

Some remarks on simply invariant subspaces on compact abelian groups

By Jun-ichi TANAKA

(Received Jan. 17, 1977)

(Revised Sept. 28, 1977)

§ 1. Introduction.

Many results have recently been obtained concerning simply invariant subspaces on compact abelian groups. The most fundamental result in this direction is due to Helson [4] and states the existence of unitary functions in any simply invariant subspace on compact abelian group with archimedean ordered dual. In this paper we shall give among other things a generalization of this result of Helson's to the case of function algebras: Let A be a logmodular algebra and m a representing measure for A . If g is a function in $L^2(m)$ whose zero-set is of measure zero, then the $L^2(m)$ -closure of Ag contains unitary functions. Moreover we shall prove the following result concerning \mathcal{A} -continuous cocycles of Helson [5]: Let M be a simply invariant subspace corresponding to a non-trivial \mathcal{A} -continuous cocycle of some special form. Then M is generated by functions with absolutely convergent Fourier series.

§ 2. Preliminaries.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and A a logmodular algebra on X . As is well-known ([1], [8]), every non-zero complex homomorphism of A has unique representing measure. Let m be a representing measure for A . Note that, if m is not a point mass on X , then m is a continuous measure. For each positive number p , $H^p(m)$ denotes the closure of A in the normed space $L^p(X, m)$ and $H^\infty(m)$ denotes the w^* -closure of A in $L^\infty(X, m)$. An *outer function* g in $H^p(m)$ is a function in $H^p(m)$ such that the closure of Ag in $L^p(X, m)$ coincides with $H^p(m)$ and a *unitary function* q is a function in $L^\infty(X, m)$ with $|q|=1$ almost everywhere. By an *invariant subspace* we mean a closed subspace M of $L^2(X, m)$ such that $AM \subset M$. An invariant subspace M is *doubly invariant* if $\bar{A}M \subset M$. We shall call an invariant subspace M a *simply invariant* if M is not doubly invariant.

Next, let K be a compact abelian group, not a circle, dual to a subgroup

Γ of the discrete real line R_d . \mathfrak{A} is the space of all continuous analytic functions on K , i. e., the set of all continuous functions on K whose Fourier coefficients a_λ vanish for all negative λ in Γ . Then \mathfrak{A} is a Dirichlet algebra, so is logmodular, and the normalized Haar measure σ on K is a representing measure for \mathfrak{A} . Let T_t be the translation operator,

$$T_t f(x) = f(x + e_t),$$

where e_t is the element of K defined by $e_t(\lambda) = e^{it\lambda}$ for all λ in Γ . The mapping from t to e_t embeds the real line R continuously onto a dense subgroup K_0 of K . A family of unitary functions $A = \{A_t\}$ in $L^\infty(K, \sigma)$ with the following properties is called *cocycle*:

- (i) $|A_t(x)| = 1$ almost everywhere,
- (ii) A_t moves continuously in $L^2(K, \sigma)$ as a function of t ,
- (iii) $A_{t+u} = A_t T_t A_u$ for each real t, u in R .

A cocycle is a *coboundary* if it is of the form $\varphi(x) \cdot \overline{\varphi(x + e_t)}$, where φ is a unitary function in $L^\infty(K, \sigma)$. A one to one correspondence was established in [3] between normalized simply invariant subspaces and cocycles on K .

In our discussion in the forthcoming sections, we frequently use the following lemma which is a corollary of Szgö's theorem.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a logmodular algebra on X and let m be a representing measure for A . If f is a function in $L^2(X, m)$ such that $\log|f|$ is summable, then $f = ph$ with a unitary p in $L^\infty(X, m)$ and an outer h in $L^2(X, m)$. The factoring is unique, up to a constant factor of modulus one.*

§ 3. Existence theorem.

Helson [4] showed that every simply invariant subspace on K contains a function f in $L^2(K, \sigma)$ such that $\log|f|$ is summable. We shall extend this and a few other results to the case of logmodular algebras. In 3.1, 3.2, 3.5, and 3.6 we assume that A is a logmodular algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X and m is a representing measure for A . For any g in $L^2(X, m)$, M_g denotes the smallest invariant subspace containing g .

