

A measure on the space of smooth mappings and dynamical system theory

Dedicated to Professor Masahisa Adachi on his 60th birthday

By Masato TSUJII

(Received Oct. 25, 1990)

(Revised July 1, 1991)

1. Introduction.

In order to get good descriptions of the properties of dynamical systems, we often exclude some set of systems which seem to have singular properties. In such cases, it is important whether we can ignore the excluded set of systems or not. For example, when we consider discrete smooth dynamical systems, we often neglect the systems which have non-hyperbolic periodic points, and the transversality theorem [3] says that such systems are exceptional. In fact, systems with non-hyperbolic periodic points form a countable union of stratified subsets of codimension one in the space of mappings in some sense [3]. But, in recent studies of dynamical system theory, we frequently consider complicated subsets such as Cantor sets in the space of mappings or in the parameter spaces of parameter families. (See [2], [4] and [5].) And there is no established idea to judge whether we can neglect such subsets or not. The argument that we can neglect the complements of open dense subsets (or residual sets) has been used in some cases and it was useful in the early stage of dynamical system theory. But recent developments show that this idea is not satisfactory one. Let us see the following examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $D^r(S^1)$ be the set of C^r -diffeomorphisms on the unit circle $S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. Then it is easy to see that the set of diffeomorphisms with periodic points contains open dense subset in $D^r(S^1)$ for any $r \geq 0$. But M. R. Herman showed in [4] that the Lebesgue measure of the set $\{t \in [0, 1] \mid f_t \text{ has no periodic point.}\}$ is positive for any C^1 -family $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ in $D^2(S^1)$ with $\rho(f_0) \neq \rho(f_1)$ (ρ : rotation number).

EXAMPLE 2. In [5], S. Newhouse gave a result that the systems with infinitely many periodic sinks form residual set E in the parameter space for a certain class of one-parameter families of C^2 -diffeomorphisms. This result implies that the set of systems with infinitely many periodic sinks contains a

residual set on an open set in the space of C^2 -diffeomorphisms and has had serious influence on the later developments in dynamical system theory. But it is still unknown whether the Lebesgue measure of the set E is positive or not (and is conjectured to be zero [6].)

These facts suggest that we need another framework which has some relations to the Lebesgue measure on the parameter spaces of parameter families. It would be desirable if there existed a canonical σ -finite measure on the space of mappings such as the Lebesgue measure on Euclidean spaces. But, from the theory of measures on infinite dimensional space, it seems to be impossible [10]. In this paper, we consider a class of probability measures on the space of mappings with some smoothness with respect to parallel translations, and propose a framework to decide negligible subsets in the space of smooth mappings by using them. Of course, we do not claim that our framework is the unique one or the absolute one. There may not be any deductive way to decide such subsets. But we claim that our system is consistent (Theorem B) and that a version of Thom's transversality theorem holds in our framework (Theorem C). For applications of our framework, see [8] and [9].

2. Measures on the space of mappings.

Let M be a compact C^∞ manifold of dimension m and let $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ be a C^∞ vector bundle of dimension p over M . We denote the set of C^r sections of the vector bundle V by $\Gamma^r(V)$, which is endowed with the C^r norm and C^r topology. Then there are natural inclusions of Banach spaces:

$$\Gamma^0(V) \supset \Gamma^1(V) \supset \Gamma^2(V) \supset \dots$$

In this sequence of Banach spaces, each space is dense in the bigger spaces and the Borel σ -algebra on it coincides with the restriction of those on the bigger spaces.

Let $\tau_\varphi: \Gamma^0(V) \rightarrow \Gamma^0(V)$ be the translation by $\varphi \in \Gamma^0(V)$ i. e. $\tau_\varphi(f) = f + \varphi$. We say a Borel probability measure μ on $\Gamma^0(V)$ is quasi-invariant along the subspace $\Gamma^r(V)$ if $\tau_\varphi(\mu)$ is equivalent to μ for any element $\varphi \in \Gamma^r(V)$, and we denote the set of such measures by \mathcal{M}_r . Put $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \bigcup_{r < +\infty} \mathcal{M}_r$.

