J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (JMKYAZ) 46-3 (2006), 525–533

# Relative isoperimetric inequality on a curved surface

By

Keomkyo Seo\*

### Abstract

Let C be a closed convex set on a complete simply connected surface S whose Gaussian curvature is bounded above by a nonpositive constant K. For a relatively compact subset  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$ , we obtain the sharp relative isoperimeric inequality  $2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^2$ . And we also have a similar partial result with positive Gaussian curvature bound.

### 1. Introduction

The classical isoperimetric inequality says that  $4\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega)^2$ for a compact subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a disk. Many mathematicians have generalized this inequality. For example, the following isoperimetric inequality is well-known. For a domain  $\Omega$  in a complete surface S of Gaussian curvature bounded above by a constant K,

(1.1) 
$$4\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega)^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic disk of constant Gaussian curvature K ([1], [2], [3], [5], [9], [11], see [10] for more references.).

Now we consider the relative isoperimetric problem. It is to find an isoperimetric region outside a closed convex set C in a Euclidean space or in a Riemannian manifold M. We study this problem in a smooth category. On that account we assume  $\partial C$  and  $\partial \Omega$  are smooth for a closed convex set C and a subset  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$ . One may then ask if the relative isoperimetric inequality similar to (1.1) holds. That is, given a complete simply connected surface S of Gaussian curvature bounded above by a constant K, a closed convex set C in S, and a relatively compact subset  $\Omega$  of  $S \sim C$ , does the inequality

(1.2) 
$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^2$$

Received January 6, 2005 Revised July 18, 2006

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by KOSEF R01-2000-000-00007-0.

hold? And does equality hold if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk with constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle?

Choe ([6]) proved (1.2) for a disk type domain  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$  with nonpositive Gaussian curvature, i.e. K = 0. In this article we will show that the inequality (1.2) holds for a relatively compact subset  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$  of Gaussian curvature bounded above by a nonpositive constant K. And we also prove a similar partial result with positive Gaussian curvature bound.

The author would like to thank Professor J. Choe for bringing this problem to his attention.

## 2. The case of constant Gaussian curvature

In this section we prove the relative isoperiemtric inequality on a complete simply connected surface with constant Gaussian curvature K, for completeness. First we consider the case of  $K \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let C be a closed convex set in a complete simply connected surface S with constant Gaussian curvature  $K \leq 0$ . Then, for a relatively compact subset  $\Omega$  in  $S \sim C$  we have

(2.1) 
$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2,$$

where equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

*Proof.* If each connected component D of  $\Omega$  is not a disk topologically, we fill it to get a disk type domain  $\hat{D} \subset S \sim C$ , using the simple connectivity of S. One can easily see that  $\operatorname{Area}(D) \leq \operatorname{Area}(\hat{D})$  and  $\operatorname{Length}(\partial \hat{D}_i \sim \partial C) \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial D \sim \partial C)$ . Once we have the inequality (2.1) for  $\hat{D}$ , we can prove (2.1) for a relatively compact subset  $D \subset S \sim C$ , since we have

(2.2)  

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(D) - K\operatorname{Area}(D)^{2} \leq 2\pi \operatorname{Area}(D) - K\operatorname{Area}(D)^{2}$$

$$\leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial D \sim \partial C)^{2}$$

$$\leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial D \sim \partial C)^{2}.$$

And if D is an annular domain surrounding C, then  $D \cup C$  satisfies the isoperimetric inequality (1.1) which automatically satisfies (2.1). Hence it is enough to show that the inequality (2.1) holds for a domain  $\Omega$  which is a disjoint union of disk type D's. We assume that each D is a disk type domain. For each  $D \subset S \sim C$ , we obtain a new domain  $\tilde{D} \subset S$  by reflecting the convex hull of D about its geodesic boundary inside C. Let

 $\tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup (\tilde{D} \cup \text{the convex hull of } D).$ 

