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## 1. Introduction

If $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of topological spaces and $x \in X$, then $\phi_{x}$ will denote the germ of $\phi$ at $x$. Let $\mathfrak{F}(p, q)=\left\{\phi: \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{q} \mid \phi\right.$ is $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ and $\left.\phi(0)=0\right\}$ and let $J(p, q)=\left\{\phi_{0} \mid \phi \in \mathfrak{F}(p, q)\right\}$. If $\phi \in \mathscr{F}(p, q)$ or $\phi \in J(p, q)$, then $[\phi]^{n}$ will denote the set of germs at the origin of elements of $\mathfrak{F}(p, q)$, which agree with $\phi$ up to and including order $n$ at the origin. $[\phi]^{n}$ will occasionally be abbreviated to $\phi$. Let $J^{n}(p, q)=\left\{[\phi]^{n} \mid \phi \in J(p, q)\right\}$.

Whenever $m$ is an integer, $\mathscr{L}_{m}$ will denote the set of invertible germs in $J(m, m) . \mathscr{L}_{m}$ is a group. Furthermore, there is a group action of $\mathscr{L}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$ on $J^{n}(p, q):(\alpha, \beta)\left([\phi]^{n}\right)=\left[\beta \phi \alpha^{-1}\right]^{n}$. Suppose $\phi: U \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ is $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ where $U$ is an open subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{p}$. Define $t_{\phi}: U \rightarrow J(p, q)$ by $t_{\phi}(x)$ is the germ at the origin of $y \rightarrow$ $\phi(x+y)-\phi(x)$. In the following all manifolds are $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ and paracompact, and all maps are $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{m}$ be a subgroup of $\mathscr{L}_{m}$. Suppose $M$ is an $m$-dimensional manifold and $\mathscr{A}$ is an atlas of coordinate functions for $M$. The pair $(M, \mathscr{A})$ will be called a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{m}$ if for all $x \in M$ and coordinate functions $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathscr{A}$ whose domains contain $x, t_{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}^{1}}\left(\alpha_{1}(x)\right) \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{m}$. The atlas $\mathscr{A}$ will be suppressed from the notation.

Let $X$ be a $p$-manifold and $Y$ a $q$-manifold. $J^{n}(X, Y)$ will be the bundle with base $X \times Y$, fiber $J^{n}(p, q)$, and group $\mathscr{L}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$. Let $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}_{p}$ be a subgroup of $\mathscr{L}_{p}$ and $\check{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ a subgroup of $\mathscr{L}_{q}$. Suppose $X$ is a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $Y$ is a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. Then the group of $J^{n}(X, Y)$ is reducible to $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times$ $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q} \cdot J^{n}(X, Y)$ may be looked at as the set of equivalence classes of germs of maps of $X$ into $Y$ where two germs are equivalent if they agree up to order $n$.

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $x \in X$, then $f^{n}(x)$ will denote the equivalence class containing the germ of $f$ at $x$. Thus a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a commutative triangle:


[^0]Let $A \subset J^{n}(p, q)$ and let $A$ be invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. Then $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$ will denote the bundle with base $X \times Y$, fiber $A$, and group $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. Suppose $A$ is as above and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Define $A(f)$, the singular set of $f$ of type $A$, to be the set $\left(f^{n}\right)^{-1} J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$. If $A$ is a manifold, then so is $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$. If A is a manifold and $f$ is such that $f^{n}$ is transversal to $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$, then $f$ will be called $A$-transversal. If $f$ is $A$-transversal, then $A(f)$ is a submanifold of $X$ and, furthermore, the codimension of $A(f)$ in $X$ is the codimension of $A$ in $J^{n}(p, q)$.

Let $\mathscr{C}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ denote the set of $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ maps of $X$ into $Y$, provided with the topology of compact convergence of all partials of order less than or equal to $n+1$.

The Thom transversality theorem states that if $B$ ia a submanifold of $J^{n}(X, Y)$, then the set of maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^{n}$ is transversal to $B$ is a Baire set in $\mathscr{C}^{n+1}(X, Y)$. If $X$ is compact, then this set is open and dense. (See [3] for a proof of the transversality theorem.) Thus, if $A \subset J^{n}(p, q)$ is a manifold and is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}, X$ is a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $Y$ is a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$, then $A(f)$ is a manifold for a large class of functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

One thing which makes this interesting is that, in general, for $A$-transversal $f$ there are connections between $A(f)$ and global properties of $X$ and $Y$. For example, if $A=\left\{[0]^{1}\right\} \subset J^{1}(p, 1), X$ is a compact $p$-manifold, $Y=\boldsymbol{R}$ and $f$ is $A$-transversal, then the Morse theory tells us how to predict global properties of $X$ from the behavior of $f$ in a neighborhood of $A(f)$. Other results in this direction are proven in [2], [4], and [5]. Further (rather incomplete) results will be presented here but the main result of this paper is the construction of submanifolds of $J^{n}(p, q)$ which are invariant under various subgroups $\check{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \check{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ of $\mathscr{L}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$.

