# Scaling functions generating fractional Hilbert transforms of a wavelet function 

By Ryuichi Ashino, Takeshi Mandai and Akira Morimoto

(Received Dec. 8, 2013)


#### Abstract

It is well-known that an orthonormal scaling function generates an orthonormal wavelet function in the theory of multiresolution analysis. We consider two families of unitary operators. One is a family of extensions of the Hilbert transform called fractional Hilbert transforms. The other is a new family of operators which are a kind of modified translation operators. A fractional Hilbert transform of a given orthonormal wavelet (resp. scaling) function is also an orthonormal wavelet (resp. scaling) function, although a fractional Hilbert transform of a scaling function has bad localization in many cases. We show that a modified translation of a scaling function is also a scaling function, and it generates a fractional Hilbert transform of the corresponding wavelet function. We also show a good localization property of the modified translation operators. The modified translation operators act on the Meyer scaling functions as the ordinary translation operators. We give a class of scaling functions, on which the modified translation operators act as the ordinary translation operators.


## 1. Introduction.

This article is concerned with an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, called orthonormal wavelets, where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. We denote the inner product of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by $\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x$ and the norm by $\|f\|:=\sqrt{\langle f, f\rangle}$. Let us define two unitary operators in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
T_{b}: \text { Translation operator, } b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad\left(T_{b} f\right)(x):=f(x-b),
$$

$$
D_{a}: \text { Dilation operator, } a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad\left(D_{a} f\right)(x):=a^{-1 / 2} f(x / a),
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}$(resp. $\mathbb{R}_{-}$) denotes the set of positive (resp. negative) real numbers. For $\psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the set of integers, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j, k}(x)=\left(D_{2^{-j}} T_{k} \psi\right)(x)=2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-k\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\{\psi_{j, k}\right\}_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ constitutes an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\psi$ is called an orthonormal wavelet function, and $\psi_{j, k}, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are called orthonormal wavelets. In order to

[^0]construct an orthonormal wavelet function, a system of subspaces called a multiresolution approximation or a multiresolution analysis (MRA) ([8], [12]) is used, where an orthonormal scaling function $\phi$ plays an important role. An orthonormal wavelet function $\psi$ is constructed from an orthonormal scaling function $\phi$. Then, we say $\psi$ is associated with $\phi$. Scaling functions are important not only for the construction of wavelet functions, but also for step-wise decomposition and reconstruction of functions, based on the orthonormal basis $\left\{\psi_{j, k}\right\}_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$.

In many applications of wavelets, Hilbert pairs $(\psi, \mathcal{H} \psi)$ of wavelet functions play important roles, where $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is the Hilbert transform ([10], [5] and so on) of $\psi$ defined as follows. Let $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ be the Fourier transform of $f$ :

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi)=(f)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\mathcal{F}[f](\xi):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i \xi x} d x
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{F}: f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ can be considered to be a bounded operator from $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ onto $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H} f$ of $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{H} f)^{\wedge}(\xi)=-i(\operatorname{sgn} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{sgn} \xi= \begin{cases}1, & \xi>0 \\ -1, & \xi<0\end{cases}
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a unitary operator which commutes with translations and dilations, if $\psi$ is an orthonormal wavelet function, then $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is also an orthonormal wavelet function. The problem is what is the scaling function with which $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is associated. Let $\psi$ be the orthonormal wavelet function associated with a scaling function $\phi$. Although $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is the orthonormal wavelet function associated with the scaling function $\mathcal{H} \phi$, the scaling function $\mathcal{H} \phi$ is usually very bad function as for the localization, while the wavelet function $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is not. When $\psi$ is a so-called (Lemarié-)Meyer wavelet, Toda and Zhang [15], [16] pointed out that $\mathcal{H} \psi$ is the orthonormal wavelet function associated with the scaling function $T_{1 / 2} \phi$. This seems very unexpected and attractive.

In this article, we consider two families of translation-invariant unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}(c \in \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is a fractional Hilbert transform $([\mathbf{1 1}],[\mathbf{5}])$ with $\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2}=\mathcal{H}$, and $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ is a newly defined operator, a kind of modified translation operator. Let $\phi$ be an arbitrary orthonormal scaling function, and $\psi$ be the wavelet function associated with $\phi$. For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we prove that $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is also an orthonormal scaling function, and that $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is the wavelet function associated with the scaling function $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$. Further, we can easily show that $T_{c}^{\dagger} f=T_{c} f$ if supp $\widehat{f} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$. These clarify the remarkable situation explained above, since supp $\widehat{\phi} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$ for Meyer scaling functions. We also prove that $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ has a good localization property under vanishing moments condition. A part of the results was announced without proofs in [3].

In the next two sections, we give a short sketch of a theory of orthonormal wavelets. In Section 4, we explain the Hilbert transform and our problem. In Section 5, we define
two families of translation-invariant unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}(c \in \mathbb{R})$. In Section 6, the main results are given, that is, answers to our problem. In Section 7, good properties of $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ are given. Proofs of the results in these two sections are given in Section 8. As an extension of Meyer scaling functions, a family of scaling functions satisfying the condition $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$ is given in the final section.

## 2. Orthonormal wavelets.

If $\left\{\psi_{j, k}\right\}_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\psi$ is called an orthonormal wavelet function ( $[\mathbf{9}],[\mathbf{1 7}]$ and so on), which is referred to as a wavelet function for short in this article. As important examples, we give the Shannon wavelet and the Meyer wavelets.

## Example 2.1. (1) The Shannon wavelet:

$$
\psi(x)=2 \operatorname{sinc}(2 x)-\operatorname{sinc} x
$$

where $\operatorname{sinc} x:=\sin \pi x /(\pi x)$, is a wavelet function called the Shannon wavelet. In this case, $\psi(x-1 / 2)$ is also a wavelet function, and it is sometimes called the Shannon wavelet instead of $\psi(x)$. The Fourier transform of $\psi$ has a simple form.

$$
\widehat{\psi}(\xi)= \begin{cases}1, & \pi<|\xi|<2 \pi \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This $\psi(x)$ is an entire function, but has a bad localization. In fact, $\psi \notin L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
(2) The Meyer wavelets: These wavelet functions belong to the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}$ (called the space of testing functions of rapid descent in [18]), that is, these are of $C^{\infty}$ class and all the derivatives are rapidly decreasing. It is known that there is no orthonormal wavelet function $\psi$ with exponential decay such that $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and all the derivatives are bounded ([8, Corollary 5.5.3]). Hence, the Meyer wavelets have a good balance between the smoothness and the localization as wavelet functions.

