# Orbifold elliptic genera and rigidity

By Akio Hattori

(Received Mar. 7, 2005) (Revised Mar. 24, 2005)

**Abstract.** Rigidity theorems for equivariant elliptic genus and equivariant orbifold elliptic genus of almost complex orbifolds with compact connected group actions are formulated and proved.

## 1. Introduction.

Let M be a closed almost complex manifold on which a compact connected Lie group G acts non-trivially. If the first Chern class of M is divisible by an integer N greater than 1, then its equivariant elliptic genus  $\varphi(M)$  of level N is rigid, i.e., it is constant as a virtual character of G. This result was predicted by Witten [15] and proved by Taubes [13], Bott-Taubes [1] and Hirzebruch [6]. Elliptic genus can be defined even for almost complex orbifolds. Moreover another genus called orbifold elliptic genus is defined for orbifolds. A natural question is whether the rigidity property holds for these genera on orbifolds or not. It turns out that the answer is no in general. In [5] we were concerned with related topics.

In this note a modified orbifold elliptic genus of level N will be defined for closed almost complex orbifolds such that N is relatively prime to the orders of all isotropy groups. One of main results, Theorem 3.1, states that the modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  of level N of an almost complex orbifold X of dimension 2n such that  $A^nTX = L^N$  for some orbifold line bundle L is rigid for non-trivial G action. As to the orbifold elliptic genus itself Theorem 3.3 states that the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  of level N of X is rigid for non-trivial G action if  $A^nTX = L^N$  for some genuine G line bundle L. Furthermore the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  is rigid for non-trivial G action if some positive power of  $A^nTX$  is trivial as an orbifold line bundle (Theorem 3.4). The last result is essentially due to Dong, Liu and Ma [2].

Liu [10] gave a proof of rigidity by using modular property of elliptic genera for manifolds. Our proof of the rigidity for the genera  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  also uses Liu's method.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review basic materials concerning orbifolds in general. The notion of sectors is particularly relevant for later use. In Section 3 we give the definitions of orbifold elliptic genus and modified orbifold elliptic genus and the main theorems are stated here. Section 4 is devoted to exhibiting fixed point formulae for the above genera. The proof of the main results will be given in Section 5 and Section 6. In Section 6 some additional results related to vanishing property are

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57R20, 57S15; Secondary 55N34, 55N91.

Key Words and Phrases. orbifold, elliptic genus, orbifold elliptic genus,  $T_y$ -genus, rigidity theorem, vanishing theorem.

A. HATTORI

given. Main results in this section are Propositions 6.6, 6.8 and 6.10. Section 7 concerns the orbifold  $T_y$  genus and its modified one. They are always rigid for non-trivial actions of compact connected Lie groups and take special forms when the orbifold elliptic genera vanish. In Section 8 the generalization to the case of stably almost complex orbifolds are discussed and it will be shown that main results in Section 3, Section 6 and Section 7 also hold for stably almost complex orbifolds.

The author is grateful to M. Furuta, M. Futaki, A. Kato, Y. Mitsumatsu and other members of Furuta's Seminar who attended his talks and gave him several useful comments. The presentation of this paper was largely improved by their help. He is also grateful to M. Masuda, coauthor of the related paper [5], for his collaboration which initiated the work of present paper.

### 2. Sectors.

We first recall some basic facts about orbifolds. We refer to [11] and [3] for relevant definitions and to [5] for notations used here. In [11] orbifolds were called V-manifolds.

Let X be a closed orbifold of dimension n and let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an atlas of X.  $\mathscr{U}$  is a collection of (orbifold) charts  $\{(V_{\mu}, U_{\mu}, H_{\mu}, p_{\mu})\}$  where  $U_{\mu}$  is an open set in X,  $V_{\mu}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension n,  $H_{\mu}$  is a finite group acting on  $V_{\mu}$  and  $p_{\mu}$  is a map  $V_{\mu} \rightarrow U_{\mu}$  inducing a homeomorphism from  $V_{\mu}/H_{\mu}$  onto  $U_{\mu}$ . The collection  $\{U_{\mu}\}$  is assumed to contain a basis of neighborhoods for each point  $x \in X$ . Let  $(V_i, U_i, H_i, p_i)$ , i = 1, 2, be two orbifold charts in  $\mathscr{U}$  such that  $U_1 \subset U_2$ . A pair of injective group homomorphism  $\rho : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $\rho$ -equivariant open embedding  $\psi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  covering the inclusion map  $U_1 \rightarrow U_2$  with the following property

$$\{h \in H_2 \mid h(\psi(V_1)) \cap \psi(V_1) \neq \emptyset\} = \rho(H_1) \tag{1}$$

is called an injection of charts and will be written as

$$\Psi = (\rho, \psi) : (V_1, U_1, H_1, p_1) \to (V_2, U_2, H_2, p_2).$$

Note that, if  $h \in H_2$ , then  $(c_h \circ \rho, h \circ \psi)$  is an injetion of charts, where  $c_h : H_2 \to H_2$ denotes the conjugation by h. These charts must satisfy the following compatibility condition. If  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  have a non-empty intersection, then, for each  $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ , there are a chart  $(V, U, H, p) \in \mathscr{U}$  with  $x \in U \subset U_1 \cap U_2$  and injections of charts  $\Psi_i = (\rho_i, \psi_i) : (V, U, H, p) \to (V_i, U_i, H_i, p_i), i = 1, 2.$ 

NOTE. We do not assume the action of  $H_{\mu}$  on  $V_{\mu}$  is effective. If the effectiveness is assumed then the condition (1) automatically follows.

When one considers additional structures like Riemannian structure or almost complex structure, the  $V_{\mu}$  are assumed to have the structures in question, and the action of  $H_{\mu}$  and the maps  $\psi$  are assumed to preserve those structures.

Let  $\Psi = (\rho, \psi) : (V_1, U_1, H_1, p_1) \to (V_2, U_2, H_2, p_2)$  be an injection of charts. One readily sees that the isotropy subgroup  $H_{1,v}$  at a point  $v \in V_1$  is isomorphic to that of  $H_2 \in V_2$  at  $\psi(v)$  as a consequence of (1). Thus the isomorphism class of the group  $H_{1,v}$ depends only on  $x = p_1(v) \in X$ . It is called the *isotropy group* of the orbifold X at x and will be denoted by  $H_x$ .

For each  $x \in X$  there is an orbifold chart  $(V_x, U_x, H_x, p_x)$  such that  $p^{-1}(x)$  is a single point, and hence  $H_x$  is the isotropy group at x. Moreover we can take  $U_x$  small enough so that  $V_x$  is modelled on an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a linear  $H_x$  action. Then the fixed point set  $V_x^h$  of each  $h \in H_x$  is connected. Such a chart will be called a *reduced chart* centered at x. Hereafter we sometimes write simply (V, U, H) for an orbifold chart (V, U, H, p), when the meaning of p is clear from the context. We also assume that orbifolds considered hereafter are closed (compact, connected and without boundary) orbifolds.

Fix a finite group H and set  $X_H = \{x \in X \mid H_x \cong H\}$ . Then  $X_H$  is a smooth manifold. In fact, if  $(V_x, U_x, H_x, p_x)$  is a reduced chart centered at  $x \in X_H$ , then  $p_x$ induces a homeomorphism between  $V_x^{H_x}$  and  $X_H \cap U_x$ . A connected component of  $X_H$ is called a *stratum* of X. The totality of strata is called a stratification of X. If X is connected then there is a unique stratum  $S_H$  such that H is the minimum with respect to the obvious ordering among isotropy groups induced by inclusions. This stratum is called principal stratum of X and the order |H| is called the multiplicity of the connected orbifold X and is denoted by m(X). The principal stratum is open and dense in X. In case the actions of isotropy groups are effective we have m(X) = 1.

A map  $f: X \to X'$  from an orbifold X to another orbifold X' is called *smooth* if, for each orbifold chart (V, U, H, p) around x and (V', U', H', p') around f(x) such that  $f(U) \subset U'$ , there is a pair  $(\rho, \psi)$  of group homomorphism  $\rho : H \to H'$  and  $\rho$ -equivariant smooth map  $\psi : V \to V'$  satisfying the relation  $p' \circ \psi = f \circ p$ . The totality of these pairs  $(\rho, \psi)$  is required to satisfy the obvious compatibility relation with respect to injections of orbifold charts for X and X'. Such a pair  $(\rho, \psi)$  is called a map of orbifold chart covering f and will be written  $(\rho, \psi) : (V, U, H, p) \to (V', U', H', p')$ .

Let G be a Lie group. An action of G on an orbifold X is a smooth map  $G \times X \to X$ which satisfies the usual rule of group action.

Let X' be an orbifold with atlas  $\mathscr{U}'$ . Let X be a subspace of X' such that, for each chart  $(V', U', H, p) \in \mathscr{U}'$  of X',  $p^{-1}(X \cap U')$  is an H-invariant submanifold V of V' of dimension n. Then  $\mathscr{U} = \{(V, X \cap U', H, p|V) \mid (V', U', H, p) \in \mathscr{U}'\}$  defines an orbifold structure on X. With this structure X is called a suborbifold of X'.

A triple (W, X, p) of orbifolds W, X and smooth map  $\pi : W \to X$  is called an orbifold vector bundle over X if it satisfies the following condition. For any orbifold chart (V, U, H, p) for X with U sufficiently small there is an orbifold chart  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{p})$ for W with  $\tilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$  and  $\tilde{H} = H$  together with a map of orbifold chart  $(\rho, \psi)$  :  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{p}) \to (V, U, H, p)$  covering  $\pi : W \to X$  such that  $\rho =$  identity and  $\tilde{p} : \tilde{V} \to V$  is a vector bundle with compatible H action. The tangent bundle TX of an orbifold X is a typical example of vector bundles. Locally its orbifold chart is given by (TV, TV/H, H). A smooth map  $s : X \to W$  is a section if it is locally an  $H_x$ -invariant section. If s is a section then  $\pi \circ s$  equals the identity map. A differential form of degree q is a section of the q-th exterior power  $\Lambda^q T^* X$  of the cotangent bundle  $T^* X$ .

We are now in a position to give the definition of so called sectors of an orbifold X. The notion was first introduced by Kawasaki in [8] in order to describe the index theorem for orbifolds. We set

$$\hat{X} = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathscr{C}(H_x),$$

where  $\mathscr{C}(H)$  denotes the set of conjugacy classes of a group H. We define  $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$  by  $\pi(\mathscr{C}(H_x)) = x$ . An orbifold structure is endowed on  $\hat{X}$  in the following way. Let (V, U, H, p) be an orbifold chart for X. We set

$$\hat{V} = \{(v,h) \in V \times H \mid hv = v\} \text{ and } \hat{U} = \bigsqcup_{x \in U} \mathscr{C}(H_x) \subset \hat{X}.$$

The group H acts on  $\hat{V}$  by

$$g(v,h) = (gv, ghg^{-1}).$$

We define  $\hat{p}: \hat{V} \to \hat{U}$  by  $\hat{p}(v, h) = [h] \in \mathscr{C}(H_{p(v)})$ . Then  $\hat{p}$  induces a bijection  $\hat{V}/H \to \hat{U}$ . By this bijection we identify  $\hat{V}/H$  and  $\hat{U}$ . Using this identification one can give a topology on  $\hat{X}$  and the collection of quadruples  $\{(\hat{V}, \hat{U}, H, \hat{p})\}$  defines an orbifold structure on  $\hat{X}$ . Moreover, if we define  $\hat{\pi}: \hat{V} \to V$  by  $\hat{\pi}(v, h) = v$ , then  $(\mathrm{id}, \hat{\pi})$  defines a map of orbifold chart  $(\hat{V}, \hat{U}, H, \hat{p}) \to (V, U, H, p)$ . It follows that the map  $\pi: \hat{X} \to X$  is a smooth map of orbifolds.

