

## Hyperspaces with the Hausdorff Metric and Uniform ANR's

By Masayuki KURIHARA, Katsuro SAKAI and Masato YAGUCHI

(Received Jun. 27, 2003)

(Revised May 22, 2004)

**Abstract.** For a metric space  $X = (X, d)$ , let  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  be the space of all nonempty closed sets in  $X$  with the topology induced by the Hausdorff extended metric:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A), \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) \right\} \in [0, \infty].$$

On each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ ,  $d_H$  is a metric (i.e.,  $d_H(A, B) < \infty$ ). In this paper, we give a condition on  $X$  such that each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR (in the sense of E. Michael). For a totally bounded metric space  $X$ , in order that  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform ANR, a necessary and sufficient condition is also given. Moreover, we discuss the subspace  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  consisting of all discrete sets in  $X$  and give a condition on  $X$  such that each component of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR and  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is homotopy dense in  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ .

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space. The set of all non-empty closed sets in  $X$  is denoted by  $\text{Cld}(X)$ . On the subset  $\text{Bdd}(X) \subset \text{Cld}(X)$  consisting of bounded closed sets in  $X$ , we can define the *Hausdorff metric*  $d_H$  as follows:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A), \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) \right\},$$

where  $d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a)$ . We denote the metric space  $(\text{Bdd}(X), d_H)$  by  $\text{Bdd}_H(X)$ . On the whole set  $\text{Cld}(X)$ , we allow  $d_H(A, B) = \infty$ , but  $d_H$  induces the topology of  $\text{Cld}(X)$  like a metric does. The space  $\text{Cld}(X)$  with this topology is denoted by  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ . When  $X$  is bounded,  $\text{Cld}_H(X) = \text{Bdd}_H(X)$ . Even though  $X$  is unbounded,  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is metrizable. Indeed, let  $\bar{d}$  be the metric on  $X$  defined by  $\bar{d}(x, y) = \min\{1, d(x, y)\}$ . Then,  $\bar{d}_H$  is an admissible metric of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ . It should be noted that each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is contained in  $\text{Bdd}(X)$  or in the complement  $\text{Cld}(X) \setminus \text{Bdd}(X)$ . Thus,  $\text{Bdd}_H(X)$  is a union of components of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ . On each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ ,  $d_H$  is a metric even if it is contained in  $\text{Cld}(X) \setminus \text{Bdd}(X)$ . Then, we regard every component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  as a metric space with  $d_H$ .

When  $X$  is compact, it is well-known that  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  ( $= \text{Bdd}_H(X)$ ) is an ANR (an AR)<sup>1</sup> if and only if  $X$  is locally connected (connected and locally connected) [12]. However, in case  $X$  is non-compact, this does not hold. In this paper, we construct a metric AR  $X$  such that  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54B20, 54C55.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Hyperspace of closed sets, Hausdorff metric, uniform AR, uniform ANR, uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected, almost convex,  $C$ -connected, Lawson semilattice.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14540059), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

<sup>1</sup>An ANR (an AR) means an absolute neighborhood retract (an absolute retract) for metrizable spaces.

is not an ANR. Recently, Costantini and Kubiś [4] showed that  $\text{Bdd}_H(X)$  is an AR if  $X$  is *almost convex*, that is, for each  $x, y \in X$  and for each  $s, t > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < s + t$ , there exists  $z \in X$  with  $d(x, z) < s$  and  $d(y, z) < t$ . Under a more mild condition on  $X$ , we show that each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR in the sense of Michael [9]. For a totally bounded metric space  $X$ , in order that  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  ( $= \text{Bdd}_H(X)$ ) is a uniform ANR, a necessary and sufficient condition is given. Moreover, we discuss the subspace  $\text{Dis}_H(X) \subset \text{Cld}_H(X)$  consisting of all discrete sets in  $X$  and give a condition on  $X$  such that each component of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR and  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is homotopy dense in  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ , where  $Y$  is *homotopy dense* in  $Z$  if there exists a homotopy  $h : Z \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Z$  such that  $h_0 = \text{id}_Z$  and  $h_t(Z) \subset Y$  for  $t > 0$ .

## 2. Main results and examples.

First of all, we shall construct a metric AR  $X$  such that  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  (nor  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$ ) is not an ANR.

EXAMPLE 1. The following subspace  $X$  of Euclidean plain  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is an AR:

$$X = [1, \infty) \times \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{n, n + 2^{-n}\} \times \mathbf{I}.$$

Then,  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is not locally path-connected at  $A = \mathbf{N} \times \{1\}$ . Otherwise, we can find  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that if  $d_H(A, B) < \gamma$  then  $A$  and  $B$  are connected by a path with  $\text{diam} < 1$ . Choose  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $2^{-k} < \gamma$ , and let  $B = A \cup \{(k + 2^{-k}, 1)\} \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$ . Since  $d_H(A, B) < \gamma$ , there is a path  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(X)$  such that  $f(0) = A$ ,  $f(1) = B$  and  $\text{diam} f(\mathbf{I}) < 1$ . Let

$$U = \{t \in \mathbf{I} \mid f(t) \cap \{k + 2^{-k}\} \times (0, 1] \neq \emptyset\} \text{ and } V = \{t \in \mathbf{I} \mid f(t) \cap \{k + 2^{-k}\} \times \mathbf{I} = \emptyset\}.$$

Then,  $0 \in U$ ,  $1 \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $f(t) \cap [0, \infty) \times \{0\} = \emptyset$  for every  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ , it is easy to see that  $U$  and  $V$  are open in  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $U \cup V = \mathbf{I}$ . This contradicts to the connectedness of  $\mathbf{I}$ . Similarly,  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is not locally path-connected at  $A$ .

