On characterizations of general helices for ruled surfaces in the pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 -(Part-I)

By

Mehmet Bektaş

Abstract

T. Ikawa obtained in [5] the following characteristic ordinary differential equation

 $\nabla_X \nabla_X \nabla_X X - K \nabla_X X = 0, \qquad K = k^2 - \tau^2$

for the circular helix which corresponds to the case that the curvatures k and τ of a time-like curve α on the Lorentzian manifold M are constant.

N. Ekmekçi and H. H. Hacısalihoğlu generalized in [4] T. Ikawa's this result, i.e., k and τ are variable, but $\frac{k}{\tau}$ is constant.

In [1] H. Balgetir, M. Bektaş and M. Ergüt obtained a geometric characterization of null Frenet curve with constant ratio of curvature and torsion (called null general helix).

In this paper, making use of method in [1, 4, 5], we obtained characterizations of a curve with respect to the Frenet frame of ruled surfaces in the 3-dimensional pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 .

1. Preliminaries

The pseudo-Galilean geometry is one of the real Cayley-Klein geometries (of projective signature (0, 0, +, -)). The absolute figure of the pseudo-Galilean geometry is an ordered triple $\{w, f, I\}$ where w is the a plane in three-dimensional real projective space $P_3(\mathbf{R})$ (the absolute plane), f is a line in w (the absolute line) and I is the fixed hyperbolic involution of points of f([2]).

A vector X(x, y, z) is said to non isotropic if $x \neq 0$. All unit non-isotropic vectors are of the form (1, y, z). For isotropic vectors x = 0 holds. There are four types of isotropic vectors: space-like $(y^2 - z^2 > 0)$, time-like $(y^2 - z^2 < 0)$ and two types of lightlike $(y = \pm z)$ vectors. A non-lightlike isotropic vector is a unit vector if $y^2 - z^2 = \pm 1$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s). 53B30 Received October 9, 2003

Revised February 17, 2004

A trihedron $(T_o; e_1, e_2, e_3)$ with a proper origin

$$T_o(x_o, y_o, z_o) \sim (1 : x_o : y_o : z_o)$$

is orthonormal in pseudo-Galilean sense iff the vectors e_1 , e_2 , e_3 are of following form: $e_1 = (1, y_1, z_1)$, $e_2 = (0, y_2, z_2)$, $e_3 = (0, \varepsilon z_2, \varepsilon y_2)$ with $y_2^2 - z_2^2 = \delta$, where ε, δ is +1 or -1.

Such trihedron $(T_o; e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is called positively oriented if for its vectors $det(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1$ holds, i.e., if $y_2^2 - z_2^2 = \varepsilon$.

2. Ruled surfaces in the Galilean space

A general equation of a ruled surface G_3^1 is

(2.1)
$$x(u,v) = r(u) + va(u), v \in \mathbf{IR}; r, a \in \mathbf{C}^3,$$

where the curve r does not line in a pseudo-Euclidean plane and is called a directix. The curve r is given by

(2.2)
$$r(u) = (u, y(u), z(u)).$$

This means that the curve r is parametrized by the pseudo-Galilean arc length. Further, the generator vector field is of the form

(2.3)
$$a(u) = (1, a_2(u), a_3(u)).$$

Notice that under the given assumptions all tangent planes of ruled surfaces are isotropic.

According to the absolute figure, we distinguish two types of ruled surfaces in G_3^1 . More about ruled surface in G_3^1 can be found in [3].

Type I: The equation of a ruled surface of type I in G_3^1 is

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} x(u,v) = (u, y(u), z(u)) + v(1, a_2(u), a_3(u)), \\ y, z, a_2, a_3 \in C^3, \quad u \in \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{IR}, \quad v \in \mathbf{IR}. \end{cases}$$

The ruled surfaces of type I are non-conoidal and conoidal surfaces whose directional straight line at infinity is not the absolute line. The striction curve of these surfaces does not lie in a pseudo-Euclidean plane.