We explain the Meyer wavelets more precisely. Take a real-valued function $b(\xi)$ of $C^{\infty}$ class as


Figure 1. $\quad b(\xi)=|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|$ for a Meyer wavelet.

$$
\begin{gathered}
b(\xi) \geq 0, \quad b(-\xi)=b(\xi), \\
\operatorname{supp} b \subset\left[-\frac{8}{3} \pi,-\frac{2}{3} \pi\right] \cup\left[\frac{2}{3} \pi, \frac{8}{3} \pi\right] \\
b(\pi+\xi)=b(2(\pi-\xi)) \quad \text { for }|\xi| \leq \frac{\pi}{3} \\
b(\pi+\xi)^{2}+b(\pi-\xi)^{2}=1 \quad \text { for }|\xi| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

and define $\psi$ by $\widehat{\psi}(\xi):=b(\xi) e^{-i \xi / 2}$ (Figure 1). Sometimes, we take $b(\xi)$ not necessarily of $C^{\infty}$ class, but only sufficiently smooth (for example [8], [12]).

## 3. MRA.

In order to construct orthonormal wavelet functions systematically, a concept called multiresolution analysis (MRA) was developed.

Definition 3.1. If $V_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, are closed linear subspaces of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following conditions (i)-(v), then the sequence $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called a multiresolution analysis (MRA).
(i) $V_{j} \subset V_{j+1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) $f \in V_{j} \Longleftrightarrow f(2 \cdot) \in V_{j+1}$.
(iii) $\cap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{j}=\{0\}$.
(iv) $\overline{U_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{j}}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
(v) there exists a function $\phi \in V_{0}$ such that $\{\phi(\cdot-k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $V_{0}$.

The function $\phi$ is very important and called an orthonormal scaling function, which is referred to as a scaling function for short in this article. In this article, we do not assume any further conditions to $\phi$, unless otherwise specified. In particular, it can be that $\phi \notin L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and the familiar condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) d x=1$ or $\widehat{\phi}(0)=1$ is not assumed.

If $\phi$ is a scaling function, then there exists a unique $2 \pi$-periodic function $m_{0}(\xi) \in$ $L_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi) \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R} .
$$

This equation is called the two scale equation, and $m_{0}(\xi)$ is called the low-pass filter associated with $\phi$. The low-pass filter $m_{0}(\xi)$ is uniquely determined from $\phi$, for example by $m_{0}(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(2 \xi+4 \pi k) \overline{\hat{\phi}(\xi+2 \pi k)}$.

It is well-known that from $\phi$ we can construct a wavelet function as follows. (See, for example, $[\mathbf{9}],[\mathbf{1 7}]$.)

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi$ be a scaling function and $m_{0}$ be the low-pass filter. Let $\nu \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic function such that $|\nu(\xi)|=1$ a.e. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi} \overline{m_{0}(\xi+\pi)} \nu(2 \xi) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define $\psi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=m_{1}(\xi / 2) \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\psi$ is a wavelet function.
The $2 \pi$-periodic function $m_{1}$ is called the high-pass filter. These $m_{1}$ and $\psi$ are said to be associated with $\phi$. There are many choices of $\nu$. In this article, if we take $\nu(\xi)=1$, then we say that $m_{1}$ and $\psi$ are naturally associated with $\phi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi / 2} \overline{m_{0}(\xi / 2+\pi)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.3. (1) (Shannon) Let $\phi(x)=\operatorname{sinc} x$. Note that $\widehat{\phi}(\xi)=\chi_{[-\pi, \pi]}(\xi)$. In this case,

$$
V_{j}:=\left\{f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset\left[-2^{j} \pi, 2^{j} \pi\right]\right\}
$$

We have $m_{0}(\xi)=\chi_{[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]}(\xi)$ for $|\xi| \leq \pi$, that is, $m_{0}(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]}(\xi+$ $2 k \pi)=\chi_{S}(\xi)$, where $S=\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}[-\pi / 2+2 k \pi, \pi / 2+2 k \pi]$. In this case, the naturally associated wavelet function is $\psi(x-1 / 2)$ in Example 2.1 (1). In Shannon's case, by taking a suitable $\nu(\xi)$, we can omit the factor $e^{-i \xi}$ in the definition of $m_{1}(\xi)$, and can take $m_{1}(\xi)=m_{0}(\xi+\pi)$, which is real-valued. This leads to the Shannon wavelet function $\psi(x)$ in Example 2.1 (1).
(2) (Meyer) Let $\phi$ be a function satisfying the following conditions (Figure 2).

- $\widehat{\phi} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \widehat{\phi} \geq 0, \widehat{\phi}$ is an even function.
- $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset\left[-\frac{4}{3} \pi, \frac{4}{3} \pi\right]$.
- $\widehat{\phi}(\xi)=1$ for $|\xi| \leq \frac{2}{3} \pi$.
- $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi+\pi)|^{2}+|\widehat{\phi}(\xi-\pi)|^{2}=1$ for $|\xi| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$.



Figure 2. $\widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ and $\phi(x)$ for a Meyer wavelet.


Figure 3. $\quad m_{0}(\xi)$ and $\left|m_{1}(\xi)\right|$ for a Meyer wavelet.
Then, $\phi$ is a scaling function and $m_{0}(\xi)=\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)$ for $|\xi| \leq \pi$, that is, $m_{0}(\xi)=$ $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(2 \xi+4 k \pi)$ (Figure 3, Left).