In order to state the main results, we need some notations and definitions. Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a metric space. For  $A \subset X$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , we denote

$$\mathbf{N}(A, \gamma) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, A) < \gamma\} \text{ and } \bar{\mathbf{N}}(A, \gamma) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, A) \leq \gamma\}.$$

When  $A = \{a\}$ , we write  $\mathbf{N}(\{a\}, \gamma) = \mathbf{B}(a, \gamma)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{N}}(\{a\}, \gamma) = \bar{\mathbf{B}}(a, \gamma)$ .

A metric space  $X$  is called a *uniform ANR* if for an arbitrary metric space  $Z = (Z, d)$  containing  $X$  isometrically as a closed subset, there exist a uniform neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $Z$  (i.e.,  $U = \mathbf{N}(X, \gamma)$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ ) and a retraction  $r : U \rightarrow X$  which is uniformly continuous at  $X$ , that is, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in X$ ,  $z \in U$  and  $d(x, z) < \delta$  then  $d(x, r(z)) < \varepsilon$ . When  $U = Z$  in the above,  $X$  is called a *uniform AR*. A uniform ANR is a uniform AR if it is homotopically trivial, that is, all the homotopy groups are trivial. In [10], it is shown that a metric space  $X$  is a uniform ANR if and only if every metric space  $Z$  containing  $X$  isometrically as a dense subset is a uniform ANR and  $X$  is homotopy dense in  $Z$ .

A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be *uniformly discrete* if there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that the  $\delta$ -neighborhood  $\mathbf{B}(x, \delta)$  of each  $x \in X$  meets at most one member of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is,

$$\inf\{\text{dist}(A, A') \mid A \neq A' \in \mathcal{A}\} > 0,$$

where  $\text{dist}(A, A') = \inf\{d(x, x') \mid x \in A, x' \in A'\}$ .

For  $\eta > 0$ , an  $\eta$ -chain in a metric space  $X = (X, d)$  is a finite sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$  of points in  $X$  such that  $d(x_i, x_{i-1}) < \eta$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $k$  is called the *length* of  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$  and  $\text{diam}\{x_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}$  is the *diameter* of  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$ . When  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_k = y$ , we call  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$  an  $\eta$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  and we say that  $x$  and  $y$  are *connected* by  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$ . It is said that  $X$  is *C-connected* (or *connected in the sense of Cantor*) if each pair of points in  $X$  are connected by an  $\eta$ -chain in  $X$  for any  $\eta > 0$ .

Now, we say that  $X$  is *uniformly locally C\*-connected* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property:

$\text{ulC}^*(\varepsilon)$  For each  $\eta > 0$ , there is some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that each pair of  $\delta$ -close points of  $X$  are connected by an  $\eta$ -chain with length  $\leq k$  and  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ .

This concept is invariant under uniform homeomorphisms, that is, if a metric space is uniformly homeomorphic to a uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected metric space then it is also uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected. It is easy to see that every almost convex metric space is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected. One should note that the unit circle  $\mathbf{S}^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  with the Euclidean metric is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected but not almost convex. The following is our first main result which generalizes Costantini and Kubis' result [4] mentioned in Introduction:

**THEOREM A.** *For every uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected metric space  $X$ , the collection of all components of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is uniformly discrete and each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR, hence the spaces  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  and  $\text{Bdd}_H(X)$  are uniform ANR's.*

Here, it should be remarked that a metric space is a uniform ANR if and only if the collection of all components is uniformly discrete and each component is a uniform ANR.

The uniformly local  $C^*$ -connectedness is stronger than the uniformly local version of  $C$ -connectedness. It is said that  $X$  is *uniformly locally C-connected* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  with the following property:

$\text{ulC}(\varepsilon)$  For each  $\eta > 0$ , each pair of  $\delta$ -close points of  $X$  are connected by an  $\eta$ -chain in  $X$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ .

This concept is also invariant under uniform homeomorphisms. As seen in the following example, the uniformly local  $C$ -connectedness does not imply the uniformly local  $C^*$ -connectedness.

**EXAMPLE 2.** For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $e_n$  be the unit vector in  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$  defined by  $e_n(i) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$  and  $e_n(n) = 1$ . We define a metric space  $X = (X, d)$  as follows:

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{R}e_n \subset \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}}, \quad d(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \min\{2^{-n}, |x(n) - y(n)|\}.$$

Then,  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C$ -connected but it is not uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.

To see the uniformly local  $C$ -connectedness, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . When  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}e_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , for each  $\eta > 0$ , choose  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $\eta(k-1) \leq |x(n) - y(n)| < \eta k$ , and define  $x_i = x + \eta i e_n$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $x_k = y$ . Then,  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$  is an  $\eta$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $X$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ . When  $x \in \mathbf{R}e_n$  and  $y \in \mathbf{R}e_m$  for  $n \neq m \in \mathbf{N}$ , since  $d(x, y) = d(x, 0) + d(y, 0)$ ,

for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , we can obtain an  $\eta$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$  by joining two  $\eta$ -chains from  $x$  to  $0$  and from  $0$  to  $y$ .

To see that  $X$  is not uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected, for each  $\delta > 0$ , choose  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $2^{-n} < \delta$ . Then, for every  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $d(0, me_n) \leq 2^{-n} < \delta$ . If  $(x_i)_{i=0}^k$  is a  $2^{-n}$ -chain from  $0$  to  $me_n$  then  $k \geq m2^n$ . This means that  $X$  is not uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.

The following is our second main result:

**THEOREM B.** *For a totally bounded metric space  $X$ , the space  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  ( $= \text{Bdd}_H(X)$ ) is a uniform ANR if and only if  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C$ -connected, whence each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR.*

For the space  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$ , we have the following result:<sup>2</sup>

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space with the following two properties:*

- (C<sub>1</sub>) *Every bounded closed set in  $X$  is compact;*
- (C<sub>2</sub>) *For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $k, \delta > 0$  such that any pair of  $\delta$ -close points in  $X$  are connected by a  $k$ -Lipschitz path  $f: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{diam} f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon$ .*

*Then, the collection of all components of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is uniformly discrete and each component of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR, hence  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is an ANR. In this case,  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is homotopy dense in  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ .*

In the above, each component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a uniform AR but this follows from Theorem A. In fact, it will be seen that the condition (C<sub>2</sub>) above implies the uniformly local  $C^*$ -connectedness.

