada and Professor M. Adachi for giving them nice advices.

## §2. Spaces of foliation preserving diffeomorphisms and leaf preserving diffeomorphisms.

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$  with a smooth foliation of codimension  $q$ .

**Definition.** A diffeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is called a *foliation preserving diffeomorphism* (resp. a *leaf preserving diffeomorphism*) if for each point  $x$  of  $M$ , the leaf through  $x$  is mapped into the leaf through  $f(x)$  (resp.  $x$ ), that is,  $f(L_x) = L_{f(x)}$  (resp.  $f(L_x) = L_x$ ), where  $L_x$  is the leaf that contains  $x$ . Equivalently, if there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{f}$  (resp.  $id$ ) of the leaf space  $M/\mathcal{F}$ , such that the diagram commutes, where vertical arrows are canonical projections (see Reeb [7]).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M/\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & M/\mathcal{F} \end{array} \quad \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \text{resp.} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M/\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{id} & M/\mathcal{F} \end{array} \right)$$

Let  $\text{FDiff}(M, \mathcal{F})$  (*resp.*  $\text{LDiff}(M, \mathcal{F})$ ) denote the space of all foliation (*resp.* leaf) preserving diffeomorphisms of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

It is clear that  $\text{LDiff}(M, \mathcal{F}) \subset \text{FDiff}(M, \mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Diff}(M)$ .

Topologies of the spaces are induced by a relevant  $C^\infty$ -topology of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . Clearly there is an exact sequence of topological groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{LDiff}(M, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \text{FDiff}(M, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Homeo}(M/\mathcal{F}),$$

where the second arrow is the inclusion map and the map  $\pi$  is defined as in the definition of the foliation preserving diffeomorphisms,  $\pi : f \longrightarrow \bar{f}$ .

**Remark.** In many important cases as foliations constructed by geometric manners, the homotopy types of the spaces of foliation preserving diffeomorphisms are not trivial. For example,  $\pi_1(\text{FDiff}(S^6, \mathcal{F}_L))$  has non trivial element, where  $\mathcal{F}_L$  is the codimension 1 foliation constructed by Lawson [2].

### §3. Reeb foliation $\mathcal{F}_R$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\alpha : [0, 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\alpha(0) = 0$ ,  $\alpha'(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha^{(k)}(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \alpha^{(k)}(t) = \infty$  for all  $k$ .  $\alpha$  defines a homeomorphism of  $[0, 1)$  onto  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\alpha$  restricted to  $(0, 1)$  is a diffeomorphism  $(0, 1) \cong (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\beta = \alpha^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ .

Three dimensional sphere can be decomposed into two solid tori,  $S^3 = D^2 \times S^1 \cup_h S^1 \times D^2$ , where  $h$  is a diffeomorphism  $h : \partial D^2 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times \partial D^2$  defined by  $h(x, y) = (x, y)$ . Let  $X = D^2 \times S^1 \subset S^3$  be one of the components.  $X$  can be considered as the quotient space  $I \times S^1$

$\times S^1/\sim$ , where  $S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ , the equivalence relation is defined by  $(0, x, y) \sim (0, x', y)$ . Express a point  $p$  of  $X$  as  $p = (t, x, y)$ ,  $(t, x) \in D^2$ ,  $y \in S^1$ ,  $t$  is the radius and  $x$  is the polar angle mod 1.

Define a foliation in  $X$  as follows: for two points  $p_1 = (t_1, x_1, y_1)$ ,  $p_2 = (t_2, x_2, y_2)$  of  $X$ ,  $L_{p_1} = L_{p_2}$  if and only if  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$  or  $\alpha(t_1) - y_1 \equiv \alpha(t_2) - y_2 \pmod{1}$ . Introduce the same foliation in the other component, then these together define a foliation in  $S^3$ . This foliation is called the *Reeb foliation*. Let us denote  $(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  or simply  $\mathcal{F}_R$ .