THEOREM 3.1. *If the zero-set of g in $L^2(X, m)$ is of m -measure zero, then the invariant subspace M_g generated by g contains a function h such that $\log|h|$ is summable.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *If the zero-set of g in $L^2(X, m)$ is of m -measure zero, then M_g contains a unitary function.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3 ([5; Chap. 2, 5, Lemma 1]). *Let μ be the normalized Haar measure on T^∞ , the infinite dimensional torus, and $\{a_n\}$ be any square-summable sequence of numbers. Then*

$$\int_{T^\infty} \log \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{i\theta_n} \right| d\mu(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots) \geq \max \{ \log |a_n| : n=1, 2, 3, \dots \}.$$

LEMMA 3.4. *Let ν be a bounded positive Borel regular measure on a compact Hausdorff space X , and let E be a Borel subset of X . If ν is continuous, then, for any α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, there exists Borel subset F_α of E such that $\nu(F_\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \nu(E)$.*

Lemma 3.4 is well-known, so we omit the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. We may assume that m is a continuous measure. Put $Z(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) = 0\}$. By hypothesis, $m(Z(g)) = 0$. Let $p = \min(1, |g|^{-1})$, then $\log p$ is summable. Hence there is an outer function h such that $|h| = p$ by Lemma 2.1. Since $M_g = M_{hg}$ and hg is in $L^\infty(X, m)$, we may assume that g is in $L^\infty(X, m)$, and $\|g\|_\infty = 1$. We set

$$H_n = \{x \in X : 1/n \leq |g(x)| \leq 1\}.$$

Since the complement of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ is $Z(g)$, $m(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n) = 1$. Therefore there exists k_1 such that $m(H_{k_1}) > 1/2$. We can choose a Borel subset G_1 of H_{k_1} such that $m(G_1) = 1/2$ by Lemma 3.4. By induction, it is not hard to find sequences $\{k_n\}$ of indices and $\{G_n\}$ of Borel sets such that

$$H_{k_n} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} G_i \supset G_n, \quad m(G_n) = 2^{-n}.$$

We define $p_n = \min(k_n^{-1}, |g|^{-1})$, so $\log p_n$ is summable. Hence there exists an outer function h_n in $H^\infty(m)$ such that $|h_n| = p_n$. Note that $h_n g$ is in M_g and $|h_n g| = 1$ on G_n . Since $\|h_n g\|_2 \leq 1$, the function

$$F_\theta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} e^{i\theta_n} (h_n g)(x)$$

is in M_g for any point $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots)$ in T^∞ . By Fubini's theorem and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^\infty} \int_X \log |F_\theta(x)| dm(x) d\mu(\theta) &\geq \int_X \sup_n \log |n^{-2} (h_n g)(x)| dm(x) \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{G_n} \log(n^{-2}) dm(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(n^{-2}) 2^{-n} > -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\log |F_\theta(x)|$ is summable for μ -almost all θ in T^∞ . This completes the proof.

Next we shall give a generalization of one result in [4]. For any family \mathcal{F} of measurable functions, we write :

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \{|f| : f \text{ is in } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Suppose that $H^\infty(m)$ is maximal among w^* -closed subalgebras of $L^\infty(X, m)$. If M is simply invariant subspace, then $|M| = |H^2(m)|$.*

PROOF. Let \tilde{M} be the set of all h in $L^2(X, m)$ such that fh is in $H^1(m)$ for all f in M . Then \tilde{M} is a simply invariant subspace. It follows from Szgö's theorem that the space of all bounded functions in M (resp. \tilde{M}) is dense in M (resp. \tilde{M}). Since M and \tilde{M} are simply invariant, we see that there exist a bounded function f in M and a bounded function g in \tilde{M} such that fg is not identically equal to zero. Since fg is in $H^\infty(m)$, it follows from [9; Theorem] that $Z(f)$ and $Z(g)$ are m -measure zero. Therefore, we see that both M and \tilde{M} have unitary functions by Corollary 3.2. Thus we have $|M| = |H^2(m)|$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If g is a continuous function such that the zero set of g , $Z(g)$, is of m -measure zero, then M_g contains a continuous function h such that $\log |h|$ is in $L^1(X, m)$.*

PROOF. We may assume that m is a continuous measure and $\|g\|_\infty = 1$. Since A is logmodular, for any positive real-valued continuous function p and any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find f in A such that $\| |f| - p \|_\infty < \varepsilon$. Let H_{k_n} and G_n be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Put $h_n = \min(n, |g|^{-1})$, so h_n is positive continuous function on X . Therefore there exists f_n in A such that $\| |f_n| - h_n \|_\infty < 2^{-1}$. Since

$$\| h_n |g| - |f_n g| \|_\infty < 2^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |h_{n_k} g| = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad G_k,$$

we have $|f_{n_k} g| > 2^{-1}$ on G_k . On the other hand, $\|f_n g\|_\infty < 3/2$, so for any $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots)$ in T^∞ ,

$$F_\theta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} e^{i\theta_n} (f_n g)(x)$$

is a continuous function in M_g . And we can see $\log |F_\theta(x)|$ is in $L^1(X, m)$ for μ -almost all θ by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof.