### 3. Lawson semilattices which are uniform ANR's.

A *topological semilattice* is a topological space  $S$  equipped with a continuous operation  $\vee: S \times S \rightarrow S$  which is idempotent, commutative and associative (i.e.,  $x \vee x = x$ ,  $x \vee y = y \vee x$ ,  $(x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z)$ ). A topological semilattice  $S$  is called a *Lawson semilattice* if  $S$  admits an open basis consisting of subsemilattices [8]. It is known that a metrizable Lawson semilattice is  $k$ -aspherical for each  $k > 0$  ([4, Proposition 2.3]).

In [1], it is shown that a metrizable Lawson semilattice is an ANR (resp. an AR) if and only if it is locally path-connected (resp. connected and locally path-connected). Here, we consider the condition that a metric Lawson semilattice is a uniform ANR. By  $\mathbf{B}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbf{S}^n$ , we denote the unit  $(n+1)$ -ball and the  $n$ -sphere, respectively. We will use the following result in [5]:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a map  $r: \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_3(\mathbf{S}^n)$  such that  $r(x) = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{S}^n$ , where*

$$\mathfrak{F}_3(\mathbf{S}^n) = \{A \subset \mathbf{S}^n \mid \text{card } A \leq 3\} \subset \text{Fin}(\mathbf{S}^n).$$

A metric space  $X$  is *uniformly locally  $k$ -connected* if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $n \leq k$ , every map  $f: \mathbf{S}^n \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{diam} f(\mathbf{S}^n) < \delta$  extends to a map  $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{diam} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{B}^{n+1}) < \varepsilon$ . Evidently,  $X$  is uniformly locally 0-connected if and only if

<sup>2</sup>This is established in [7].

$X$  is uniformly locally path-connected. For each simplex  $\sigma$ , we denote by  $\sigma^{(k)}$  the union of all  $k$ -faces of  $\sigma$ . The following is proved in [10]:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *A uniformly locally  $(k - 1)$ -connected metric space  $X$  is a uniform ANR if  $X$  has the following property  $(\tilde{e})_k$ :*

$(\tilde{e})_k$  *There exists  $\beta > 1$  such that every map  $f : |K^{(k)}| \rightarrow X$  of the  $k$ -skeleton of an arbitrary simplicial complex  $K$  extends to a map  $\bar{f} : |K| \rightarrow X$  such that  $\text{diam}\bar{f}(\sigma) \leq \beta \text{diam}f(\sigma^{(k)})$  for each  $\sigma \in K$ .*

Moreover, if  $X$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected then  $X$  is a uniform AR.

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $X$  is uniformly locally path-connected, then the collection of all components of  $X$  is uniformly discrete in  $X$ .*

PROOF. First, note that components of  $X$  are path-connected. By the uniformly local path-connectedness of  $X$ , we have  $\delta > 0$  such that a pair of  $\delta$ -close points of  $X$  are connected by a path in  $X$ . Then,  $\text{dist}(C, C') \geq \delta$  for each two distinct components  $C \neq C'$  of  $X$ .  $\square$

It is said that a metric space  $X$  is *uniformly locally contractible* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that the  $\delta$ -ball  $B(x, \delta)$  at each  $x \in X$  is contractible in the  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B(x, \varepsilon)$ . Every uniform ANR is uniformly locally contractible by [9, Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.6]. And, as is easily observed, every uniformly locally contractible metric space is uniformly locally  $k$ -connected for all  $k \geq 0$ .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $L = (L, d, \vee)$  be a metric Lawson semilattice such that*

$$d(x \vee x', y \vee y') \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(x', y')\} \text{ for each } x, x', y, y' \in L.$$

Then, the following are equivalent:

- (a) *the collection of all components of  $L$  is uniformly discrete in  $L$  and each component of  $L$  is a uniform AR;*
- (b)  *$L$  is a uniform ANR;*
- (c)  *$L$  is uniformly locally contractible;*
- (d)  *$L$  is uniformly locally path-connected.*

PROOF. The implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is easy. The implications (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) have been observed in the above. It remains to show (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

The first half of (a) follows from Lemma 3.3. To see the second half of (a), let  $C$  be a component of  $L$ . Then,  $C$  is a path-connected. Moreover,  $C$  is a subsemilattice of  $L$ . In fact, for each  $x, y \in C$ , by using a path  $f : I \rightarrow C$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , a path  $g : I \rightarrow L$  from  $x$  to  $x \vee y$  can be defined by  $g(t) = f(0) \vee f(t)$  for  $t \in I$ , hence  $x \vee y \in C$ .

By Proposition 3.2, it suffices to show that  $C$  satisfies the property  $(\tilde{e})_1$ . Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex and  $f_1 : |K^{(1)}| \rightarrow C$  be a map. Suppose we have defined maps  $f_i : |K^{(i)}| \rightarrow C$ ,  $i < n$ , such that  $f_i|_{|K^{(i-1)}|} = f_{i-1}$  and  $\text{diam}f_i(\sigma^{(i)}) = \text{diam}f_1(\sigma^{(1)})$  for all  $\sigma \in K$ . By Proposition 3.1, for each  $n$ -simplex  $\sigma \in K$ , there is a map  $r_\sigma : \sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_3(\partial\sigma)$  such that  $r_\sigma(x) = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in \partial\sigma$ . We extend  $f_{n-1}$  to a map  $f_n : |K^{(n)}| \rightarrow C$  by  $f_n|_\sigma = f_\sigma \circ r_\sigma$  for each  $n$ -simplex  $\sigma \in K$ , where  $f_\sigma : \mathfrak{F}_3(\partial\sigma) \rightarrow C$  is defined as follows:

$$f_{\sigma}(\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}) = f_{n-1}(a_1) \vee f_{n-1}(a_2) \vee f_{n-1}(a_3).$$