 $\hat{X}$  is not connected unless X is a (connected) smooth manifold. Its connected component are sometimes called *sectors*. We shall call  $\hat{X}$  the *total sector* of X. To get reduced charts of  $\hat{X}$  centered at  $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$  we proceed as follows. Note that, if C(h) denotes the centralizer of  $h \in H$ , then C(h) acts on the fixed point set  $V^h$  of h. If h and h' are conjugate each other in H, then there is a canonical homeomorphism between  $V^h/C(h)$  and  $V^{h'}/C(h')$ . So we can associate the space  $V^h/C(h)$  to each conjugacy class [h]. We also see that there is a disjoint sum decomposition of  $\hat{U} = \hat{V}/H$ 

$$\hat{U} = \bigsqcup_{[h] \in \mathscr{C}(H)} V^h / C(h).$$

Take a reduced orbifold chart  $(V_x, U_x, H_x)$  centered at x. Then  $V_x^h$  is connected and hence  $(V_x^h, V_x^h/C(h), C(h))$  gives us a reduced chart of  $\hat{X}$  centered at  $[h] \in \mathscr{C}(H_x)$ .

NOTE. The projection  $\hat{U} \to U$  is covered by the inclusion map  $V_x^h \to V_x$ . Hence  $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$  is an immersion of orbifold.

When X is connected and its multiplicity m(X) is equal to 1, there is a unique component of  $\hat{X}$  which is mapped isomorphically onto X by  $\pi$ , where the point over  $x \in X$  is the identity element in  $H_x$  regarded as an element in  $\mathscr{C}(H_x)$ . Components with lower dimensions are called *twisted sectors*.

In order to look more closely at a sector, consider a reduced chart  $(V_x, U_x, H_x, p_x)$ centered at x and take a point y in  $U_x$ .  $p_x^{-1}(y)$  is an orbit of  $H_x$  and the isotropy subgroup  $(H_x)_v$  of  $H_x$  at each point  $v \in p_x^{-1}(y)$  is isomorphic to  $H_y$ . This determines an injection of  $H_y$  into  $H_x$  unique up to conjugations by elements of  $H_x$ . This injection induces a canonical map  $\rho_{x,y} : \mathscr{C}(H_y) \to \mathscr{C}(H_x)$ .

Let  $\{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}\}_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}}$  denote the totality of sectors. Take  $\gamma_x\in\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and take a reduced chart  $(V_x, U_x, H_x, p_x)$  centered at  $x = \pi(\gamma_x) \in X$ . Then the following lemma gives another local expression of a sector.

Lemma 2.1.

$$\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \cap \pi^{-1}(U_x) = \{ \gamma_y \mid y \in U_x, \gamma_y \in \pi^{-1}(y), \rho_{x,y}(\gamma_y) = \gamma_x \}.$$

PROOF. It is clear from the definition that the left hand side is contained in the right hand side. Conversely take an element  $\gamma_y \in \pi^{-1}(U_x)$  with  $\pi(\gamma_y) = y$  and  $\rho_{x,y}(\gamma_y) = \gamma_x$ . Let v be a point in  $V_x$  such that  $p_x(v) = y$ , and identify  $(H_x)_v$  with  $H_y$ . If  $h \in H_x$  is a representative of  $\gamma_x$ , then h belongs to  $(H_x)_v = H_y$  since  $\rho_{x,y}(\gamma_y) = \gamma_x$ . Hence  $v \in V_x^h$ . This means that  $\gamma_y = [h]$  belongs to the same component  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  as  $\gamma_x$ .

We set

$$\hat{H}_x = \{ h \in H_x \mid V_x^h = V_x^{H_x} \}.$$

This set is closed under conjugations. The conjugacy classes in  $\hat{H}_x$  is denoted by  $\mathscr{C}(\hat{H}_x)$ . The following equality is immediate from the definition.

$$\mathscr{C}(\hat{H}_x) = \left\{ \gamma_x \in \mathscr{C}(H_x) \mid \gamma_x \notin \rho_{x,y}(\mathscr{C}(H_y)) \text{ for any } y \in U_x \setminus \left( U_x \cap p_x(V_x^{H_x}) \right) \right\}.$$

In this paper we shall make the assumption

(#) the fixed point set  $V_x^H$  of each subgroup H of  $H_x$  has even codimension in  $V_x$ 

throughout. This is the case of stably almost complex orbifolds.

Let  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be a sector. A point  $\gamma_x$  in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  such that  $\gamma_x \in \mathscr{C}(\hat{H}_x)$  where  $x = \pi(\gamma_x)$  will be called *generic*.

LEMMA 2.2. The set of all generic points in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is a connected and dense open set in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

PROOF. Let  $(V_x^h, V_x/C(h), C(h))$  be a reduced chart centered at  $\gamma_x = [h]$ . If  $\gamma_x$  lies in the principal stratum of  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ , then  $V_x^h = V_x^{C(h)}$ . Assume that  $V_x^h \neq V_x^{H_x}$  and hence  $V_x^h \rightleftharpoons V_x^{H_x}$ . Then there exists a point  $x_1 \in V_x$  such that  $C(h) \subset H_{x_1} \subsetneqq H_x$ .

If  $V_{x_1}^h \neq V_{x_1}^{H_{x_1}}$  further, then there is a sequence of points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in V_x$  such that

$$H_x \supseteq H_{x_1} \supseteq H_{x_2} \supseteq \cdots$$

Since  $H_x$  is a finite group, this sequence terminates at a finite step and there is a point  $y \in V_x$  and  $h \in H_y$  such that  $V_y^h = V_y^{H_y}$ . Then  $\gamma_y = [h] \in \mathscr{C}(\hat{H}_y)$  is generic. This proves the existence of generic points.

We shall denote by  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$  the set of generic points in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . If  $\gamma_x$  lies in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \setminus \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$ , then there is a point  $\gamma_{x_0} = [h_0] \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$  near  $\gamma_x$  such that

$$V_x^{h_0} \supsetneq V_x^{H_x}$$

where  $x = \pi(\gamma_x)$ . Since  $V_x^{H_x}$  has even codimension in  $V_x^{h_0}$  by the assumption (#), it

follows that  $V_x^{h_0} \setminus V_x^{H_x}$  is connected. This implies that  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$  is connected. It is also open and dense in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

Let  $\{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be the totality of strata of X. The isomorphism class of isotropy group at any point in  $S_{\lambda}$  depends only on  $S_{\lambda}$  and is denoted by  $H_{\lambda}$ . The index set  $\Lambda$  is a poset by the ordering  $\prec$  defined by

$$\lambda \prec \lambda' \Leftrightarrow S_{\lambda} \subset \bar{S}_{\lambda'}.$$

Note that, if  $\lambda \prec \lambda'$ , then  $H_{\lambda} \supset H_{\lambda'}$  in the sense that there is an injective homomorphism  $H_{\lambda'} \to H_{\lambda}$ . When X is connected,  $\Lambda$  has a unique maximal element  $\lambda_0$ .  $S_{\lambda_0}$  is the principal stratum and  $H_{\lambda_0}$  is the minimum isotropy group.

Since  $\pi(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen})$  is connected, there is a unique  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $\pi(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}) \subset S_{\lambda}$ . This  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $\pi(\hat{\lambda})$ . Note that  $\pi: \hat{X} \to X$  maps  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  onto  $\bar{S}_{\lambda}$ . The restriction of  $\pi$  on  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$  is a covering map onto  $S_{\lambda}$ .

REMARK 2.3. An orbifold X is orientable by definition if V can be given an orientation and the action of H on V is orientation preserving for each orbifold chart (V, U, H), and  $\psi$  preserves the given orientations of V and V' for each injection  $(\rho, \psi)$ :  $(V, U, H) \rightarrow (V', U', H')$ . In this case X regarded as a **Q**-homology manifold is orientable. It should be noticed however that strata and sectors of X are not orientable in general even if the assumption (#) is satisfied.

### 3. Orbifold elliptic genus.

In this section X will be an almost complex closed orbifold. We shall give the definition of elliptic genus  $\varphi(X)$ , orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  and modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}(X)$ .

If (V, U, H) is an orbifold chart of X, then V is an almost complex manifold and the action of each element  $h \in H$  on V preserves almost complex structure. Hence  $V^h$  is also an almost complex manifold. It follows that the sectors of X are all almost complex orbifolds. Let  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be a sector of X, and let  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be the normal bundle of the immersion  $\pi : \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to X$ . Take  $\gamma_x \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  with  $\pi(\gamma_x) = x$ . Let  $h \in H_x$  be a representative of  $\gamma_x$ . Then h acts on the normal bundle  $\tilde{W}_x$  of  $V_x^h$  in  $V_x$ . Let

$$\tilde{W}_x = \bigoplus \tilde{W}_{x,i} \tag{2}$$

be the eigen-bundle decompositions with respect to this action of h, where h acts on  $\tilde{W}_{x,i}$  with weight  $m_{\hat{\lambda},i}$ , i.e., by the multiplication of  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{\hat{\lambda},i}} \neq 1$ .  $m_{\hat{\lambda},i}$  is determined modulo integers and depends only on  $[h] = \gamma_x$ . If y is near x and  $\gamma_y$  lies in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$ , then  $\rho_{x,y}(\gamma_y) = \gamma_x$  by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $m_{\hat{\lambda},i} \mod \mathbb{Z}$  is a locally constant function of  $\gamma_x$  and consequently it is constant on  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . It follows that the decomposition (2) gives the one for the bundle  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$ :

$$W_{\hat{\lambda}} = \bigoplus W_{\hat{\lambda},i}.$$
(3)

The  $m_{\hat{\lambda},i}$  with  $0 < m_{\hat{\lambda},i} < 1$  is written by  $f_{\hat{\lambda},i}$ . We set  $f_{\hat{\lambda}} = \sum_i f_{\hat{\lambda},i} \dim W_{\hat{\lambda},i}$ . Let  $\tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ . We set  $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}, \zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sigma}$ . Let TX be the complex tangent bundle of the almost complex orbifold X. We define formal vector bundles  $\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{T}(\sigma), \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma), \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i} = \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i}(\sigma) \text{ and } \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)$  by

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{T}(\sigma) &= \Lambda_{-\zeta} T^* X \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \Lambda_{-\zeta q^k} T^* X \otimes \Lambda_{-\zeta^{-1} q^k} T X \otimes S_{q^k} T^* X \otimes S_{q^k} T X \right), \\ \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) &= \Lambda_{-\zeta} T^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \Lambda_{-\zeta q^k} T^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \Lambda_{-\zeta^{-1} q^k} T \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes S_{q^k} T^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes S_{q^k} T \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right), \\ \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i}(\sigma) &= \Lambda_{-\zeta q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}}} W^*_{\hat{\lambda},i} \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \Lambda_{-\zeta q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}} W^*_{\hat{\lambda},i} \otimes \Lambda_{-\zeta^{-1} q^{-f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}} W_{\hat{\lambda},i} \right) \\ &\otimes S_{q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}}} W^*_{\hat{\lambda},i} \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( S_{q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}} W^*_{\hat{\lambda},i} \otimes S_{q^{-f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}} W_{\hat{\lambda},i} \right), \\ &\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) &= \bigotimes_{i} \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i}(\sigma). \end{split}$$

Here  $\Lambda_t W = \bigoplus_i \Lambda^i W$  and  $S_t W = \bigoplus_i S^i W$  denote the total exterior power and total symmetric power of a vector bundle W. We can write  $\mathscr{T}$  and  $\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  as formal power series in q and  $q^{\frac{1}{r}}$ 

$$\mathscr{T}(\sigma) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k(\sigma) q^k,$$

$$\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{R}_{\hat{\lambda},k}(\sigma) q^{\frac{k}{r}}$$
(4)

with coefficients  $R_k(\sigma), \hat{R}_{\lambda,k}(\sigma) \in K_{orb}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$  where  $K_{orb}(X)$  denotes the Grothendieck group of orbifold vector bundles and r is the least common multiple of the orders  $|H_{\lambda}|$  of the isotropy groups.

Let W be a complex orbifold vector bundle over X and  $D \otimes W$  a spin-c Dirac operator twisted by W in the sense of [3]. It is an elliptic differential operator

$$D \otimes W : \Gamma(X, E^+ \otimes W) \to \Gamma(X, E^- \otimes W), \tag{5}$$

where

$$E^+ = \bigoplus_{i:even} \Lambda^i TX$$
 and  $E^- = \bigoplus_{i:odd} \Lambda^i TX$ .