REMARK 1. The set \mathcal{M}_r is not empty for sufficiently large r . (See the proof of Lemma A in section 4.)

REMARK 2. On Euclidean spaces, a σ -finite measure is equivalent to the Lebesgue measure if and only if it is quasi-invariant along the whole space. But it is known that there is no such measure for infinite dimensional case [10]. So it is natural to consider the measures which are quasi-invariant along dense

subspaces. This is one reason why we consider the class of measures above. For another reason, see section 5.

Let us define

$$\tilde{Z}(\Gamma^r(V)) = \{E \in B(\Gamma^r(V)) \mid \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for any } \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty\}$$

where $B(\Gamma^r(V))$ denotes the Borel σ -algebra on $\Gamma^r(V)$. And then put

$$Z(\Gamma^r(V)) = \bigcap_{\phi \in D(V)} \phi_*(\tilde{Z}(\Gamma^r(V)))$$

where $D(V)$ is the group of C^∞ diffeomorphisms $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $\pi \circ \phi = \pi$ and ϕ_* is the action of the element $\phi \in D(V)$ on $\Gamma^r(V)$ such that

$$\text{graph}(\phi_*(\phi)) = \phi(\text{graph}(\phi)), \quad \phi \in \Gamma^r(V).$$

Next let us consider the space $C^r(M, N)$ of C^r mappings from M to a C^∞ manifold N of dimension p . Choose a C^∞ Riemannian metric on N and define, for $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$, a homeomorphism

$$\Phi_f: \Gamma^r(f^*TN) \longrightarrow C^r(M, N)$$

by $\Phi_f(h)(x) = \exp_{f_x}(h(x))$ on a neighborhood U_f of the zero section. Then the coordinate system $\{(\Phi_f, U_f), f \in C^\infty(M, N)\}$ makes $C^r(M, N)$ a Banach manifold. ([1])

For the space $C^r(M, N)$, let $Z(C^r(M, N))$ be the family of Borel subsets $E \subset C^r(M, N)$ such that the set $\Phi_f^{-1}(E \cap \Phi_f(U_f))$ belongs to $Z(\Gamma^r(f^*TN))$ for every $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$. Since $Z(\Gamma^r(V))$ is invariant under the action of $D(V)$, the definition of $Z(C^r(M, N))$ does not depend on the choice of C^∞ Riemannian metric on N or the choice of U_f 's. In this paper, we propose to regard a set of systems $E \subset C^r(M, N)$ as negligible when E belongs to $Z(C^r(M, N))$. As for this family $Z(C^r(M, N))$, we have the following basic facts.

LEMMA A. (1) *Countable union of elements of the family $Z(C^r(M, N))$ is also contained in $Z(C^r(M, N))$. And if a Borel set E is contained in a set $E' \in Z(C^r(M, N))$, then $E \in Z(C^r(M, N))$.*

(2) *Any subset $E \in Z(C^r(M, N))$ has no interior with respect to the C^r topology.*

From (1) above, we can define a measure m on $C^r(M, N)$ in the following way

$$m(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \in Z(C^r(M, N)); \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REMARK. We can introduce a measure m on the space of vector fields $\Gamma^r(TM)$ in the same manner *i. e.*

$$m(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \in \tilde{Z}(\Gamma^r(TM)); \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Properties of the measure m .

As for n -parameter families, we have the following:

THEOREM B. *If $m(E)=0$ for a Borel subset $E \subset C^r(M, N)$, then, for any probability measure λ on $[0, 1]^n$, we have $m(S_{E, \lambda})=0$ where*

$$S_{E, \lambda} = \{F(x, t) \in C^r(M \times [0, 1]^n, N) \mid \lambda\{t \in [0, 1]^n \mid F(\cdot, t) \in E\} > 0\}$$

and m is the measure on $C^r(M \times [0, 1]^n, N)$ which is constructed as above.

Also the following version of Thom's transversality theorem [3] holds.

THEOREM C. *Let X be a C^1 submanifold of the jet bundle $J^r(M, N)$. Then we have $m(\{f \in C^{r+1}(M, N) \mid j^r f \text{ is not transversal to } X\})=0$.*

REMARK. See [3] for the definition of jet bundles.