Further,

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{1}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi} \widehat{\phi}(2 \xi+2 \pi) \text { for }-2 \pi \leq \xi \leq 0, \quad \text { (Figure 3, Right) } \\
\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi / 2}\{\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 \pi)+\widehat{\phi}(\xi-2 \pi)\} \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2)
\end{gathered}
$$

This $\psi$ is a Meyer wavelet in Example 2.1 (2).

## 4. Hilbert transform.

Although the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H}$ is defined on many function spaces in several ways, it is simply defined on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by (1.2). If $f$ is real-valued, then $\mathcal{H} f$ is also realvalued and $\mathcal{H} f$ is orthogonal to $f$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with $T_{b}$ for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and with $D_{a}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Hence, $(\mathcal{H} f)_{j, k}=\mathcal{H}\left(f_{j, k}\right)$ for every $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The Hilbert transform is important not only theoretically, but also in many applications. A pair of a function (a signal) and its Hilbert transform are often useful ([7], [14], [2] and so on). Chaudhury-Unser [6] investigated several properties of $\mathcal{H} \psi$ for a wavelet function $\psi$.

In the signal processing community, filter design is important. Selesnick [13] designed a low-pass filter corresponding to $\mathcal{H} \psi$. This low-pass filter turns out to be the low-pass filter associated with the scaling function $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi$ defined in the next section. Toda-Zhang [15], [16] pointed out the essential part of the following theorem, which shows that the Hilbert transform of $\psi$ is associated with $T_{1 / 2} \phi$ in the case of Meyer wavelet.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\phi$ be a Meyer scaling function and $\psi$ be the wavelet function naturally associated with $\phi$. Fix arbitrary $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and set $\phi_{b}:=T_{b} \phi$. Then we have the following.
(1) $\phi_{b}$ is also a scaling function.
(2) If $\psi_{b}$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $\phi_{b}$, then $\mathcal{H} \psi_{b}$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $T_{1 / 2} \phi_{b}=\phi_{b+1 / 2}$.

The statement (1) is already well-known in the field of wavelets. (2) is very unexpected and attractive. It is very natural to ask the following questions.

Main Questions:
[Q1] What happens for $T_{c} \phi_{b}, c \neq 1 / 2$ ?
[Q2] Which characteristics of the Meyer scaling function, do the properties described in the theorem come from?
[Q3] What happens for other wavelets than the Meyer wavelets?
In order to give our answers, we will define two families of unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}, c \in \mathbb{R}$, in the next section.

## 5. Unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}$.

In this section, we define two families of unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ are extensions of the Hilbert transform, called fractional Hilbert transforms ([11], [5] and so on).

Definition 5.1. We define unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{c}=(\cos c \pi) I+(\sin c \pi) \mathcal{H}, c \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=\{\cos c \pi-i(\sin c \pi) \operatorname{sgn} \xi\} \widehat{f}(\xi)=e^{-i c \pi(\operatorname{sgn} \xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2}=\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is called a fractional Hilbert transform. Here, we use a different parametrization from the definition in [5] for the compatibility with the other family of operators $T_{c}^{\dagger}$.

If $f$ is real-valued, then $\mathcal{H}_{c} f$ is also real-valued. Further, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f, \mathcal{H}_{c} f\right\rangle=(\cos c \pi)\|f\|^{2} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the "angle" between $f$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} f$ is $c \pi$.
The family $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{c}\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ constitutes a one-parameter group of unitary operators: $\mathcal{H}_{c} \mathcal{H}_{d}=\mathcal{H}_{c+d}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{0}=I . \quad$ Further, we have $\mathcal{H}_{c+1}=-\mathcal{H}_{c}, \mathcal{H}_{c+2}=\mathcal{H}_{c}, \mathcal{H}_{1}=-I$, $\mathcal{H}_{c}^{*}=\mathcal{H}_{c}^{-1}=\mathcal{H}_{-c}$, where $U^{*}$ denotes the adjoint operator of $U$.

We also have the commutativity with translations and dilations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{c} T_{b}=T_{b} \mathcal{H}_{c}, \mathcal{H}_{c} D_{a}=D_{a} \mathcal{H}_{c} \text { for } b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(f_{j, k}\right)=\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} f\right)_{j, k}, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
The unitary operators $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ are natural operators in the sense of the following proposition. A limited version was given in [5, Theorem 3.1], where the domain of the operators consists of only real-valued functions.

Proposition 5.2. Let $U$ be a unitary operator which is commutative with $T_{b}, D_{a}$ for every $b \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then, we have the following.
(1) There exist constants $\theta, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $U=e^{i \theta} \mathcal{H}_{c}$.
(2) If further $U$ maps real-valued functions to real-valued functions, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $U=\mathcal{H}_{c}$.
(3) Moreover, if $\langle U f, f\rangle=0$ for every real-valued $f$, then $U= \pm \mathcal{H}_{1 / 2}= \pm \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.5 in [1], we have that $U$ is a Fourier multiplier operator: $\widehat{U f}(\xi)=\alpha(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$ for which the multiplier $\alpha(\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of degree zero, which implies that $\alpha(\xi)$ is constant on each of the intervals $\mathbb{R}_{ \pm}$. Thus, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\widehat{U f}(\xi)=\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)$ if $\xi>0$ and $\widehat{U f}(\xi)=\beta \widehat{f}(\xi)$ if $\xi<0$. Since $U$ is unitary, we have $|\alpha|=|\beta|=1$, and hence we can write $\alpha=e^{i \theta-i c \pi}$ and $\beta=e^{i \theta+i c \pi}$ with $\theta, c \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that $U=e^{i \theta} \mathcal{H}_{c}$.
(2) Since $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ maps real-valued functions to real-valued functions, we have $e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{R}$, that is $e^{i \theta}= \pm 1$. If $e^{i \theta}=1$, then we have the result. If $e^{i \theta}=-1$, then by $-\mathcal{H}_{c}=\mathcal{H}_{c+1}$, we also have the result.
(3) Since (5.3) holds for every real-valued function $f$, we have $\cos c \pi=0$, that is, $c=1 / 2+n(n \in \mathbb{Z})$, and hence $\mathcal{H}_{c}= \pm \mathcal{H}_{1 / 2}$.