REMARK. We put $X=K$, a compact abelian group, not a circle, which has an archimedean ordered dual, then there exists a continuous function f such that

$$\rho(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log |f(x+e_t)| \frac{1}{1+t^2} dt > -\infty$$

and $\log |f|$ is not in $L^1(K, \sigma)$. So M_f is simply invariant, for it is known that this is the case if and only if $\rho(f) > -\infty$ (cf. [5; Theorem 22]). By Proposition 3.6, we see that M_f contains a continuous function h such that $\log |h|$ is in $L^1(K, \sigma)$.

We can extend Theorem 3.1 to the case of w^* -Dirichlet algebras which were introduced by Srinivasan and Wang [10]. Recall that by definition a

w^* -Dirichlet algebra is an algebra A of essentially bounded measurable function on a probability measure space (X, \mathfrak{B}, m) such that A contains constant functions, $A + \bar{A}$ is w^* -dense in $L^\infty(X, m)$, and m is multiplicative on A (cf. [10]). We define $H^p(m)$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, and invariant subspaces in the same way as in section 2.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let A be a w^* -Dirichlet algebra on a probability measure space (X, \mathfrak{B}, m) . If the zero-set of g in $L^2(X, m)$ is of m -measure zero, then M_g contains a function h in $L^2(X, m)$ such that $\log |h|$ is summable.*

PROOF. We may regard $H^\infty(m)$ as a logmodular algebra on Ω which is the maximal ideal space of $L^\infty(X, m)$. On the other hand, the zero-set of Gelfand transform of g has \hat{m} -measure zero, where \hat{m} is the Radonization of m (cf. [10; 2.4]). Therefore Proposition 3.7 follows from Theorem 3.1.

§ 4. \mathcal{A} -continuous cocycles.

Let \mathcal{A} be the Banach algebra of all functions on K which have absolutely convergent Fourier series. A cocycle $A = \{A_t\}$ is a \mathcal{A}_H -cocycle if there exist a unitary function q in \mathcal{A} and a function m in \mathcal{A} with Fourier coefficient m_λ satisfying

$$\sum_{0 < \lambda < 1} |m_\lambda \log \lambda| < \infty$$

such that

$$A(t, x) = \exp \left\{ i \int_0^t m(x + e_u) du \right\} \cdot q(x) \overline{q(x + e_t)}.$$

Note that \mathcal{A}_H -cocycle is an \mathcal{A} -continuous cocycle, i. e., $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ for all t in R . Helson [5; Theorem 31] has shown that any simply invariant subspace corresponding to \mathcal{A}_H -cocycle has non-null elements of \mathcal{A} (cf. [11; Theorem 2]). In this section we shall show that non-trivial invariant subspaces of this sort are generated by elements of \mathcal{A} , and give some remarks on closed ideals in function algebra \mathfrak{U} which consists of all generalized analytic functions.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let M be a simply invariant subspace corresponding to a non-trivial \mathcal{A}_H -cocycle. Then M is generated by two unitary functions in \mathcal{A} .*

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following lemmas. The first one is a weaker version of [5; Theorem 32].

LEMMA 4.2. *If f is an element of \mathcal{A} and non-vanishing on K (so $\log |f|$ is in $L^1(K, \sigma)$), then the unitary and outer factors of f are both in \mathcal{A} .*

LEMMA 4.3. *If f_1, \dots, f_n are continuous functions which have no common zeros on K , then there exist trigonometric polynomials p_1, \dots, p_n such that $p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_n f_n$ is non-vanishing on K .*

PROOF. $C(K)$ denotes the space of all complex-valued continuous functions on K . Let J be the closed ideal of $C(K)$ generated by f_1, \dots, f_n . Since f_1, \dots, f_n

have no common zeros and the maximal ideal space of $C(K)$ is K , J coincides with $C(K)$. Since the set of all trigonometric polynomials is dense in $C(K)$, it follows that there exist trigonometric polynomials p_1, \dots, p_n such that $p_1f_1 + \dots + p_nf_n$ is non-vanishing on K .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. We can find g in M such that g is an element of \mathcal{A} and g is orthogonal to $\chi_\tau \cdot M$ for some positive τ in Γ (cf. [5; Theorem 31]). Since

$$x + K_0 = \{x + e_t; t \text{ in } R\}$$

is dense in K , there exist t_1, \dots, t_n such that $g, T_{t_1}g, \dots, T_{t_n}g$ have no common zeros. Since

$$A_t T_t g = - \int_0^\tau e^{it\lambda} dP_\lambda g$$

for the orthogonal projection P_λ from $L^2(K, \sigma)$ to $\chi_\lambda \cdot M$, it follows that $A_{t_1} T_{t_1} g, \dots, A_{t_n} T_{t_n} g$ are continuous functions in M . From Lemma 4.3, we have trigonometric polynomials p_0, \dots, p_n such that

