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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be a simple closed rectifiable curve in Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. We say that $\Gamma$ is an $M$ chord-arc curve if $l(z, w) \leq M|z-w|$ for all $z, w \in$ $\Gamma$, where $l(z, w)$ denotes the length of the shorter subarc of $\Gamma$ joining $z$ to $w$. Let $\psi\left(e^{i t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi$, parametrize such a curve $\Gamma$ with $\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| \equiv l(\Gamma) / 2 \pi$, where $l(\Gamma)$ denotes the length of $\Gamma$. Then for $0 \leq$ $t-s \leq \pi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \leq \frac{\left|\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right|}{\left|e^{i t}-e^{i s}\right|} \leq c_{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{2} / c_{1} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} M$. In other words, $\Gamma$ is a bi-Lipschitz image of the unit circle. Conversely, if (1.1) holds for some parametrization of $\Gamma$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right), \psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right) \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2} M\left|\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $\Gamma$ is a $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2} M$ chord-arc curve.
By a minimal surface with boundary $\Gamma$ we mean the image $F(\mathbb{D})$ of the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ under a continuous map

$$
F=\left(F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right): \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

from the closed disk to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that
(1.3) $\left.F\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}}$ is a homeomorphism of $\partial D$ onto $\Gamma$,
(1.4) $\left.F\right|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is $C^{2}$,
(1.5) $f_{j} \equiv \frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial y}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, z=x+i y$, is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$,

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}^{2}(z) \equiv 0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{D} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Condition (1.5) says that each component $F_{j}$ of $F$ is a harmonic function in $\mathbb{D}$, and (1.6) says that the map $F$ is angle preserving except at the (isolated) common zeros of $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$. By a famous theorem of Douglas [1] every simple closed curve $\Gamma$ bounds at least one such minimal surface. We refer to Osserman's beautiful book [4] for further background on minimal surfaces.

By a partition of a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{D}$, we mean a family $\left\{D_{j}\right\}$ of simply connected subdomains of $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j} \cap D_{k}=\varnothing, \quad \text { if } j \neq k \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\bigcup_{j}\left(\Omega \cap \bar{D}_{j}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will call such a partition locally finite if each compact subset of $\mathbb{D}$ meets at most a finite number of $D_{j}$. In this paper, we prove the following:

Theorem. There is a universal constant $M$ such that if $\Gamma$ is a rectifiable simple closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $F(\mathbb{D})$ is a minimal surface with boundary $\Gamma$, then there is a locally finite partition $\left\{D_{j}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F \text { is a homeomorphism of } \bar{D}_{j} \text { onto } \overline{F\left(D_{j}\right)},  \tag{1.9}\\
& F\left(\partial\left(D_{j}\right)\right) \text { is an } M \text { chord-arc curve, } \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum l F\left(\partial\left(D_{j}\right)\right) \leq M l(\Gamma), \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l(E)$ denotes the linear measure (or arc length) of the set $E$.
The only hard part of the theorem is inequality (1.11). Otherwise we could simply take each $D_{j}$ to be a small square. When $n=2, F_{1}+i F_{2}$ is a conformal map to a plane domain with rectifiable boundary, and then the theorem is a recent result of Jones [3]. Our proof is a refinement of the argument from [3], where the estimate $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}\right| /|f| \leq 6$ is used in an essential way. When $n>2$, the gradient $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$ can have zeros in $\mathbb{D}$, and the example $f(z)=(1,-i, N z,-i N z)$ shows that the above estimate can fail even if $f$ does not have zeros. In the proof we will obtain curves that are actually better than $M$ chord-arc. They can be
taken to be arbitrarily close to planar $M$-Lipschitz curves, as defined in [3]. This improvement will be described in $\S 5$. We write

$$
|f|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}=\left(f_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, f_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Throughout the paper $c, c_{1}, C$, etc. stand for universal undetermined constants.

## 2. Preliminaries

The proof of (1.11) rests ultimately on the next lemma, an F. and M. Riesz theorem for minimal surfaces. The Hardy space $H^{1}$ is the set of $g$, analytic on $\mathbb{D}$, with

$$
\|g\|_{H^{1}}=\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta<\infty
$$

Lemma 2.1. If $F(\mathbb{D})$ is a minimal surface with rectifiable boundary $\Gamma$, then $f_{j}=\partial F_{j} / \partial x-i \partial F_{j} / \partial y \in H^{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta=\sqrt{2} l(\Gamma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.5) each $F_{j}$ is the Poisson integral of its boundary values, and since $\Gamma$ is rectifiable, each $F_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is of bounded variation. Hence there are finite signed measures $\mu_{j}$ on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ so that $d \mu_{j}=\left(\partial F_{j}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) / \partial \theta\right) d \theta$ and the vector measure $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\|\mu\|=\sup \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int h_{j} d \mu_{j}: h_{j} \text { is continuous and } \sum h_{j}^{2} \leq 1\right\}=l(\Gamma)
$$

Then $\partial F_{j}(z) / \partial \theta$, where $z=r e^{i \theta}$, is the Poisson integral of $\mu_{j}$, so that

$$
\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial F_{j}(z)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} d \theta=l(\Gamma)
$$

But by (1.5) and (1.6),

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial F_{j}(z)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}=r^{2} \frac{|f|^{2}}{2}
$$

and so (2.1) holds. q.e.d.