Next, let us define the unitary operators $T_{c}^{\dagger}$, a kind of modified translation operators.
Definition 5.3. We define a function $\tau(\xi)$ (Figure 4) by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau(\xi)=\xi & \text { for }|\xi| \leq 2 \pi \\
\tau(\xi)=\tau(\xi+2 \pi) & \text { for } \xi<-2 \pi \\
\tau(\xi)=\tau(\xi-2 \pi) & \text { for } \xi>2 \pi
\end{array}
$$

We also define unitary operators $T_{c}^{\dagger}, c \in \mathbb{R}$, by $\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=e^{-i c \tau(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi)$.


Figure 4. $\tau(\xi)$.
If $f$ is real-valued, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} f$ is also real-valued. The family $\left\{T_{c}^{\dagger}\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ constitutes a one-parameter group of unitary operators: $T_{c}^{\dagger} T_{d}^{\dagger}=T_{c+d}^{\dagger}, T_{0}^{\dagger}=I$. Further, $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ are commutative with the translations (but not with the dilations): $T_{b} T_{c}^{\dagger}=T_{c}^{\dagger} T_{b}, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 5.4. If $c=k$ is an integer, then $e^{-i k \tau(\xi)}=e^{-i k \xi}$, and hence $T_{k}^{\dagger}$ is just the translation: $T_{k}^{\dagger}=T_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} f=T_{c} f, c \in \mathbb{R}$. So, in a sense, $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ is the translation in a low frequency domain.

At the end of the next section, we give several graphs of $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi$ and related functions.

## 6. Main results.

In this section, we consider general scaling functions. We assume the following.
Assumption : $\phi$ is a scaling function, and $\psi$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $\phi$.

By the commutativity (5.4), the following is almost obvious, though a proof is given in Section 8.

Proposition 6.1. For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the followings.
(1) $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ is a scaling function.
(2) $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$.

Unfortunately, $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ has bad localization in general. In particular, if $\phi \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi \notin L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, $\widehat{\phi}$ is continuous and $\widehat{\phi}(0) \neq 0$, hence $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi}(\xi)$ has a jump at $\xi=0$. Figures 5, 6, 7 illustrate the graphs of $\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2} \phi=\mathcal{H} \phi$.

The following is the main result, whose proof is given in Section 8. Note that $T_{c} \phi$ $(c \notin \mathbb{Z})$ is not necessarily a scaling function.

Theorem 6.2. For every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following.
(1) $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is a scaling function.
(2) $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$.

Corollary 6.3. If $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$, then $T_{c} \phi$ is a scaling function. Further, $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $T_{c} \phi$.

The scaling function $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ does not have so bad localization in many cases. In particular, if $\phi$ is a Meyer scaling function, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi=T_{c} \phi \in \mathcal{S}$. We give more properties of $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ in Section 7.

This theorem gives answers to the main questions in Section 4.
[Ans1] In the case of Meyer wavelets, $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi_{b}=\mathcal{H}_{c+b} \psi$ is naturally associated with $T_{c} \phi_{b}=$ $T_{c+b} \phi, c, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
[Ans2] $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$ implies that $T_{c} \phi$ is a scaling function, and $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is associated with $T_{c} \phi$. (Corollary 6.3.)
[Ans3] In general, $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is naturally associated with $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$. (Theorem 6.2.)
In Figures 5-7, we show the graphs of $\phi, \mathcal{H} \phi=\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2} \phi, T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi, \psi$, and $\mathcal{H} \psi=\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2} \psi$ for the case of the Meyer wavelets and the Daubechies wavelets ([8]). ${ }_{N} \phi$ and ${ }_{N} \psi$ denotes the Daubechies scaling function and wavelet function where the wavelet function has $N$ vanishing moments. In the case of Meyer wavelets, we have $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi=T_{1 / 2} \phi$. In the case of Daubechies wavelets, $T_{1 / 2 N}^{\dagger} \phi$ approaches $T_{1 / 2 N} \phi$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, since $\widehat{{ }_{N} \phi}$ concentrate in $[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$. In both cases, the scaling functions $\mathcal{H} \phi \notin L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ have bad localization. $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi$ and $\mathcal{H} \psi$ have far better localization than $\mathcal{H} \phi$, as we explain in Section 7 .


Figure 5. Case of Meyer wavelets. Left: $\phi$ (solid), $\mathcal{H} \phi$ (broken), $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger} \phi$ (dash-dot). Right: $\psi$ (solid), $\mathcal{H} \psi$ (broken).


Figure 6. Case of Daubechies wavelets $N=2$. Left: ${ }_{2} \phi$ (solid), $\mathcal{H}_{2} \phi$ (broken), $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger}{ }_{2} \phi$ (dash-dot). Right: ${ }_{2} \psi$ (solid), $\mathcal{H}_{2} \psi$ (broken).


Figure 7. Case of Daubechies wavelets $N=8$. Left: ${ }_{8} \phi$ (solid),
$\mathcal{H}_{8} \phi$ (broken), $T_{1 / 2}^{\dagger}{ }_{8} \phi$ (dash-dot). Right: ${ }_{8} \psi$ (solid), $\mathcal{H}_{8} \psi$ (broken).

## 7. Properties of $\boldsymbol{T}_{c}^{\dagger}$.

In this section, we give several properties of $T_{c}^{\dagger}$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}$. As for the distributions, see [18] (in this book $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is called the space of distributions of slow growth) for example. The operator $\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as $\left\{\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} f\right\}^{\wedge}(\xi)=\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \widehat{f}(\xi)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. From now on, the derivatives are in the distribution sense.

As for smoothness, $T_{c}^{\dagger} f$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} f$ have the same smoothness as $f$ in the following sense. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, set $H^{s}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \mid\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$, which is the Sobolev space of order $s$. The following is almost trivial by the boundedness of $e^{-i c \tau(\xi)}$ and $\operatorname{sgn} \xi$.