 $D \otimes W$  is constructed from hermitian metrics and connections on various vector bundles associated to TX and W. Its principal symbol is such that, when X is a complex orbifold

#### A. HATTORI

and W is a holomorphic vector bundle, then  $D \otimes W$  has the same principal symbol (up to multiplicative constant) as  $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*$  twisted by W acting on the sections of  $E^+ \otimes W$ .

We now define

$$\begin{split} \varphi(X) &= \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{ind}(D \otimes \mathscr{T}(\sigma)), \\ \hat{\varphi}(X) &= \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}} \zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)\right) \end{split}$$

where dim X = 2n and  $D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}}$  is the spin-c Dirac operator for  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . More precisely

$$\varphi(X) = \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ind}(D \otimes R_k(\sigma)) q^k,$$

$$\hat{\varphi}(X) = \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} \zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \hat{R}_{\hat{\lambda},k}(\sigma)\right) q^{\frac{k}{r}},$$
(6)

where  $R_k$  and  $\hat{R}_k$  are given in (4).  $\varphi(X)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  are called *elliptic genus* and *orbifold elliptic genus* of the orbifold X respectively.

Let N > 1 be an integer. If  $f = \frac{s}{r}$  is a rational number with r relatively prime to N, let d be an integer such that  $dr \equiv 1 \mod N$ . We define  $\check{f} \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\breve{f} = ds$$

 $\check{f}$  is determined modulo N. It satisfies  $\check{f}_1 + \check{f}_2 \equiv f_1 + \check{f}_2 \pmod{N}$ .

Assume that N is relatively prime to  $|H_{\lambda}|$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\check{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is defined since  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  can be written in the form  $f_{\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{s}{|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|}$ . Under the above assumption we put  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$  with 0 < k < N and define the *modified orbifold elliptic genus*  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  of *level* N by

$$\breve{\varphi}(X) = \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} \zeta^{\breve{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right).$$

$$\tag{7}$$

The genus  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(X)$  belongs to  $(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}])[[q]]$  and the genus  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\hat{\varphi}(X)$  to  $(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{r}},\zeta^{-\frac{1}{r}}])[[q^{\frac{1}{r}}]]$ . Similarly the genus  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\check{\varphi}(X)$  belongs to  $(\mathbf{Z}[\zeta,\zeta^{-1}]/(\zeta^{N}))[[q^{\frac{1}{r}}]]$ . When it is necessary to make explicit the parameters  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  we write  $\varphi(X;\tau,\sigma), \hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$  and  $\check{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$  for  $\varphi(X), \hat{\varphi}(X)$  and  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  respectively.

When a compact connected Lie group G acts on X preserving almost complex structure, G acts naturally on vector bundles  $\mathscr{T}, \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . This is clear for  $\mathscr{T}$ . As to the other two it should be noticed that the action of a connected group preserves each stratum and each conjugacy class of the isotropy group of the stratum. It follows that the action of G lifts to the action on each sector, and hence on  $\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Moreover some finite covering group of G acts on each  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$  compatibly with the decomposition (3) as we shall see in Section 4, Lemma 4.1. Then it also acts on  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . We shall assume here that Gitself acts on each  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . By using a G invariant spin-c Dirac operator the formulae (6) and

(7) define equivariant genera which will be denoted by the same symbols. In this case  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(X)$  belongs to  $(R(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}])[[q]], \zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\hat{\varphi}(X)$  to  $(R(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{r}}, \zeta^{-\frac{1}{r}}])[[q^{\frac{1}{r}}]]$  and  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\check{\varphi}(X)$  to  $(R(G) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]/(\zeta^{N}))[[q^{\frac{1}{r}}]]$ , where R(G) is the character ring of the group G. The value at  $g \in G$  of  $\varphi(X)$  is denoted by  $\varphi_g(X)$ , and similarly by  $\hat{\varphi}_g(X), \check{\varphi}_g(X)$  for  $\hat{\varphi}(X), \check{\varphi}(X)$ .

Let N > 1 be an integer. When  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$ , 0 < k < N, the genera  $\varphi(X)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  are also called of *level* N. We can now state the main theorems of the present paper.

THEOREM 3.1. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Let N > 1 be an integer such that N is relatively prime to the orders of all isotropy groups  $H_{\lambda}$ . Assume that there is an orbifold line bundle L with a lifted action of G over X such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . Then the equivariant modified elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}_g(X)$  of level N is rigid, that is,  $\check{\varphi}_g(X)$  is constant as a function on G for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$ , 0 < k < N.

REMARK 3.2. The Picard group of orbifold line bundles over X is isomorphic to the second cohomology group  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_X$  is a certain sheaf over X, cf. [12]. This correspondence can be considered as assigning the first Chern class to orbifold line bundles. In this sense there is an orbifold line bundle L satisfying the condition  $A^nTX = L^N$  if and only if the first Chern class  $c_1(A^nTX)$  is divisible by N in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$ . The class  $c_1(A^nTX)$  can be called the first Chern class of the almost complex orbifold X and be written  $c_1(X)$  as the manifold case.

Let  $L \to X$  be an orbifold line bundle and let  $\tilde{\pi} : (\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, H) \to (V, U, H)$  be an orbifold chart of L. L will be called a *genuine* line bundle if h acts trivially on the fiber  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(v)$  over v for any h such that hv = v. In this case  $\pi : L \to X$  becomes a line bundle over the space X in the usual sense. The orbifold elliptic genus is not rigid in general. However we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Let N > 1 be an integer. Assume that there is a genuine line bundle L with a lifted action of G over X such that  $A^nTX = L^N$ . Then the equivariant elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}_g(X)$  of level N is rigid, that is,  $\hat{\varphi}_g(X)$  is constant as a function on G for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$ , 0 < k < N.

NOTE. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.3 each  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is an integer. See Note after Lemma 6.7.

The next Theorem concerns the case where  $\Lambda^n TX$  is a torsion element in the Picard group of orbifold line bundles.

THEOREM 3.4. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Assume that there is a positive integer d such that  $(\Lambda^n TX)^d$  is trivial as an orbifold line bundle. Then the equivariant elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}_q(X)$  is rigid, that is,  $\hat{\varphi}_q(X)$  is constant as a function on G.

Theorem 3.4 is essentially due to Dong, Liu and Ma [2] in a more general setting. It seems the hypothesis concerning the vanishing of first Chern class in [2] was erroneously stated.

The proof of Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 will be given in Section 5 and Section 6.

### 4. Vergne's fixed point formula.

In this section we review the fixed point formula due to Vergne [14].

Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n and  $\pi: W \to X$  a complex orbifold vector bundle. Introduce a hermitian metric and a hermitian connection on W, and let  $\omega$  be the curvature form of the connection. It is a differential form with values in  $\operatorname{End}(W)$  where  $\operatorname{End}(W)$  is the vector bundle of skew hermitian endomorphisms of W. Locally it is an  $H_x$ -invariant differential form  $\omega_V$  on V with values in  $\operatorname{End}(\tilde{V})$ , where (V, U, H) is a chart for X and  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, H)$  is a chart for the bundle  $W \to X$ . These  $\omega_V$  behave compatibly with injections of charts. With respect to an orthonormal basis  $\omega_V$  is expressed as a skew-hermitian matrix valued form.

The form  $\Gamma(W) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\omega$  is called the *Chern matrix*, cf. [3]. The form

$$c(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i(W) = \det(1 + \Gamma(W)) \quad (d = \operatorname{rank} W)$$

is the total Chern form of W. It is convenient to write formally

$$c(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1+x_i).$$
(8)

The  $x_i$  are called the Chern roots and (8) is called the formal splitting of the total Chern form. The Chern character of W is defined by

$$\operatorname{ch}(W) = \operatorname{tr} e^{\Gamma(W)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} e^{x_i}.$$

The Todd form of the almost complex orbifold X is given by

$$Td(X) = \det\left(\frac{\Gamma(TX)}{1 - e^{-\Gamma(TX)}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}},$$

with  $c(TX) = \prod_i (1+x_i)$ .

Now suppose that a compact connected Lie group G acts on X preserving almost complex structure. Let W be a complex orbifold vector bundle over X with a compatible action of G. Let  $F = X^G$  be the fixed point set of the action. F is an almost complex orbifold and the normal bundle of each component of F is a complex orbifold vector bundle. We can say more about this.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $(V_x, U_x, H_x)$  be a reduced chart centered at  $x \in F$  such that  $U_x$ 

is invariant under the action of G. Then the action lifts to an action of some finite covering group  $\tilde{G} \to G$  on  $V_x$ . That action commutes with the action of  $H_x$ .

**PROOF.** From the fact that G acts on X it follows that there are an automorphism  $\rho$  of the group  $H_x$ , a small neighborhood O of the identity element e of G, and a local transformation group  $\psi: O \times V_x \to V_x$  such that

$$\psi_g \circ h = \rho(h) \circ \psi_g$$

where  $\psi_g : V_x \to V_x$  is defined by  $\psi_g(v) = \psi(g, v)$ . We may assume that  $\psi_e$  is the identity map by conjugating by an element of  $H_x$  if necessary. It follows that  $\rho$  is the identity automorphism, and hence  $\psi_g$  commutes with the action of  $H_x$ . The local transformation group  $\psi$  extends to an action of the universal covering group  $G^{univ}$  of G. Let  $H_0$  be the normal subgroup of  $H_x$  consisting of elements which act trivially on  $V_x$ . The quotient  $H_x/H_0$  acts effectively on  $V_x$  and if v is a generic point in  $V_x$ , then  $H_x/H_0$  acts simply transitively on the orbit of v. We identify  $\pi_1(G)$  with the kernel of the projection  $G^{univ} \to G$ . Then  $\pi_1(G)$  acts through  $\psi$  on each orbit of  $H_x$  and the action  $\psi$  commutes with that of  $H_x$ . Hence we get a homomorphism  $\alpha : \pi_1(G) \to H_x/H_0$  defined by

$$\alpha(g)v = \psi_q(v)$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the kernel of  $\alpha$ . Then  $\tilde{G} = G^{univ}/\Gamma$  is a finite covering of  $G = G^{univ}/\Gamma$  and the action  $\psi_g$  induces the action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $V_x$ .

Let  $F_{\nu}$  be a component of F and  $x \in F_{\nu}$ . If a finite covering group  $\tilde{G}_{\nu}$  of G acts on  $V_x$ , then  $\tilde{G}_{\nu}$  also acts on  $V_y$  for any  $y \in U_x$ . It follows that  $\tilde{G}_{\nu}$  acts on the normal bundle  $N(F_{\nu}, X)$  of  $F_{\nu}$  in X by (fiberwise) automorphisms. Since there are only a finite number of components in F and there is a common finite covering group  $\tilde{G}$  of all the  $\tilde{G}_{\nu}$ , it follows that the group  $\tilde{G}$  acts on each  $N(F_{\nu}, X)$ . Similar consideration yields that we can take a finite covering group  $\tilde{G}$  which acts on the normal bundle  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$  of each sector  $X_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Hereafter we assume that G itself acts on  $V_x$  for any  $x \in F$  replacing G by  $\tilde{G}$  if necessary. Then G acts also on the normal bundle N(F, X) of F in X by (fiberwise) automorphisms.