As an aside, we note this consequence of the lemma: If $F(\mathbb{D})$ is a minimal surface with rectifiable boundary $\Gamma$, and if $G_{j}$ is analytic with $G_{j}^{\prime}=f_{j}$ and $G_{j}(0)=F_{j}(0)$, then $F_{j}=\operatorname{Re} G_{j}$ and by the lemma $G_{j}$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and has bounded variation on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Hence $G=$ $\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{n}\right)$ is an analytic map of $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathbb{C}^{n}, G$ is a homeomorphism of $\partial \mathbb{D}$ onto the rectifiable curve $G(\partial \mathbb{D})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(G(\partial \mathbb{D}))=\sqrt{2} l(\Gamma) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $F(\mathbb{D})$ is the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the analytic variety $G(\mathbb{D})$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ for which (2.2) holds.

A measure $\sigma$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a Carleson measure if there is a constant $B$ such that for all $\theta_{0}$ and all $s, 0<s \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 1-s \leq r \leq 1, \theta_{0} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0}+s\right\}\right) \leq B s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Carleson norm $\|\sigma\|$ of $\sigma$ is the least such $B$. By Carleson's theorem (see p. 62 of [2]), there is a constant $A$ (independent of $\sigma$ ) so that (2.3) implies

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}|g| d \sigma \leq A\|\sigma\|\|g\|_{H^{1}}
$$

for all $g \in H^{1}$.
Our strategy will be to partition $\mathbb{D}$ into regions $D_{j}$ so small that $f$ is almost constant on $D_{j}$, yet so large that arc length on $U \partial D_{j}$ is a Carleson measure. Constructions of this type are well known; they stem from Carleson's proof of the corona theorem and are based on the following decomposition of $\mathbb{D}$.

For $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{m+1}$, form the dyadic squares

$$
Q_{m, j}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}:(j-1) 2^{-m} \pi \leq \theta<j 2^{-m} \pi ; 1-\pi 2^{-m} \leq r<1\right\}
$$

(when $m=1$, we require $r \geq 0$ ), and their top halves

$$
T\left(Q_{m, j}\right)=Q_{m, j} \backslash \bigcup_{k} Q_{m+1, k}
$$

Fix an integer $N \geq 1$ and refine the dyadic grid by defining small squares

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =S_{m, j, p, q} \\
= & \left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2^{-m} \pi\left[(j-1)+(q-1) 2^{-N}\right] \leq \theta<2^{-m} \pi\left[(j-1)+q 2^{-N}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad 1-2^{-m} \pi\left[\frac{1}{2}+p 2^{-N}\right] \leq r<1-2^{-m} \pi\left[\frac{1}{2}+(p-1) 2^{-N}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m, j, p$, and $q$ are integers with $m \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq 2^{m+1}, 1 \leq$ $q \leq 2^{N}$, and $1 \leq p \leq 2^{N-1}$. In other words, each $T\left(Q_{m, j}\right)$ is to be
divided into $4^{N} / 2$ small squares $S$ with edge length $l(\partial S)$ approximately $4 \pi 2^{-m-N}$. When $E$ is any subset of $\mathbb{D}$, let $E^{*}=\left\{e^{i \theta}: r e^{i \theta} \in E\right.$ for some $r \geq 0\}$ denote its projection on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. For $S$ a small square define $Q(S)=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: e^{i \theta} \in S^{*}, 1-\pi 2^{-m-N} \leq r<1\right\}$ as the dyadic square having $Q(S)^{*}=S^{*}$, and define $B(S)=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: e^{i \theta} \in S^{*} ; \inf _{z \in S}|z| \leq r<\right.$ $\left.1-\pi 2^{-m-N}\right\}$ as the tower which includes $S$ but not $Q(S)$. Note that the aspect ratio $l(\partial B(S)) / l\left(S^{*}\right)$ is essentially constant, once $N$ is fixed. A region of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}=Q \backslash \bigcup_{S \in \mathscr{S}(Q)} \overline{B(S)} \cup \overline{Q(S)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{S}(Q)$ is some subcollection of small squares, has boundary an $M_{0}$ chord-arc curve, where $M_{0}$ depends on $N$ but not on the subcollection $\mathscr{S}(Q)$. This is because each maximal $B(S) \cup Q(S)$ not in $\mathscr{D}$ is either adjacent to a larger tower not in $\mathscr{D}$ or at a distance at least $l\left(S^{*}\right)$ from any larger tower not in $\mathscr{D}$. Moreover, such regions $\mathscr{D}$ satisfy

$$
l\left(\partial D \cap Q^{\prime}\right) \leq K l\left(\partial Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every dyadic square $Q^{\prime}$, where $K$ is a constant depending only on $N$. Thus, by Carleson's theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathscr{D}}|g| d s \leq A K \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|g| d \theta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H^{1}$, where $d s$ is arc length measure.

## 3. Chord-arc curves

In this section we give three ways to obtain chord-arc curves in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $\gamma$ is an $M$ chord-arc curve in $\mathbb{D}$, and that there is a $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\delta\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$ for all $z \in \gamma$, where $\delta<1 /(\sqrt{2} M)$. Then $F(\gamma)$ is an $M_{1}$ chord-arc curve, where $M_{1}=$ $(\pi / 2)^{3}((1+\sqrt{2} \delta M) /(1-\sqrt{2} \delta M)) M$.