Proposition 7.1. Let $s \geq 0$. If $f \in H^{s}$, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} f \in H^{s}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} f \in H^{s}$.
Next, we measure the localization of $f(x)$ by the integer index $p$ such that $(1+|\cdot|)^{p} f \in$ $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, which is equivalent to $\widehat{f}^{(j)} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq j \leq p$.

For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{r}^{s} & :=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \mid(1+|\cdot|)^{r}\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\} \\
& =\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \mid(\cdot)^{j}\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r\right\} \\
& =\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \mid \partial_{\xi}^{j}\left\{\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \widehat{f}(\xi)\right\} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r\right\} \\
& =\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \mid\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \widehat{f^{(j)}} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r\right\} . \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in H_{r}^{0}$, then $(1+|\cdot|)^{r-1} f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $\widehat{f} \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$, which allows us to talk about $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{j} f(x) d x$ and $\widehat{f}^{(j)}(0)$ for $0 \leq j<r$.

The vanishing moments property of $\psi$ is closely relevant to the localization of $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that a wavelet function $\psi$ has $r$ vanishing moments if $(1+|x|)^{r-1} \psi(x) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{j} \psi(x) d x=0, \quad 0 \leq j<r .
$$

The following is a variant of a well-known result, and it can be proved in the same way as in [4], though the assumptions are a little different.

Theorem 7.2. Assume that $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi, \psi \in H_{r}^{0}$. Then, $\widehat{\phi}$ and $\widehat{\psi}$ are of $C^{r-1}$ class. Also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there exists } l_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} \text { such that } \widehat{\phi}\left(\pi+2 l_{0} \pi\right) \neq 0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $m_{0}$ is also of $C^{r-1}$ class. Further, $\psi$ has $r$ vanishing moments if and only if each of the following conditions is satisfied.
(1) $\widehat{\psi}^{(j)}(0)=0,0 \leq j<r$.
(2) $m_{0}^{(j)}(\pi)=0,0 \leq j<r$.
(3) $\widehat{\phi}^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0,0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.

Remark 7.3. It is well-known that if $\phi$ is a scaling function, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)|^{2}=1 \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this holds only a.e. in $\xi$, and it does not necessarily imply (7.2), even if $\widehat{\phi} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$. If we further impose some conditions which imply the local uniform convergence of the series in (7.3), then we can show that (7.3) holds for every $\xi$, and hence (7.2) holds. For example, the condition that there exist a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that $\phi \in H_{1 / 2+\epsilon}^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$ implies the local uniform convergence.

Here, we fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \geq 0$. We show that the localization condition $\phi \in H_{r}^{s}$ together with the moment condition (3) in Theorem 7.2 are preserved by $T_{c}^{\dagger}$. We also give a similar result about $\mathcal{H}_{c}$, whose proof is similar and simpler. As for $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{1 / 2}$, a similar result on localization was obtained in [6].

Theorem 7.4. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$.
(1) If $f \in H_{r}^{s}$ and if $\widehat{f}^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0$ for $0 \leq j \leq r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} f$ also satisfies the same conditions, that is, $T_{c}^{\dagger} f \in H_{r}^{s}$ and $\widehat{\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} f\right)^{(j)}}(2 k \pi)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
(2) If $f \in H_{r}^{s}$ and if $\widehat{f}^{(j)}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r$, then $\mathcal{H}_{c} f$ also satisfies the same conditions, that is, $\mathcal{H}_{c} f \in H_{r}^{s}$ and $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{c} f}\right)^{(j)}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r$.

Proofs are given in the next section.
Remark 7.5. (1) Note that $\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 4-\epsilon} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $\epsilon>0$, and hence $f \in$ $H_{r}^{s}$ implies that $\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{s / 2-1 / 4-\epsilon} \widehat{f}^{(j)} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$. This is equivalent to $\partial_{\xi}^{j}\{(1+$ $\left.\left.|\xi|^{2}\right)^{s / 2-1 / 4-\epsilon} \widehat{f}(\xi)\right\} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$, which implies $(\cdot)^{j}\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2-1 / 4-\epsilon} f \in$ $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$. Thus, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\left(1+|D|^{2}\right)^{s / 2-1 / 4-\epsilon} f(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{r}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

In particular, if $s>1 / 2$, then $f \in H_{r}^{s}$ implies

$$
|f(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{r}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(2) We can also show the following by similar (and easier) proofs.
(i) If $\widehat{f} \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and if $\widehat{f}{ }^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, then $\widehat{T_{c}^{\dagger} f} \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\left(\widehat{T_{c}^{\dagger} f}\right)^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
(ii) If $\widehat{f} \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and if $\widehat{f^{(j)}}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{c} f} \in C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{c} f}\right)^{(j)}(0)=0$ for $0 \leq j<r$.
(3) We restricted ourselves to the case $s \geq 0$ since we defined the operators $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ only on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. We can extend the results to the case $s<0$ by extending the operators $T_{c}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ on $H^{s}$.

Example 7.6. (1) In the case of Meyer wavelets, we can apply our theorem for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence we have $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi, \mathcal{H}_{c} \psi \in \mathcal{S}$ by Remark 7.5 (1), although this is almost trivial by the definition.
(2) If $\phi={ }_{N} \phi$ and $\psi={ }_{N} \psi$ are the Daubechies scaling function and wavelet function for which ${ }_{N} \psi$ has $N$ vanishing moments, then we can apply our theorem for $r=N$ and $s=0$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{c N} \psi$ has also $N$ vanishing moments.