For the fixed point formula it is enough to assume that the group G is a torus T. We then take a topological generator  $g \in T$ . Let  $\{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\}_{\hat{\lambda}\in \hat{A}_F}$  be the totality of sectors of  $F = X^T$ . Charts of  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  are of the form

$$\left(V_x^{g,h}, V_x^{g,h}/C(h), C(h)\right),$$

where  $V_x^{g,h} = (V_x^g)^h = (V_x^h)^g$ . The normal bundle of the immersion  $\pi : \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to F$  is denoted by  $N_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . We also set  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \pi^* N(F, X) | \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \pi^* W | \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . If  $\gamma_x = [h] \in \mathscr{C}(H_x)$ , then h acts on each fiber of  $N_{\hat{\lambda}}, \hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  in the sense as explained in Section 3. Also T acts on  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

We define three differential forms on  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  by

$$D_h(N_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \det \left(1 - h^{-1} e^{-\Gamma(N_{\hat{\lambda}})}\right),$$
$$D_{g,h}(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \det \left(1 - g^{-1} h^{-1} e^{-\Gamma(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}})}\right),$$
$$\mathrm{ch}_{g,h}(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \mathrm{tr} \left(gh e^{\Gamma(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}})}\right).$$

Vergne's fixed point formula is stated in the following form. Let D be a spin-c Dirac operator on an almost complex orbifold X. Then we have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{t}(D \otimes W) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}_{F}} \frac{1}{m(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \frac{Td(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \operatorname{ch}_{g,h}(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}})}{D_{h}(N_{\hat{\lambda}}) D_{g,h}(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}})}.$$
(9)

Note that  $m(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = |C(h)|$  where  $[h] = \gamma_x$  is an arbitrary point in  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$ . Let h' be another representative of [h]. Then the identification  $V_x^h/C(h) = V_x^{h'}/C(h')$  induces the equality

$$\frac{\operatorname{ch}_{g,h}\left(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)}{D_{h}\left(N_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)D_{g,h}\left(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)} = \frac{\operatorname{ch}_{g,h'}\left(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)}{D_{h'}\left(N_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)D_{g,h'}\left(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)}.$$

Since  $|\gamma_x||C(h)| = |H_x| = |H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|$  we obtain from (9)

$$\operatorname{ind}_{t}(D \otimes W) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}_{F}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h \in \gamma_{x}} \frac{Td(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \operatorname{ch}_{g,h}(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}})}{D_{h}(N_{\hat{\lambda}}) D_{g,h}(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}})},$$
(10)

where  $\gamma_x \in \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$ . Since  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \setminus \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{gen}$  has at least codimension two, the above integral is well-defined.

The following observation is useful later. Suppose that  $T = S^1$ . We put  $t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$  with  $z \in \mathbf{R}$ .  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  decomposes into the direct sum

$$\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \bigoplus_{i,j} E_{\chi_i^{S^1}, \chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}} \quad \text{(finite sum)}$$

of eigen-bundle where the sum is extended over the pairs of characters  $(\chi_i^{S^1}, \chi_j^{\langle h \rangle})$  of  $S^1$  and  $\langle h \rangle (=$  cyclic subgroup of  $H_x$  generated by h), and (t, h) acts on  $E_{\chi_i^{S^1}, \chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}}$  by multiplication by  $\chi_i^{S^1}(t)\chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}(h)$ . Write

$$\chi_i^{S^1}(t) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_i z}$$
 with  $t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$  and  $\chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}(h) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_j(h)}$ ,

and  $c(E_{\chi_i^{S^1},\chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}}) = \prod (1 + x_{i,j,k})$  formally as before. We put  $x_{i,j,k} = 2\pi \sqrt{-1} y_{i,j,k}$ . Then

$$D_{t,h}(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \prod_{i,j} D_{t,h}\left(E_{\chi_i^{S^1},\chi_j^{\langle h \rangle}}\right)$$

with

$$D_{t,h}\left(E_{\chi_{i}^{S^{1}},\chi_{j}^{\langle h \rangle}}\right) = \det\left(1 - t^{-1}h^{-1}e^{-\Gamma(E_{\chi_{i}^{S^{1}},\chi_{j}^{\langle h \rangle}})}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{k}\left(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-m_{i}z - m_{j}(h) - y_{i,j,k})}\right).$$
(11)

Similar formulae for  $D_h(N_{\hat{\lambda}})$  and  $ch_{t,h}(W_{\hat{\lambda}})$  are available.

### 5. Proof of main theorems; I fixed point formula.

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 it is enough to assume that G is a circle group  $S^1$  since the character of a compact connected Lie group G is determined by those of all circle subgroups of G. Let X be a connected stably almost complex closed orbifold with a non-trivial  $S^1$  action. Let  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be a sector of X where  $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}$  as in Section 2. As remarked in Section 3,  $S^1$  may be assumed to act on  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . We first investigate sectors of the orbifold  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{S^1}$ . For that purpose we need some notations. Let H be a finite group. We define

$$CM(H) = \{(h_1, h_2) \in H \times H \mid h_1 h_2 = h_2 h_1\}.$$

The group acts on CM(H) by conjugations on each factor. Let  $\hat{\mathscr{C}}(H)$  be the set of conjugacy classes by that action. If  $\delta = [h_1, h_2] \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H)$  is the conjugacy class of  $(h_1, h_2)$ , we define  $\delta^{(i)} \in \mathscr{C}(H)$ , i = 1, 2, by  $\delta^{(1)} = [h_1]$ ,  $\delta^{(2)} = [h_2]$ . A group homomorphism  $H \to H'$  induces an obvious map  $\hat{\mathscr{C}}(H) \to \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H')$ .

Let  $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\hat{F}} \to \hat{F} = \hat{X}^{S^1}$  be the total sector.

LEMMA 5.1. The inverse image  $(\pi \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in F = X^{S^1}$  can be identified with  $\hat{\mathscr{C}}(H_x)$  in a canonical way. With this identification made, an element  $\delta \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H_x)$ belongs to a sector of  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  if and only if  $\delta^{(1)} \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

PROOF. Take an element  $\hat{\gamma}_x \in (\pi \circ \hat{\pi})^{-1}(x)$  and put  $\gamma_x = \hat{\pi}(\hat{\gamma}_x)$ . If  $h_1 \in H_x$  is a representative of  $\gamma_x$  then  $\hat{\gamma}_x$  is identified with a conjugacy class  $[h_2]$  of the group  $C(h_1)$ . We assign the equivalence class  $[h_1, h_2] \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H_x)$  of  $(h_1, h_2)$  to  $\hat{\gamma}_x$ . This assignment is well-defined and bijective as is easily seen.

If  $\delta$  corresponds to  $\hat{\gamma}_x$  by this identification, then  $\delta^{(1)} = \gamma_x$ . Hence  $\hat{\pi}(\delta) \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  if and only if  $\delta^{(1)} \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be the upper half plane. We consider the function  $\Phi(z,\tau)$  defined on  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathscr{H}$  given by the following formula.

$$\varPhi(z,\tau) = \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - tq^k)(1 - t^{-1}q^k)}{(1 - q^k)^2},$$

where  $t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}$  and  $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}$ . Note that |q| < 1.

The group  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathscr{H}$  by

$$A(z,\tau) = \left(\frac{z}{c\tau+d}, A\tau\right) = \left(\frac{z}{c\tau+d}, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $\Phi$  is a Jacobi form and satisfies the following transformation formulae, cf. [7].

$$\Phi(A(z,\tau)) = (c\tau + d)^{-1} e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}cz^2}{c\tau + d}} \Phi(z,\tau),$$

$$\Phi(z + m\tau + n,\tau) = (-1)^{m+n} e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}(2mz + m^2\tau)} \Phi(z,\tau)$$
(12)

where  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

For  $\sigma \in \boldsymbol{C}$  we set

$$\phi(z,\tau,\sigma) = \frac{\Phi(z+\sigma,\tau)}{\Phi(z,\tau)} = \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-\zeta t}{1-t} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\zeta tq^k)(1-\zeta^{-1}t^{-1}q^k)}{(1-tq^k)(1-t^{-1}q^k)},$$

where  $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sigma}$ . From (12) the following transformation formulae for  $\phi$  follow:

$$\phi(A(z,\tau),\sigma) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}c(2z\sigma + (c\tau+d)\sigma^2)}\phi(z,\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma),$$

$$\phi(z+m\tau+n,\tau,\sigma) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m\sigma}\phi(z,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^{-m}\phi(z,\tau,\sigma).$$
(13)

For the later use we extend the domain of the function  $\phi$ . Let w be a polynomial in an indeterminate y. We put

$$\phi(w,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1 - \zeta e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}}{1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \zeta e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}q^k)(1 - \zeta^{-1}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}q^k)}{(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}q^k)(1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}q^k)}$$

and consider it formally as an element of C[[y]]. In the above extended meaning the function  $\phi$  still satisfies the same transformation laws:

$$\phi(A(w,\tau),\sigma) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}c(2w\sigma + (c\tau+d)\sigma^2)}\phi(w,\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma),$$

$$\phi(w+m\tau+n,\tau,\sigma) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m\sigma}\phi(w,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^{-m}\phi(w,\tau,\sigma).$$
(14)

In fact, if we substitute an arbitrary complex number for y then the above equalities hold by (13). Hence the equalities (14) hold in C[[y]]. If  $A^* = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A^{2l}$  is a commutative graded algebra over C with even grading and with  $A^0 = C$ , then  $\phi(w, \tau, \sigma)$  can be defined for  $w \in A^*$  and it satisfies (14) since one may think of w as a specilization of an element in C[y].

Hereafter we assume that X is an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of  $S^1$ . Let  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be a sector of  $\hat{F} = \hat{X}^{S^1}$ , that is a component of  $\hat{F}$ . Its orbifold charts are of the form

$$(V_x^{t,h_1,h_2}, V_x^{t,h_1,h_2}/(C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)), C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)), (h_1,h_2) \in CM(H_x).$$

Note that  $C(h_1) \cap C(h_2)$  coincides with  $C_{C(h_1)}(h_2)$ , the centralizer of  $h_2$  in  $C(h_1)$ . The image  $\hat{\pi}(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})$  is contained in a unique sector  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  of the orbifold X. We formally write

$$c\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} (1+x_i),$$

$$c\left(N_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} (1+x_i),$$

$$c\left(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=r_1+r_2+r_3}^{r_1+r_2+r_3} (1+x_i),$$

$$c\left(\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4}^{r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4} (1+x_i),$$
(15)

where  $N_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is the normal bundle of the immersion  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{S^1}$ ,  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \hat{\pi}^{-1}N(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{S^1}, \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}})|\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \hat{\pi}^{-1}W_{\lambda}|\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Recall that  $W_{\lambda}$  is the normal bundle of the immersion  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to X$ , cf. Section 3. Note that  $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 = n$ . We denote the Euler class of the orbifold  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  by  $e(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})$ . It is equal to the top Chern class  $c_{r_1}(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})$  and is written

$$e\left(\hat{\hat{F}}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} x_i$$

Finally we put

$$x_i = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i.$$

Take a point  $\hat{\gamma}_x \in \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and identify it with  $\delta = [h_1, h_2] \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H_x)$ . Then  $h_1$  acts on  $W_{\lambda}$  and hence on  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  as was explained in Section 3. Also as was explained in Section 4,  $h_2$  acts on  $N_{\hat{\lambda}}$ ,  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Furthermore  $t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z} \in S^1$  acts on  $\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\hat{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . The weights of these actions can be taken compatibly with the formal splitting (15). We write them in the following form

$$\begin{cases} m_i^{S^1} & \text{for } t = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}z}, \\ m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) & \text{for } h_1, \\ m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) & \text{for } h_2 \end{cases}$$
(16)

for  $i = 1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4$ . Note that  $m_i^{S^1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_j)$ , j = 1, 2, is a rational number determined modulo  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In the sequel we shall fix one representative  $m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_j)$ , j = 1, 2, for each pair  $(h_1,h_2) \in CM(H_x)$ . We make the convention that

$$m_i^{S^1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r_1 + r_2,$$
  

$$m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r_1 + r_2 + r_3,$$
  

$$m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r_1.$$
(17)

Hereafter we shall write  $\hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  and  $\check{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  instead of  $\hat{\varphi}_t(X; \tau, \sigma)$  and  $\check{\varphi}_t(X; \tau, \sigma)$ . Let  $\{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\}_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}}$  be the totality of sectors of  $\hat{F}$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let X be an almost complex orbifold with a non-trivial action of  $S^1$ . Then the equivariant elliptic orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  is given by

$$\hat{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_1,h_2)\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\sigma} \cdot \phi\left(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2),\tau,\sigma\right).$$
(18)

Here  $\delta$  is a point in  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  as in Lemma 5.1.