Proof. Suppose $\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)$ is a parametrization of $\gamma$ with $\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right|=$ $l(\gamma) /(2 \pi)$ for all $t$. Fix $s$ and $t$. By a rotation we may suppose $\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-$ $\psi\left(e^{i s}\right) \in \mathbb{R} . \operatorname{By}(1.5),(1.6)$, and the definition of $M$ chord-arc curve,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)-F\left(\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{s}^{t} \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(f\left(\psi\left(e^{i u}\right)\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \psi^{\prime}\left(e^{i u}\right) i e^{i u}\right] d u\right| \\
& \quad \leq \delta\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| l\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right), \psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \delta \sqrt{2}\left|\operatorname{Re} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right| M\left|\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude
$\left|\operatorname{Re} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|(1-\sqrt{2} \delta M) \leq \frac{\left|F\left(\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)-F\left(\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right)\right|}{\left|\psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\psi\left(e^{i s}\right)\right|} \leq\left|\operatorname{Re} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|(1+\sqrt{2} \delta M)$.
By (1.1) and (1.2), $F(\gamma)$ is an $M_{1}$ chord-arc curve with

$$
M_{1} \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1+\sqrt{2} \delta M}{1-\sqrt{2} \delta M} M . \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$

Near a zero of $f$, we cannot have an inequality like that required in Lemma 3.1. If $f(0)=0$, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=a z^{m}+O\left(z^{m+1}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, a \neq 0$. Let $D_{r}=\{z:|z|<r\}$ and $D_{j, r}=\left\{s e^{i \theta} \in\right.$ $\left.D_{r}:(j-1) \pi /(m+1) \leq \theta<j \pi /(m+1)\right\}$, for $j=1, \cdots, 2(m+1)$.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $f$ has the form (3.1). If $r$ is sufficiently small, then $F\left(\partial D_{j, r}\right)$ is an $M$ chord-arc curve with $M$ independent of $a$ and $m$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2(m+1)} l\left(F\left(\partial D_{j, r}\right)\right) \leq 2 l\left(F\left(\partial D_{r}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\psi(z)=z^{1 /(m+1)}$ and consider $G \equiv F \circ \psi$ on the boundary of the half disk $D^{+}=\left\{z:|z|<r^{m+1}, \operatorname{Im} z>0\right\}$. Then $g \equiv(f \circ \psi) \psi^{\prime}=$ $a /(m+1)+O\left(z^{1 /(m+1)}\right)$. So if $r$ is sufficiently small, then

$$
\left|g(z)-\frac{a}{m+1}\right|<\delta\left|\frac{a}{m+1}\right| .
$$

Since the boundary of a half disk is an $M_{1}$ chord-arc curve by Lemma 3.1, $F\left(\partial D_{1, r}\right)$ is a $4 M_{1}$ chord-arc curve if $\delta$ is sufficiently small. By rotating $\psi$, the same is true for $F\left(\partial D_{j, r}\right), 2 \leq j \leq 2(m=1)$. Moreover

$$
l\left(F\left(\partial D_{j, r}\right)\right)=\int_{\partial D^{+}}|g| d s \leq 2 \int_{\partial D+\cap\{\operatorname{Im} z>0\}}|g| d s=2 l\left(F\left(\partial D_{j, r} \cap \partial D_{r}\right)\right)
$$

Summing over $j$ completes the proof. q.e.d.

The third method of constructing $M$ chord-arc curves follows the argument given in [3, §2].

Lemma 3.3. Given $\eta>0$ there is a constant $M$ depending only on $\eta$, so that if $\eta \leq|f| \leq 1$ on a simply connected domain $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$, then there is a partition $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{j}\right\}$ of $\mathscr{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { each } F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}\right) \text { is an } M \text { chord-arc curve } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum l\left(F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}\right)\right) \leq M l(F(\partial D)) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if each component of $\partial \mathscr{D} \cap \mathbb{D}$ is smooth, then the partition $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{j}\right\}$ can be taken to be locally finite.

Proof. Let $G=F \circ \psi$ and $g=(f \circ \psi) \psi^{\prime}$, where $\psi$ is a conformal map of $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathscr{D}$. By Green's theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Delta(|g|) \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi}=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|g\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}-|g(0)|
$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\Delta(|g|)=\frac{2\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|}-\frac{\left|\left\langle g^{\prime}, g\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{|g|^{3}} \geq \frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|}
$$

Hence we obtain the inequalities

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi} \leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left\lvert\, g\left(e ^ { i \theta } \left|\frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}-|g(0)| \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi}\right.\right.\right.
$$

We also need the estimate

$$
\frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|}{|g|} \leq \frac{\left|(f \circ \psi)^{\prime}\right|}{|f \circ \psi|}+\frac{\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right|}{\left|\psi^{\prime}\right|} \leq \frac{K}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

where $K$ is a constant depending only on $\eta$; it follows because $\log \psi^{\prime}$ is in the Bloch space with Bloch norm independent of $\psi$ and because $\eta \leq|f \circ \psi| \leq 1$.