Then,  $\text{diam}f_n(\sigma^{(n)}) = \text{diam}f_1(\sigma^{(1)})$  for all  $\sigma \in K$ . In fact, each  $x, y \in \sigma^{(n)}$  are contained in  $n$ -faces  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  of  $\sigma$ , respectively. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= f_{n-1}(a_1) \vee f_{n-1}(a_2) \vee f_{n-1}(a_3) \quad \text{and} \\ f_n(y) &= f_{n-1}(b_1) \vee f_{n-1}(b_2) \vee f_{n-1}(b_3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_{\sigma_x}(x) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  and  $r_{\sigma_y}(y) = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ . By the inductive assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_n(x), f_n(y)) &\leq \max\{d(f_{n-1}(a_i), f_{n-1}(b_j)) \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3\} \\ &\leq \sup\{d(f_n(x'), f_n(y')) \mid x', y' \in \sigma^{(n-1)}\} \\ &= \text{diam}f_{n-1}(\sigma^{(n-1)}) = \text{diam}f_1(\sigma^{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction, we obtain maps  $f_n : |K^{(n)}| \rightarrow C$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , such that  $f_n||K^{(n-1)}| = f_{n-1}$  and  $\text{diam}f_n(\sigma^{(n)}) = \text{diam}f_1(\sigma^{(1)})$  for all  $\sigma \in K$ . These maps induce the extension  $\tilde{f} : |K| \rightarrow C$  of  $f$  such that  $\text{diam}\tilde{f}_n(\sigma^{(n)}) = \text{diam}f_1(\sigma^{(1)})$  for all  $\sigma \in K$ . Hence,  $C$  has the property  $(\tilde{\epsilon})_1$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem A.

It is known that  $\text{Cld}_H(X) = (\text{Cld}_H(X), \cup)$  is a Lawson semilattice satisfying the following condition:

$$d_H(A \cup A', B \cup B') \leq \max\{d_H(A, B), d_H(A', B')\} \quad \text{for each } A, A', B, B' \in \text{Cld}_H(X).$$

Refer to [4, Proposition 2.4] (cf. the proof of [1, Fact 4]). By Theorem 3.4, we can reduce Theorem A to the following:

**THEOREM 4.1.** *For every uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected metric space  $X$ , the space  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is uniformly locally path-connected.*

Before proving this theorem, we give a characterization of the uniformly local  $C^*$ -connectedness. For two metric spaces  $X = (X, d_X)$  and  $Y = (Y, d_Y)$ , let  $C(X, Y)$  be the set consisting of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . It is said that  $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X, Y)$  is *uniformly equi-continuous* if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x, x' \in X$  with  $d_X(x, x') < \delta$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $D$  be a countable dense subset of the unit interval  $\mathbf{I}$  with the usual metric and  $0, 1 \in D$ . Then, a metric space  $X = (X, d)$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset C(D, X)$  satisfying the following:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly equi-continuous,
- (ii)  $\text{diam}f(D) < \epsilon$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- (iii) for each  $\delta$ -close  $x, y \in X$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(0) = x$  and  $f(1) = y$ .

PROOF. First, we show the “if” part. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\delta > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset C(D, X)$  satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii). By (i), for each  $\eta > 0$ , there is  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d(f(t), f(t')) < \eta$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $t, t' \in D$  with  $|t - t'| < 2/k$ . By (iii), for each  $\delta$ -close  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(0) = x$  and  $f(1) = y$ . Since  $D$  is dense in  $\mathbf{I}$ , there are  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$  such that  $t_i - t_{i-1} < 2/k$ . Then,  $(f(t_i))_{i=0}^k$  is an  $\eta$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  of diameter  $< \varepsilon$  by (ii). Thus,  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.

Conversely, assume that  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we can choose  $\delta_n > 0$  so that for each  $\eta > 0$ , there is  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that each pair of  $\delta_n$ -close points of  $X$  are connected by an  $\eta$ -chain of length  $\leq k$  and diameter  $< 2^{-n}\varepsilon$ , where  $\delta_n < 2^{-n}\varepsilon$ . Then, we have  $k_n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that each pair of  $\delta_n$ -close points of  $X$  are connected by  $\delta_{n+1}$ -chain of length  $\leq k_n$  and diameter  $< 2^{-n}\varepsilon$ . Since  $D$  is a countable dense subset of  $\mathbf{I}$ , we can obtain  $\{0, 1\} = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \dots \subset D$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > 0$  such that  $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} D_n$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ , each component  $J$  of  $\mathbf{I} \setminus D_{n-1}$  contains  $k_n - 1$  many points of  $D_n$  and  $\text{diam } J < \varepsilon_n$ .

For each pair of  $\delta_1$ -close points  $x, y \in X$ , we can easily construct  $f_{xy} : D \rightarrow X$  with  $f_{xy}(0) = x$ ,  $f_{xy}(1) = y$  and the following property:

- (\*) if  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{k_n} \in D_n$  and  $(t_0, t_{k_n})$  is a component of  $\mathbf{I} \setminus D_{n-1}$  (hence  $t_0, t_{k_n} \in D_{n-1}$ ), then  $(f(t_i))_0^{k_n}$  is a  $\delta_{n+1}$ -chain with the diameter  $< 2^{-n}\varepsilon$ .