NOTE. The above expressions give well-defined functions independent of the choice of representatives  $m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1), m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2)$  as is easily seen from (13). They are meromorphic functions in the variables  $z, \tau, \sigma$ .

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let N > 1 be an integer. We assume that  $|H_x|$  is relatively prime to N for all  $x \in X$ . Then the modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\breve{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  of level N is given by

$$\breve{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{A}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_1,h_2)\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\breve{m}_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\sigma} 
\cdot \phi\left(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2),\tau,\sigma\right).$$
(19)

PROOF. Let  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  be a sector of X. Recall that the contribution to  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  from  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is

$$\zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right).$$

If  $\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is a sector of  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\delta \in \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ , then  $\delta^{(1)}$  lies in  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . For a moment we fix a representative  $h_1$  of  $\delta^{(1)}$ . Then  $\delta^{(2)}$  is a conjugacy class of  $C(h_1)$ . We apply the fixed point formula (10) to this and get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} &\inf \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right) \\ &= \zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\hat{\hat{\lambda}} \in \hat{\hat{\Lambda}}, \hat{\pi}(\hat{\hat{\lambda}}) = \hat{\lambda}} \frac{1}{|C(h_{1})|} \zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h_{2} \in \delta^{(2)}} \frac{Td\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) ch_{t,h_{2}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) ch_{t,h_{1},h_{2}}\left(\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)}{D_{h_{2}}\left(N_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) D_{t,h_{2}}\left(\hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \hat{\pi}^*(\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}})|\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \hat{\pi}^*(\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}})|\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Note that  $\hat{\pi}^*(T\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}})|\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} = T\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \oplus N_{\hat{\lambda}} \oplus \hat{N}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . Using (11), (15) and (16) we have

$$\begin{split} Td\Big(\hat{\hat{F}}_{\hat{\lambda}}\Big) &= \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i}},\\ D_{h_2}\Big(N_{\hat{\lambda}}\Big) &= \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} \Big(1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_i + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2))}\Big),\\ D_{t,h_2}\Big(\hat{\hat{N}}_{\hat{\lambda}}\Big) &= \prod_{i=r_1+r_2+1}^{r_1+r_2+r_3} \Big(1 - e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_i + m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2))}\Big). \end{split}$$

We also have

$$ch_{t,h_{2}}\left(\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}} \left( \left(1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right) \\ \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 - \zeta q^{k}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)\left(1 - \zeta^{-1}q^{k}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)}{\left(1 - q^{k}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)\left(1 - q^{k}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)}\right),$$

and

$$ch_{t,h_{1},h_{2}}(\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \prod_{i=r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}+1}^{r_{1}+r_{4}+r_{3}+r_{4}} \left( \frac{\left(1-\zeta q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))\right)}{\left(1-q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))\right)} \right) \\ \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-\zeta q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))\right)}{\left(1-q^{-f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))\right)}\right)} \right) \\ \left(1-q^{f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)}\left(1-q^{-f_{\hat{\lambda},i}+k}e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y_{i}+m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))}\right)}\right) \right)$$

Gathering these together we obtain

$$\zeta^{-\frac{n}{2}}\zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}}\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}\otimes\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \sum_{\hat{\hat{\lambda}}\in\hat{\hat{A}},\hat{\pi}(\hat{\hat{\lambda}})=\hat{\lambda}} \frac{1}{|C(h_{1})|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h_{2}\in\delta^{(2)}} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}f_{\hat{\lambda},i}\sigma} \\ \cdot \phi\left(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+f_{\hat{\lambda},i}\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),\tau,\sigma\right).$$
(20)

Note that  $f_{\hat{\lambda},i} \equiv m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) \mod \mathbb{Z}$  for  $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + 1 \leq i \leq r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4$  and  $f_{\hat{\lambda},i} = 0$  for  $i \leq r_1 + r_2 + r_3$ . Then, by (14),

A. HATTORI

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}f_{\lambda,i}\sigma}\phi\Big(-y_i-m_i^{S^1}z+f_{\lambda,i}\tau-m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2),\tau,\sigma\Big)$$
  
=  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\sigma}\phi\Big(-y_i-m_i^{S^1}z+m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\tau-m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2),\tau,\sigma\Big).$ 

Putting this into (20) we get

$$\begin{split} \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta^{f_{\lambda}} & \text{ind} \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}, \hat{\pi}(\hat{\hat{\lambda}}) = \hat{\lambda}} \frac{1}{|C(h_{1})|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h_{2} \in \delta^{(2)}} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\sigma} \\ & \cdot \phi \Big( -y_{i} - m_{i}^{S^{1}}z + m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\tau - m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), \tau, \sigma \Big). \end{split}$$

So far we fixed a representative  $h_1$  in  $\delta^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  in which  $\delta^{(1)}$  lies. We now move  $h_1$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$ , and sum up. Then we obtain the equality in Proposition 5.2.

The equality in Proposition 5.3 is proved in a parallel way. One has only to observe that

$$\breve{m}_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1}) - m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1}) \equiv \breve{f}_{\hat{\lambda},i} - f_{\hat{\lambda},i} \mod N.$$

# 6. Proof of main theorems; II modular property.

For  $A \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  we define  $\hat{\varphi}^A(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  by

$$\hat{\varphi}^A(X; z, \tau, \sigma) = \hat{\varphi}(X; A(z, \tau), \sigma).$$

Similarly  $\breve{\varphi}^A(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  is defined by

$$\breve{\varphi}^A(X; z, \tau, \sigma) = \breve{\varphi}(X; A(z, \tau), \sigma).$$

LEMMA 6.1. Let N > 1 be an integer. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial  $S^1$  action. Assume that there exists an orbifold line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . Then the action of  $S^1$  can be lifted to an action of some finite covering group  $\tilde{S}^1$  on L.

PROOF.  $S^1$  acts on  $L^N = \Lambda^n TX$ . Locally L is an N-fold covering of  $L^N$  off zerosection. Hence, the action of N-fold covering  $\tilde{S}^1 \to S^1$  on  $L^N$  lifts to an action on L.

Hereafter we assume the action of  $S^1$  itself lifts to L under the situation of Lemma 6.1. This causes no loss of generality in view of Lemma 6.1; we may replace  $S^1$  by a suitable finite covering  $\tilde{S}^1$  if necessary.

LEMMA 6.2. Under the situation of Lemma 6.1, let  $m^{S^1}$  be the weight of the action

of  $S^1$  on L at  $x \in F = X^{S^1}$ . Then the tangential weights  $m_i^{S^1}$  satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i^{S^1} = N m^{S^1} + l \tag{21}$$

where l belongs to Z and is independent of  $x \in F$ .

PROOF. The weight of  $S^1$ -action on  $\Lambda^n TX$  at x is  $\sum_i m_i^{S^1}$ . The weight of  $S^1$ action on  $L^N$  at x is  $Nm^{S^1}$ . Since  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$  and the both actions cover the same action on X, they differ only by the fiberwise action on  $\Lambda^n TX$  which is of the form  $g \cdot v = g^l v, g \in S^1$ , with  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies (21).

Locally L is given by a line bundle over  $V_x$  with a lifted action of  $H_x$ . The group  $H_x$  acts on the fiber over  $\tilde{x} = p_x^{-1}(x)$ . Let m(h) be a weight of that action for  $h \in H_x$ . It is determined modulo integers. Since  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$  and the the weights  $m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h)$  are determined modulo Z we may assume that  $m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h)$  satisfy the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h) = Nm(h).$$
(22)

If y denotes the first Chern form of L, then we may also assume that

$$\sum_{i} y_i = Ny,\tag{23}$$

since only the integral concerns in the sequel.

LEMMA 6.3. Let N > 1 be an integer. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial  $S^1$  action such that  $|H_x|$  is relatively prime to N for all  $x \in X$ . Assume that there exists an orbifold line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . Then the modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\breve{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  of level N with  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}, 0 < k < N$ , is transformed by  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  in the following way.

$$\begin{split} \breve{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) &= e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2}-2clz\sigma)} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{A}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \\ &\cdot e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m(h_{1})dk} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z+m(h_{2}))ck} \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\ &\cdot \phi\Big(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma\Big), \end{split}$$
(24)

where  $c_1(L) = 2\pi \sqrt{-1}y$ ,  $m^{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle}(h_1)$  is the weight of  $H_x$  on L and  $\delta \in \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

PROOF. By Proposition 5.3 we have

$$\begin{split} \breve{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) &= \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}}^{\sum} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\breve{m}_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\sigma} \\ &\quad \cdot \phi\left(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}\frac{z}{c\tau+d}+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})A\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),A\tau,\sigma\right) \\ &= \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}}^{\sum} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} \frac{1}{(c\tau+d)^{r_{1}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}} (c\tau+d)x_{i}\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\breve{m}_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\sigma} \phi\left(-\frac{(c\tau+d)y_{i}}{c\tau+d}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}\frac{z}{c\tau+d} +m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),A\tau,\sigma\right) \end{split}$$

The term of degree 2j of

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r_1} (c\tau+d) x_i \prod_{i=1}^n \phi \left( -\frac{(c\tau+d)y_i}{c\tau+d} - m_i^{S^1} \frac{z}{c\tau+d} + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) A\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2), A\tau, \sigma \right)$$

is equal to  $(c\tau + d)^j$  times that of

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r_1} x_i \prod_{i=1}^n \phi \bigg( -\frac{y_i}{c\tau+d} - m_i^{S^1} \frac{z}{c\tau+d} + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) A\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2), A\tau, \sigma \bigg).$$

Since  $\dim \hat{\hat{F}}_{\hat{\lambda}} = 2r_1$  we obtain

$$\breve{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i}\breve{m}_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\sigma} \\
\cdot \phi\left(-\frac{y_{i}}{c\tau+d} - m_{i}^{S^{1}}\frac{z}{c\tau+d} + m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})A\tau - m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), A\tau, \sigma\right). \quad (25)$$

Using (14), (21) and (23), we see that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi \left( -\frac{y_{i}}{c\tau+d} - m_{i}^{S^{1}} \frac{z}{c\tau+d} + m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})A\tau - m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), A\tau, \sigma \right) \\
= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi \left( \frac{-y_{i} - m_{i}^{S^{1}} z + (a\tau+b)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1}) - (c\tau+d)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2})}{c\tau+d}, A\tau, \sigma \right) \\
= e^{\pi \sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2} - 2clz\sigma)} e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z)ck} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i}((a\tau+b)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1}) - (c\tau+d)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), \tau, (c\tau+d)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}))c\sigma} \\
\cdot \prod_{i} \phi \left( -y_{i} - m_{i}^{S^{1}} z + (a\tau+b)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1}) - (c\tau+d)m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), \tau, (c\tau+d)\sigma \right). \tag{26}$$

In (26) we have

$$\left( (a\tau + b)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - (c\tau + d)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) \right) c = -m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) + \left( am_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - cm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) \right) (c\tau + d)$$

and

$$(a\tau + b)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - (c\tau + d)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2)$$
  
=  $\left(am_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - cm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2)\right)\tau + bm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - dm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2).$ 

We consider the map  $\rho: CM(H_x) \to CM(H_x)$  defined by

$$\rho(h_1, h_2) = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = (h_1^a h_2^{-c}, h_1^{-b} h_2^d)$$