If $N \geq 3$, then we can apply our theorem for $r=N$ and $s=1$, since it is known that ${ }_{N} \phi,{ }_{N} \psi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for $N \geq 3$. In particular, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\left(T_{c}^{\dagger}{ }_{N} \phi\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{N}}, \quad\left|\left(\mathcal{H}_{c N} \psi\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{N}}
$$

by Remark 7.5 (1).
For $N=2$, it is known ([8]) that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\phi:={ }_{2} \phi \in H^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$. Since $\phi$ has a compact support, we can show that $f \phi \in H^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$ for every $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, in particular, for $f(x)=1, x, x^{2}$. This implies $\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 4+\epsilon / 2} \widehat{\phi}^{(j)} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), j=0,1,2$, and hence we have ${ }_{2} \phi \in H_{2}^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$. By the same way, we have ${ }_{2} \psi \in H_{2}^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$. Thus, we can use our results for $r=2$ and $s=1 / 2+\epsilon$. This implies that there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\left(T_{c}^{\dagger}{ }_{2} \phi\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{2}}, \quad\left|\left(\mathcal{H}_{c 2} \psi\right)(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{2}},
$$

by Remark 7.5 (1).
For $N=1$ (Haar), we can have only that $(1+|x|) T_{c}^{\dagger}{ }_{1} \phi,(1+|x|) \mathcal{H}_{c}{ }_{1} \psi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, which implies $T_{c}^{\dagger}{ }_{1} \phi, \mathcal{H}_{c 1} \psi \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

## 8. Proofs of the results.

We give a proof of Proposition 6.1.
Proof of Proposition 6.1. Since $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is a unitary operator which commutes with $T_{b}$ and $D_{a},(b, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \widetilde{V}_{j}:=\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(V_{j}\right)$ constitute an MRA with the scaling function $\widetilde{\phi}:=\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$. Since

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(2 \xi)=e^{-i c \pi \operatorname{sgn}(2 \xi)} \widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=e^{-i c \pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi} m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)=m_{0}(\xi)\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(\xi),
$$

the low-pass filter $\widetilde{m_{0}}$ for $\widetilde{\phi}$ is the same as $m_{0}$.
Let $m_{1}$ be the high-pass filter naturally associated with $\phi: m_{1}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi} \overline{m_{0}(\xi+\pi)}$. We have $\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=m_{1}(\xi / 2) \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2)$. Then,

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=e^{-i c \pi(\operatorname{sgn} \xi)} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)=e^{-i c \pi(\operatorname{sgn} \xi)} m_{1}(\xi / 2) \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2)=m_{1}(\xi / 2)\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(\xi / 2) .
$$

This means that $\widetilde{\psi}:=\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ is the wavelet function naturally associated with $\widetilde{\phi}=\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ with the high-pass filter $\widetilde{m_{1}}=m_{1}$.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 6.2, we give known conditions for a function to be a scaling function.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\phi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $\phi$ is a scaling function if and only if the following three conditions hold ([9, Chapter 7, Theorem 5.2]).
(A1) The equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)|^{2}=1 \quad \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. This condition is equivalent to that $\{\phi(\cdot-k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal system.
(A2) There exists a $2 \pi$-periodic function $m_{0}(\xi)$ such that $\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.
(A3) $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\widehat{\phi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)\right|=1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.
We have the following basic relation between $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ and $T_{c}^{\dagger}$. Let $\lfloor z\rfloor:=\max \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid$ $m \leq z\}$.

Proposition 8.2. Set $\rho(\xi):=\tau(\xi)-\pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi=\xi-\pi-2 \pi\lfloor\xi /(2 \pi)\rfloor$ (Figure 8). Then, $\rho$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function and $\rho(2 \xi)=\rho(\xi)+\rho(\xi+\pi), \rho(-\xi)=-\rho(\xi)$. Further, for $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{c}^{\dagger} f}(\xi)=e^{-i c \rho(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{H}_{c} f}(\xi) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8. $\quad \rho(\xi)=\tau(\xi)-\pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi=\xi-\pi-2 \pi\lfloor(\xi / 2 \pi)\rfloor$.
Proof. It is a straight verification to show $\tau(\xi)-\pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi=\xi-\pi-2 \pi\lfloor\xi /(2 \pi)\rfloor$.
Since $\rho(\xi+2 \pi)=\xi+\pi-2 \pi\lfloor(\xi+2 \pi) /(2 \pi)\rfloor=\xi+\pi-2 \pi(\lfloor\xi /(2 \pi)\rfloor+1)=\xi-\pi-$ $2 \pi\lfloor\xi /(2 \pi)\rfloor=\rho(\xi), \rho$ is $2 \pi$-periodic.

If $0 \leq \xi<\pi$, then $\rho(2 \xi)-\rho(\xi)-\rho(\xi+\pi)=2 \xi-\pi-\xi+\pi-(\xi+\pi)+\pi=0$. If $\pi \leq \xi<2 \pi$, then $\rho(2 \xi)-\rho(\xi)-\rho(\xi+\pi)=2 \xi-\pi-2 \pi-\xi+\pi-(\xi+\pi)+\pi+2 \pi=0$. Also, if $0 \leq \xi<\pi$, then $\rho(\xi)+\rho(-\xi)=\xi-\pi+(-\xi)-\pi+2 \pi=0$. If $\pi \leq \xi<2 \pi$, then $\rho(\xi)+\rho(-\xi)=\xi-\pi+(-\xi)-\pi+2 \pi=0$. (8.2) is easily obtained by $\tau(\xi)=\rho(\xi)+\pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi$.

Fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 6.1, we know that if $\phi$ is a scaling function, then $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ is a scaling function. Note that the low-pass filters are the same for $\phi$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$. By Theorem 8.1 and Proposition 8.2, we have the following.

Proposition 8.3. If $\phi$ is a scaling function, then $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is a scaling function which defines the same MRA as $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ does.

Proof. Since $e^{-i c \rho(\xi)}$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, (8.2) for $f=\phi$ implies that both $\left\{\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi\right)(\cdot-\right.$ $k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{\left(\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi\right)(\cdot-k)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the orthonormal bases of the same $V_{0}$. Hence, $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ and $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi$ defines the same MRA.