## 2. Grassmann bundles

If $E$ is a bundle over $X$ and $x \in X$, then $E_{x}$ will denote the fiber of $E$ over $x$. If $A \subset X$, then the restriction of $E$ to $A$ will also be written $E$. If $F$ is a bundle over $Y$ and $h: E \rightarrow F$, then $h_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow F$ will denote the restriction of $h$ to $E_{x}$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of manifolds, then $T f: T X \rightarrow T Y$ will denote the corresponding map of tangent bundles. If $A$ is a submanifold of $X$, then $T(X, A)$ will denote the normal bundle of $A$ in $X$. Finally, if $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$, then $X$ will be identified with the image of the zero section of $E$. Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 are written up similarly in [5].

Proposition 2.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and let $N$ be a submanifold of $Y$. If $f$ is transversal to $N$, then $T f$ induces a map $T\left(X, f^{-1} N\right) \rightarrow T(Y, N)$ which restricts to isomorphisms of fibers.

Proof. The desired mapping is given in the following exact commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{cccl}
0 \rightarrow T\left(f^{-1} N\right) & \rightarrow T X & \rightarrow T\left(X, f^{-1} N\right) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
0 \rightarrow \quad T N & \rightarrow T Y & \text { over } f^{-1} N \\
0 & \rightarrow T(Y, N) & \rightarrow 0 & \text { over } N
\end{array}
$$

That the mapping induces epimorphisms of fibers is a restatement of the transversality of $f$, and that it is $1: 1$ on fibers follows from dimensional considerations. q.e.d.

Suppose $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$ and $\sigma: X \rightarrow E$ is a section. Then $\sigma$ will be called a transversal section of $E$ if it is transversal to $X$ (the image of the zero section of $E$ ).

Let $E$ be a vector bundle over $X$. Then $T(E, X)$ is equivalent to $E$ over $X$. Thus, if $\sigma: X \rightarrow E$ is a transversal section of $E$, then $T\left(X, \sigma^{-1} X\right)$ is equivalent to $E$ over $X$.

Let $E$ be an $m$-dimensional vector bundle over $X$ and let $a \leq m$. Define $G_{a}(E)=\{\underline{p} \mid \underline{p}$ is an $a$-dimensional subspace of some fiber of $E\}$. Structure for $G_{a}(E)$ as a bundle over $X$ is induced by that of $E$. Let $\bar{\pi}: G_{a}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the bundle projection.

Define a vector bundle $L_{a}$ over $G_{a}(E)$ by $L_{a}=\{(\underline{p}, v) \mid v \in \underline{p}\}$. Define $M_{a}$, an ( $m-$ a)-dimensional bundle over $G_{a}(E)$, by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow L_{a} \rightarrow$ $\bar{\pi}^{*} E \rightarrow M_{a} \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $Z$ be a submanifold of $X$ and let $s: Z \rightarrow G_{a}(E)$ be a section. Then over $s Z, T\left(\bar{\pi}^{-1} Z, s Z\right) \approx L_{a}^{*} \otimes M_{a}$, where $L_{a}^{*}$ denotes the dual of $L_{a}$.

Proof. Define a vector bundle $F$ over $\bar{\pi}^{-1} Z$ (and a morphism $\psi$ ) by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} s^{*} L_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} E \xrightarrow{\psi} F \rightarrow 0$. Over $\bar{\pi}^{-1} Z$ there is a bunble morphism $L_{a} \rightarrow F$ given by the composition $L_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} E \rightarrow F$. This morphism induces a section $\eta$ of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes F$ over $\bar{\pi}^{-1} Z$. Furthermore, $s Z$ is the zero set of $\eta$. If $\eta$ is a transversal section of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes F$ then, by Proposition $2.1, T\left(\pi^{-1} Z, s Z\right)$ $\approx L_{a}^{*} \otimes F$ over $s Z$. Since $F=M_{a}$ over $s Z$, it suffices to demonstrate the transversality of $\eta$.

Let $x \in Z$ and let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}$ be a vector space basis for $E_{x}$ such that $s(x)$ is the span of $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{a}$. Any $a$-plane $\underline{p}$ in $G_{a}(E)_{x}$ near $s(x)$ is uniquely expressible as the span of a vectors, $\alpha_{1}+v_{1,1}(p) \alpha_{a+1}+\cdots+v_{1, m-a}(p) \alpha_{m}, \cdots$, $\alpha_{a}+v_{a, 1}(p) \alpha_{a+1}+\cdots+v_{a, m-a}(p) \alpha_{m}$. Thūs coordinates $\left\{v_{i, j}\right\}$ for $G_{a}(E)_{x}$ at $s(x)$ have been fixed.

$$
T \eta_{s(x)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i, j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i, j}}+\left((\mathrm{id} \otimes \psi)\left(s(x), \alpha_{i}^{*} \otimes \alpha_{a+j}\right)\right)_{s(x)}
$$

Since $\left\{(\operatorname{id} \otimes \psi)\left(s(x), \alpha_{i}^{*} \otimes \alpha_{a+j}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq a\right.$ and $\left.1 \leq j \leq m-a\right\}$ is a basis for $\left(L_{a}^{*} \otimes F\right)_{s(x)}$, the result follows.