It is a bijection and its inverse is given by

$$\rho^{-1}(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = \left(\bar{h}_1^d \bar{h}_2^c, \bar{h}_1^b \bar{h}_2^a\right).$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \rho \mbox{ induces a bijection of } \hat{\mathscr{C}}(H_x) \mbox{ onto itself which we shall also denote by } \rho. \mbox{ It in turn induces a permutation } \rho \mbox{ of } \{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\}_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}}^{\circ} \mbox{ in the following way. If } \delta \mbox{ lies in } \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}, \mbox{ then } \rho(\delta) \mbox{ lies in } \hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}^{\circ}. \mbox{ Note that } \delta \mapsto \rho(\delta) \mbox{ defines an isomorphism of orbifolds from } \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\circ} \mbox{ onto } \hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}^{\circ}. \mbox{ In fact, if } (V^{t,h_1,h_2}_x,V^{t,h_1,h_2}_x/(C(h_1)\cap C(h_2)),C(h_1)\cap C(h_2)) \mbox{ is a chart for } \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\circ} \mbox{ and } (V^{t,\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2}_x,V^{t,\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2}_x/(C(\bar{h}_1)\cap C(\bar{h}_2)),C(\bar{h}_1)\cap C(\bar{h}_2)) \mbox{ is a chart for } \rho(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\circ}),\mbox{ then } V^{t,h_1,h_2}_x = V^{t,\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2}_x \mbox{ and } C(h_1)\cap C(h_2) = C(\bar{h}_1)\cap C(\bar{h}_2). \mbox{ It follows that the identity } \mbox{ map } V^{t,h_1,h_2}_x \to V^{t,\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2}_x \mbox{ induces } \rho: \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to \hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}. \mbox{ } \rho: \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to \hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})} \mbox{ respects } \pi \circ \hat{\pi} \mbox{ but not necessarily } \hat{\pi}. \mbox{ Moreover } am_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - cm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) \mbox{ is a weight of } \bar{h}_1 \mbox{ and } -bm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) + dm_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) \mbox{ is a weight of } \bar{h}_2. \mbox{ We shall use these for weights on the transformed sector } \rho(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\circ}) \mbox{ assigned for the pair } (\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2) \mbox{ and write them} \end{tabular}$ 

$$m_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1), \ m_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_2).$$

Thus

$$\left( (a\tau + b)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - (c\tau + d)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) \right) c = -m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) + m_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1)(c\tau + d),$$

$$(27)$$

and

$$(a\tau+b)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) - (c\tau+d)m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2) = m_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1)\tau - m_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_2).$$
(28)

Also we have

$$m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1) = dm_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1) + cm_i^{(\bar{h}_1,\bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_2).$$

Hence, by (22)

$$\sum_{i} m_i^{(h_1, h_2)}(h_1)\sigma = dkm(\bar{h}_1) + ckm(\bar{h}_2).$$
(29)

Finally, since  $\sum_i \breve{m}_i^{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle}(h_1) = N\breve{m}(h_1)$  by (22), we get

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i}\check{m}_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\sigma} = 1$$
(30)

for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$  with 0 < k < N. Then, by using (27), (28) and (29), we can rewrite (26) as follows.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi \left( -\frac{y_{i}}{c\tau+d} - m_{i}^{S^{1}} \frac{z}{c\tau+d} + m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})A\tau - m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}), A\tau, \sigma \right) \\
= e^{\pi \sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2} - 2clz\sigma)} e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}m(\bar{h}_{1})dk} \rho^{*} \\
\cdot \left( e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z+m(\bar{h}_{2}))ck} \prod_{i} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(\bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2})}(\bar{h}_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\
\cdot \phi \left( -y_{i} - m_{i}^{S^{1}} + m_{i}^{\langle \bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2} \rangle}(\bar{h}_{1}) - m_{i}^{\langle \bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2} \rangle}(\bar{h}_{2}), \tau, (c\tau+d)\sigma \right) \right). \quad (31)$$

We also have

$$e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) = \rho^*\left(e\left(\hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}\right)\right). \tag{32}$$

Putting (30), (31) and (32) into (25), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \breve{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) &= e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2}-2clz\sigma)} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{A}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(\bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2})\in\rho(\delta)} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m(\bar{h}_{1})dk} \\ &\quad \cdot \rho^{*} \left( e\Big(\hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}\Big) e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z+m(\bar{h}_{2}))ck} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(\bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2})}(\bar{h}_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\ &\quad \cdot \phi\Big(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(\bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2})}(\bar{h}_{1})\tau-m_{i}^{(\bar{h}_{1},\bar{h}_{2})}(\bar{h}_{2}),\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma\Big) \Big) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} (nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^2 - 2clz\sigma)} \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi \circ \hat{\pi}}(\delta)|} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{\hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}} \sum_{(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) \in \rho(\delta)} e^{\left(\hat{F}_{\rho(\hat{\lambda})}\right)} e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}m(\bar{h}_1)dk} e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^1}z+m(\bar{h}_2))ck} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}m_i^{(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1)(c\tau+d)\sigma} \phi\Big(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_1)\tau \\ &\quad - m_i^{(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)}(\bar{h}_2), \tau, (c\tau+d)\sigma\Big). \end{split}$$

Replacing  $\rho(\hat{\hat{\lambda}})$  by  $\hat{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\bar{h}_1$  and  $\bar{h}_2$  by  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  respectively we obtain (24).

The definition of vector bundles  $\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  and  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  depended on a parameter  $\sigma$  with  $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sigma}$ . In case it is necessary to specify the parameter  $\sigma$ , we shall write  $\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)$  and  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)$ .

COROLLARY 6.4. Under the situation of Lemma 6.3 we have

$$\breve{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2}-2clz\sigma)} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m(h_{1})dk} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}f_{\hat{\lambda}}(c\tau+d)\sigma} 
\cdot \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}}\otimes(L^{*})^{ck}\otimes\mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\otimes\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\right), \quad (33)$$

where  $[h_1] \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

PROOF. Apply the index formula (10) to Lemma 6.3. The details of proof are similar to that of Proposition 5.2.  $\hfill \Box$ 

The following Lemma is crucial for the subsequent discussion.

LEMMA 6.5. The meromorphic function  $\breve{\varphi}^A(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  in z has no poles at  $z \in \mathbf{R}$ . PROOF. In view of (33) it suffices to show that each

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}}\otimes (L^{*})^{ck}\otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\right)$$

has no poles at  $z \in \mathbf{R}$ . Furthermore we may replace  $(L^*)^{ck}$  by an orbifold line bundle L'and  $(c\tau + d)\sigma$  by  $\sigma$  without loss of generality. Considering  $\operatorname{ind}(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes L' \otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma))$ as a function of z, we write it  $\varphi(z)$  and expand it as a power series:

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k} b_k(z) q^{\frac{k}{r}},$$

where  $b_k(z)$  is of the form  $\operatorname{ind}(\hat{R}'_{\hat{\lambda},k}(\sigma)) \in R(S^1) \otimes C$  as in (6). It follows that  $b_k(z)$  has no poles at  $z \in \mathbf{R}$ .

On the other hand (24) compared with (33) shows that  $\varphi(z)$  has the following

expression

$$\varphi(z) = \zeta^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{\pi}(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \subset \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi \circ \hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_1, h_2) \in \delta} e^{\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}(y + m^{S^1}z + m(h_2))} \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + f_{\hat{\lambda}, i}\tau - m_i^{(h_1, h_2)}(h_2), \tau, \sigma\right),$$
(34)

where y is the first Chern form of L', and  $m^{S^1}$  and m(h) are the weights of the actions of  $S^1$  and  $h \in H_x$  on  $L'_x$  respectively. If  $\sum_k b_{k,\hat{\lambda}}(z)q^{\frac{k}{r}}$  is the contribution from  $\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{A}$  to (34), then

$$b_k(z) = \sum_{\hat{\hat{\lambda}} \in \hat{\hat{A}}} b_{k,\hat{\hat{\lambda}}}(z).$$

The term of degree zero in the denominator of  $\phi(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + f_{\hat{\lambda},i}\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2), \tau, \sigma)$  is

$$\left(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-m_i^{S^1}z - m_i^{(h_1, h_2)}(h_2))}q^{f_{\hat{\lambda}, i}\tau}\right) \\ \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-m_i^{S^1}z - m_i^{(h_1, h_2)}(h_2))}q^{f_{\hat{\lambda}, i}+k}\right) \left(1 - e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1, h_2)}(h_2))}q^{-f_{\hat{\lambda}, i}+k}\right)$$

Therefore poles of  $b_{k,\hat{\lambda}}(z)$  lie in  $\mathbf{R}$  but they cancel out in the sum  $b_k(z) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} b_{k,\hat{\lambda}}(z)$ as noted above. Assume  $z_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  is a pole of  $\varphi(z)$ . Then there is an open set U containing  $z_0$  such that the power series  $\sum_k b_{k,\hat{\lambda}}(z)q^{\frac{k}{r}}$  converges uniformly on any compact set in  $U \setminus \{z_0\}$  and  $b_k(z) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} b_{k,\hat{\lambda}}(z)$  is holomorphic in U. In such a situation one can conclude that  $\varphi(z) = \sum_k b_k(z)q^{\frac{k}{r}}$  has no poles in  $\mathbf{R}$ . We refer to Lemma in Section 7 of [6].  $\Box$ 

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.1. We regard  $\check{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  as a meromorphic function of z. By the transformation law (13)  $\phi(z, \tau, \sigma)$  is an elliptic function in z with respect to the lattice  $\mathbf{Z} \cdot N\tau \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$  with 0 < k < N. Hence the equivariant modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  of level N is also an elliptic function in z. Thus, in order to show that  $\check{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  is a constant it suffices to show that it does not have poles.

Assume that z is a pole. Then  $1 - t^m q^r \alpha = 0$  for some integer  $m \neq 0$ , some rational number r and a root of unity  $\alpha$ . Consequently there are integers  $m_1 \neq 0$  and  $k_1$  such that  $m_1 z + k_1 \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there is an element  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\frac{z}{c\tau+d} \in \boldsymbol{R}$$

Since

$$\breve{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \breve{\varphi}\bigg(X;A^{-1}\bigg(\frac{z}{c\tau+d},A\tau\bigg),\sigma\bigg) = \breve{\varphi}^{A^{-1}}\bigg(X;\frac{z}{c\tau+d},A\tau,\sigma\bigg).$$

the function  $\check{\varphi}^{A^{-1}}(X; w, A\tau, \sigma)$  must have a pole  $w = \frac{z}{c\tau+d} \in \mathbf{R}$ . But this contradicts Lemma 6.5. This contradiction proves that  $\check{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  can not have a pole and Theorem 3.1 follows.

PROPOSITION 6.6. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial S<sup>1</sup> action. Let N > 1 be an integer relatively prime to the orders of all isotropy groups  $|H_{\lambda}|$ . Assume that there exists an orbifold line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . If the integer l in (21) is relatively prime to N, then the modified orbifold elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  of level N vanishes.

PROOF. By Theorem 3.1 the equivariant modified elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}_t(X;\tau,\sigma) = \check{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma)$  is constant and equal to  $\check{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$ . By (13), Proposition 5.3 and (21) we have

$$\breve{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma) = \breve{\varphi}(X;z+\tau,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^l \breve{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^l \breve{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma).$$

Since *l* is relatively prime to *N*,  $\zeta^l$  is not equal to 1. Hence  $\breve{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$  must vanish.  $\Box$ 

By similar calculations to the ones used in the proof of Lemma 6.3 we obtain the following

LEMMA 6.7. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial S<sup>1</sup> action and let N > 1 be an integer. Assume that there exists a genuine line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . Then the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  of level N with  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}$ , 0 < k < N, is transformed by  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  in the following way.

$$\hat{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2}-2clz\sigma)} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \\ \cdot e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z)ck} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\ \cdot \phi\left(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma\right).$$
(35)

NOTE. Under the assumption of Lemma 6.7 (including the case N = 1) each  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is an integer. In fact  $\sum_{i} m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h) = Nm(h)$  is an integer as the weight of h on a genuine line bundle  $L^{N}$  by (22).  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is congruent to  $\sum_{i} m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h)$  for  $[h] \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.3 can be given in a similar way to that of Theorem 3.1 by using Lemma 6.7. In fact, under the assumption that the orbifold line bundle L is genuine, the term  $e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^1}z)ck}$  in the integrand of (35) is equal to  $e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^1}z+m(h_2))ck}$  so that we have

A. HATTORI

$$\hat{\varphi}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}(nc(c\tau+d)\sigma^{2}-2clz\sigma)} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}f_{\hat{\lambda}}(c\tau+d)\sigma} \cdot \operatorname{ind}\left(D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}}\otimes (L^{*})^{ck}\otimes \mathscr{T}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\otimes \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}((c\tau+d)\sigma)\right)$$

The rest of the proof is entirely similar to that of Theorem 3.1. One sees that  $\hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  can not have a pole and consequently it is constant.