Now, we give a proof of the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 6.2. (1) is already proved by Proposition 8.3.
(2) We have

$$
\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(2 \xi)=e^{-i c \tau(2 \xi)} \widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=e^{-i c \tau(2 \xi)} m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)=e^{-i c(\tau(2 \xi)-\tau(\xi))} m_{0}(\xi)\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)
$$

Hence the low-pass filter $m_{0}^{\dagger}$ associated with $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is

$$
m_{0}^{\dagger}(\xi)=e^{-i c(\tau(2 \xi)-\tau(\xi))} m_{0}(\xi)=e^{-i c(\rho(2 \xi)-\rho(\xi))} m_{0}(\xi)=e^{-i c \rho(\xi+\pi)} m_{0}(\xi)
$$

The high-pass filter naturally associated with $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is

$$
m_{1}^{\dagger}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi} \overline{m_{0}^{\dagger}(\xi+\pi)}=e^{-i \xi} e^{i c \rho(\xi)} \overline{m_{0}(\xi+\pi)}=e^{i c \rho(\xi)} m_{1}(\xi)
$$

and the wavelet function $\psi^{\dagger}$ naturally associated with $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi$ is given by

$$
\widehat{\psi^{\dagger}}(\xi)=m_{1}^{\dagger}(\xi / 2)\left(T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{\wedge}(\xi / 2)=e^{i c \rho(\xi / 2)} m_{1}(\xi / 2) e^{-i c \tau(\xi / 2)} \widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2)=e^{-i c \pi \operatorname{sgn} \xi} \widehat{\psi}(\xi)
$$

Thus, we have $\psi^{\dagger}=\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$.
Before giving a proof of Theorem 7.4, we prepare the following lemma.
Lemma 8.4. Let $a<b<c$. If $f, f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(a, c)$, which implies $f \in C^{0}(a, c)$, if $f(b)=0$, and if $\nu$ is a constant function on $(a, b) \cup(b, c)$, then $g:=\nu f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $g^{\prime}=\nu f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Since $f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(a, c) \subset L^{1}(a, c)$, the antiderivative $f$ in the sense of distribution is absolutely continuous on $(a, c)$. For any $\varphi \in C^{1}(a, c), f \varphi$ is also absolutely continuous on ( $a, c$ ). Hence for $a<p<q<c$, we have

$$
\int_{p}^{q} f(\xi) \varphi^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi=[f(\xi) \varphi(\xi)]_{p}^{q}-\int_{p}^{q} f^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d \xi
$$

Let $\nu(\xi)=\nu_{1}$ on $(a, b)$ and $\nu(\xi)=\nu_{2}$ on $(b, c)$. Then, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(a, c)=C_{0}^{\infty}(a, c)$,
we have the following, with $(f, \varphi)$ denoting the duality between $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(a, c)$ and $\mathcal{D}(a, c)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g^{\prime}, \varphi\right) & =-\left(g, \varphi^{\prime}\right)=-\int_{a}^{c} \nu(\xi) f(\xi) \varphi^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi \\
& =-\nu_{1} \int_{a+\epsilon}^{b} f(\xi) \varphi^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi-\nu_{2} \int_{b}^{c-\epsilon} f(\xi) \varphi^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Thus, by $f(b)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g^{\prime}, \varphi\right)= & -\nu_{1}[f(\xi) \varphi(\xi)]_{a+\epsilon}^{b}+\nu_{1} \int_{a+\epsilon}^{b} f^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d \xi \\
& -\nu_{2}[f(\xi) \varphi(\xi)]_{b}^{c-\epsilon}+\nu_{2} \int_{b}^{c-\epsilon} f^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d \xi \\
= & \int_{a}^{c} \nu(\xi) f^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d \xi=\left(\nu f^{\prime}, \varphi\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which means $g^{\prime}=\nu f^{\prime}$.
Proof of Theorem 7.4. (1) We have only to show that $g(\xi):=\widehat{T_{c}^{\dagger}} f(\xi)=$ $e^{-i c \tau(\xi)} \widehat{f}(\xi)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} g^{(j)} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r, \text { and } \\
g^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0 \text { for } 0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Set $\nu(\xi):=e^{-i c(\tau(\xi)-\xi)}$, which is a constant function on each interval $(2 k \pi, 2(k+1) \pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-1,0\}$, and $(-2 \pi, 2 \pi)$. Set $g_{1}(\xi)=\nu(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$. Since $g(\xi)=e^{-i c \xi} g_{1}(\xi)$, we have only to show

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} g_{1}^{(j)} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r, \quad \text { and } \\
g_{1}^{(j)}(2 k \pi)=0 \text { for } 0 \leq j<r, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{8.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

By repeated use of Lemma 8.4, we have that $g_{1}^{(j)}(\xi)=\nu(\xi) \widehat{f}^{(j)}(\xi)$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$. This shows (8.3) by the assumption on $f$.
(2) Since $\mathcal{H}_{c}$ is a linear combination of $I$ and $\mathcal{H}$, we have only to show that $h(\xi):=$ $\widehat{\mathcal{H} f}(\xi)=-i(\operatorname{sgn} \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1+|\cdot|^{2}\right)^{s / 2} h^{(j)} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq r, \text { and } \\
h^{(j)}(0)=0 \text { for } 0 \leq j<r .
\end{gathered}
$$

Just in the same way as above, we can show that $h^{(j)}(\xi)=-i(\operatorname{sgn} \xi) \widehat{f}^{(j)}(\xi), 0 \leq j \leq r$, which implies the result.

## 9. A generalization of the Meyer scaling functions.

If supp $\widehat{\phi} \subset[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]$, then we have $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi=T_{c} \phi$. In this last section, we give a class of scaling functions with this property, which generalizes the Meyer scaling functions.

Definition 9.1. A scaling function $\phi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is called a generalized Meyer scaling function if $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset\left[-a_{1}, a_{2}\right], 0<a_{1}<2 \pi, 0<a_{2}<2 \pi, a_{1} / 2+a_{2} \leq 2 \pi, a_{1}+a_{2} / 2 \leq 2 \pi$. A wavelet function associated with a generalized Meyer scaling function is also called a generalized Meyer wavelet function. Note that the condition (A1) in Theorem 8.1 implies $a_{1}+a_{2} \geq 2 \pi$, and the equality holds only if $|\widehat{\phi}|=\chi_{\left[-a_{1}, a_{2}\right]}$. The region of possible $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is illustrated as the gray region in Figure 9.

Note that the Meyer scaling functions are the case when $a_{1}=a_{2}=(4 / 3) \pi$, and the Shannon scaling function is the case when $a_{1}=a_{2}=\pi$.