$$F' = p_0 g + p_1 A_{t_1} T_{t_1} g + \dots + p_n A_{t_n} T_{t_n} g$$

is non-vanishing on K . Since p_0, \dots, p_n are trigonometric polynomials, there exists a positive λ in Γ such that $\chi_\lambda p_0, \dots, \chi_\lambda p_n$ are analytic trigonometric polynomials. Hence $F = \chi_\lambda \cdot F'$ is an element of $\mathcal{A} \cap M$ which is orthogonal to $\chi_{\tau+\lambda} \cdot M$. We see that there exists a G in $\mathcal{A} \cap M$ such that G is orthogonal to $\chi_{\tau+\lambda} \cdot M$ and is not contained in $\chi_\nu \cdot M$ for any positive ν in Γ . In fact, if F is in $\chi_{\nu_1} \cdot M$ for some positive ν_1 in Γ , then there exists a positive μ_1 such that $F_1 = \bar{\chi}_{\mu_1} F$ is contained in M and not in $\chi_{\nu_1} \cdot M$. But F_1 may be contained in $\chi_{\nu_2} \cdot M$ where $0 < \nu_2 < (1/2)\nu_1$. Repeat the procedure to find a function F_2 in M and is not in $\chi_{\nu_2} \cdot M$. We continue in this way infinitely if necessary. $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the norm of \mathcal{A} , and set

$$G = F + \sum_{n=1}^\infty a \cdot F_n 2^{-(n+1)} \|F_n\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$$

where $a = \min \{|F(x)|; x \text{ in } K\}$. Then it is not hard to see that G has the desired properties (cf. [11; Theorem 3]). Since $\log |G|$ is summable, $G = qh$ where q is unitary and h is outer. By Lemma 4.2, q and h are both elements in \mathcal{A} . So $B(t, x) = A(t, x) \overline{q(x)} q(x + e_t)$ is an \mathcal{A} -continuous cocycle. By the same way as in the proof of [5; Theorem 26], we see that $B(t, x)$ is a Blaschke cocycle such that the zeros of $B(z, x)$ do not accumulate on the real axis for almost all x . From the proof of [5; Theorem 33], we can choose u in R such that q and $A_u T_u q$ generate M . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *There exist non-trivial analytic (Blaschke type) \mathcal{A}_H -cocycles.*

PROOF. We can construct non-trivial \mathcal{A}_H -cocycles by a method similar to

the one used in [6]. From the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the existence of such cocycles.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be the function algebra which consists of all continuous analytic functions on K . Then there exists a closed ideal I in \mathfrak{A} such that the $L^2(K, \sigma)$ -closure of I has a non-trivial cocycle.*

PROOF. Let $A = \{A_t\}$ be a non-trivial \mathcal{A}_H -cocycle which is analytic, and let M be the simply invariant subspace corresponding to $\bar{A} = \{\bar{A}_t\}$. Since A is analytic, M is contained in $H^2(\sigma)$ (cf. [5; Theorem 21]). On the other hand, M is generated by elements of \mathcal{A} by Theorem 4.1. We set I is the set of all continuous functions in M . Then I is a closed ideal of \mathfrak{A} which has desired properties.

REMARK. The closed ideals of the disc algebra are completely known (see [2]). But it must be difficult to describe the closed ideals of function algebra which consists of all generalized analytic functions by the similar way as in [2]. The corollary above shows that there exists an ideal whose $L^2(K, \sigma)$ -closure is a peculiar invariant subspace.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to the referee whose suggestions improved the paper very much.

References

- [1] T. W. Gamelin, Uniform algebras, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
- [2] M. Hasumi and T. P. Srinivasan, Invariant subspaces of continuous functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 17 (1965), 643-651.
- [3] H. Helson, Compact groups with ordered duals, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 14 A (1965), 144-156.
- [4] H. Helson, Compact groups with ordered duals IV, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 5 (1973), 67-69.
- [5] H. Helson, Analyticity on compact abelian groups, *Algebras in Analysis*, Academic Press, 1975, 1-62.
- [6] H. Helson and J.-P. Kahane, Compact groups with ordered duals III, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1972), 573-575.
- [7] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
- [8] G. M. Leibowitz, *Lectures on Complex Function Algebras*, Scott-Foresman and Company, 1969.
- [9] P. S. Muhly, Maximal weak-* Dirichlet algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36 (1972), 515-518.
- [10] T. P. Srinivasan and J. Wang, *Weak-* Dirichlet algebras, Function algebras*, Scott-Foresman (Chicago), 1966, 216-249.
- [11] J. Tanaka, Simply invariant subspaces corresponding to continuous cocycles, preprint.

Jun-ichi TANAKA
Department of Mathematics
Tsuru University
Tsuru, Yamanashi 402
Japan

Added in proof: After this paper was submitted, the author has found another proof of Theorem 3.1. For the proof, see our paper: *A note on Helson's existence theorem*, which will appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.