## 3. Fixing the rank of vector bundle morphisms

Let $A$ be a manifold, $E_{1}$ a bundle over $A, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ be vector bundles over $A$, and $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ a morphism of fiber bundles over $A$, which induces the identity on $A$. Suppose $\pi: E_{1} \rightarrow A$ is the bundle projection. Whenever $e \in E_{1}, \gamma(e) \in\left(E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}\right)_{\pi(e)}$ and therefore there is a linear map $\left(E_{2}\right)_{\pi(e)} \rightarrow\left(E_{2}\right)_{\pi(e)}$ which corresponds to $\gamma(e)$. Suppose $a$ is not greater than the ffber dimension of $E_{2}$ and let $A_{a}(\gamma)=\left\{e \in E_{1} \mid\right.$ kernel $\gamma(e)$ has dimension a $\}$. In this section we will study the set $A_{a}(\gamma)$.

Let $\bar{\pi}: G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow E_{1}$ be the bundle projection. Over $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{a} \rightarrow \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{2} \rightarrow M_{a} \rightarrow 0$ as in $\S 2$. We define a section $\gamma_{a}: G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ as follows: An element of $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$ is a pair $(e, \underline{p})$ where $e \in E_{1}$ and $\underline{p}$ is an $a$-dimensional subspace of $\left(E_{2}\right)_{\pi(e)}$. Let $\gamma_{a}(e, \underline{p})$ $=(e, \underline{p}, \eta(e, \underline{p}))$ where $\eta(e, \underline{p})$ is the restriction of $\gamma(e)$ to $\underline{p} . \gamma_{a}(e, \underline{p})$ may be viewed as an element of $\left(L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}\right)_{(e, p)}$.

Definition 3.1. Suppose that there are a vector space $V$ and for each $x \in A$ a diffeomorphism $\theta_{x}: V \rightarrow\left(E_{1}\right)_{x}$ such that $\gamma_{x} \circ \theta_{x}$ is linear. $\gamma$ will be called $a$-uniform if for all choices of $x_{i} \in A$ and $\underline{p}_{i} \in G_{a}\left(E_{2}\right) x_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, dimension $\left\{\eta\left(e, \underline{p}_{1}\right) \mid e \in\left(E_{1}\right)_{x_{1}}\right\}=$ dimension $\left\{\eta\left(e, \underline{p}_{2} \mid e \in\left(E^{1}\right)_{x_{2}}\right\}\right.$.
$\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ induces $\gamma^{a}: \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{1} \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ as follows: An element of $\pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{1}$ is a triple $(e, \underline{p}, \tilde{e})$ where $e$ and $\tilde{e}$ are elements of $E_{1}$ with $\pi(e)=\pi(\bar{e})$ and $\underline{p}$ is an $a$-plane in $\left(E_{2}\right)_{\pi(e)}$. Define $\gamma^{a}$ by $\gamma^{a}(e, \underline{p}, \bar{e})=(e, \underline{p}, \eta(\bar{e}, p))$.

Let $S_{a}=\gamma^{a}\left(\bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{1}\right)$, and note that the image of the section $\gamma_{a}$ is contained in $S_{a}$. If $\gamma$ is $a$-uniform, then $S_{a}$ is a vector sub-bundle of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$.

If $V$ is a vector space, $x, y \in V$, and $g: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow V$ is defined by $g(t)=x+t y$, then we define $y_{x} \in T V_{x}$ by $y_{x}=g^{\prime}(0) . T V=\left\{y_{x} \mid x, y \in V\right\}$.

Let $V$ and $\theta_{x}$ be as in Definition 3.1. Since $\gamma_{x} \circ \theta_{x}$ is linear, $T\left(\gamma_{x} \circ \theta_{x}\right)\left(y_{z}\right)$ $=\left(\gamma_{x} \circ \theta_{x}(y)\right)_{r x^{\circ \theta} x_{x}(z)}$. Now, if $\underline{p} \in G_{a}\left(E_{2}\right)_{x}$, then $\left(S_{a}\right)_{\underline{p}}$ is the set of all restrictions to $\underline{p}$ of maps of the form $\gamma_{x} \circ \theta_{x}(y)$ where $y \in V$. It follows that if $\gamma$ is $a$ uniform, then $\gamma_{a}$ is a transversal section of $S_{a}$.