For each  $t, t' \in D$ , let  $J$  and  $J'$  be components of  $\mathbf{I} \setminus D_{n-1}$  such that  $t \in \text{cl}J$  and  $t' \in \text{cl}J'$ . If  $|t - t'| < \varepsilon_n$  then  $\text{cl}J \cap \text{cl}J' \neq \emptyset$ , whence it is easy to see that  $d(f(t), f(t')) < 2^{-n+2}\varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_{xy} \mid x, y \in X, d(x, y) < \delta_1\}$  is uniformly equi-continuous and  $\text{diam}_{f_{xy}}(D) < \varepsilon$  for every  $f_{xy} \in \mathcal{F}$ . □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\delta > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset C(D, X)$  which satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 4.2. For each  $A, B \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$  with  $d_H(A, B) < \delta$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_{A,B} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid f(0) \in A, f(1) \in B\}$ . Each  $a \in A$  is  $\delta$ -close to some  $b \in B$ , whence we have  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}$  with  $f(0) = a$ . Similarly, for each  $b \in B$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}$  such that  $f(1) = b$ . We can define a path  $\varphi : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(X)$  from  $A$  to  $B$  as follows:

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \text{cl}_X \cup \{f([0, 2t] \cap D) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}\} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1/2], \\ \text{cl}_X \cup \{f([2t - 1, 1] \cap D) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}\} & \text{if } t \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

For each  $t \in [0, 1/2]$ ,  $A \subset \varphi(t) \subset N(A, \varepsilon)$ , hence  $d_H(A, \varphi(t)) < \varepsilon$ . Similarly,  $d_H(B, \varphi(t)) < \varepsilon$  for each  $t \in [1/2, 1]$ . Therefore,  $\text{diam}_{d_H} \varphi(\mathbf{I}) < 2\varepsilon$ .

We verify the continuity of  $\varphi$ . For each  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , since  $\mathcal{F}_{A,B}$  is uniformly equi-continuous, there is  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $d(f(2t), f(2t')) < \varepsilon'$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}$  and  $t, t' \in D$  with  $|t - t'| < \delta'$ . Let  $0 \leq t' < t \leq 1/2$  with  $|t - t'| < \delta'$ . Observe that  $\varphi(t') \subset \varphi(t)$ . For each  $x \in \varphi(t)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon'' < \varepsilon'$ , we have  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{A,B}$  and  $s \in [0, t] \cap D$  such that  $d(x, f(2s)) < \varepsilon''$ . When  $s \leq t'$ , we have  $d(x, \varphi(t')) < \varepsilon'' < \varepsilon'$  because  $f(2s) \in \varphi(t')$ . When  $s > t'$ , we have  $d(x, \varphi(t')) < \varepsilon'' + \varepsilon'$  because  $f(2t') \in \varphi(t')$  and

$$d(x, f(2t')) \leq d(x, f(2s)) + d(f(2s), f(2t')) < \varepsilon'' + \varepsilon'.$$

Consequently,  $d(x, \varphi(t')) \leq \varepsilon'$ . It follows that  $d_H(\varphi(t), \varphi(t')) \leq \varepsilon'$ , hence  $\varphi|_{[0, 1/2]}$  is continuous. Similarly,  $\varphi|_{[1/2, 1]}$  is continuous. Thus, it follows that  $\varphi$  is continuous. □

For a complete metric space  $X$  and a dense subset  $D \subset I$ , every uniformly continuous map  $f : D \rightarrow X$  extends over  $I$ . Then, the following follows from Theorem 4.2:

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *Every uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected complete metric space is uniformly locally path-connected.*

## 5. Proof of Theorem B.

Due to Theorem A, the “if” part of Theorem B follows from the following:

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Every totally bounded uniformly locally  $C$ -connected metric space  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.*

**PROOF.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\delta > 0$  with  $\text{ulC}(\varepsilon/2)$ . For each  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon/4$ , since  $X$  is totally bounded, we have  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, \eta/3) = X$ . For each  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , we show that  $x$  and  $y$  are connected by an  $\eta$ -chain with length  $\leq n + 1$  and  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ . We may assume that  $d(x, y) \geq \eta$ . By  $\text{ulC}(\varepsilon/2)$ , we have an  $\eta/3$ -chain  $(y_j)_{j=0}^k$  in  $X$  from  $x$  to  $y$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon/2$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ , choose  $x_{i(j)}$  so that  $d(y_j, x_{i(j)}) < \eta/3$ . Then,  $(x_{i(j)})_{j=1}^{k-1}$  is an  $\eta$ -chain in  $X$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$  and  $i(1) \neq i(k - 1)$  because  $d(x, y) \geq \eta$ . If  $x_{i(j)} = x_{i(j')}$  for some  $j < j'$  then the sequence  $x_{i(1)}, \dots, x_{i(j)}, x_{i(j')+1}, \dots, x_{i(k)}$  is also an  $\eta$ -chain in  $X$ . Hence, we can choose  $j_1 = 1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m = k - 1$  so that  $i(j_\ell) = i(j_{\ell+1} - 1)$  and  $i(j_\ell) \neq i(j_{\ell'})$  for  $\ell < \ell'$ , whence the sequence  $x, x_{i(j_1)}, \dots, x_{i(j_m)}, y$  is an  $\eta$ -chain in  $X$  from  $x$  to  $y$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$  and length  $m + 1 \leq n + 1$ .  $\square$

The “only if” part of Theorem B follows from the following:

**PROPOSITION 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a subspace of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  such that  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{H}$  for each  $x \in X$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is uniformly locally path-connected then  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C$ -connected.*

**PROOF.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then there is a map  $f : I \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(0) = \{x\}$ ,  $f(1) = \{y\}$  and  $\text{diam}_{d_H} f(I) < \varepsilon/2$ . By the compactness of  $I$ , for each  $\eta > 0$ , we have  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$  such that  $d_H(f(t_i), f(t_{i-1})) < \eta$ , hence we can inductively choose  $x_i \in f(t_i)$  so that  $d(x_i, x_{i-1}) < \eta$ , whence  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_n = y$ . Since  $\text{diam}_{d_H} f(I) < \varepsilon$ , it follows that  $f(t) \subset B(x, \varepsilon/2)$  for each  $t \in I$ , hence  $d(x_i, x) < \varepsilon/2$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,  $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$  is an  $\eta$ -chain from  $x$  to  $y$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C$ -connected.  $\square$

Similarly to the above, the following can be proved:

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a subspace of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  such that  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{H}$  for each  $x \in X$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is locally path-connected then each  $x \in X$  has an arbitrarily small  $C$ -connected neighborhood, namely  $X$  is locally  $C$ -connected.*

## 6. Proof of Theorem C.

In this section, we prove Theorem C. A subset  $A \subset X$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -discrete if  $d(x, y) > \varepsilon$  for  $x \neq y \in A$ . The following proposition shows that  $\text{Dis}(X)$  is dense in  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ .

PROPOSITION 6.1. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , each  $A \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$  contains an  $\varepsilon$ -discrete subset  $B$  such that  $A \subset N(B, \varepsilon)$ , hence  $d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. By Zorn's Lemma,  $A$  has a maximal  $\varepsilon$ -discrete subset  $B_0$ . Then  $A \subset N(B_0, \varepsilon)$ . Otherwise, we could take a point  $y \in A \setminus N(B_0, \varepsilon)$ , whence  $B_0 \subsetneq B_0 \cup \{y\} \subset A$  and  $B_0 \cup \{y\}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -discrete. This contradicts the maximality of  $B_0$ .  $\square$

Due to [10, Theorem 2], every uniform ANR is homotopy dense in a metric space in which it is isometrically embedded as a dense subset. Thus, by Theorem 3.4, we can reduce Theorem C to the following:

THEOREM 6.2. Let  $X$  be a metric space with the following properties:

- (C<sub>1</sub>) Every bounded closed set in  $X$  is compact;
- (C<sub>2</sub>) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k, \delta > 0$  such that any pair of  $\delta$ -close points in  $X$  are connected by a  $k$ -Lipschitz path  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{diam}f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon$ .

Then,  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is uniformly locally path-connected.

PROOF. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $k, \delta > 0$  so that any pair of  $\delta$ -close points in  $X$  are connected by a  $k$ -Lipschitz path  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{diam}f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $A, B \in \text{Dis}(X)$  and  $d_H(A, B) < \delta$ . Since each  $x \in B$  is  $\delta$ -close to some point in  $A$ , there is a collection  $\{f_x \mid x \in B\}$  of  $k$ -Lipschitz paths in  $X$  such that  $f_x(0) = x, f_x(1) \in A$  and  $\text{diam}f_x(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2$ . Then,  $A$  and  $A \cup B$  are connected by the path  $h_A : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H(X)$  defined as follows:

$$h_A(t) = A \cup \{f_x(1-t) \mid x \in B\}.$$

To verify that  $h_A(t) \in \text{Dis}_H(X)$  for each  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ , assume the contrary, that is,  $h_A(t)$  is not discrete for some  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ . Then,  $0 < t < 1$  because  $h_A(0) = A$  and  $h_A(1) = A \cup B$  are discrete. We have infinitely many distinct points  $x_i \in B, i \in \mathbf{N}$ , such that  $(f_{x_i}(1-t))_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges to some  $y \in X$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_i, y) &\leq d(f_{x_i}(0), f_{x_i}(1-t)) + d(f_{x_i}(1-t), y) \\ &\leq k(1-t) + d(f_{x_i}(1-t), y), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $d(x_i, y) < k$  for sufficiently large  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus,  $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is an infinite bounded set. On the other hand, since  $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset B$ , it is discrete in  $X$ . This is a contradiction because every bounded closed set in  $X$  is compact.

To see the continuity of  $h_A$  and  $\text{diam}h_A(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2$ , let  $t, t' \in \mathbf{I}$ . Since

$$d(f_x(1-t), f_x(1-t')) < k|t-t'| \text{ for every } x \in B,$$

we have  $d_H(h_A(t), h_A(t')) < k|t-t'|$ , hence  $h_A$  is continuous. On the other hand, since

$$d(f_x(1-t), f_x(1-t')) \leq \text{diam}f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for each } x \in B,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_A(t) &= A \cup \{f_x(1-t) \mid x \in B\} \\ &\subset N(A \cup \{f_x(1-t') \mid x \in B\}, \varepsilon/2) = N(h_A(t'), \varepsilon/2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $h_A(t') \subset N(h_A(t), \varepsilon/2)$ . Hence,  $d_H(h_A(t), h_A(t')) < \varepsilon/2$ . Thus, we have  $\text{diam } h_A(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2$ .

Similarly there exists a path  $h_B : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H(X)$  such that  $h_B(0) = B$ ,  $h_B(1) = A \cup B$  and  $\text{diam } h_B(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon/2$ . By using  $h_A$  and  $h_B$ , it is easy to obtain a path from  $A$  to  $B$  in  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  with  $\text{diam} < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is uniformly locally path-connected.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 6.3.** *If a metric space  $X$  satisfies the condition  $(C_2)$ , then  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.*

**PROOF.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\delta, k > 0$  as in the condition  $(C_2)$ . We define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f \in C(D, X) \mid f \text{ is } k\text{-Lipschitz with } \text{diam} f(D) < \varepsilon\}.$$

It easily follows from the definition that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 4.2. For each  $\delta$ -close points  $x, y \in X$ , there is a  $k$ -Lipschitz path  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow X$  with  $f(0) = x$ ,  $f(1) = y$  and  $\text{diam} f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon$ . Then, observe that  $f|D \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the condition (iii) in Theorem 4.2. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2,  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.  $\square$

The following example shows that the uniformly local path-connectedness of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  does not imply the condition  $(C_1)$  nor  $(C_2)$  for  $X$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.** The space  $X = \mathbf{I} \setminus \{2^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  with the usual metric does not satisfy  $(C_1)$  nor  $(C_2)$ . We show that  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is uniformly locally path-connected.