Then from the classical isoperimetric inequality for a constantly curved surface  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , we have

(2.3) 
$$4\pi \operatorname{Area}(\tilde{\Omega}) - K\operatorname{Area}(\tilde{\Omega})^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \overline{\tilde{\Omega}})^2.$$

Furthermore we know

$$2\operatorname{Area}(\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Area}(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ and } 2\operatorname{Length}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C) \geq \operatorname{Length}(\partial\overline{\Omega}).$$

Applying these equalities to (2.3), we can see that a domain  $\Omega$  which is a disjoint union of disk type D satisfies the inequality (2.1). Equality occurs if and only if  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies equality in (2.3). Hence  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle. Therefore we obtain the above theorem.  $\Box$ 

For K > 0, the proof of Theorem 2.1 doesn't work because the inequality (2.2) doesn't hold in this case. However, with more assumptions, we have a similar partial result as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let C be a closed convex set in a two dimensional sphere  $S^2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$  with constant Gaussian curvature K > 0. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a disk type domain in  $S^2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}) \sim C$  and  $\Omega$  is contained in a hemisphere. Then we have

 $2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2,$ 

where equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

*Proof.* Use the reflection arguments as in the proof of the Theorem 2.1.

# 3. The case of Gaussian curvature bounded above by a nonpositive constant

In 1933, Beckenbach and Radó [4] gave a proof of (1.1) by using subharmonic functions. This method was employed by Choe [6] to prove the relative isoperimetric inequality (1.2) holds for K = 0. We also apply this method to prove the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let C be a closed convex set in a complete simply connected surface S with Gaussian curvature  $K_S$  bounded above by a nonpositive constant K. Then, for a relatively compact subset  $\Omega$  in  $S \sim C$  we have

(3.1)  $2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial\Omega \sim \partial C)^2$ 

and equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk with constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

*Proof.* As the same arguments of proof of Theorem 2.1, it is enough to show that the inequality (3.1) holds for a disk type  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$ . And we may assume that  $\partial\Omega$  meets  $\partial C$  perpendicularly, otherwise for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we approximate  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  satisfying that  $\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  meets  $\partial C$  perpendicularly, Area $(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \to \text{Area}(\Omega)$  and Length $(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \to \text{Length}(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . (In fact, we only approximate  $\Omega$  with  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  in an  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B(p, \varepsilon)$  for each point  $p \in \partial(\partial\Omega \cap \partial C)$ .)

П

Now let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a half disk with the diameter  $C_1 = \{(x,y) : x = 0, -1 \leq y \leq 1\}$  and the semicircle  $C_2 = \{(x,y) : x \geq 0, x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  such that  $\partial D = C_1 \cup C_2$ . We consider the isothermal coordinates (x,y) of  $\Omega$  with a conformal map  $\varphi : D \to \Omega$  such that  $\varphi(C_1) = \partial \Omega \cap \partial C$ . Then the metric of  $\Omega$  is  $g = e^{2f}(dx^2 + dy^2)$  for some smooth function f on  $\Omega$ . Note that the Gaussian curvature  $K_{\Omega} = -e^{-2f}\Delta f \leq K$  by assumption.

Let h be the solution of the mixed boundary value problem on D satisfying

$$\Delta h + Ke^{2h} = 0 \quad \text{in the interior of D}$$
$$h = f \quad \text{on} \quad C_2$$
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_1,$$

where  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal to  $C_1$ . We know the existence and regularity of the solution h of the above problem [7]. The convexity of C implies

(3.2) 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_1.$$

(See [6] for the proof.) It should be mentioned that the inequality (3.2) does not depend on the Gaussian curvature of the surface  $\Omega$ .