We now repeat the stopping time argument of $\S 2$ of [3], slightly modified to ensure that our partition of $\mathbb{D}$ is locally finite. For a dyadic square $Q$, we define a subregion $\mathscr{D}_{Q}$ as follows: If there is a $z \in T(Q)$ with $\left|g(z)-g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|$, where $z_{Q}$ is the center of $T(Q)$, stop and let $\mathscr{D}_{Q}=T(Q)$. In this case we say $\mathscr{D}_{Q}$ is of type 0 . Otherwise, let $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$ be those dyadic squares inside $Q$, which satisfy

$$
\sup _{z \in T\left(Q_{j}\right)}\left|g(z)-g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right| \geq \delta\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|
$$

and define $\mathscr{D}_{Q}=Q \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_{j}$. We say such a $\mathscr{D}_{Q}$ is of type 1 if $l\left(\partial \mathbb{D} \cap \partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} l(\partial \mathbb{D} \cap \partial Q)$, and we say $\mathscr{D}_{Q}$ is of type 2 otherwise. The reason for using $\frac{\delta}{2}$ is that if $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\psi(\zeta) \in \mathbb{D}$, then $g$ is continuous and nonzero at $\zeta$, so the stopping time argument near $\zeta$ will eventually yield a dyadic square $Q$ on which $\left|g(z)-g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|<\delta\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|$, i.e., $\mathscr{D}_{Q}=Q$.

Since each component of $g$ may have a zero in $\mathbb{D}$, we avoid the use of $g^{1 / 2}$ used to prove (2.8) of [3] by the following slight modification of the argument therein: As in [3], there is a $\delta^{\prime}$ depending on $\delta$ and $K$ such that for type 2 regions

$$
\delta^{\prime}\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \int_{\partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}}\left|g-g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|^{2} d \omega=\int_{\mathscr{D}_{Q}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2} \mathscr{G}_{z_{Q}}(z) d x d y
$$

where $\mathscr{G}_{z_{Q}}$ is Green's function in $\mathscr{D}_{Q}$ with pole at $z_{Q}$, and $d \omega=\frac{\partial \mathscr{G}}{\partial \eta} \frac{|d z|}{2 \pi}$ is harmonic measure on $\partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}$ for the point $z_{Q}$. As in [3], the latter quantity is at most

$$
\frac{C}{l\left(Q^{*}\right)} \int_{\mathscr{D}_{Q}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d x d y \leq C_{1} \frac{\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|}{l\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}\right)} \int_{\mathscr{D}_{Q}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{|g|} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d x d y
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}}|g||d z| \leq(1+\delta) \frac{\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|^{2} l\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{Q}\right)}{\left|g\left(z_{Q}\right)\right|} \leq K_{1} \int_{\mathscr{D}_{Q}}\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}|g| \log \frac{1}{|z|} d x d y
$$

where $K_{1}$ is a constant depending on $\delta^{\prime}$.
The stopping time argument (so modified) in $\S 2$ of [3] can now be repeated to yield a partition $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ such that each $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}$ is an $M_{1}$ chordarc curve, $\left|g(z)-a_{j}\right|<\delta\left|a_{j}\right|$ on $\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}$ for some $a_{j} \in C^{n}$, and, by letting $\mathscr{D}_{j}=\psi\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}\right)$,

$$
\sum_{j} l\left(F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}\right)\right)=\sum_{j} l\left(G\left(\partial \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}\right)\right) \leq M_{1} l(G(\partial \mathbb{D}))=M 1 l(F(\partial \mathscr{D})) .
$$

By Lemma 3.1, each $F\left(\mathscr{D}_{j}\right)=G\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{j}\right)$ is an $M$ chord-arc curve.

## 4. When $f$ is small

In this section, we remove the hypothesis that $|f| \geq \eta>0$ in Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 4.1. There is a constant $M$ so that if $|f| \leq 1$ on a simply connected domain $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$, then there is a partition $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{j}\right\}$ of $\mathscr{D}$ such that each $F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}\right)$ is an $M$ chord-arc curve
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum l\left(F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}\right)\right) \leq M l(\partial \mathscr{D}) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if each component of $\partial \mathscr{D} \cap \mathbb{D}$ is smooth, then the partition $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{j}\right\}$ can be taken to be locally finite.

Notice that (4.2) is a weaker conclusion than (3.3).
Proof. Our strategy will be to divide $\mathscr{D}$ into good regions and bad regions. Lemma 3.3 will apply to the good regions, and $f$ will be small on the bad regions. The process will be restarted on each bad region $\mathscr{B}$ with $f$ replaced by $f / \sup _{\mathscr{B}}|f|$. Let $\varphi$ be a conformal map of $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathscr{D}$. We will subdivide certain dyadic squares $Q$ into two cases:

Fix $\alpha>0, \varepsilon>0$, and an integer $N$, where $\alpha, \varepsilon$ and $N^{\prime}$ are to be chosen later, with $\varepsilon<\alpha / 2$.

Case 1: $\sup _{T(Q)}|f \circ \varphi| \leq \alpha / 2$. Define descendent squares $Q_{j}$ to be the maximal dyadic squares contained in $Q$, for which

$$
\sup _{T\left(Q_{j}\right)}|f \circ \varphi| \geq \alpha
$$

and let $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{B}(Q)=Q \backslash \cup \bar{Q}_{j}$ be called a bad region of the first kind. Note that $|f \circ \varphi| \leq \alpha$ for all $z \in \mathscr{B}$.