Proposition 9.2. A function $\phi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a generalized Meyer scaling function if and only if the following three conditions hold (Figure 10).
$(\mathrm{gM} 1) \operatorname{supp} \widehat{\phi} \subset\left[-a_{1}, a_{2}\right], 0<a_{1}<2 \pi, 0<a_{2}<2 \pi, a_{1} / 2+a_{2} \leq 2 \pi, a_{1}+a_{2} / 2 \leq 2 \pi$, $a_{1}+a_{2} \geq 2 \pi$.
(gM2) $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|=1$ a.e. on $\left[a_{2}-2 \pi, 2 \pi-a_{1}\right]$.
(gM3) $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^{2}+|\widehat{\phi}(\xi-2 \pi)|^{2}=1$ a.e. on $\left[2 \pi-a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$.


Figure 9. The region of $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. The boundary is included except $(2 \pi, 0),(0,2 \pi)$.


Figure 10. Graph of $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|$ for a generalized Meyer scaling function.

Note that (gM1) implies $-2 \pi<-a_{1} \leq a_{2}-2 \pi<2 \pi-a_{1} \leq a_{2}<2 \pi$, and the width of the support is not greater than $a_{1}+a_{2} \leq(8 / 3) \pi$. Also note that the conditions depend only on the absolute value of $\widehat{\phi}$, and hence if $\phi$ is a generalized Meyer scaling function and if $|\alpha(\xi)|=1$, then $\alpha(D) \phi$ is also a generalized Meyer scaling function. In particular, if $\phi$ is a generalized Meyer scaling function, then $T_{c} \phi$ is also a generalized Meyer scaling function.

Proof. We omit "a.e.". Assume that $\phi$ satisfies the conditions (gM1)-(gM3).
We first show (A1). Set $F(\xi):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)|^{2}$. On $\left[a_{2}-2 \pi, 2 \pi-a_{1}\right]$, we have $F(\xi)=|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^{2}=1$ by (gM1) and (gM2). On $\left[2 \pi-a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$, we have $F(\xi)=$ $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^{2}+|\widehat{\phi}(\xi-2 \pi)|^{2}=1$ by (gM1), (gM3), and by that $a_{2}<4 \pi-a_{1}, a_{2}-2 \pi \leq 2 \pi-a_{1}$. Since $F$ is $2 \pi$-periodic, we have $F(\xi)=1$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Next, we show (A2). Since supp $\widehat{\phi}(2 \cdot) \subset\left[-a_{1} / 2, a_{2} / 2\right]$ where $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|=1$ by $a_{2}-$ $2 \pi \leq-a_{1} / 2$ and $a_{2} / 2 \leq 2 \pi-a_{1}$, there exists $\nu(\xi)$ such that $\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=\nu(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \nu \subset\left[-a_{1} / 2, a_{2} / 2\right]$. Set $m_{0}(\xi):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu(\xi+2 k \pi)$, which is $2 \pi$-periodic. Then, we have $\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)=m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, we have

$$
m_{0}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu(\xi+2 k \pi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)=\nu(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi)=\widehat{\phi}(2 \xi)
$$

since (gM1) holds, $\operatorname{supp} \nu \subset\left[-a_{1} / 2, a_{2} / 2\right], a_{2} \leq 2 \pi-a_{1} / 2$ and $a_{2} / 2-2 \pi \leq-a_{1}$.
Since (A3) is trivially satisfied, $\phi$ is a scaling function by Theorem 8.1.
Conversely, assume that $\phi$ is a generalized Meyer scaling function. (gM1) is trivial. Since $F(\xi)=1$, we have

$$
|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^{2}=1-\sum_{k \neq 0}|\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)|^{2}
$$

On $\left[a_{2}-2 \pi, 2 \pi-a_{1}\right]$, we have $\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)=0$ if $k \neq 0$ by (gM1), and hence $|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^{2}=1$.
Finally, since $a_{2}<4 \pi-a_{1}$ and $a_{2}-2 \pi<2 \pi-a_{1}$, we have $\widehat{\phi}(\xi+2 k \pi)=0$ on [2 $\pi-a_{1}, a_{2}$ ] if $k \neq 0,-1$, and hence we have (gM3) by $F(\xi)=1$.


Figure 11. Graph of $|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|$ for a generalized Meyer wavelet function.

Proposition 9.3. If $\phi$ is a generalized Meyer scaling function, then any associated wavelet function $\psi$ has the following properties (Figure 11).
$(\mathrm{gMw} 1) \operatorname{supp} \hat{\psi} \subset\left[-2 a_{1}, a_{2}-2 \pi\right] \cup\left[2 \pi-a_{1}, 2 a_{2}\right]$.
$(\mathrm{gMw} 2)|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|=1$ a.e. on $\left[2 a_{2}-4 \pi,-a_{1}\right] \cup\left[a_{2}, 4 \pi-2 a_{1}\right]$,
(gMw3) $|\widehat{\psi}(2 \xi+4 \pi)|=|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|$ a.e. on $\left[-a_{1}, a_{2}-2 \pi\right],|\widehat{\psi}(2 \xi-4 \pi)|=|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|$ a.e. on $\left[2 \pi-a_{1}, a_{2}\right],|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)|^{2}+|\widehat{\psi}(\xi-2 \pi)|^{2}=1$ a.e. on $\left[2 \pi-a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$.

This proposition easily follows from the fact that $\widehat{\psi}(\xi)=e^{-i \xi / 2} \nu(\xi) \overline{m_{0}(\xi / 2+\pi)}$ - $\widehat{\phi}(\xi / 2)$, where $\nu$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function with $|\nu(\xi)|=1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\phi$ be a generalized Meyer scaling function, and $\psi$ be the wavelet function naturally associated with $\phi$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, then the three functions $T_{c}^{\dagger} \phi=T_{c} \phi, \psi$, and $\mathcal{H}_{c} \psi$ also belong to $\mathcal{S}$, while $\mathcal{H}_{c} \phi \notin L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ unless $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
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