PROPOSITION 6.8. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2nwith a non-trivial  $S^1$  action and let N > 1 be an integer. Assume that there exists a genuine line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . If the integer l in (21) is relatively prime to N, then the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  of level N vanishes.

PROOF. In a similar way to the proof of Proposition 6.6, we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma) = \hat{\varphi}(X;z+\tau,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^l \hat{\varphi}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^l \hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma).$$

Since  $\zeta^l \neq 1$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}(X; \tau, \sigma)$  must vanish.

At this point it is to be noted that the fact that the number l in (21) is an integer is not used in the proof of Theorem 3.3. For example suppose that there is a genuine line bundle L with a lifted action of G such that  $(\Lambda^n TX)^d = L^{dN}$  for some positive integer N and d. Then the equality (21) hold with a rational number l such that dl is an integer. This observation leads to the following generalization of Theorem 3.3.

PROPOSITION 6.9. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial  $S^1$  action and let N > 1 be an integer. Assume that there exist a genuine orbifold line bundle L and a positive integer d such that  $(\Lambda^n TX)^d = L^{dN}$ . Then the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  of level N is rigid.

NOTE. The assumption in Proposition 6.9 is almost the same as saying that  $c_1(TX) = Nc_1(L)$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . It should be noticed that the numbers  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  are not necessarily integers under the assumption of Proposition 6.9.

The proof of Theorem 3.4 goes as follows. The assumption that  $(A^n TX)^d$  is trivial as an orbifold line bundle is equivalent to taking L to be a trivial line bundle in Proposition 6.9. Then the assumption of Proposition 6.9 is satisfied by any integer N > 1. It follows that  $\hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  is constant for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}, 0 < k < N$ . Since this is true for any integer N > 1 and  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}, \hat{\varphi}(X; z, \tau, \sigma)$  must be constant.

PROPOSITION 6.10. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial  $S^1$  action. Assume that  $(\Lambda^n TX)^d$  is trivial for some positive integer d. If the number  $l \in \mathbb{Z}/d$  in (21) is not zero, then  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  vanishes.

PROOF. If l is not equal to 0, take an integer N relatively prime to dl. Then  $\zeta^{dl} \neq 1$  for  $\sigma = \frac{k}{N}, 0 < k < N$ . A similar argument to the proof of Proposition 6.6 proves that  $\hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma) = \zeta^{dl}\hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$  and consequently  $\hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma) = 0$  for such  $\sigma$ . Since there are infinitely many integers N relatively prime to dl, this implies that  $\hat{\varphi}(X;\tau,\sigma)$  must vanish.

444

# 7. Orbifold $T_y$ -genus.

Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold. The  $T_y$ -genus  $T_y(X)$  is defined to be the index

$$T_y(X) = \operatorname{ind}(D \otimes \Lambda_y T^*X) \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}].$$

We further consider the orbifold  $T_y$ -genus

$$\hat{T}_{y}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} T_{y}(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \Lambda_{y} T^{*} \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right).$$
(36)

In case the orders of all isotropy groups  $H_x$  are relatively prime to an integer N > 1 the modified orbifold  $T_y$ -genus  $\check{T}_y(X)$  of level N is defined by

$$\breve{T}_{y}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{\breve{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}} T_{y}(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{\breve{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \left( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \Lambda_{y} T^{*} \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right),$$
(37)

where  $-y = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}\frac{k}{N}}, \ 0 < k < N.$ 

NOTE.  $T_y(X)$  and  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  are the constant terms in the power series expansions (6) of the elliptic genus  $\varphi(X)$  and orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  with  $\zeta$  replaced by -y. Similarly  $\check{T}_y(X)$  is the constant term of the *q*-expansion of  $\check{\varphi}(X)$  with  $\zeta$  replaced by -ywhen  $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{k}{N}}, \ 0 < k < N$ .

When a compact group G acts on X one can consider the corresponding equivariant genera. It is known [9] that the  $T_y$ -genus is rigid for closed manifolds with compact connected group action.

PROPOSITION 7.1. The  $T_y$ -genus, the  $\hat{T}_y$ -genus and the  $\check{T}_y$ -genus are rigid for closed orbifolds with compact connected Lie group action.

PROOF. It is enough to prove the statement for  $T_y$ -genus in view of (36) and (37). We may further assume that G is the circle group  $S^1$ . Let  $T_{y,t}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \otimes R(S^1)$  be the equivariant  $T_y$ -genus of X. We shall use the notations in Section 5 and put  $\zeta = -y$ . By the fixed point formula (10) we have

$$T_{y,t}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}_F} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h \in \gamma_x} Td\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \left(1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i}\right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{i=r_1+1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \zeta t^{-m_i^{S^1}} e^{-(2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i + m_i^{(1,h)}(h))}\right)}{\left(1 - t^{-m_i^{S^1}} e^{-(2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i + m_i^{(1,h)}(h))}\right)}.$$

Note that  $r_1$  and  $m_i^{S^1}$  depend on  $\hat{\lambda}$ , and  $m_i^{S^1} \neq 0$  for  $r_1 + 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Put

A. HATTORI

$$\mu(\hat{\lambda}) = \#\{i \mid m_i^{S^1} > 0\}.$$

Then  $\#\{i \mid m_i^{S^1} < 0\} = n - r_1 - \mu(\hat{\lambda})$ . We regard

$$\alpha_{\hat{\lambda}}(t) = \frac{1}{\left|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}\right|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h \in \gamma_{x}} Td\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \left(1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_{i}}\right)$$
$$\cdot \prod_{i=r_{1}+1}^{n} \frac{\left(1 - \zeta t^{-m_{i}^{S^{1}}} e^{-(2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_{i}+m_{i}^{(1,h)}(h))}\right)}{\left(1 - t^{-m_{i}^{S^{1}}} e^{-(2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_{i}+m_{i}^{(1,h)}(h))}\right)}$$

as a rational function of t. Then we see easily that

$$\alpha_{\hat{\lambda}}(0) = \frac{1}{|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h \in \gamma_x} Td\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \left(1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i}\right) \zeta^{\mu(\hat{\lambda})},$$
  
$$\alpha_{\hat{\lambda}}(\infty) = \frac{1}{|H_{\pi(\hat{\lambda})}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{h \in \gamma_x} Td\left(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \left(1 - \zeta e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i}\right) \zeta^{n-r_1-\mu(\hat{\lambda})}.$$

Thus  $T_{y,t}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}} \alpha_{\hat{\lambda}}(t)$  takes finite values at t = 0 and  $t = \infty$ . Since  $T_{y,t}(X)$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \otimes R(S^1) = \mathbf{Z}[y, y^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ , it must be a constant which is equal to  $T_y(X)$ .

In the above proof we have in fact proved the following

Corollary 7.2.

$$T_y(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-r_1} (-y)^k \sum_{\hat{\lambda}: \mu(\hat{\lambda})=k} T_y(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-r_1} (-y)^k \sum_{\hat{\lambda}: \mu(\hat{\lambda})=n-r_1-k} T_y(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}).$$

Note that  $T_y(X)$  is a polynomial in -y of degree at most  $n = \frac{\dim X}{2}$  with integer coefficients.

LEMMA 7.3. If  $\Lambda^n TX$  is a genuine line bundle, then  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  is a polynomial in -y of degree at most n with integer coefficients.

PROOF. Each  $f_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is an integer by Note after Lemma 6.7. Therefore  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  is a polynomial in -y with integer coefficients. If dim  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} = 2k < 2n$ , then  $f_{\hat{\lambda}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} f_{\hat{\lambda},i}$  with  $0 < f_{\hat{\lambda},i} < 1$ . Therefore  $0 < f_{\hat{\lambda}} < n-k$  and the degree of  $(-y)^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}}T_y(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}})$  is less than n-k+k=n.

PROPOSITION 7.4. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Let N > 1 be an integer. Assume that there exists a genuine line bundle L with a lifted action of G such that  $A^nTX = L^N$ . If the integer l in (21) is relatively prime to N, then the orbifold  $\hat{T}_y$ -genus

 $\hat{T}_{y}(X)$  is a polynomial in -y divisible by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-y)^k$$

Moreover if  $\hat{T}_y(X) \neq 0$ , then  $N \leq n+1$ .

PROOF. By Proposition 6.8 the orbifold elliptic genus  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  of level N vanishes. In particular its degree 0 term  $\hat{T}_y(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}} (-y)^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} T_y(\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}})$  vanishes for  $-y = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{k}{N}}$ , 0 < k < N. Since  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients in -y it must be divisible by  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-y)^k$ .

Assume that  $\hat{T}_y(X) \neq 0$ . Since its degree is at most n by Lemma 7.3 and it is divisible by  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-y)^k$ , we must have  $N-1 \leq n$ .

REMARK 7.5. Suppose that the multiplicity of X is equal to 1 and  $\Lambda^n TX$  is a genuine line bundle. The constant term of  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  considered as a polynomial of -y is equal to the constant term  $T_0(X)$  of  $T_y(X)$  which is nothing but the Todd genus of X, since  $f_{\hat{\lambda}} > 0$  for twisted sectors. Thus, if  $T_0(X) \neq 0$ , then  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  does not vanish.

Situations like Proposition 7.4 occur when an *n*-dimensional torus acts on X, cf. [4]. Finally as corollaries of Proposition 6.6 and Proposition 6.10 we have

PROPOSITION 7.6. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Let N > 1 be an integer relatively prime to the orders of all isotropy groups  $|H_{\lambda}|$ . Assume that there exists an orbifold line bundle L with a lifted action of G such that  $\Lambda^n TX = L^N$ . If the integer l in (21) is relatively prime to N, then the modified  $\check{T}_y$ -genus  $\check{T}_y(X)$  of level N vanishes for  $-y = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\frac{k}{N}}$ , 0 < k < N.

PROPOSITION 7.7. Let X be an almost complex closed orbifold of dimension 2n with a non-trivial action of a compact connected Lie group G. Assume that there is a positive integer d such that  $(\Lambda^n TX)^d$  is trivial as an orbifold line bundle. If the number  $l \in \mathbb{Z}/d$  in (21) is not equal to 0, then the orbifold  $\hat{T}_y$ -genus  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  vanishes.

### 8. Appendix: stably almost complex orbifolds.

A stably almost complex orbifold is an oriented orbifold with a stably almost complex structure on the tangent bundle. More precisely, let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an orbifold atlas of X. Let k be a positive integer and let k denote the trivial real vector bundle of dimension kendowed with the standard orientation. Then a complex structure on  $TV \oplus k$  is given for each chart  $(V, U, H) \in \mathscr{U}$  in such a way that it is compatible with the orientation of TV followed by that of k, and it is preserved by each element  $h \in H$ . Here h acts trivially on l. It is also required that these complex structures are compatible with injections of charts. Two complex structures  $TV \oplus k$  and  $TV \oplus k'$  are considered as equivalent if the Whitney sums of  $l_C$  and  $l'_C$  to them give the same complex structures on  $TV \oplus (k+2l) = TV \oplus (k'+2l')$ , where  $l_C$  and  $l'_C$  are trivial complex vector bundles

of dimension l and l' such that k + 2l = k' + 2l'. An equivalence class is the stably almost complex structure. Note that each sector  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  is also a stably almost complex orbifold. In fact, for  $\gamma_x = [h] \in \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$  with  $h \in H_x \subset H$ , the complex vector bundle  $(TV \oplus \mathbf{k})^h = TV^h \oplus \mathbf{k}$  gives the stably almost complex structure on  $\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ . The normal bundle  $W_{\hat{\lambda}}$  of the immersion  $\pi : \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \to X$  has a canonical complex structure and the eigen-bundle decomposition by the action of h just like (3).