The following fact shows that the measure m is compatible with the Lebesgue measure (the class of measures which is equivalent to the smooth Riemannian volume) in a sense.

THEOREM D. *Let X be a Borel subset of $J^q(M, N)$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Then $m\{f \in C^r(M, N) \mid (j^q f)^{-1}(X) \text{ has positive Lebesgue measure}\}=0$ for $0 \leq q \leq r$.*

4. Proof of theorems.

In the proof below, we always assume $N = \mathbf{R}^p$, and consequently $C^r(M, N) = \Gamma^r(M \times \mathbf{R}^p)$ where we consider $M \times \mathbf{R}^p$ as a trivial vector bundle over M . It is a routine to extend our proof to the case $N \neq \mathbf{R}^p$.

PROOF OF LEMMA A. The claim (1) is self-evident. In order to prove (2), let us introduce Sobolev spaces of sections of the vector bundle $V = M \times \mathbf{R}^p$:

$$W^r(V) = \{f \in \Gamma^0(V) \mid D^\alpha f \in L^2 \text{ for any multi-index } |\alpha| \leq r\}$$

where we consider $D^\alpha f$ in every local trivialization. If s is sufficiently larger than r , then the inclusion map $W^s(V) \subset W^r(V)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Therefore, we can construct a Gaussian measure on the space $W^r(V)$ which is quasi-invariant along the space $W^s(V)$ and takes positive value for every open set on $W^r(V)$. (See [7] or the proof of Lemma E in the last section.) By Sobolev's embedding theorem, we have the continuous inclusions

$$\Gamma^{r-[m/2]-1}(V) \supset W^r(V) \supset W^s(V) \supset \Gamma^s(V),$$

and therefore we can get the claim (2).

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Let us define maps

$$\xi : C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) \times [0, 1]^n \longrightarrow C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

and

$$\xi_t : C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) \longrightarrow C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \quad t \in [0, 1]^n$$

by $\xi(F(\cdot, \cdot), t) = F(\cdot, t)$ and $\xi_t(F(\cdot, \cdot)) = F(\cdot, t)$. For any Borel probability measure μ on $C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p)$ which is quasi-invariant along $C^r(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p)$, the measure $\xi_t(\mu)$ on $C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$ is quasi-invariant along $C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$. This is because, for any $\varphi \in C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\xi_t} & C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \\ \downarrow \tau_{\tilde{\varphi}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\varphi} \\ C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\xi_t} & C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi' \in C^r(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p)$. ($\pi' : M \times [0, 1]^n \rightarrow M$ is the projection.) Let ϕ be an element of $D((M \times [0, 1]^n) \times \mathbf{R}^p)$ and put $\tilde{\phi} = \pi'' \circ \phi \circ \iota_t \in D(M \times \mathbf{R}^p)$ where $\pi'' : M \times [0, 1]^n \times \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow M \times \mathbf{R}^p$ is the projection and $\iota_t : M \times \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow M \times [0, 1]^n \times \mathbf{R}^p$ is the map defined by $\iota_t(x, v) = (x, t, v)$. (Here we consider $M \times [0, 1]^n \times \mathbf{R}^p$ and $M \times \mathbf{R}^p$ as trivial vector bundles with \mathbf{R}^p their fiber.) Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\xi_t} & C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \\ \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_* \\ C^0(M \times [0, 1]^n, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\xi_t} & C^0(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \end{array}$$

and hence we have

$$\phi_*(\mu)(\xi_t^{-1}(E)) = \xi_t(\mu)(\tilde{\phi}_*^{-1}(E)) = 0.$$

Therefore, for any Borel probability measure λ on $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\phi_*(\mu) \times \lambda(\xi^{-1}(E)) = 0$$

and then, by Fubini's theorem, $\phi_*(\mu)(S_E, \lambda) = 0$. This implies the theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM C. Take a chart $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ on an open set $V \subset M$ and let U be an open set whose closure is contained in V . Let $\rho : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ function on \mathbf{R}^m such that