Thus by invoking the maximum principle, we obtain

$$(3.3) h \ge f \quad \text{on} \quad D.$$

Let  $\tilde{D}$  be D equipped with the metric  $g = e^{2h}(dx^2 + dy^2)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{C}_1$ and  $\tilde{C}_2$  the parts of  $\partial \tilde{D}$  corresponding to  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , respectively. Hence by the above inequality (3.3),

$$\operatorname{Area}(\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Area}(\tilde{D}).$$

And Length $(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C) = \text{Length}(\tilde{C}_2)$ . On the other hands, by Theorem 2.1,

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\tilde{D}) - K\operatorname{Area}(\tilde{D})^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\tilde{C}_2)^2$$

Using the above relations and the assumption  $K \leq 0$ , we have

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) \le 2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\tilde{D}) \le \operatorname{Length}(\tilde{C}_2)^2 + K \operatorname{Area}(\tilde{D})^2$$
$$\le \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2 + K \operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2$$

To have equality in (3.1), we notice that h = f on D. In other words, D has constant Gaussian curvature K. Hence by Theorem 2.1, equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk with the constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

## 4. The case of Gaussian curvature bounded above by positive constant

For a nonnegatively curved surface S, we cannot get the inequality (1.2) in general. For example, we consider a flat cylinder  $S^1 \times [0, \infty)$  glued with a

lower hemisphere  $S^2_{-}$  along its boundary  $S^1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If we take  $S^2_{-}$  as a convex set C and  $\Omega = S^1 \times [0, t]$  for t > 0 satisfying  $\Omega \cap C = S^1$ . Then it is easy to see that Gauss curvature K = 1 but (1.2) does not hold. However, under additional conditions, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let C be a closed convex set in a complete simply connected surface S with its Gaussian curvature  $K_S$  bounded above by a positive constant K. Suppose that for a disk type domain  $\Omega \subset S \sim C$ . Then we have

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) - K\operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2 \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2$$

and equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk with constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

*Proof.* We will use Bandle's method ([3]).

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we consider the isothermal coordinate of  $\Omega$  with a conformal map  $\varphi : D \to \Omega$  such that  $\varphi(C_1)$  is contained in  $\partial C$ . The curvature assumption is

$$K_S = -e^{-2f}\Delta f \le K.$$

Thus we have

$$\Delta f + Ke^{2f} \ge 0.$$

Put k = f - h, where h is the solution of the mixed boundary value problem on D:

(4.1) 
$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h &= 0 \quad \text{in the interior of} \quad D \\ h &= f \quad \text{on} \quad C_2 \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_1. \end{aligned}$$

We know the existence and smoothness of the solution h of the above problem ([7]). Then by the definition of k and (3.2) we get

(4.2) 
$$\Delta k + K e^{2k} e^{2h} \ge 0 \quad \text{in the interior of} \quad D$$
$$k = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_2$$

(4.3) 
$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} \le 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_1$$

Now let  $\overline{D}$  be D equipped with the metric  $ds^2 = e^{2h}(dx^2 + dy^2)$ . Recall that  $\overline{D}$  is flat by (4.1).

Let  $D(t) = \{x \in D : k(x) > t\}$ . Define

$$t_1 = \inf_{x \in D} k(x), \ t_2 = \sup_{x \in D} k(x), \ a(t) = \int_{D(t)} e^{2h} dv, \ \text{and} \ A = \int_D e^{2h} dv \ ,$$

where dv is an area form in D.

Notice that a(t) is a decreasing function with  $a(t_1) = A$  and  $a(t_2) = 0$ . Since k is a smooth function and  $e^{2h}$  is a positive function, we have the function t(a) which is the inverse function of a(t). Then we define

$$H(a) = K \int_{D(t(a))} e^{2f} dv = K \int_0^a e^{2t(l)} dl.$$

By the co-area formula for  $D(t) \subset D$ ,

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} \frac{e^{2h}}{|\nabla k|} ds \quad \text{for almost all} \quad t > t_1.$$