First, we prove that  $\text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$  is path-connected. Each  $A \in \text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$  can be written as  $A = \{a_n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ , where  $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, we can define a path  $f_A : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H(X)$  from  $A$  to  $\{a_0\}$  as follows:  $f_A(0) = A$ ,  $f_A(1) = \{a_0\}$ ,  $f_A(2^{-n}) = \{a_i \mid |i| \leq n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and, for  $2^{-n-1} < t < 2^{-n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(t) &= f_A(2^{-n}) \cup \{(2^{n+1}t - 1)a_n + (2 - 2^{n+1}t)a_{n+1}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(2^{n+1}t - 1)a_{-n} + (2 - 2^{n+1}t)a_{-(n+1)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By connecting  $f_A$  and a path from  $a_0$  to  $1/2$ , we can obtain a path from  $A$  to  $\{1/2\}$  in  $\text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$ . Thus,  $\text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$  is path-connected. Similarly, it can be seen that  $\text{Dis}_H([0, 1))$  and  $\text{Dis}_H((0, 1])$  are also path-connected.

Next, we prove that  $\text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1))$  is path-connected. Let

$$A_+ = \{2^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} \text{ and } A_- = \{-2^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Then,  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  can be connected to  $A_- \cup A_+$  by paths  $f_{\pm} : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1))$  defined as follows:  $f_{\pm}(0) = A_{\pm}$ ,  $f_{\pm}(1) = A_- \cup A_+$ ,  $f_+(t) = tA_- \cup A_+$  and  $f_-(t) = A_- \cup tA_+$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , where  $tA = \{tx \mid x \in A\}$ . Now, let  $B \in \text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1))$ . If  $B \subset (-1, 0)$  or  $B \subset (0, 1)$  then  $B$  can be connected to  $A_-$  or  $A_+$  by a path in  $\text{Dis}_H((-1, 0))$  or in  $\text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$ , hence it can be

connected to  $A_- \cup A_+$  by a path in  $\text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1))$ . When  $B \not\subset (-1, 0)$  and  $B \not\subset (0, 1)$ , we have two paths  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H((-1, 0))$  and  $g : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H((0, 1))$  such that  $f(0) = B \cap (-1, 0)$ ,  $f(1) = A_-$ ,  $g(0) = B \cap (0, 1)$  and  $g(1) = A_+$ . Then, a path  $h : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1))$  from  $B$  to  $A_- \cup A_+$  can be defined by  $h(t) = f(t) \cup g(t)$ . Similarly, we can see that  $\text{Dis}_H([-1, 0) \cup (0, 1])$ ,  $\text{Dis}_H([-1, 0] \cup (0, 1))$  and  $\text{Dis}_H((-1, 0) \cup (0, 1])$  are also path-connected.

For each  $0 \leq a < b$ ,  $\text{Dis}_H([a, b] \cap X)$  is a closed subspace of  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$ . By using the above facts, we can easily show that  $\text{Dis}_H([a, b] \cap X)$  is path-connected.

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  so that  $2^{-n+1} < \varepsilon$ , let

$$X_i = [(i - 1)2^{-n}, (i + 1)2^{-n}] \cap X, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2^n.$$

Note that each pair of  $2^{-n}$ -close points of  $X$  are contained in the same  $X_i$ . For each  $A, B \in \text{Dis}_H(X)$  with  $d_H(A, B) < 2^{-n}$ , let

$$E = \{i \mid A \cap X_i \neq \emptyset, B \cap X_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then,  $A \cup B \subset \bigcup_{i \in E} X_i$ . For each  $i \in E$ , since  $\text{Dis}_H(X_i)$  is path-connected, there is a path  $f_i : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H(X_i)$  with  $f_i(0) = A \cap X_i$  and  $f_i(1) = B \cap X_i$ . A path  $f : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Dis}_H(X)$  from  $A$  to  $B$  can be defined by  $f(t) = \bigcup_{i \in E} f_i(t)$ . Then,  $f(t) \subset \bigcup_{i \in E} X_i$  for each  $t \in \mathbf{I}$  and  $f(t) \cap X_i \supset f_i(t) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $t \in \mathbf{I}$  and  $i \in E$ . It follows that

$$d_H(f(t), f(t')) \leq \text{diam}X_i \leq 2^{-n+1} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t, t' \in \mathbf{I},$$

that is,  $\text{diam}f(\mathbf{I}) < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is uniformly locally path-connected.

### 7. Further problems and related results.

After the eariler version of this paper had been submitted, Banakh and Voytsitski [2] succeeded in proving the converse of Theorem A, that is,

**THEOREM 7.1 ([2]).** *The space  $\text{Bdd}_H(X)$  (or  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ ) is a uniform ANR if and only if  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected.*

In Example 1,  $X$  is not a uniform ANR but  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  need not be an ANR even for a uniform AR  $X$ . In [2], Banakh and Voytsitski showed that  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^N)$  is not an ANR, where  $\mathbf{R}^N$  has the usual product metric. The following is unknown:

**PROBLEM 1.** Characterize metric spaces  $X$  such that  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  are ANR's.

In case  $X$  is uniformly locally compact (i.e., there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\overline{B}(x, \delta)$  is compact for each  $x \in X$ ), it is proved in [2] that  $\text{Dis}_H(X)$  is a uniform ANR if and only if  $X$  is uniformly locally  $C^*$ -connected. Due to Theorem A,  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{Q})$  and  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q})$  are ANR's with respect the usual metric. However, the following is open:

**PROBLEM 2.** Is  $\text{Dis}_H(\mathbf{Q})$  or  $\text{Dis}_H(\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q})$  an ANR?

The following proposition is shown in [7], which shows the complexity of the space  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$ .

PROPOSITION 7.2. *The space  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  has uncountably many components. Moreover, the space  $\text{Comp}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  of all compact sets is one of them and all but this component are non-separable.*

PROOF. First, we show that  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R})$  has uncountably many components. Let  $A = \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . We can write  $A = \{k(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  such that  $k(i, j) \neq k(i', j')$  if  $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$ . For each nonempty set  $E \subset \mathbf{N}$ , we denote  $A_E = \{k(i, j) \mid i \in \mathbf{N}, j \in E\} \in \text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R})$ . Observe that if  $E \neq E' (\subset \mathbf{N})$ , then  $A_E \setminus A_{E'}$  or  $A_{E'} \setminus A_E$  contains infinitely many points, which implies that  $d_H(A_E, A_{E'}) = \infty$ . Then  $A_E$  and  $A_{E'}$  are contained in different components. Since  $\mathbf{N}$  has uncountably many subsets,  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R})$  has uncountably many components.