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on a neighborhood of the closure of } \varphi(U); \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbf{R}^m - \varphi(V). \end{cases}$$

We denote by B the space of polynomial mappings of $\mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$ of degree r , and define a map

$$\Phi: B \times C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \longrightarrow C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

by

$$\Phi(b, f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) + \rho(\varphi(x))b(\varphi(x)) & \text{if } x \in V; \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any $f \in C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$, the map

$$\Psi_f: B \times U \longrightarrow J^r(U, \mathbf{R}^p) \subset J^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

defined by $\Psi_f(b, x) = j^r(\Phi(b, f))(x)$ is a submersion. Therefore, the set

$$X_f = \{(b, x) \in B \times U \mid \Psi_f(b, x) \in X\}$$

is a C^1 submanifold in $B \times U$. Remark that the map $j^r(\Phi(b, f))$ is transversal to X on U if and only if the point b is a regular value for the map $p: X_f \rightarrow B$ which is the restriction of the projection $B \times U \rightarrow B$ to X_f . From Sard's theorem, we have

$$\lambda\{b \in B \mid j^r(\Phi(b, f)) \text{ is not transversal to } X \text{ on } U\} = 0$$

for any $f \in C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$, where λ is a probability measure on B which is equivalent to the smooth Riemannian volume. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\lambda \times \mu)\{f \in C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \mid j^r f \text{ is not transversal to } X \text{ on } U\} \\ = \lambda \times \mu\{(b, f) \in B \times C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \mid j^r(\Phi(b, f)) \text{ is not transversal to } X \text{ on } U\} \\ = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any Borel probability measure μ on $C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$. On the other hand, in case $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$, $\Phi(\lambda \times \mu)$ is equivalent to μ , because

$$\Phi(\lambda \times \mu)(E) = \int_B \mu(\tau_{-(\rho \cdot b) \circ \varphi}(E)) d\lambda(b)$$

for any Borel set E in $C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$. Therefore, we have proved that the set

$$T_{X,U} = \{f \in C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \mid j^r f \text{ is not transversal to } X \text{ on } U\}$$

belongs to $\tilde{Z}(C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p))$. Since our argument above does not change under the action of $D(M \times \mathbf{R}^p)$, the set $T_{X,U}$ belongs to $Z(C^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{R}^p))$. From this and lemma A (1), the theorem follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM D. Let $U, V, \varphi, \rho, B, \Phi$ be those in the proof of theorem C above and let λ be a probability measure on M which is equivalent to the smooth Riemannian volume. For sufficiently small $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$, we can define a diffeomorphism $t_y: M \rightarrow M$ by

$$t_y(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1}(\rho(\varphi(x)) \cdot y + \varphi(x)) & \text{if } x \in V; \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $v=(y, b) \in \mathbf{R}^m \times B$ with y sufficiently small, let us define a mapping

$$\gamma_v: M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \longrightarrow M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

by $\gamma_v(x, f) = (t_y^{-1}(x), \Phi(b, f))$. Then, there exists a C^∞ diffeomorphism

$$\gamma'_v: J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \longrightarrow J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \\ \downarrow \gamma_v & & \downarrow \gamma'_v \\ M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \end{array}$$

where α is a map defined by $\alpha(x, f) = j^q f(x)$. From this, we can see that

$$\gamma'_v(\alpha(\lambda \times \mu)) \sim \alpha(\lambda \times \mu)$$

for any $v=(y, b) \in \mathbf{R}^m \times B$ with y sufficiently small and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$. Since the map γ'_v in the local coordinate on $J^q(U, \mathbf{R}^p)$ is nothing but the translation by the vector v , the above equivalence implies that $\alpha(\lambda \times \mu)$ is equivalent to the smooth Riemannian volume on $J^q(U, \mathbf{R}^p)$. For each $\phi \in D(M \times \mathbf{R}^p)$, there exists a C^∞ diffeomorphism

$$J_\phi^q: J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \longrightarrow J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \\ \downarrow id \times \phi_* & & \downarrow J_\phi^q \\ M \times C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & J^q(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \end{array}$$

Thus we have

$$\lambda \times (\phi_* \mu)(\alpha^{-1}(X)) = \alpha(\lambda \times \mu)((J_\phi^q)^{-1}(X)) = 0$$

and, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\phi_* \mu \{f \in C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p) \mid (j^q f)^{-1}(X) \text{ has positive Lebesgue measure}\} = 0$$

for any $\phi \in D(M \times \mathbf{R}^p)$ and any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$. This implies the theorem.