Applying the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

(4.4) 
$$\left(\int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} e^h ds\right)^2 \leq \int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} \frac{e^{2h}}{|\nabla k|} ds \int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} |\nabla k| ds.$$

By (4) and (4.4) we have

(4.5) 
$$-\frac{da}{dt} \ge \frac{(\int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} e^h ds)^2}{\int_{\partial D(t)\sim C_1} |\nabla k| ds}.$$

Now we use the divergence theorem to get

$$\int_{D(t)} \Delta k dv = \int_{\partial D(t)} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} ds = \int_{C_1(t)} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} ds + \int_{C_2(t)} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} ds \le \int_{C_2(t)} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} ds,$$

where  $C_1(t) = C_1 \cap \partial D(t)$ ,  $C_2(t) = \partial D(t) \sim C_1(t)$  and  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal to  $\partial D(t)$ . In the last inequality we applied the inequality (4.3). Note that  $\frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} = \langle \nabla k, \nu \rangle$  and  $\nu = \frac{-\nabla k}{|\nabla k|}$  on  $\partial D(t)$ . Therefore,

$$(4.6) \qquad \int_{D(t)} \Delta k dv \leq \int_{C_2(t)} \frac{\partial k}{\partial \nu} ds = -\int_{C_2(t)} |\nabla k| ds,$$
$$\int_{\partial D(t) \sim \partial C} |\nabla k| ds = \int_{C_2(t)} |\nabla k| ds$$
$$\leq -\int_{D(t)} \Delta k dv \leq H(a(t)) \text{ using } (4.2).$$

Furthermore we know the classical relative isoperimetric inequality on a complete simply connected flat surface  $S_o$ , i.e., for any domain  $\varphi(D(t)) \subset S_o$ ,

(4.7) 
$$2\pi \int_{D(t)} e^{2h} \le \left( \int_{\partial D(t) \sim \partial C_1} e^h ds \right)^2$$

By the inequalities (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7),

(4.8) 
$$-\frac{da}{dt} \ge \frac{2\pi a}{H(a)} \quad \text{for} \quad t_1 < t < t_2.$$

Note that in the above equalities, t(a) is locally Lipschitz on  $(0, a(t_1))([3],$ Lemma 4). Therefore H(a) is differentiable for almost all a in  $(0, a(t_1))$ . Since

$$\frac{dH(a)}{da} = H'(a) = \frac{d}{da} \left( K \int_{D(t(a))} e^{2f} dv \right) = \frac{d}{da} \left( K \int_0^a e^{2t(l)} dl \right) = K e^{2t(a)}$$
  
and  $H''(a) = 2K e^{2t(a)} \frac{dt}{da} \le 0$ ,

we get  $\frac{H'}{H''} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{da}{dt}$ . Thus by (4.8),

$$H'H \ge -\pi a H''.$$

In other words

$$\left(aH'(a) - H(a) + \frac{H^2(a)}{2\pi}\right)' \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < a < a(t_1).$$

We integrate above inequality between a = 0 and a = a(0) to give

$$a(0)H'(a(0)) - H(a(0)) + \frac{H^2(a(0))}{2\pi} + H(0) - \frac{H^2(0)}{2\pi} \ge 0.$$

Moreover we have H'(a(0)) = K and H(0) = 0. Therefore,

$$a(0) - M_0 + \frac{KM_0^2}{2\pi} \ge 0$$
, where  $M_0 = \frac{H(a(0))}{K}$ .