Let  $\mu: \text{int}X \rightarrow S^1$  be a map defined by  $\mu(t, x, y) = \alpha(t) - y \pmod{1}$ . Then  $\mu$  induces a diffeomorphism  $\bar{\mu}: \text{int}X/(\mathcal{F}_R|_{\text{int}X}) \rightarrow S^1$  so that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{int}X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \nearrow \bar{\mu} \\ \text{int}X/(\mathcal{F}_R|_{\text{int}X}) & & \end{array}$$

#### §4. Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a splitting exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{LDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R) \rightarrow \text{FDiff}_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R) \xleftarrow{\pi} S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{FDiff}_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  is the identity component of  $\text{FDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$ ,  $\text{LDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  is the space of leaf preserving diffeomorphisms in  $\text{FDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$ ,  $S^1 \times S^1$  is the subgroup of standard rotations  $SO(2) \times SO(2)$  in  $\text{Homeo}(S^3/\mathcal{F}_R)$ , and the global section  $\leftarrow$  is the natural lifting of standard rotations.

*Proof.* It is clear that the image of  $\pi$  contains the standard rotations  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Let us prove that the image of  $\pi$  is contained in  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Suppose for some  $f$  in  $\text{FDiff}_0(\mathcal{F}_R)$ ,  $\pi f \notin SO(2) \times SO(2)$ . We shall deduce a contradiction from this supposition.

We may assume that the foliation preserving diffeomorphism restricted to the component  $X$  induces a homeomorphism  $\bar{f}: S^1 \rightarrow$

$S^1$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{int} X & \xrightarrow{f|X} & \text{int} X \\ \mu \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & S^1 \end{array}$$

such that  $\tilde{f} \notin SO(2)$ .

We may assume  $\tilde{f}(0)=0$  and  $\tilde{f} \neq id$ , eventually by composing some standard rotation.

Let us regard the unit interval  $I=[0, 1]$  as embedded in  $X$  by identifying  $I \ni t \mapsto (t, 0, 0) \in X$ . Then  $f(I)$  and  $\partial X$  are transversal at  $f(1)$ ,  $1 \in I \subset X$ , because  $I$  and  $\partial X$  are transversal at  $1 = (1, 0, 0)$ , and  $f$  is a diffeomorphism.

We can assume  $f(1)=1$ , eventually by composing a leaf preserving diffeomorphism induced by a vector field on  $\partial X$  (extended near  $\partial X$ ). Moreover, eventually by composing a leaf preserving diffeomorphism which is the identity outside a sufficiently small neighbourhood of 1, induced by a smooth vector field tangent to the leaves, we assume that for some small  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ ,  $f$  maps  $(1-\varepsilon, 1]$  diffeomorphically onto  $(1-\delta, 1]$ . Let  $\varphi = t \circ f|I : I \rightarrow I$ , where  $t$  is the radius function  $t : X \rightarrow I$ . This continuous map restricted to some neighbourhood of 1 is a diffeomorphism.

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, \beta(1), \beta(2), \dots, \beta(k), \dots\} \subset I$ , and  $\Sigma_n = \{\beta(n), \beta(n+1), \dots\} \subset \Sigma$ . Note that  $L_0 \cap I = \Sigma$ . Then  $\varphi$  induces a map  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi| \Sigma_{n_1} : \Sigma_{n_1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{n_2}$  for sufficiently large numbers  $n_1, n_2$ .  $\bar{\varphi}$  can be expressed as  $\bar{\varphi}(\beta(k)) = \beta(k+\rho)$  for some integer  $\rho$ .

Again by composing a leaf preserving diffeomorphism which is of the form  $(t, x, y) \mapsto (\eta(t), x, y)$  in some small tubular neighbourhood of  $\partial X$  and is the identity in the complement of some tubular neighbourhood of  $\partial X$ , induced by a vector field of  $\partial X$  rotating  $\partial X - \rho$  times, mapping a neighbourhood of 1 in  $I$  into a neighbourhood of 1 in  $I$ , we may assume  $\bar{\varphi} = id| \Sigma_{n_1}$ . Now recall the following diagram ( $\mu$  is not defined on  $\partial X$ ),

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1 \\
 \varphi \downarrow & & f \downarrow & & \tilde{f} \downarrow \\
 I & \xrightarrow{t} & X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1.
 \end{array}$$

Consider a universal covering:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{int}D^2 \times \mathbf{R}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & \mathbf{R}^1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{int}X = \text{int}D^2 \times \mathbf{R}^1 / \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1 = \mathbf{R}^1 / \mathbf{Z},
 \end{array}$$

where horizontal arrows are canonical projections  $\mathbf{R}^1 \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ , and  $\tilde{\mu}$  is defined by  $\tilde{\mu}(t, x, \hat{y}) = \alpha(t) - \hat{y}$ ,  $(t, x) \in D^2$ ,  $\hat{y} \in \mathbf{R}^1$ ,  $t$  is the radius. Let  $\tilde{f}$  be the lifting of  $\tilde{f}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{R}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbf{R}^1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & S^1.
 \end{array}$$

Getting together the diagrams above, and defining the maps properly,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 I - \{1\} & \xleftarrow{t} & \text{int}D^2 \times \mathbf{R}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & \mathbf{R}^1 & & \\
 \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow f & & \\
 I - \{1\} & \rightarrow & \text{int}D^2 \times \mathbf{R}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & \mathbf{R}^1 & & \\
 \searrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu & & \\
 & & \text{int}X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1 & & \\
 \nearrow f & & \nearrow & & \nearrow f & & \\
 & & \text{int}X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & S^1 & & 
 \end{array}$$

it is easy to check that  $\varphi(t) = \beta \circ \tilde{f} \circ \beta^{-1}(t)$  for  $t \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1)$ , and  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Consider the derived function  $\varphi'(t) = \frac{d\varphi}{dt}(t)$ .  $1 \in I$  is the cluster point of  $\Sigma$  and  $\varphi$  is the identity on  $\Sigma_{n_1}$ , hence  $\varphi'(1)$  must

be equal to 1.

On the other hand, in  $(1-\varepsilon, 1)$ ,  $\varphi'(t) = (\beta \circ \tilde{f} \circ \beta^{-1})'(t) = \beta'(f(y)) \circ \tilde{f}'(y) / \beta'(y)$ , where  $y = \beta^{-1}(t)$ . We shall now deduce that  $\varphi'(t)$  is not continuous at 1. This contradiction will complete our proof of lemma 1.

Note that  $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$ . Let  $f_t = \tilde{f}|[0, 1] : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

Then  $f_t(0) = 0$ ,  $f_t(1) = 1$ , and  $f_t$  is a diffeomorphism of the unit interval. From the assumption,  $f_t \neq id$ , hence there is  $y_0 \in I$  that satisfies following 1) or 2) :

- 1)  $y_0 \leq f_t(y_0)$  and  $f'_t(y_0) < 1$ ,
- 2)  $y_0 \geq f_t(y_0)$  and  $f'_t(y_0) > 1$ .

By the way,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \alpha''(t) = +\infty$ , hence in some neighbourhood of 1 in  $I$ ,  $\alpha''(t) > 0$ . Differentiating the formula  $\alpha \circ \beta = id$  twice, we get  $\alpha''(\beta) \cdot (\beta')^2 + \alpha'(\beta) \cdot \beta'' = 0$ . Therefore there is a sufficiently large number  $N_0$  such that for any  $y \geq N_0$ ,  $\beta''(y) < 0$ .

Let  $y_n = y_0 + n$ . When  $y_0$  satisfies the condition 1), then for any sufficiently large number  $n$ ,  $\varphi'(\beta(y_n)) = f'(y_n) \cdot \beta'(\tilde{f}(y_n)) / \beta'(y_n) \leq \tilde{f}'(y_0) < 1$  (for,  $\beta''(y) < 0$ , hence  $\beta'(\tilde{f}(y_n)) / \beta'(y_n) \leq 1$ ).

Hence  $\varphi'(\beta(y_n))$  cannot converge to 1. But  $\beta(y_n)$  converge to 1. These lead to a contradiction. Analogously, when  $y_0$  satisfies the condition 2),  $\varphi'(\beta(y_n)) \geq \tilde{f}'(y_0) > 1$ . Q. E. D.