5. A remark.

For $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$, let us consider one parameter families of the form

$$f+t\cdot\varphi \quad t\in\mathbf{R}, f\in C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p).$$

Then such set of one parameter families can be considered as a (measurable) partition of the space $C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$ into one dimensional subspaces. The important is the fact that, for $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_\infty$, the conditional measures on each one dimensional subspaces are equivalent to the Lebesgue measure because they are quasi-invariant under the translation. This fact implies that we can get estimates of the value $\mu(E)$ for some $E\subset C^r(M, \mathbf{R}^p)$ from the Lebesgue measure of the set of parameter values, $\{t\in\mathbf{R} \mid f+t\cdot\varphi\in E\}$. This is one of the good points of our framework. The following lemma will be useful in proving $m(E)=0$ for some subset $E\subset C^r(M, N)$. (cf. [8]) We denote by \mathcal{M}'_r the set of Borel probability measures $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_r$ satisfying the following condition (*):

(*) For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{d\tau_\varphi\mu}{d\mu} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \mu\text{-a. e.}$$

for any $\varphi\in\Gamma^r(V)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{C^r}<\delta$.

LEMMA E. For any measure $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_r$, we can find a measure $\mu'\in\mathcal{M}'_{r+[(5/2)m]+3}$ which is equivalent to μ .

PROOF. For $s=r+[(m/2)+1]$, let us consider the Sobolev space $W^s(V)\subset C^r(V)$ as in the proof of lemma A. If there exists a probability measure ν such that

$$(1) \quad \nu \in \mathcal{M}'_{r+[(5/2)m]+3}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \nu(W^s(V)) = 1,$$

then the convolution $\mu'=\mu*\nu$ is also an element of $\mathcal{M}'_{r+[(5/2)m]+3}$ and is equivalent to the measure μ . Therefore let us show the existence of such a measure ν . First let us consider the case

$$M = T^m = (\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^m \text{ (} m\text{-torus)}, \quad V = T^m \times \mathbf{R}.$$

In this case, we can identify $W^s(V)$ with the Sobolev space of functions,

$$W^s(T^m) = \{f\in C^0(T^m, \mathbf{R}) \mid D^\alpha f\in L^2(T^m) \text{ for any multi-index } |\alpha|\leq s\},$$

with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{W^s(T^m)} = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq s} \int_{T^m} D^\alpha f \cdot D^\alpha g dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_m.$$

Then we can take the following orthonormal basis of the space $W^s(T^m)$:

$$e(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) = \frac{e'(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)}{\|e'(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)\|_{W^s(T^m)}}, \quad n_j \in \mathbf{Z},$$

where

$$e'(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = e'(n_1, x_1)e'(n_2, x_2) \cdots e'(n_m, x_m)$$

and

$$e'(n, x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=0; \\ \sin(2\pi n x) & \text{if } n>0; \\ \cos(2\pi n x) & \text{if } n<0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the product space

$$\mathbf{R}^\infty = \prod_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} \langle e'(n_1, \dots, n_m) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

Then we can identify $W^s(T^m)$ with the following subspace of \mathbf{R}^∞ :

$$\left\{ \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} x(n_1, \dots, n_m) e(n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbf{R}^\infty \mid \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} x(n_1, \dots, n_m)^2 < +\infty \right\}.$$