Case $2:|f \circ \varphi|>\alpha / 2$. Let $\mathscr{S}(Q)$ be the set of small squares $S \subset Q$ such that

$$
\inf _{S}|f \circ \varphi| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and such that its projection $S^{*}$ and its tower $B(S)$ are maximal. The descendent squares $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$ are defined to be $\{Q(S): S \in \mathscr{S}(Q)\}$. Each component $\mathscr{G}_{j}$ of $Q \backslash \bigcup\{\overline{B(S)} \cup \overline{Q(S)}: S \in \mathscr{S}(Q)\}$ is declared a good region of the first kind. Inside the towers $B(S), S \in \mathscr{S}(Q)$, we must define other good and bad regions. By a very small square we mean a square of the form given in (2.4) with $N$ replaced by $N+N^{\prime}$. So if $S^{\prime}$ is a very small square contained in a small square $S$, then $l\left(\partial S^{\prime}\right)$ is approximately $2^{-N^{\prime}} l(\partial S)$. There are $4^{N^{\prime}}$ such very small squares $S^{\prime}$ in each small square $S$. Let $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(S)$ be the set of very small squares $S^{\prime} \subset B(S)$ that either contain a zero of $f \circ \varphi$ or touch a very small square containing a zero of $f \circ \varphi$. In other words, $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(S)=\left\{S^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \subset B(S)\right.$ and $\overline{S^{\prime}} \cap \overline{S^{\prime \prime}} \neq \varnothing$ for some $S^{\prime \prime}$ containing a zero of $f \circ \varphi$, where $S^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}$ are very small squares $\}$. Each $S^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(S)$ will be declared a bad region of
the second kind, and each $S^{\prime} \notin \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(S)$ with $S^{\prime} \subset B(S)$ will be declared a good region of the second kind. If $N^{\prime}$ is sufficiently large, by Schwarz's lemma $|f \circ \varphi|<\alpha$ on each $S^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(S)$, since $S^{\prime}$ is near a zero of $f \circ \varphi$. Thus $|f \circ \varphi| \leq \alpha$ on all bad regions.

In order to apply Lemma 3.3 to each good region, we need to see that $|f \circ \varphi|$ is not too small there. On each good region of the first kind $|f \circ \varphi| \geq$ $\varepsilon$ by construction. To obtain a similar estimate for good regions of the second kind, we first estimate the number of zeros of $f \circ \varphi$ near a tower $B(S), S \in \mathscr{S}(Q)$. Suppose $\inf _{z \in S}|z|>\inf _{z \in Q}|z|$. Then $|f \circ \varphi| \geq \varepsilon$ on the top edge, $\left\{\zeta \in \bar{S}:|\zeta|=\inf _{z \in S}|z|\right\}$ of $B(S)$, and hence there is a unit vector $u=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ so that the function $g=f \circ \varphi \cdot u$ satisfies $|g(\zeta)| \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\zeta$ on the top edge of $B(S)$. Let $\widetilde{B}(S)=\bigcup\{\widetilde{S}: \widetilde{S}$ is a small square with $\operatorname{dist}(\tilde{S}, B(S))<l(\partial S) / 8\}$ and let $Z(S)=\left\{z_{v} \in\right.$ $\left.\widetilde{B}(S): g\left(z_{v}\right)=0\right\}$. By p. 288 of [2] again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{z_{v} \in Z(S)} \operatorname{Im} z_{v} \leq C_{3} 2^{N} l(\partial S) \log 1 / \varepsilon \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} z_{v} \geq l(\partial S) / 16$, we see that there are at most $K(\varepsilon, N)=1+$ $C_{4} 2^{N} \log 1 / \varepsilon$ points in $Z(S)$, counting multiplicity. Since $|g| \geq \varepsilon$ at some point on the top edge of $B(S)$, Harnack's inequality shows that if $z$ belongs to a good region $S^{\prime} \subset B(S)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(z)| \geq k(N) \delta^{K(\varepsilon, N)} \equiv \eta>0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(N)$ is a constant depending only on $N$, and $\delta=2^{-N} 2^{-N^{\prime}}$ is a lower bound for the pseudohyperbolic size of a "very small" square. If $\inf _{z \in S}|z|=\inf _{z \in Q}|z|$, inequality (4.4) persists since $l(\partial S)$ and $l(\partial Q)$ are comparable and $\sup _{T(Q)}|g| \geq \alpha / 2>\varepsilon$ for an appropriate unit vector $u$. Thus we conclude that $\eta \leq|f \circ \varphi| \leq 1$ on good regions of either kind. This argument also shows that there are at most $C_{5} K(\varepsilon, N)$ bad regions of the second kind in each $B(S)$.

We note that the bad regions can be slightly increased and the neighboring good regions decreased, so that no zero of $f \circ \varphi$ occurs on the boundary of a bad region, and we still have $|f \circ \varphi|<\alpha$ on each bad region.

We apply the processes described in Cases 1 and 2 as follows. Beginning with each $Q_{1, k}$, as defined in $\S 2$, apply the appropriate Case 1 or Case 2 obtaining (in particular) descendent squares $Q_{j}$. To each descendent square, apply the appropriate case, obtaining the next generation of descendents. Continue this process indefinitely.