Let  $\text{LDiff}_T(\mathcal{F}_R)$  denote the space of leaf preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S^3$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_R$  such that in some tubular neighbourhood  $N$  of  $T = \partial X$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f|N} & S^3 - \{t=0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{f|T} & T, \end{array}$$

where  $\{t=0\}$  is the axes of two solid tori diffeomorphic to two copies of the circle  $S^1$ , and the vertical arrows are projections which are expressed in each component as  $(t, x, y) \rightarrow (x, y)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The inclusion map*

$$LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R) \longrightarrow LDiff(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$$

*is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof* Let  $K$  be any compact set and let  $\Psi : K \longrightarrow LDiff(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  be a continuous map. We will make a homotopy  $\Psi_t$  such that  $\Psi_0 = \Psi$ ,  $\Psi_t(K) \subset LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R)$ . Let  $\chi : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a smooth function such that  $\chi(x) = 0$  for  $x \in [1, 1 - \frac{2}{3}\nu]$ ,  $\chi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [1 - \frac{1}{3}\nu, 1]$ , and  $\chi'(x) > 0$  for  $x \in (1 - \frac{2}{3}\nu, 1 - \frac{1}{3}\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  is a properly chosen positive number.

Let  $\lambda_t : [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  be a family of smooth maps defined by  $\lambda_t(t) = t + \tau \cdot \chi(t) \cdot (1 - t)$  for  $\tau, t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $f \in \text{Im}(\Psi) \subset LDiff(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$ . Define a homotopy  $f_t(t, x, y) = (\beta(f, (\lambda_t(t), x, y) - f, (t, x, y) + \alpha(f_t(t, x, y))))$ ,  $f_t(\lambda_t(t), x, y)$ ,  $f_t(\lambda_t(t), x, y)$ , where  $f_t$ ,  $f_x$ ,  $f$ , are components of  $f$ , i. e.,

$$f(t, x, y) = (f_t(t, x, y), f_x(t, x, y), f(t, x, y)).$$

Choosing  $\nu$  small enough, they define a homotopy  $\Psi_t$ . Q. E. D.

**Remark.**  $LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R)$  and  $LDiff(\mathcal{F}_R)$  are Fréchet manifolds, hence they have homotopy types of CW-complexes ([3], [4], [5], [6]). Therefore the inclusion map is also a homotopy equivalence.

$LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R)$  is included in  $FDiff_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$ , hence the restrictions to  $T$  belong to the identity component  $\text{Diff}_0(T)$  of  $\text{Diff}(T)$ . Let  $r : LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}_0(T)$  be the restriction map, i. e.,  $r(f) = f|_T$ .

**Lemma 3.** *There is an exact sequence :*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow LDiff_{\tau}(\mathcal{F}_R) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Diff}_0(T) \longrightarrow 0,$$

*where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the kernel of  $r$ , and  $r$  is a locally trivial fibration.*

*Proof* First we show  $r$  is surjective. For any  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Diff}_0(T)$ , take a smooth path  $\varphi_s$  from identity to  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Diff}_0(T)$ ,  $\varphi_0 = id_T$ ,  $\varphi_1 = \varphi$ . Consider a vector field defined by  $\frac{\partial \varphi_s}{\partial s}$  on  $T \times I$  in  $S^3 \times I$ .

Take small tubular neighbourhoods  $N_1, N_2$  of  $T \times I$  in  $S^3 \times I$ ,  $N_1 \supset$

$\bar{N}_2$ , extend the vector field on  $S^3 \times I$  so that it vanishes outside  $N_1$ , tangent to the leaves, and that in  $N_2$  it commutes with the differential map of the projection to  $T$ , next, modify the  $s$ -component of the vector field to be the unit vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ . Then integrating the vector field, we obtain an element of  $\text{LDiff}_\tau(\mathcal{F}_R)$  which is mapped to  $\varphi$  by the restriction  $r$ .

Next, define a local section as follows. Let  $\theta \in \text{LDiff}_\tau(\mathcal{F}_R)$ ,  $\bar{\theta} = r(\theta)$ . Note that a neighbourhood of  $\theta$  in  $\text{LDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  is homeomorphic to a neighbourhood of the zero section of the space of smooth sections of  $\tau\mathcal{F}_R$ , the sub-bundle of the tangent bundle consisting of tangent planes of leaves, and that the neighbourhood of  $\bar{\theta}$  in  $\text{Diff}_0(T)$  is homeomorphic to that of  $\tau(T)$ , tangent bundle of the torus, which is canonically embedded in  $\tau\mathcal{F}_R$ . Extend the sections of  $\tau(T)$  to sections of  $\tau\mathcal{F}_R$  so that it commutes with the projection to  $T$  in some tubular neighbourhood of  $T$ .

We can give such extensions simultaneously, hence it gives a continuous local section of  $r$ . Q. E. D.