Define a vector bundle $K_{a}$ over $A_{a}(\gamma)$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow K_{a} \rightarrow \pi^{*} E_{1}$ $\xrightarrow{\hat{\gamma}} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is defined in the obvious way. (An element of $\pi^{*} E_{2}$ is a pair $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ where $e_{1} \in E_{1}$ and $e_{2} \in\left(E_{2}\right)_{\pi\left(e_{1}\right)}$. Define $\tilde{\gamma}$ by $\tilde{\gamma}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\left(e_{1}, \gamma\left(e_{1}\right) e_{2}\right)$, an element of $\pi^{*} E_{3}$.) Define a bundle $N_{a}$ over $A_{a}(\gamma)$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow$ $K_{a} \rightarrow \pi^{*} E_{2} \rightarrow N_{a} \rightarrow 0$. Finally, define a section $s_{a}: A_{a}(\gamma) \rightarrow G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$ by $s_{a}(e)$ $=(e$, kernel $\gamma(e))$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ be a-uniform. Then $A_{a}(\gamma)$ is a submanifold of $E_{1}$, and furthermore over $A_{a}(\gamma)$ there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a} \rightarrow s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. The first statement is straightforward and will be treated first. Let $W$ be the zero set of the section $\gamma_{a}$. Since $\gamma_{a}$ is a transversal section of $S_{a}, W$ is a submanifold of $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$. It is easily seen that $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ is an open subset
of $W$. $\left((e, \underline{p}) \in W\right.$ if and only if $\underline{p} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\gamma(e)\right.$, Thus $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma) \subset W$. If $e \in A_{a}(\gamma)$ and if $\bar{e}$ is sufficiently close to $e$, then dimension $\operatorname{ker} \gamma(\bar{e})$ is not larger than $a$. That $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ is open in $W$ follows.) Thus $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ and therefore $A_{a}(\gamma)$ is a manifold. We now prove the second statement.

Since $\gamma_{a}$ is transversal and $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ is open in $W$, Proposition 2.1 shows that there is an equivalence $T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right), s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow S_{a}$ over $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ induced by $T_{\gamma_{a}}$, and also that over $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{2}$ $\rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ which determines a monomorphism $M_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ and hence a monomorphism $L_{a}^{*} \otimes M_{a} \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ over $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$.

It is not hard to show that the following diagram is communative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\pi^{-1} A_{a}(\gamma), s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) & \rightarrow T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right), s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \\
\prod^{\downarrow} & \stackrel{1}{L_{a}^{*}} \otimes L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3} \quad \text { over } s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the image of $T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right), s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ is contained in the sub-bundle $S_{a}$ of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$, the image of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes M_{a}$ is contained in $S_{a}$. Thus over $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ we have an exact commutative diagram:

and hence an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes M_{a} \rightarrow S_{a} \rightarrow T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right), \bar{\pi}^{-1} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $s_{a}^{*} L_{a}=K_{a}, s_{a}^{*} M_{a}=N_{a}$ and $s_{a}^{*} T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right), \pi^{-1} A_{a}(\gamma)\right)=T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right)$, the result follows. q.e.d.

Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces and that a group $H$ acts on both $X$ and $Y$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $f$ will be called equivariant if for each $h \in H, h f=f h$.

Definition 3.3. Suppose $U$ is a vector bundle over $X$ and there is a group $H$ which acts on $U$ and $X$ in such a way that the bundle projection of $U$ is equivariant. Suppose also that for each $h \in H$ and $x \in X, h_{x}: U_{x} \rightarrow U_{h(x)}$ is a vector space isomorphism. Then $U$ will be called an $H$-bundle.

Proposition 3.4. Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be $H$-bundles over $X$, and suppose $H$ acts on a space $Y$ and $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is equivariant.
a) Then there is a group action of $H$ on $U_{1}^{*}$, which makes $U_{1}^{*}$ an $H$-bundle;
b) similarly with $U_{1} \otimes U_{2}$;
c) similarly with $f^{*} U_{1}$.
d) If $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$ and the inclusion is equivariant, then the factor bundle of $U_{2}$ by $U_{1}$ is an $H$-bundle.
e) If a is not greater than the fiber dimension of $U_{1}$, then there is an action
of $H$ on $G_{a}\left(U_{1}\right)$ which makes the projection $\bar{\pi}: G_{a}\left(U_{1}\right) \rightarrow X$ equivariant.
f) The action of $H$ on $\bar{\pi}^{*} U_{1}$ restricts to an action on $L_{a}$, which makes $L_{a}$ an $H$-bundle over $G_{a}\left(U_{1}\right)$.
g) If $H$ acts differentiably on $X$ (assumed to be a manifold), then TX may be given the structure of an H-bundle.
h) If $H$ acts differentiably on $Y$ and $X$, then $T f: T Y \rightarrow T X$ is equivariant.