We need the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. Given $\alpha>0$, we can choose an integer $N$ and an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, so that for each Case 2 dyadic square $Q$, if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left\{l\left(\partial Q_{j}\right): Q_{j} \text { is a descendent of } Q\right\} \leq l(\partial Q) / 100 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $Q$ is a Case 2 square there is a unit vector $u=$ $\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ so that the function $g=f \circ \varphi \cdot u$ satisfies $\sup _{T(Q)}|g|>\alpha / 2$. By Schwarz's lemma, we can choose $N$ sufficiently large, depending on $\varepsilon_{0}$, so that if $\inf _{S}|g| \leq \varepsilon$ then $\sup _{S}|g| \leq 2 \varepsilon_{0}$. Thus Theorem 3.2 on p. 334 of [2] shows we can choose an $\varepsilon_{0}$, depending on $\alpha$, so that if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$

$$
\sum\left\{l\left(S^{*}\right): S \subset Q \text { and } \inf _{S}|g| \leq \varepsilon\right\} \leq l\left(Q^{*}\right) / 100
$$

which gives (4.5). q.e.d.
Since each descendent of a Case 1 square is a Case 2 square, this proposition yields that for any dyadic square $Q^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathscr{S}_{i} \text { good }} l\left(\partial \mathscr{G}_{i} \cap Q^{\prime}\right) \leq K l\left(\partial Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a constant depending on $N$ and $N^{\prime}$. The proposition also implies that for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i} \text { bad }} l\left(\partial \mathscr{B}_{i} \cap Q^{\prime}\right) \leq C_{6} l\left(\partial Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{6}$ is a universal constant. To see this, note that if $\mathscr{B}$ is a bad region of the first kind, coming from a dyadic square $Q$, then $l(\partial \mathscr{B}) \leq$ $2 l(\partial Q)$. Furthermore, if $S$ is a small square in $\mathscr{S}(Q)$, then our bound on the number of zeros near $B(S)$ gives

$$
\sum\{\partial \mathscr{B}: \mathscr{B} \text { is a bad region of the second kind } \subset B(S)\}
$$

$$
\leq C 2^{N}(\log 1 / \varepsilon) 2^{-N^{\prime}} l(\partial S) \leq l(\partial S)
$$

for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large.
By Carleson's theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\mathscr{F}_{i} \operatorname{good}} \int_{\partial \varphi\left(\mathscr{S}_{i}\right)}|f| d s & =\sum_{\mathscr{G}_{i} \operatorname{good}} \int_{\partial \mathscr{G}_{i}}|f \circ \varphi|\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq C K \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|f \circ \varphi|\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s=C K \int_{\partial \mathscr{D}}|f| d s
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathscr{R}_{i} \mathrm{bad}} \int_{\partial \mathscr{B}_{i}}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s \leq C C_{6} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s=C_{7} l(\partial \mathscr{D}) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue our subdivisions now at a second level. For each bad region $\mathscr{B}_{i}$, let $\psi_{i}$ be a conformal map of $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathscr{B}_{i}$ and let $g=$ $\left(f \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{i}\right) / \sup _{\mathscr{B}}|f \circ \varphi|$. If there is only one zero $\zeta$ of $f \circ \varphi$ in $\mathscr{B}_{i}$, we choose $\psi_{i}$ so that $\psi_{i}(0)=\zeta$. In this case, we choose $r$ so small that Lemma 3.2 applies to $\left(f \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{i}\right)\left(\varphi \circ \psi_{i}\right)^{\prime}$ and $F \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{i}$. Since

$$
\int_{|z|=r}\left|f \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{i}\left\|\varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi_{i}\right\| \psi_{i}^{\prime}\right| d s \leq \int_{\partial \mathscr{B}}|f \circ \varphi| \varphi^{\prime} \mid d s
$$

the small sectors from Lemma 3.2 will at most double the total length estimates. For notational convenience, we will call these sectors good regions. The initial regions $G_{1, j}$ are replaced in this case by $G_{1, j} \backslash\{z:|z| \leq r\}$, $j=1, \cdots, 4$.