Let  $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)$  denote the space of diffeomorphisms of the two dimensional sphere  $S^2$ , which fix some neighbourhood of the north hemisphere  $D_+^2$ . Let  $L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2))$  denote the space of differentiable loops in  $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There is a splitting exact sequence :*

$$0 \longrightarrow L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)) \oplus L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \xrightleftharpoons{\rho \oplus \rho} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\rho$  is defined as in the proof of lemma 1 in each component,  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the group of integers.

*Proof* The global section is given for  $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ , by integrating a vector field on  $S^3 \times I$  associated to the standard rotation of  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  times, as in the proof of the surjectivity of  $r$  in lemma 3.

The kernel of this map  $\rho \oplus \rho$  is the space of leaf preserving diffeomorphisms which fix some neighbourhood of  $T$ . The restric-



tion on each leaf may be regarded as an element of  $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)$ . Hence the kernel is homeomorphic to  $L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)) \oplus L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2))$ .  
Q. E. D.

**Lemma 5.** *The inclusion map:*

$$\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2)$$

*is a homotopy equivalence, where  $\text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2)$  denotes the space of diffeomorphisms of  $S^2$  which fix  $D_+^2$ .*

*Proof* Let  $q_t$  be a smooth path in the space of diffeomorphisms of  $S^2$ , such that  $q_0 = \text{id}$ , and  $q_1$  shifts the equator towards the north pole. Define a homotopy  $q_t^* : \text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2)$  by  $q_t^*(f) = q_t^{-1} \circ f \circ q_t$ . Then  $q_1^* : \text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)$  defines a homotopy inverse of the inclusion map.  
Q. E. D.

## §5. Theorem.

**Theorem.** *The space  $\text{FDiff}_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  has the homotopy type of a torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ .*

*Proof* By a result of S. Smale [8],  $\text{Diff}(S^2, D_+^2)$  is contractible. By lemma 5,  $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)$  is also contractible. Therefore  $L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2)) \oplus L^d(\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(S^2, D_+^2))$  is contractible. By lemma 4,  $\mathcal{J}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ . Consider the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration  $r$  in Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \pi_2(\text{Diff}_0(T)) &\longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{LDiff}_T(\mathcal{F}_R)) \\ &\longrightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(T)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\text{LDiff}_T(\mathcal{F}_R)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking in considerations that  $\text{Diff}(T)$  has the homotopy type of a torus [9], and that  $\text{LDiff}_T(\mathcal{F}_R)$  is connected, we can deduce that all the homotopy groups of  $\text{LDiff}_T(\mathcal{F}_R)$  vanish. By lemma 2, all the homotopy groups of  $\text{LDiff}(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  vanish (*i. e.*, contractible). Hence by lemma 1,  $\text{FDiff}_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_R)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

Q. E. D.

**Corollary.** *Let  $(S^3, \mathcal{F}_{R'})$  be the codimension one foliation defined*

as follows. Decompose  $S^3 = S^1 \times D^2 \cup S^1 \times S^1 \times I \cup D^2 \times S^1$ , and introduce the Reeb foliation in two solid tori, and the bundle foliation induced by the submersion onto  $I$  defined by the projection in  $S^1 \times S^1 \times I$ . Then  $\text{FDiff}_0(S^3, \mathcal{F}_{R'})$  has the homotopy type of a torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] J. Cerf, Topologie de certaines espaces de plongements, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 89 (1961), 227—380.
- [2] H. B. Lawson, Codimension-one foliations of spheres, Ann. of Math., 94 (1971), 494—503.
- [3] J. A. Leslie, On a differential structure for the group of diffeomorphisms, Topology, 6 (1967), 263—271.
- [4] J. A. Leslie, Two classes of classical subgroups of  $\text{Diff}(M)$ , J. Diff. Geom., 5 (1971), 427—453.
- [5] J. Milnor, On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 90 (1959), 272—280.
- [6] R. Palais, Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds, Topology, 5 (1966), 1—16.
- [7] G. Reeb, Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées, Act. Sc. et Ind. Hermann, Paris, 1183 (1952), 83—154.
- [8] S. Smale, Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 621—626.
- [9] C. J. Earle & J. Eells, A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory, J. Differential Geometry 3 (1969) 19—43.