The associated trihedron of a ruled surface of type I in G_3^1 is defined by

$$T(u) = a(u), \quad N(u) = \frac{1}{k(u)}a'(u), \quad B(u) = \frac{1}{k(u)}(0, a'_3(u), a'_2(u)).$$

The curvature is given by $k(u) = \sqrt{|a_2'^2 - a_3'^2|}$.

Type II: The equation of ruled surface of type II in G_3^1 is

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} x(u,v) = (0, y(u), z(u)) + v(1, a_2(u), a_3(u)), \\ y, z, a_2, a_3 \in C^3, \quad u \in \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{IR}, \quad v \in \mathbf{IR}, \\ |y'^2 - z'^2| = 1, \quad y'a'_2 - z'a'_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

524

A ruled surface of type II is a surface whose striction curve lies in a pseudo-Euclidean plane.

The associated trihedron of ruled surface of type II in G_3^1 is defined by

$$T(u) = a(u) = (1, a_2(u), a_3(u)),$$

$$N(u) = (0, z'(u), y'(u)),$$

$$B(u) = (0, y'(u), z'(u)),$$

where

$$k(u) = \frac{a_2(u)}{z'(u)}, \qquad \tau(u) = \frac{y''(u)}{z'(u)}.$$

The Frenet's formulas are in type I or type II as follows.

(2.6)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = k(u)N(u),$$
$$\nabla_{T(u)}N(u) = \tau(u)B(u),$$
$$\nabla_{T(u)}B(u) = \tau(u)N(u).$$

The function

$$\tau(u) = \frac{\det(a(u), a'(u), a''(u))}{k^2(u)}$$

is called the torsion of ruled surfaces.

3. The characterizations of curves on ruled surfaces

Definition 3.1. Let α be a curve of a ruled surface of type I or II and $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ be the Frenet frame on ruled surface of type I or II along α . If both k and τ are positive constants along α , then α is called a circular helix with respect to the Frenet frame.

Definition 3.2. Let α be a curve of a ruled surface of type I or II and $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ be the Frenet frame on ruled surface of type I or II along α . A curve α such that

$$\frac{k(u)}{\tau(u)} = \text{const}$$

is called a general helix with respect to Frenet frame.

Theorem 3.1. Let α be a curve of a ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ if and only if

(3.1)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) - K(u)\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = 3k'(u)\nabla_{T(u)}N(u),$$

where $K(u) = \frac{k''(u)}{k(u)} + \tau^2(u)$.

Proof. Suppose that α is general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$. Then from (2.6), we have

(3.2)

$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = (k''(u)+k(u)\tau(u))N(u)+(2k'(u)\tau(u)+k(u)\tau'(u))B(u).$$

Now, since α is general helix with respect to the Frenet Frame

$$\frac{k(u)}{\tau(u)} = \text{const}$$

and this upon the derivation gives rise to

(3.3)
$$k'(u)\tau(u) = k(u)\tau'(u)$$

If we substitute the equations (3.3),

(3.4)
$$N(u) = \frac{1}{k(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} T(u),$$

and

(3.5)
$$B(u) = \frac{1}{\tau(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} N(u)$$

in (3.2), we obtain (3.1).

Conversely let us assume that the equation (3.1) holds. We show that the curve α is a general helix. Differentiating covariantly (3.4) we obtain

(3.6)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}N(u) = -\frac{k'(u)}{k^2(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) + \frac{1}{k(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u)$$

and so

(3.7)
$$\nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} N(u) = \left(-\frac{k'(u)}{k^2(u)} \right)' \nabla_{T(u)} T(u) - 2 \frac{k'(u)}{k^2(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} T(u) + \frac{1}{k(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} T(u).$$

If we use (3.1) in (3.7) and make some calculations, we have

(3.8)
$$\nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} N(u) = \left[\left(-\frac{k'(u)}{k^2(u)} \right)' + \frac{K(u)}{k(u)} \right] \nabla_{T(u)} T(u) - 2 \frac{k'(u)}{k^2(u)} N(u) \\ + \frac{k'(u)\tau(u)}{k(u)} B(u).$$

Also we obtain

(3.9)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}N(u) = \tau^2(u)N(u) + \tau'(u)B(u)$$

since (3.8) and (3.9) are equal, routine calculations show that α is a general helix.