Replacing $\varphi$ with $\varphi \circ \psi_{i}$ and $f \circ \varphi$ with $g$, we apply the process described above to obtain a second level of good regions $\mathscr{F}_{i, j}^{(2)}$ and bad regions $\mathscr{B}_{i, j}^{(2)}$. Then by (4.6) and (4.9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C K \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)} \text { bad }} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|f \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{i}\right| \mid\left(\varphi \circ \psi_{i}\right)^{\prime} d s \\
& =C K \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)} \text { bad }} \int_{\partial \mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)}}|f \circ \varphi|\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s \leq C K C_{7} \alpha l(\partial \mathscr{D}) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, a use of (4.7) and (4.9) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)} \text { bad }} \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i, j}^{(2)} \text { bad }} \int_{\partial \mathscr{B}_{i, j}^{(2)}}\left|\varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi_{i}\right| \psi_{i}^{\prime}\left|d s \leq C_{7} \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)} \text { bad }} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\right| \varphi^{\prime} \circ \psi_{i}| | \psi_{i}^{\prime} \mid d s \\
& \quad=C_{7} \sum_{\mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)} \text { bad }} \int_{\partial \mathscr{B}_{i}^{(1)}}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right| d s \leq C_{7}^{2} l(\partial \mathscr{D}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each bad region at the second level, we repeat this process obtaining third level good and bad regions. Continue this subdivision indefinitely. We obtain a partition of $\mathscr{D}$ into regions $\tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{G}_{k}\right)$, where each $\mathscr{G}_{k}$ is a good region at some level, and $\tau_{k}$ is a conformal map of $\mathbb{D}$ into $\mathscr{D}$. Indeed, $|f| \leq \alpha^{m}$ on $\tau_{k}(\mathscr{B})$, where $\mathscr{B}$ is a bad region at level $m$, so each point of $\mathscr{D} \backslash\{z: f(z)=0\}$ is in at most finitely many bad regions. Each zero of
$f$ is eventually in a region $\tau_{k}(\mathscr{B})$ where Lemma 3.2 is applied to $f \circ \tau_{k}$ on $\mathscr{B}$. Choose $\alpha$ so that $C_{7} \alpha<1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} \int_{\partial \tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{G}_{k}\right)}|f| d s & \leq C K\left[1+C_{7} \alpha+\left(C_{7} \alpha\right)^{2}+\cdots\right] l(\partial \mathscr{D})  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\frac{C K}{1-C_{7} \alpha} l(\partial \mathscr{D})
\end{align*}
$$

In order to make our partition locally finite, we reduce the size of each good region $\mathscr{G}_{k}$ slightly, so that each component of $\tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{G}_{k}\right) \cap \mathbb{D}$ is smooth. Indeed, we can find almost square regions $\mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathscr{G}_{k}$ so that
(i) for each $j$, there is an $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ with $\left|f \circ \tau_{k}-a_{j}\right|<\delta\left|a_{j}\right|$ on $\mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}$,
(ii) $\sum l\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right) \leq 5 l\left(\partial \mathscr{G}_{k}\right)$,
(iii) each $\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}$ is a 5 chord-arc curve, and
(iv) $\mathscr{G}_{k}^{\prime}=\mathscr{G}_{k} \backslash \bigcup \overline{\mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}}$ has each component of $\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathscr{G}_{k}^{\prime}: \tau_{k}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ a smooth curve.

Moreover, since each component of $\left\{\zeta \in \partial \mathscr{G}_{k}: \tau_{k}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$ consists of radial line segments and arcs of circles centered at the origin, the components $\mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}$ can be chosen so small and so close to squares that

$$
\int_{\partial \mathscr{O}_{j}^{\prime}}\left|\tau_{k}^{\prime}(z)\right||d z| \leq 5 \int_{\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime} \cap \partial \mathscr{G}_{k}}\left|\tau_{k}^{\prime}(z)\right||d z| .
$$

The $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ look like a one-cell thick skin around (most of) $\partial \mathscr{G}_{k}$, with variable sized cells. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j} \int_{\partial \tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{O}_{j}^{\prime}\right)}|f| d s & \leq(1+\delta) \sum_{j}\left|a_{j}\right| \int_{\partial \mathscr{D}_{j}^{\prime}}\left|\tau_{k}^{\prime}\right| d s \\
& \leq \frac{5(1+\delta)}{1-\delta} \sum_{j} \int_{\partial \mathscr{O}_{j}^{\prime} \cap \partial \mathscr{E}_{k}}\left|f \circ \tau_{k}\right|\left|\tau_{k}^{\prime}\right| d s  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq 6 \int_{\partial \tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{G}_{k}\right)}|f| d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We now apply Lemma 3.3 to each $\mathscr{G}_{k}^{\prime}$. By (4.10) and (4.11) we have the desired partition of $\mathscr{D}$.

To see that the partition is locally finite when each component of $\partial \mathscr{D} \cap \mathbb{D}$ is smooth, first note that at each level the good regions have $\left\{\tau_{k}\left(\mathscr{G}_{k}\right)\right\}$ locally finite. This is because if $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\tau_{k}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f \circ \tau_{k}$ is continuous at $\zeta$, so our stopping time argument either ends with a bad region of the first kind containing a neighborhood of $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{E}$, i.e., when $\left|f\left(\tau_{k}(\zeta)\right)\right| \leq \alpha / 2$, or with a Case 2 good region of the first kind containing
a neighborhood of $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., when $\left|f\left(\tau_{k}(\zeta)\right)\right|>\alpha / 2$. Each partition within a good region is locally finite by Lemma 3.3. Since $|f| \leq \alpha^{m}$ at the $m$ th level, each point $\zeta \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{z: f(z)=0\}$ is in at most finitely many $\tau(\mathscr{B}), \mathscr{B}$ a bad region, and each zero of $f$ is eventually the only zero in $\tau(\mathscr{B})$, for some conformal map $\tau$ and bad region $\mathscr{B}$. For each zero $\zeta$ of $f$, then, the process terminates near $\zeta$ with the good regions generated by the application of Lemma 3.2. We conclude that our partition is locally finite.