Let $\mu(n_1, \dots, n_m)$ be a probability measure on the one dimensional subspace $\langle e(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) \rangle_{\mathbf{R}}$ of the form

$$\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \exp(-a \cdot |x|) dx$$

where $a = (\max_j n_j)^{m+1}$, and consider the product

$$\nu_1 = \prod_{(n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbf{Z}^m} \mu(n_1, \dots, n_m)$$

on \mathbf{R}^∞ . Put, for $c > 0$,

$$B_c = \left\{ \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} x(n_1, \dots, n_m) e(n_1, \dots, n_m) \mid x(n_1, \dots, n_m) < c \cdot (\max_j n_j)^{-m} \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $W^s(T^m) \supset B_c$ for any $c > 0$ and that

$$\nu_1(B_c) = \prod_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} \{1 - \exp(-c \cdot \max_j n_j)\} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore we have $\nu_1(W^s(T^m)) = 1$. If $f = \sum x(n_1, \dots, n_m) e(n_1, \dots, n_m)$ is contained in $W^{s+2m+2}(T^m)$, then

$$\sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} \{(\max_j n_j)^{2m+2} x(n_1, \dots, n_m)\}^2 < c$$

for some constant c . And we have, for such f ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{esssup} \left(\frac{d\tau_f \nu_1}{d\nu_1} \right) &\leq \prod_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} \text{esssup} \left(\frac{d\tau_{x(n_1, \dots, n_m)} e(n_1, \dots, n_m) \mu(n_1, \dots, n_m)}{d\mu(n_1, \dots, n_m)} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left\{ \sqrt{c} \cdot \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_m)} (\max_i n_i)^{-m-1} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \|f\|_{W^{s+2m+2}(T^m)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (c \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

(For the calculation of Radon-Nikodim derivative, see [7, Chapter 3].) Since $C^{r+[(5/2)m]+3}(T^m) \subset W^{s+2m+2}(T^m)$, we have $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_{r+[(5/2)m]+3}$. Therefore $\nu = \nu_1$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

In the case

$$M = T^m, \quad V = T^m \times \mathbf{R}^p,$$

we have

$$W^s(V) = W^s(T^m) \times \overset{p \text{ times}}{\dots} \times W^s(T^m).$$

Therefore $\nu_p = \nu_1 \times \overset{p \text{ times}}{\dots} \times \nu_1$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

Finally, let us consider the general case. Take an open covering $\{U_j, j=1, 2, \dots, d\}$ of M so that there exist C^∞ vector bundle isomorphisms

$$\phi_j: \pi^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow V_j \times \mathbf{R}^p$$

where V_j is an open set on T^m . And, using a partition of unity $\{\phi_j \in C^\infty(M)\}_{j=1}^d$ subordinate to the covering $\{U_j\}$, define the following embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: W^s(V) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^d W^s(T^m \times \mathbf{R}^p) \\ f &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^d \phi_j(\phi_j \cdot f) \end{aligned}$$

Then the measure $\nu = \Psi^{-1}(p(\prod_{j=1}^d \nu_p))$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2), where

$$p: \bigoplus_{j=1}^d W^s(T^m \times \mathbf{R}^p) \rightarrow \Psi(W^s(V))$$

is the orthogonal projection.

References

- [1] R. Abraham, Lectures of Smale on Differential Topology, Columbia University.
- [2] M. Benedicks and L. Carleson, The dynamics of Hénon map, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **133** (1991), 73-169.
- [3] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, Stable Mappings and Their Singularities, *GTM 14*, Springer, 1973.
- [4] M.R. Herman, Measure de Lebesgue et nombre de rotation, *Lecture notes in Math.*, **597**, Springer, 1977, pp. 271-293.
- [5] S. Newhouse, Diffeomorphisms with infinitely many sinks, *Topology*, **13** (1974), 9-18.

- [6] J. Palis and F. Takens, Homoclinic bifurcations and hyperbolic dynamics, Instituto de Matematica Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro.
- [7] A.V. Skorohod, Integration in Hilbert Space, Springer, 1974.
- [8] M. Tsujii, Weak regularity of Lyapunov exponent in one dimensional dynamics, preprint.
- [9] M. Tsujii, Rotation number and one parameter families of circle diffeomorphisms, preprint.
- [10] Y. Umemura, Measures on infinite dimensional vector spaces, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 1 (1965), 1-47.

Masato TSUJII
Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606
Japan