Theorem 3.2. Let α be a curve of ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ if and only if

(3.10)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) - K(u)\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = 3\lambda\tau'(u)\nabla_{T(u)}N(u),$$

where $K(u) = \frac{k''(u)}{k(u)} + \tau^2(u)$ and $\lambda = \frac{k(u)}{\tau(u)} = \text{const.}$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. Let α be a curve of ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a circular helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ if and only if

(3.11)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = \tau^2(u)\nabla_{T(u)}T(u)$$

Proof. From the hypotesis of corollary 3.1 and since α is a circular helix, we can show easily (3.11).

Theorem 3.3. If α be a curve of ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$, then

(3.12)
$$\nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} T(u) - \tilde{K}(u) \nabla_{T(u)} B(u) = 3k'(u) \nabla_{T(u)} N(u)$$

where $\tilde{K}(u) = \frac{k''(u)}{\tau(u)} + k(u)\tau(u)$.

Proof. Suppose that α is a general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$. Then from (3.2) and (3.3)

(3.13)
$$\nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} T(u) = (k''(u) + k(u)\tau^2(u))N(u) + (3k'(u)\tau(u))B(u).$$

If we substitute the equations

(3.14)
$$N(u) = \frac{1}{\tau(u)} \nabla_{T(u)} B(u)$$

and (3.5) in (3.13), we obtain (3.12).

Theorem 3.4. If α be a curve of ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a general helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$, then

(3.15)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) - \tilde{K}(u)\nabla_{T(u)}B(u) = 3\lambda\tau'(u)\nabla_{T(u)}N(u),$$

where
$$\tilde{K}(u) = \frac{k''(u)}{\tau(u)} + k(u)\tau(u)$$
 and $\lambda = \frac{k(u)}{\tau(u)} = \text{const.}$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

527

Mehmet Bektaş

Corollary 3.2. Let α be a curve of ruled surface of type I or II in pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 . α is a circular helix with respect to the Frenet frame $\{T(u), N(u), B(u)\}$ if and only if

(3.16)
$$\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}\nabla_{T(u)}T(u) = k(u)\tau(u)\nabla_{T(u)}B(u).$$

Proof. From the hypotesis of Corollary 3.2 and since α is a circular helix, we can show easily (3.16).

4. Example

In this section we give a example helix of ruled surfaces in 3-dimensional pseudo-Galilean space G_3^1 which it can be parametrized by

$$x(u,v) = \left(u, a \operatorname{sh} \frac{u}{p}, a \operatorname{ch} \frac{u}{p}\right) + v\left(1, \frac{1}{b} \operatorname{ach} \frac{u}{p}, \frac{1}{b} \operatorname{sh} \frac{u}{p}\right)$$

This is a ruled surfaces of type I which is obtained by revolving and simultaneously moving along the axis x with the constant speed a straight line x = bv, y = v, z = a (see [2]). Its curvature and torsion are defined as the following, respectively;

$$k(u) = \frac{1}{p} = \text{const.}, \quad \tau(u) = -\frac{b}{p}\text{const.}$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FIRAT UNIVERSITY 23119 ELAZIĞ, TURKEY e-mail: mbektas@firat.edu.tr

References

- H. Balgetir, M. Bektas and M. Ergüt, On a characterization of null helix, Bull. Inst. Math. Aca. Sinica (1) 29 (2001), 71–78.
- [2] B. Divjak and Z. Milin-Sipus, Minding isometries of ruled surfaces in pseudo-Galilean space, J. Geom. 77 (2003), 35–47.
- [3] _____, Special curves on ruled surfaces in Galilean and pseudo-Galilean Spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. (3) **98** (2003), 203–215.
- [4] N. Ekmekçi and H. H. Hacısalihoglu, On helices of a Lorentzian manifold, Comm. Fac. Sci. Üniv. Ankara Ser. A1 (1996), 45–50.
- [5] T. Ikawa, On curves and submanifolds in an indefinite-Riemannian manifold, Tsukuba J. Math. 9 (1985), 353–371.