Proof. a) The action of $h$ on $U_{1}^{*}$ is the dual of the action of $h^{-1}$ on $U_{1}$.
b) The action of $h$ on $U_{1} \otimes U_{2}$ is the tensor product of the actions of $h$ on the $U_{i}$.
c) An element of $f^{*} U_{1}$ is a pair $(y, u)$ where $u \in U_{1_{f(y)}}$. Define the action of $h$ by $h(y, u)=(h y, h u)$.
e) Since $h \in H$ restricts to vector space isomorphisms of fibers, it takes $a$ planes into $a$-planes.
g) The action of $h$ on $T X$ is the derivative of the action of $h$ on $X$.

Corollary 3.5. Let $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ be $H$-bundles over $A$, and let $H$ act on $E_{1}$ in such a way that $\pi: E_{1} \rightarrow A$ is equivariant. Suppose $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ is auniform and equivariant. Then $A_{a}(\gamma)$ is invariant under $H$. Furthermore the bundles $K_{a}, N_{a}, s_{a}^{*} S_{a}$ and $T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right)$ are all $H$-bundles over $A_{a}(\gamma)$, and the sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a} \rightarrow s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of equivariant maps.

Proof. The equivalences $T\left(\bar{\pi}^{-1} A_{a}(\gamma), s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes M_{a}$ and $T\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow S_{a}$ over $s_{a} A_{a}(\gamma)$ are induced by derivatives of equivariant maps. The result is now trivial from Proposition 3.4 and the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4. Invariant submanifolds of $\mathrm{J}^{n+1}(p, q)$

Fix subgroups $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \subset \mathscr{L}_{p}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q} \subset \mathscr{L}_{q}$, and let $H=\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$.
Let $A$ be a submanifold of $J^{n}(p, q)$ and suppose $A$ is invariant under $H$. Let $E_{1}=\left\{[\phi]^{n+1} \mid[\phi]^{n} \in A\right\}$. $H$ acts on $E_{1}$ in such a way that the projection $\pi: E_{1} \rightarrow A$ is equivariant.

If $U$ is an open subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, f: U \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ and $x \in U$, then define a linear $\operatorname{map} D f: \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ by $T f\left(v_{x}\right)=\left(D f_{x}(v)\right)_{f(x)}$. Df will abbreviate $D f_{0}$.
$H$ acts on $A \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p} ;(\alpha, \beta)\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right)=\left(\left[\beta \phi \alpha^{-1}\right]^{n}, D \alpha(v)\right)$. Let $E_{2}$ be a vector sub-bundle of $A \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$, invariant under $H . E_{2}$ is an $H$-bundle over $A$.

Note that $J^{0}(p, q)=\{0\}$. Define $\widetilde{J}^{0}(p, q)=\boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ and $\widetilde{J}^{m}(p, q)=\left\{[\phi]^{m} \mid[\phi]^{m-1}\right.$ $=0\}$ for $m \geq 1$. Define an action of $H$ on $\widetilde{J}^{0}(p, q)$ by $(\alpha, \beta)(w)=D \beta(w)$ and an action of $H$ on $\widetilde{J}^{m}(p, q), m \geq 1$, by $(\alpha, \beta)\left([\phi]^{m}\right)=\left[\beta \phi \alpha^{-1}\right]^{m}$.

Let $B$ be a vector sub-bundle of $A \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$ which is invariant under $H$. Define $E_{3}$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \times \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow 0 . E_{3}$ is an $H^{-}$ bundle over $A$.

We now proceed to define a bundle morphism $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$.
If $m$ is an integer and $1 \leq \nu \leq m$, let $\delta(\nu)=(0, \cdots, 0,1,0, \cdots, 0) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{m}$ where the 1 occurs in the $\nu^{t h}$ position. Let $\omega=\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)$ be a tuple of non-
negative integers. Define $|\omega|=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{p}$ and $\omega!=i_{1}!\cdots i_{p}!$. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}(p, 1)$, let $D_{\omega} \phi=\left(\partial^{|\omega|} \phi / \partial x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{p}^{i_{p}}\right)(0)$. If $1 \leq j \leq q$, define $u(\omega, j) \in \mathfrak{F}(p, q)$ by $u(\omega, j)\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)=(1 / \omega!) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{p}^{i_{p}} \delta(j)$.

If $n \geq 0$, define $H^{n+1}: E_{1} \rightarrow A \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right)$ by

$$
H^{n+1}\left([\phi]^{n+1}\right)=\left([\phi]^{n}, \sum_{|\omega|=n, \nu=1, j=1}^{p, q} D_{\omega+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} \delta(\nu)^{*} \otimes u(\omega, j)\right),
$$

where $\phi_{j}$ denotes the $j^{t h}$ coordinate function of $\phi$.
The injection $E_{2} \rightarrow A \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ and the epimorphism $A \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow E_{3}$ together induce an epimorphism $\varepsilon: A \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right) \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$. Define $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow$ $E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ by $\gamma=\varepsilon H^{n+1}$.