In general let X be an orbifold. For an orbifold complex vector bundle W of rank l we put  $\tilde{W} = W - l_C \in K_{orb}(X)$ . If X is a stably almost complex orbifold,  $\widetilde{TX \oplus k}$  is a well-defined element in  $K_{orb}(X)$  which we simply denote by  $\tilde{T}X$ . Similarly we put  $\tilde{T}^*X = \widetilde{T^*X \oplus k}$ .

We then define formal vector bundles  $\tilde{\mathscr{T}} = \tilde{\mathscr{T}}(\sigma)$  and  $\tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)$  by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathscr{T}}(\sigma) &= \Lambda_{-\zeta} \tilde{T}^* X \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big( \Lambda_{-\zeta q^k} \tilde{T}^* X \otimes \Lambda_{-\zeta^{-1} q^k} \tilde{T} X \otimes S_{q^k} \tilde{T}^* X \otimes S_{q^k} \tilde{T} X \Big), \\ \tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) &= \Lambda_{-\zeta} \tilde{T}^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big( \Lambda_{-\zeta q^k} \tilde{T}^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes \Lambda_{-\zeta^{-1} q^k} \tilde{T} \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes S_{q^k} \tilde{T}^* \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \otimes S_{q^k} \tilde{T} \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \Big). \end{split}$$

It is to be noted that

$$\Lambda_{\lambda}\tilde{W} = \Lambda_{\lambda} W/(1+\lambda)^{\operatorname{rank} W}, \quad S_{\lambda}\tilde{W} = S_{\lambda} W/(1+\lambda)^{\operatorname{rank} W},$$

because of multiplicative properties of total exterior power operation and symmetric power operation. It follows that

$$\tilde{\mathscr{T}}(\sigma) = \mathscr{T}(\sigma) / \left(-\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \varPhi(\sigma,\tau)\right)^{\frac{\dim X}{2}}, \quad \tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) = \mathscr{T}(\sigma)_{\hat{\lambda}} / \left(-\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \varPhi(\sigma,\tau)\right)^{\frac{\dim X_{\hat{\lambda}}}{2}}.$$

when X is an almost complex orbifold. Since we have eigen-bundle decomposition (3) as in the case of almost complex orbifold, we can define  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i} = \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda},i}(\sigma)$  and  $\mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma)$ just as in section 3. We then define

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \mathscr{W}_{\hat{\lambda}} / \left( -\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \varPhi(\sigma, \tau) \right)^{\operatorname{rank} W_{\hat{\lambda}}}$$

On a stably almost complex orbifold a spin-c Dirac operator can be introduced as in the case of almost complex manifolds. It is an operator of the same form as (5) with

$$E^+ = \bigoplus_{i:even} \Lambda^i(TX \oplus \mathbf{k}) \text{ and } E^- = \bigoplus_{i:odd} \Lambda^i(TX \oplus \mathbf{k}).$$

Hereafter we assume that X is a stably almost complex orbifold of dimension 2n, and a complex vector bundle structure of rank n + s is given on  $T'X = TX \oplus 2s$  for some s.

We define the stabilized elliptic genus  $\varphi_{st}(X)$  and stabilized orbifold elliptic genus

 $\hat{\varphi}_{st}(X)$  of X by

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{st}(X) &= \operatorname{ind}(D \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{T}}(\sigma)), \\ \hat{\varphi}_{st}(X) &= \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} \zeta^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \Big( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \Big). \end{split}$$

When X is an almost complex orbifold of dimension 2n we have

$$\varphi(X) = \varphi_{st}(X) \left(-\Phi(\sigma,\tau)\right)^n, \quad \hat{\varphi}(X) = \hat{\varphi}_{st}(X) \left(-\Phi(\sigma,\tau)\right)^n.$$
(38)

We may define  $\varphi(X)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  for stably almost complex orbifold X by (38).

Suppose that N > 1 is an integer relatively prime to every  $|H_x|$ . We define modified stabilized orbifold elliptic genus  $\check{\varphi}_{st}(X)$  by

$$\breve{\varphi}_{st}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} \zeta^{\check{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \operatorname{ind} \Big( D_{\hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{T}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{W}}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\sigma) \Big),$$

and set  $\breve{\varphi}(X) = \breve{\varphi}_{st}(X)(-\varPhi(\sigma,\tau))^n$ .

The cohomology classes of  $c(T'X) \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$  and  $Td(T'X) \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_X)$  are well-defined classes depending only on  $\tilde{T}X$ , where

$$Td(T'X) = \det\left(\frac{\Gamma(T'X)}{1 - e^{-\Gamma(T'X)}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+s} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-x_i}},$$

with  $c(T'X) = \prod_i (1+x_i)$  as before.

When a compact connected Lie group G acts on X it is always assumed that the action preserves the stably almost complex structure. Then we can consider equivariant genera corresponding  $\hat{\varphi}(X)$  etc. The fixed point set  $X^G$  is a stably almost complex orbifold. Vergne's fixed point formulas (9) and (10) still hold for stably almost complex orbifolds by replacing  $Td(\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})$  by  $Td(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}})$ .

Suppose that N > 1 is an integer and there is an orbifold line bundle L such that  $\Lambda^{n+s}T'X = L^N$ . This condition is equivalent to saying that the first orbifold Chern class  $c_1(\tilde{T}X) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$  is divisible by N. Similarly the condition that  $\Lambda^{n+s}T'X$  is trivial means that  $c_1(\tilde{T}X) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_X)$  vanishes. Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 have meanings for stably almost complex manifolds by replacing  $\Lambda^n TX$  by  $\Lambda^{n+s}T'X$ , and they in fact hold in this extended sense. Similarly Propositions 6.6, 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10 hold for stably almost complex orbifolds.

Proofs are almost verbatim. We work with stabilized genera  $\hat{\varphi}_{st}(X)$  and so on. We put

$$\phi_{st}(z,\tau,\sigma) = -\phi(z,\tau,\sigma)/\Phi(\sigma,\tau) = -\Phi(z+\sigma,\tau)/\Phi(z,\tau)\Phi(\sigma,\tau)$$

 $\phi_{st}(z,\tau,\sigma)$  satisfies the following transformation law.

$$\phi_{st}(A(z,\tau),\sigma) = (c\tau+d)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}cz\sigma}\phi_{st}(z,\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma) \quad \text{for } A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$
  
$$\phi_{st}(z+m\tau+n,\tau,\sigma) = e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m\sigma}\phi_{st}(z,\tau,\sigma) \quad \text{for } m,n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

We put  $e(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} x_i$  where  $T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} = T\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}} \oplus 2s$  and  $r_1 = \operatorname{rank}_{C}(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}) = \frac{\dim \hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}}{2} + s$ . We use the same notions and conventions as in (15), (16) and (17). Then (18) and (19) are replaced by

$$\hat{\varphi}_{st}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{A}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_1,h_2)\in\delta} e\left(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\sigma} \cdot \phi_{st}\left(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2),\tau,\sigma\right)$$
(39)

and

$$\begin{split} \breve{\varphi}_{st}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) &= \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_1,h_2)\in\delta} e\left(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\breve{m}_i^{\langle h_1,h_2\rangle}(h_1)\sigma} \\ &\quad \cdot \phi_{st}\Big(-y_i - m_i^{S^1}z + m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_1)\tau - m_i^{(h_1,h_2)}(h_2), \tau, \sigma\Big) \end{split}$$
(40)

respectively.

As for (24) in Lemma 6.3 it is replaced by

$$\begin{split} \breve{\varphi}_{st}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) &= (c\tau+d)^{n} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}clz\sigma} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \\ &\cdot e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}m(h_{1})dk} e^{-2n\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z+m(h_{2}))ck} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\ &\cdot \phi_{st} \left(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma\right). \tag{41}$$

Similarly (35) in Lemma 6.7 is replaced by

$$\hat{\varphi}_{st}^{A}(X;z,\tau,\sigma) = (c\tau+d)^{n} e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}clz\sigma} \sum_{\hat{\lambda}\in\hat{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{|H_{\pi\circ\hat{\pi}(\delta)}|} \int_{\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}} \sum_{(h_{1},h_{2})\in\delta} e\left(T'\hat{F}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right) \\ \cdot e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(y+m^{S^{1}}z)ck} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})(c\tau+d)\sigma} \\ \cdot \phi_{st}\left(-y_{i}-m_{i}^{S^{1}}z+m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{1})\tau-m_{i}^{(h_{1},h_{2})}(h_{2}),\tau,(c\tau+d)\sigma\right).$$
(42)

By using (39), (40), (41) and (42) proofs of stably almost complex versions of Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 can be easily completed.

Todd genus  $T_0(X)$  is an invariant of stably almost complex orbifolds. It is defined as the index of a spin-c Dirac operator D and written as the integral  $T_0(X) = \int_X Td(T'X)$ . We define the stabilized  $T_y$ -genus and stabilized  $\hat{T}_y$ -genus of X by

$$T_{y,st}(X) = \operatorname{ind} \left( D \otimes \Lambda_y \tilde{T}^* X \right)$$
$$\hat{T}_{y,st}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{f_{\hat{\lambda}}} T_{y,st} \left( \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right)$$

They are the degree zero terms in the q-expansions of  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi_{st}(X)$  and  $\zeta^{\frac{n}{2}}\hat{\varphi}_{st}(X)$ . If N > 1 is an integer such that it is relatively prime to all  $|H_x|$ , then we define

$$\breve{T}_{y,st}(X) = \sum_{\hat{\lambda} \in \hat{\Lambda}} (-y)^{\check{f}_{\hat{\lambda}}} T_{y,st} \big( \hat{X}_{\hat{\lambda}} \big).$$

Note that, if X is an almost complex orbifold of dimension 2n, then

$$T_{y,st}(X) = T_y(X)/(1+y)^n, \ \hat{T}_{y,st}(X) = \hat{T}_y(X)/(1+y)^n, \ \check{T}_{y,st}(X) = \check{T}_y(X)/(1+y)^n.$$

We may define  $T_y(X)$ ,  $\hat{T}_y(X)$  and  $\tilde{T}_y(X)$  for stably almost complex orbifolds using the above equalities. With these understandings the results in Section 7 still hold for stably almost complex orbifolds.

### References

- R. Bott and C. Taubes, On the rigidity theorem of Witten, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 2 (1989), 137–186.
- [2] C. Dong, K. Liu and X. Ma, On orbifold elliptic genus, Orbifolds in Mathematics and Physics, Contemp. Math., 310 (2002), 87–105.
- [3] J. J. Duistermaat, The Heat Kernel Lefschetz Fixed Point Formula for the Spin-c Dirac Operator, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [4] A. Hattori, Elliptic genera, torus orbifolds and multi-fans; II, preprint, 2005, math.AT/0501392, to appear in Intern. J. Math.
- [5] A. Hattori and M. Masuda, Elliptic genera, torus orbifolds and multi-fans, Intern. J. Math., 16 (2005), 957–998.
- [6] F. Hirzebruch, Elliptic genera of level N for complex manifolds, Differential Geometrical Methods in Theoretical Physics, Kluwer, 1988, pp. 37–63; also reproduced with corrections and improvements in [7].
- [7] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger and R. Jung, Manifolds and Modular Forms, Aspects Math., E20, Vieweg, 1992.
- [8] T. Kawasaki, The signature theorem for V-manifolds, Topology, 17 (1978), 75–83.
- [9] C. Kosniowski, Applications of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula, Bull. London Math. Soc., 2 (1970), 43–48.
- [10] K. Liu, On elliptic genera and theta-functions, Topology, 35 (1996), 617–640.
- [11] I. Satake, The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for V-manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 9 (1957), 464–492.
- [12] B. Steer and A. Wren, Grothendieck topology and the Picard group of a complex orbifold, Contemp. Math., 239 (1999), 251–262.

- [13] C. Taubes, S<sup>1</sup> actions and elliptic genera, Comm. Math. Phys., **122** (1989), 455–526.
- [14] M. Vergne, Equivariant index formula for orbifolds, Duke Math. J., 82 (1996), 637–652.
- [15] E. Witten, The index of the Dirac operator in loop space, Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, 1988, pp. 161–181.

Akio Hattori

Graduate School of Mathematical Science University of Tokyo Tokyo, Japan E-mail: hattori@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp