A note on automorphisms of prime and semiprime rings

By

Asif ALI and Muhammad YASEN

Abstract

Let f be a centralizing automorphism of a semiprime ring R. Then for all $x \in R$ $(f(x) - x) \in Z(R)$; that is the mapping f - 1 maps R into its centre.

A ring R is said to be prime if aRb = 0 implies that either a = 0 or b = 0; and semiprime if aRa = 0 implies that a = 0. A prime ring is obviously semiprime. We write [x, y] = xy - yx. Then [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y and [x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z. A mapping $f : R \to R$ is said to be commuting if [f(x), x] = 0 and is centralizing if $[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$ (where Z(R) denotes the centre of R) for all $x \in R$. If $f : R \to R$ is additive and commuting, then replacing x by x + y in [f(x), x] = 0 we get [f(x), y] = [x, f(y)] for all $x, y \in R$.

The centralising automorphisms on prime and semiprime rings have been investigated by a number of known researchers like Mayne [6], Luh [4] and Bell [1]. In this paper we have proved a number of useful identities for semiprime rings which then provide alternate proofs to the well known results of Mayne [6] and Luh [4].

We first prove the following theorem, which proves certain identities of independent interest and can be used further to investigate centralizing automorphisms.

Theorem 0.1. Let f be a centralizing automorphism of a semiprime ring R. Then for all $x, y, z \in R$,

(i) f(x)[y, z] = x[y, z]

(ii) [y, z]f(x) = [y, z]x

(iii) $(f(x) - x) \in Z(R)$ for all x; that is the mapping f - 1 maps R into its centre.

Proof.

(i) Since f is centralizing, f is commuting by Lemma 2 of [1]. Further

$$[f(xy), x] = [xy, f(x)] = x[y, f(x)] + [x, f(x)]y = x[y, f(x)] = x[f(y), x]$$

Received June 18, 2003

and therefore

(1)
$$[f(xy), x] = x[f(y), x].$$

Also

$$[f(xy), x] = [f(x)f(y), x] = f(x)[f(y), x] + [f(x), x]f(y) = f(x)[f(y), x]$$
 and so by (1)

$$f(x)[f(y), x] = x[f(y), x].$$

Since f is onto, we get f(x)[y, x] = x[y, x]. This implies that for all $x, y \in R$,

(2)
$$(f(x) - x)[y, x] = 0$$

For an arbitrary element $u \in R$, replacing y by uy in (2), we get

$$(f(x) - x)[uy, x] = (f(x) - x)u[y, x] + (f(x) - x)[u, x]y = 0$$

and thus by (2)

(3)
$$(f(x) - x)u[y, x] = 0.$$

Replacing x by x + z in (2), we get

$$(f(x+z) - (x+z))[y, x+z] = 0$$

 \mathbf{or}

(4)
$$(f(x) - x)[y, x] + (f(x) - x)[y, z] + (f(z) - z)[y, x] + (f(z) - z)[y, z] = 0.$$

Using (2) (4), we get

$$(f(x) - x)[y, z] + (f(z) - z)[y, x] = 0$$

and hence

$$(f(x) - x)[y, z] = -(f(z) - z)[y, x].$$

Now for arbitrary $v \in R$, we have

$$(f(x) - x)[y, z]v(f(x) - x)[y, z] = (f(x) - x)[y, z]v(f(z) - z)[y, x] = 0,$$

by using equation (3). Since R is semiprime, we get

$$(f(x) - x)[y, z] = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in R$.

(ii) Replacing y by uy in (i), we get

(5)
$$(f(x) - x)u[y, z] = 0.$$

244

This implies that

$$[y, z](f(x) - x)u[y, z](f(x) - x) = 0$$

and since R is semiprime, we get

$$[y, z](f(x) - x) = 0.$$

(iii) From (i) and (ii) we get

$$(f(x) - x)[y, z] = [y, z](f(x) - x)$$

for all $x, y, z \in R$. Hence by Lemma 1.1.8 of Herstein [3], we have for all $x \in R$, $(f(x) - x) \in Z(R)$.

The well known result of Mayne [6] and Luh [4] follows as a corollary of the above Theorem.

Corollary 0.2. Let R be a prime ring and f be a non-trivial centralizing automorphism on R. Then R is a commutative.

Proof. Since f is non-trivial, there exists an $a \in R$ such that $f(a) \neq a$. From (i) of Theorem 0.1 we have (f(a) - a)[y, z] = 0. Replacing y by uy, we again get (5) and hence

$$(f(a) - a)R[y, z] = 0$$

for all $y, z \in R$. Since R is prime and $(f(a) - a) \neq 0$, we have [y, z] = 0 and therefore R is commutative.

Laradji and Thaheem [5] introduced the notion of a dependent element of an automorphism as an algebraic generalization of the concept given by Choda, Kasanara and Nakamoto [2] for C^* - algebras and showed that any dependent element of a centralizing mapping on a semiprime ring is central. The proof of Laradji and Thaheem is quite complicated. We provide a short proof of this result as a simple corollary of Theorem 0.1. But first we need the following definition and lemma.

Definition 0.3. If f is a self map of a ring R and if $a \in R$ is such that f(x)a = ax for all x then a is said to be a dependent element of f. We denote by D(f) the set of all dependent elements of f.

Following is a short proof of the result given by Laradji and Thaheem in [5].

Theorem 0.4. Let R be a semiprime ring and f be a centralizing automorphism of R. Then $D(f) \subseteq Z(R)$.

Proof. Since f is centralizing, we have that f is commuting by [1]. Now if $a \in D(f)$ then

$$(f(x) - x)a = f(x)a - xa = ax - xa = [a, x].$$

By Theorem 0.1,

$$a(f(x) - x)[y, z] = [y, z](f(x) - x)a = 0.$$

Since $f(x) - x \in Z(R)$, we have

$$(f(x) - x)a[y, z] = [y, z](f(x) - x)a = 0$$

or

$$[a, x][y, z] = [y, z][a, x] = 0,$$

for all $y, z \in R$. Thus $[a, x] \in Z(R)$ by Lemma 1.1.8 of Herstein [3]. Hence $[a, x][a, x] = ([a, x])^2 = 0$. Since 0 is the only nilpotent central element of R, we get [a, x] = 0 for all x. This implies that $a \in Z(R)$ and hence

$$D(f) \subseteq Z(R).$$

Corollary 0.5. If f is a centralizing inner automorphism of a semiprime ring R, then f is the identity map.

Proof. Let a be an invertible element of R. Assume that $f(x) = axa^{-1}$, for all $x \in R$. Then f(x)a = ax. Therefore a is a dependent element of f and hence by Theorem 0.4 $a \in Z(R)$. It follows that f(x) = x for all $x \in R$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSITY ISLAMABAD 45320, PAKISTAN e-mail: dr_asif_ali@hotmail.com

References

- H. E. Bell and W. S. Martindale, *Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **30** (1987), 92–101.
- [2] M. Choda, I. Kasahara and R. Nakamoto, Dependent elements of an automorphism of a C^{*}-algebra, Proc. Japan. Acad. 48 (1972), 561–565.
- [3] I. N. Herstein, Rings with involution, The university of Chicago Press, 1976.
- [4] J. Luh, A note on commuting automorphisms of rings, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 61–62.
- [5] A. Laradji and A. B. Thaheem, On dependent elements in semiprime rings, Math. Japonica 74 (1998), 29–31.
- [6] J. Mayne, Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1) (1976), 113–115.

246