On the other hands, in the domain  $D \sim D(0)$  where k is nonpositive we have the following inequality:

$$\int_{D \sim D(0)} e^{2h} dv \ge \int_{D \sim D(0)} e^{2f} dv$$

i.e.,

$$A-a(0)\geq M-M_0,$$
 where  $M=\int_D e^{2f}dv={\rm Area}(\Omega)$  and we recall  $A=\int_D e^{2h}dv={\rm Area}(\overline{D}).$  We obtain

$$A - M + \frac{KM^2}{2\pi} \ge 0.$$

Hence

$$2\pi A - 2\pi M + KM^2 \ge 0.$$

And by the relative isoperimetric inequality in flat surfaces, we obtain

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\bar{D}) \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2.$$

Thus we have

$$2\pi \operatorname{Area}(\Omega) \leq \operatorname{Length}(\partial \Omega \sim \partial C)^2 + K \operatorname{Area}(\Omega)^2.$$

Equality occurs only if k satisfies equality in (4.2). It follows that  $\Delta f + Ke^{2f} = 0$ . Hence  $\Omega$  has constant Gaussian curvature K. By Theorem 2.2, equality holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is a geodesic half disk with constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial \Omega \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

### 5. Remarks

Howard ([8]) proved the Sobolev inequality

(5.1) 
$$4\pi \int_{S} f^{2} + \left(\int_{S} |f|^{2} dA\right)^{2} \leq \left(\int_{S} \|\nabla f\| dA\right)^{2},$$

where S is a complete simply connected surface with Gaussian curvature  $K_S \leq -1$  and f is a compactly supported function of bounded variation on S. By the coarea formula ([8]) for functions of bounded variation on a surface S, the last term of (5.1) can be written as follows,

$$\int_{S} \|\nabla f\| dA = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{1}(\partial \{x \in S : |f(x)| \ge t\}) dt,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^1$  is the one dimensional Hausdorff measure. We say that a function f on  $S \sim C$  has a relatively compact support if the support of f is a compact subset in the relative topology on  $S \sim C$ . Using Howard's argument ([8]), we get the relative Sobolev inequality corresponding to the relative isoperimetric inequality (3.1) as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let S be a complete two dimensional simply connected Riemannian manifold with Gaussian curvature  $K_S \leq K \leq 0$ , and C its closed convex subset. Then

$$2\pi \int_{S \sim C} f^2 dA - K \left( \int_{S \sim C} |f| dA \right)^2 \le \left( \int_{S \sim C} \|\nabla f\| dA \right)^2$$

for every relatively compactly supported function f of bounded variation on  $S \sim C$ . Equality holds if and only if up to a set of measure zero, f is  $c\chi_D$  where c is a constant and D is a geodesic half disk with constant Gaussian curvature K and  $\partial D \sim \partial C$  is a geodesic semicircle.

Howard showed the inequality (5.1) for a compactly supported function f of bounded variation on S, but in our Theorem 5.1, the function f may not vanish on  $\partial C$ . It is sufficient that f is compactly supported in the relative topology on  $S \sim C$  for a closed convex set  $C \subset S$ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY SAN56-1 SHINRIM-DONG KWANAK-GU SEOUL 151-747, KOREA e-mail: heretin@math.snu.ac.kr

### References

- A. D. Aleksandrov, Isoperimetric inequalities for curved surfaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR. 47 (1945), 235–238.
- [2] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, J. Differential Geom. 11 (1976), 573–598.
- [3] C. Bandle, On a differential inequality and its applications to geometry, Math. Z. 147 (1976), 253–261.
- [4] E. Beckenbach and T. Radó, Subharmonic functions and surfaces of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1933), 662–674.
- [6] J. Choe, Relative isoperimetric inequality for domains outside a convex set, Archives Inequalities Appl. 1 (2003), 241–250.
- [7] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [8] R. Howard, The sharp Sobolev inequality and the Banchoff-Pohl inequality on surfaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 2779–2787.
- [9] H. Karcher, Auwendungen der Alexandrowschen Winkelvergleichssätze, Manuscripta Math. 2 (1970), 77–102.
- [10] R. Osserman, The isoperimetric inequality, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1978), 1182–1238.
- [11] E. Schmidt, Über eine neue Methode zur Behandlung einer Klasse isoperimetricher Aufgaben im Grossen, Math. Z. 47 (1942), 489–642.