Motivational remarks. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}(p, q)$, let $u_{\phi}$ denote the projection of $\phi^{n}: \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ $\rightarrow J^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)=\boldsymbol{R}^{p} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{q} \times J^{n}(p, q)$ onto $J^{n}(p, q) . J^{n}(p, q)$ is a vector space, so if $\psi, \tilde{\psi} \in J^{n}(p, q)$ then $\tilde{\psi}_{\phi} \in T J^{n}(p, q)$. Motivation for studying the map $\gamma$ comes from the fact that if $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ then

$$
T u_{\phi}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right)_{0}=\left(\sum_{1 \leq|\omega| \leq n, \nu, j} a_{2} D_{\omega+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} u(\omega, j)\right)_{[\phi]^{n}} .
$$

Thus $\gamma$ is induced by $T u_{\phi}$ and hence $T \phi^{n}$ but somewhat artificially. Proper selection of $A, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ makes the correspondence $T \phi^{n} \rightarrow \gamma\left([\phi]^{n+1}\right)$ "natural". Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 establish criteria for this to be so. If $\phi$ is $A$-transversal then $T \phi^{n}$ determines $T A(\phi)_{0}$. Sometimes (see Proposition 4.5) $\gamma$ will carry enough information to determine whether $\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}$ is such that $v_{0} \in T A(\phi)_{0}$. This is central to much of what follows and is the main idea of the proof of Boardman's result, Theorem 6.2.

If $V$ is a vector space, then $\bigcirc_{m} V$ will denote the $m$-fold symmetric product of $V$ with itself, and $\underset{m}{\otimes} V$ denotes the appropriate tensor product so that $\underset{m}{\bigcirc} V$ $\subset \underset{m}{\otimes} V$.

If $n \geq 0$, there is a vector space isomorphism $\mu_{n}: \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow\left(\underset{n}{\bigcirc} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ determined by the equations

$$
\mu_{n}\left(u\left(\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right), j\right)\right)=\sum_{x \in I} \delta(k(1))^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta(k(n))^{*} \otimes \delta(j)
$$

where $I=\left\{k:\{1, \cdots, n\} \rightarrow\{1, \cdots, p\} \mid k^{-1}\{\lambda\}\right.$ has $i_{\lambda}$ elements whenever $1 \leq$ $\lambda \leq p\}$.

The notation in the following is as in § 3 .
Let $\varepsilon_{a}: G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right) \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ be the epimorphism. Define $\hat{S}_{a}=\varepsilon_{a}\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\bigcirc_{n+1} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)\right)$.

Proposition 4.1. $S_{a}=\hat{S}_{a}$.
Proof. The result follows if $H^{n+1} E_{1}=A \times\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\bigcirc_{n+1} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)$.

That $H^{n+1} E_{1} \subset A \times\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\bigcirc_{n+1}^{\bigcirc} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)$ is apparent from the symmetries of $(n+1)^{s t}$ order derivatives. The opposite inclusion is equally simple.
q.e.d.

Thus there is a sense in which $S_{a}$ is the "symmetric subset" of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$. The condition that $\gamma$ is $a$-uniform is the condition that the symmetric subspace of $\left(L_{a}^{*} \otimes \pi^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}\right)_{\underline{p}}$ does not depend on the choice of $\underline{p} \in G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$.

If $1 \leq m \leq n$, define $C_{m}: J^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow \widetilde{J}^{m}(p, q)$ by

$$
C_{m}\left([\phi]^{n}\right)=\sum_{|\omega|=m, j} D_{\omega} \phi_{j} u(\omega, j)
$$

Recall that if $\phi \in \mathscr{F}(p, q)$, then $t_{\phi}: \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow J(p, q)$ is defined by: $t_{\phi}(x)$ is the germ at the origin of $\phi(x+\cdot)-\phi(x)$. If $m \geq 1$, then $t_{\phi}$ induces $t_{\phi} m: \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{J}^{m}(p, q)$. Note that $\gamma\left([\phi]^{n+1}\right)\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right)$, is the projection of $\left([\phi]^{n}, C_{n} D t_{\phi} n(v)\right)$ on $E_{3}$.

Definition 4.2. Let $C \subset J(p, q)$ (or $\left.C \subset J^{m}(p, q)\right)$. $C$ will be called translation invariant if, for all $\phi \in \mathscr{F}(p, q), t_{\phi}^{-1}(C)$ (or $t_{\phi}^{-1}(C)$ ) is an open subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{p}$.

Whenever $m \geq 1$, there is a linear map inj $(m)=\operatorname{inj}: J^{m}(p, q) \rightarrow J^{m+1}(p, p)$ determined by the equations $\operatorname{inj}(u(\omega, j))=u(\omega, j)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}, \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}, A, B, E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ and $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ be as above, and suppose, in addition, that $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ are translation invariant. Then $\gamma$ is equivariant if the following two conditions are met:
i) $n=0, n=1$ or $\left(\operatorname{inj}\left(D t_{\phi^{n-1}}(v)\right)_{[\phi]^{n}} \in T A\right.$, whenever $\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}$.
ii) $n=0$ or $\left([\phi]^{n}, C_{n}[\psi]^{n}\right) \in B$, whenever $\left([\phi]^{n}\right)_{[\phi]^{n}} \in T A$.