The case  $n \geq 2$  is simpler than the above. For each  $x \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \|x\| = 1\}$ , let  $A_x = \{tx \mid t \in [0, \infty)\} \in \text{Cld}_H(X)$ . Then, for  $x \neq y \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ , evidently  $d_H(A_x, A_y) = \infty$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{A}_x$  be the component of  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  containing  $A_x$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_x \cap \mathcal{A}_y = \emptyset$  for  $x \neq y \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ . Hence,  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  has uncountably many components.

It should be noted that  $\text{Comp}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is connected and clopen in  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Hence  $\text{Comp}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is a component of  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a component of  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \neq \text{Comp}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  contains an unbounded closed set  $A$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Choose  $a_n \in A$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , so that  $\|a_{n+1}\| > \|a_n\| + 3$ . Let

$$A_1 = A \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{\mathbf{B}}(a_n, 1).$$

Then, we have the path  $h : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  defined by

$$h(t) = A \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{\mathbf{B}}(a_n, t).$$

Since  $h(0) = A$  and  $h(1) = A_1$ , it follows that  $A_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ . For each  $E \subset \mathbf{N}$ , let  $A_E = A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \in E} \overline{\mathbf{B}}(a_n, 1)$ . There exists the path  $h_E : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  defined by  $h_E(t) = A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{n \in E} \overline{\mathbf{B}}(a_n, t)$ . Since  $h_E(0) = A_1$  and  $h_E(1) = A_E$ , it follows that  $A_E \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to see that  $d_H(A_E, A_{E'}) = 1$  if  $E \neq E'$ . This means that  $\{A_E \mid E \subset \mathbf{N}\}$  is a discrete subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\text{card}\{A_E \mid E \subset \mathbf{N}\} > \aleph_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-separable.  $\square$

For an arbitrary Banach space  $X$ , every component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  is a complete metric AR by Theorems A and [3, Theorem 3.2.4].

PROBLEM 3. For a Banach space (or a Hilbert space)  $X$ , is every component of  $\text{Cld}_H(X)$  homeomorphic to a Hilbert space?

Even if  $X$  is Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the above is unknown, that is,

PROBLEM 4. Is each non-separable component of  $\text{Cld}_H(\mathbf{R}^n)$  homeomorphic to a Hilbert space?

In relation to above problems, some results with different topologies have been obtained in [1], [6] and [11]. For topologies on hyperspaces, we refer to the book [3].

THEOREM 7.3 ([11]). *For a Hausdorff space  $X$ , the hyperspace  $\text{Cld}_F(X)$  with the Fell*

topology is homeomorphic to  $Q \setminus \{0\}$  if and only if  $X$  is locally compact, locally connected, separable metrizable and has no compact components, where  $Q = \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{N}}$  is the Hilbert cube.

**THEOREM 7.4 ([1]).** For every infinite-dimensional Banach space  $X$  with weight  $\tau$ , the hyperspace  $\text{Cld}_{\text{AW}}(X)$  with the Attouch-Wets topology is homeomorphic to the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(2^\tau)$  with weight  $2^\tau$ , where  $w(X)$  is the weight of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 7.5 ([6]).** For every infinite-dimensional separable Banach space  $X$ , the hyperspace  $\text{Cld}_W(X)$  with the Wijsman topology is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space  $\ell_2$ .

Finally, the authors would like express their sincere thanks to Taras Banakh for his helpful comments and suggestions. He noticed that Example 1 can be simplified in the present form.

### References

- [ 1 ] T. Banakh, M. Kurihara and K. Sakai, Hyperspaces of normed linear spaces with the Attouch-Wets topology, *Set-Valued Anal.*, **11** (2003), 21–36.
- [ 2 ] T. Banakh and R. Voytsitski, Charactering metric space whose hyperspaces are absolute neighborhood retracts, preprint.
- [ 3 ] G. Beer, *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets*, MIA, **268**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [ 4 ] C. Costantini and W. Kubiś, Paths in hyperspaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.*, **4** (2003), 351–360.
- [ 5 ] D. Curtis and Nguyen To Nhu, Hyperspaces of finite subsets which are homeomorphic to  $\aleph_0$ -dimensional linear metric spaces, *Topology Appl.*, **19** (1985), 251–260.
- [ 6 ] W. Kubiś, K. Sakai and M. Yaguchi, Hyperspaces of separable Banach spaces with the Wijsman topology, *Topology Appl.*, **148** (2005), 7–32.
- [ 7 ] M. Kurihara, Hyperspaces of metric spaces, Master's Thesis, University of Tsukuba, 2000.
- [ 8 ] J. D. Lawson, Topological semilattices with small subsemilattices, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **1** (1969), 719–724.
- [ 9 ] E. Michael, Uniform AR's and ANR's, *Compositio Math.*, **39** (1979), 129–139.
- [10] K. Sakai, The completions of metric ANR's and homotopy dense subsets, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **52** (2000), 835–846.
- [11] K. Sakai and Z. Yang, Hyperspaces of non-compact metrizable spaces which are homeomorphic to Hilbert cube, *Topology Appl.*, **127** (2002), 331–342.
- [12] M. Wojdysławski, Rétractes absolus et hyperespaces des continus, *Fund. Math.*, **32** (1939), 184–192.

Masayuki KURIHARA  
Benten 2-23-1-175  
Chuo-ku, Chiba, 260-0045  
Japan

Katsuro SAKAI  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Tsukuba, 305-8571  
Japan  
E-mail: sakai@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Masato YAGUCHI  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Tsukuba, 305-8571  
Japan  
E-mail: masato@math.tsukuba.ac.jp