## 5. When $f$ is large

To remove the boundedness restriction on $f$, we apply the following decomposition. Choose $r_{0}<1$ so that if $C_{\theta}$ is the (open) convex hull of $e^{i \theta}$ and $\left\{z:|z|<r_{0}\right\}$, then $T(Q) \subset C_{\theta}$ whenever $Q$ is a dyadic square with $e^{i \theta} \in Q^{*}$ (in fact, $r_{0}=\sqrt{4 / 5}$ will work). Let

$$
f^{*}(\theta)=\sup \left\{|f(z)|: z \in C_{\theta}\right\}
$$

Using the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem and Lemma 2.1, we obtain $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f^{*}(\theta)\right| d \theta \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1}}=C \sqrt{2} l(\Gamma)$. Now suppose that $Q$ is a dyadic square with $2^{m-1} \leq \sup _{T(Q)}|f|<2^{m}$, where $m$ is an integer. Define descendent squares $Q_{k} \subset Q$ to be the maximal dyadic squares contained in $Q$ for which $\sup _{T\left(Q_{k}\right)}|f| \geq 2^{m}$. Let $\mathscr{D}^{m}=Q \backslash \bigcup \overline{Q_{k}}$. Note that for $e^{i \theta} \in Q^{*},\left|f^{*}(\theta)\right|>2^{m-1}, l\left(\partial \mathscr{D}^{m}\right) \leq 6 l\left(Q^{*}\right)$, and $\left|f / 2^{m}\right|<1$ on $\mathscr{D}^{m}$. Begin with each $Q_{1, j}$ forming the associated regions $\mathscr{D}^{m}$. For each descendent $Q_{k}$, repeat the process by forming regions $\mathscr{D}^{m+1}$. Continuing the process indefinitely, we obtain a decomposition of $\mathbb{D}$ into regions of the form $\mathscr{D}^{m}=Q \backslash \bigcup \overline{Q_{k}}$, where $\sup _{\mathscr{D}}\left|f / 2^{m}\right|<1$. We may reduce the regions $\mathscr{D}$ at each stage slightly, as we did in the proof of (4.11), so that $\partial \mathscr{D}^{m} \cap \mathbb{D}$ is smooth. By Lemma 4.1 applied to $F / 2^{m}$, we can partition each $\mathscr{D}^{m}$ into regions $\mathscr{D}_{i}^{m}$ with

$$
\sum_{i} l\left(F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{i}^{m}\right)\right) \leq M_{1} 2^{m} l\left(\partial \mathscr{D}^{m}\right)
$$

Regions $\mathscr{D}^{m^{\prime}}$ formed from $Q_{k}$, where $\mathscr{D}^{m}=Q \backslash \overline{Q_{k}}$, have $m^{\prime}>m$. Thus

$$
\sum_{m, i} l\left(F\left(\partial \mathscr{D}_{i}^{m}\right)\right) \leq M_{2} \sum 2^{m}\left|\left\{\theta: f^{*}(\theta)>2^{m-1}\right\}\right| \leq M_{2} C\|f\|_{H^{1}}=M l(\Gamma)
$$

and the theorem is proved in full generality.

Finally, we note that the regions $\left\{F\left(\mathscr{D}_{i}^{m}\right)\right\}$ of the above partition are better than $M$ chord-arc. By the proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, each such $\mathscr{D}$ is the image under some conformal map $\tau$ of a region $\Omega$, bounded by an $M$ chord-arc curve, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(f \circ \tau) \tau^{\prime}-a\right|<\delta|a|, \quad z \in \Omega \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The $\Omega$ 's coming from Lemma 3.2 are half disks and the $\Omega$ 's coming from $\S 2$ of [3] are called $M$-Lipschitz curves. Namely, each such $\Omega$ after a translation, notation, and dilation can be parametrized by $(r(\theta) \cos \theta, r(\theta) \sin \theta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$, where $1 /(1+M) \leq r \leq 1$ and $\left|r\left(\theta_{1}\right)-r\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right| \leq M\left|\theta_{1}-\theta_{1}\right|$ for all $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$. We define an $M-\delta$ Lipschitz curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to be a curve parametrized after a translation, rotation, and dilation by

$$
\left(\gamma\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\left(r(\theta) \cos \theta, r(\theta) \sin \theta, x_{3}\left(e^{i \theta}\right), \cdots, x_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\right.
$$

where $1 /(1+M) \leq r(\theta) \leq 1,\left|r\left(\theta_{1}\right)-r\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right| \leq M\left|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right|$ and $\mid x_{j}\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)-$ $x_{j}\left(e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)|\leq \delta| \theta_{1}-\theta_{2} \mid$ for all $\delta_{0}>0$, we can arrange that $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$ in (5.1). Thus by Lemma 3.1, given any $\delta>0$, we can find an $M_{1}<\infty$ so that $\mathbb{D}$ can be partitioned into regions $D_{j}$ so that $\partial F\left(D_{j}\right)$ is an $M_{1}-\delta$ Lipschitz curve and (1.11) holds. These $M_{1}-\delta$ Lipschitz regions are images of $M$ Lipschitz regions $\Omega_{j}$ with the property that any two points in $\Omega_{j}$ can be connected by a path $\gamma$ consisting of a radial line segment, followed by a circular line segment, followed by another radial segment, where the radial segments are no longer than their distance apart. By the proof of Lemma 3.1, $F \circ \tau_{j}$ must be one-to-one on $\overline{\Omega_{j}}$. These regions $F\left(D_{j}\right)$ thus look like small perturbations of planar $M$-Lipschitz curves that have been translated, rotated, and dilated in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.
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