Proof. It suffices to show that whenever $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $\beta \in \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ the following two squares are commutative:


We show that the first of these is commutative, the other demonstration being similar.

The commutativity of the square will follow if we can show that if $[\phi]^{n+1} \in E_{1}$ and $v=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right)$ is such that $\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}$, then
(*)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left([\phi \alpha]^{n}, \sum_{|\omega|=n, i, j, k} D_{\omega+\delta(k)}\left(\phi_{j} \alpha\right) D_{\partial(i)}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)_{k} a_{i} u(\omega, j)\right. \\
\left.\quad-R_{\alpha} \sum_{|\omega|=n, i, j} D_{\omega+\delta(i)}\left(\phi_{j}\right) a_{i} u(\omega, j)\right) \in B
\end{gathered}
$$

where $R_{\alpha}$ denotes right composition with $\alpha$; left composition will be written in the obvious way.

If $\eta=\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}\right)$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$, define $v(\eta, j): \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ by $v(\eta, j)(x)=$ $\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{p}^{i_{p}} \delta(j)$, so $v(\eta, j) \in J(p, p)$. If $1 \leq j \leq q$ and $\omega$ is a $p$-tuple of integers, define $P(\omega, j): J(p, q) \times J(p, p) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ by $P(\omega, j)(\psi, \rho)=D_{\omega}\left(\psi_{j} \rho\right)$. $\frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial u(\eta, k)}(\psi, \rho)$ and $\frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial v(\eta, k)}(\psi, \rho)$ denote the appropriate partial derivatives evaluated at $(\phi, \rho)$. It follows from the chain rule that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\omega+\delta(k)}\left(\phi_{j} \alpha\right)= & \sum_{|\eta| \leq|\omega|, \nu} \frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial u(\eta, j)}(\phi, \alpha) D_{\eta+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} D_{\delta(k)} \alpha_{\nu} \\
& +\sum_{|\eta| \leq|\omega|, \nu} \frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial v(\eta, \nu)}(\psi, \alpha) D_{\eta+\delta(k)} \alpha_{\nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\omega|=n, i, j, k} & D_{\omega+\delta(k)}\left(\phi_{j} \alpha\right) D_{\partial(i)}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)_{k} a_{i} u(\omega, j) \\
= & \sum_{|\omega|=n,|\eta| \leq n, i, j, k, \nu} \frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial u(\eta, j)}(\phi, \alpha) D_{\eta+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} D_{\partial(k)} \alpha_{\nu} D_{\partial(i)}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)_{k} a_{i} u(\omega, j) \\
& +\sum_{|\omega|=n,|\eta| \leq n, i, j, k, \nu, \nu} \frac{\partial P(\omega, j)}{\partial v(\eta, \nu)}(\phi, \alpha) D_{\eta+\delta(k)} \alpha_{\nu} D_{\partial(i)}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)_{k} a_{i} u(\omega, j) \\
= & (1) R_{\alpha} \sum_{|\eta|=n, i, j} D_{\eta+\delta(i)} \phi_{j} a_{i} u(\eta, j) \\
& \quad+(2) C_{n} R_{\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq|\eta| \leq n-1, i, j} D_{\eta \eta+(i)} \phi_{j} a_{i} u(\eta, j) \\
& \quad+(3) C_{n} D\left(L_{\psi}\right)_{\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq|\eta| \leq n, \nu, i, k} D_{\eta+\delta(k)} \alpha_{\nu} D_{\dot{\delta}(i)}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)_{k} a_{i} v(\eta, \nu) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now (2) $=C_{n} R_{\alpha}(\mathrm{inj}) D t_{\phi}{ }^{n-1}(v)$ and (3) $=C_{n} D\left(L_{\phi}\right)_{\alpha} D t_{\alpha} D \alpha^{-1} v$. Thus to demonstrate (*) it must be shown that

$$
\left([\phi \alpha]^{n}, C_{n} R_{\alpha}(\mathrm{inj}) D t_{\phi^{n-1}}(v)+C_{n} D\left(L_{\phi}\right)_{\alpha} D t_{\alpha} D \alpha^{-1} v\right) \in B .
$$

But, by i), $\quad\left(\operatorname{inj}\left(D t_{\phi^{n-1}}(v)\right)\right)_{[\phi]^{n}} \in T A$, so $\quad\left(R_{\alpha}(\operatorname{inj})\left(D t_{\phi^{n-1}}(v)\right)\right)_{[\phi \alpha]^{n}} \in T A$. Thus, by ii), $\left([\phi \alpha]^{n}, C_{n} R_{\alpha}(\mathrm{inj}) D t_{\phi_{n-1}}(v)\right) \in B$. Since $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ is translation invariant, $t_{\alpha}(x) \in \mathscr{\mathscr { L }}_{p}$ for small $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$. Since $A$ is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$, $L_{\phi} \circ t_{\alpha}(x) \in A$ for small $x$. It follows that $\left(D\left(L_{\phi}\right)_{\alpha} D t_{\alpha} D \alpha^{-1} v\right)_{[\phi \alpha]^{n}} \in T A$. By ii), ( $\left.[\phi \alpha]^{n}, C_{n} D\left(L_{\phi}\right)_{\alpha} D t_{\alpha} D \alpha^{-1} v\right) \in B$, and hence the result.

Proposition 4.4. Theorem 4.3 remains valid if $n=1, \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}=\{\mathrm{id}\}$, and condition ii) is replaced by ii)' : B $\supset\left\{\left([\phi]^{1},[\psi]^{1}\right) \mid[\phi]^{1} \in A\right.$ and image $D \psi \subset$ image $\left.D \phi\right\}$.

Proof. A mild modification of the proof of Theorem 4.3.
Proposition 4.5. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ be as in Theorem 4.3. Suppose, in addition, that $B=\left\{\left([\phi]^{n},[\phi]^{n}\right) \mid[\phi]^{n} \in A,[\psi]^{n} \in \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right.$ and
$\left.\left([\phi]^{n}\right)_{[\phi]^{n}} \in T A\right\}$. If $[\phi]^{n} \in A$, let $U(\phi)=\left\{v \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \mid\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}\right.$ and $\left.T t_{\phi n}\left(v_{0}\right) \in T A\right\}$. Then $A_{a}(\gamma)=\left\{[\phi]^{n+1} \mid[\phi]^{n} \in A\right.$ and $U(\phi)$ is an a-dimensional vector space $\}$.

Proof. Trivial.
Let $\gamma$ be $a$-uniform. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$
\left.S_{a}=\bar{S}_{a}=\varepsilon_{a}\left(G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\bigcirc_{n+1} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus $S_{a}$ is a factor bundle of $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \times \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q)$ and $s_{a}^{*} S_{a}$ is a factor bundle of $A_{a}(\gamma) \times \tilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q)$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a} \rightarrow s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right)$ is a factor bundle of $A_{a}(\gamma) \times \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q)$. In fact, if $\gamma$ is equivariant, there is an exact sequence of $H$-bundles and equivariant maps $0 \rightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow A_{a}(\gamma) \times \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q) \rightarrow$ $T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$ over $A_{a}(\gamma)$, where $\bar{B}=\left\{(\phi, \psi) \in A_{a}(\gamma) \times \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q) \mid \psi_{\phi} \in T A_{a}(\gamma)\right\}$.

Note. Let $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ be as in Theorem 4.3 with $n=0$ or $B=$ $\left\{(\phi, \phi) \in A \times \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \mid \psi_{\phi} \in T A\right\}$. Let $E=\left\{[\phi]^{n+2} \mid[\phi]^{n+1} \in A_{a}(\gamma)\right\}$ and let $\gamma^{\prime}: E \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right)$ be the map induced by $H^{n+2}: E \rightarrow A_{a}(\gamma) \times$ $\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q)\right)$. Then $\gamma^{\prime}$ obeys the conditions of Theorem 4.3.

Suppose $V$ and $W$ are vector spaces and $\eta: V \rightarrow W$. Then $\eta$ will be called a polynomial function if, relative to some choice of bases, each coordinate function of $\eta$ is a polynomial in the coordinate functions of $V$. This condition does not depend on the choice of bases.

Let $V$ and $W$ be vector spaces, $X$ a subset of $V$, and $C$ a vector subbundle of $X \times W$. Suppose $X$ is determined by polynomial equalities and inequalities. $C$ will be called polynomially determined if there are an integer $b$ and a polynomial $\eta: V \rightarrow \operatorname{Lin}\left(W, \boldsymbol{R}^{b}\right)$ such that $(x, w) \in C$ for $x \in X$ if and only if $\eta(x)(w)$ $=0$.

Proposition 4.6. Let all notation be as in Theorem 4.3. Suppose $E_{2} \subset$ $J^{n}(p, q) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ and $B \subset J^{n}(p, q) \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$ are both polynomially determined. Then $A_{a}(\gamma)$ is determined by polynomial equalities and inequalities.

Proof. Let $\sigma: \boldsymbol{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lin}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \boldsymbol{R}^{b}\right)$ be a polynomial such that $\left([\phi]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}$ if and only if $[\phi]^{n} \in A$ and $\sigma\left([\phi]^{n}\right)(v)=0$. Let $\tau: J^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow$ Lin $\left(\tilde{J}^{n}(p, q), \boldsymbol{R}^{c}\right)$ be a polynomial such that $\left([\phi]^{n},[\phi]^{n}\right) \in B$ if and only if $[\phi]^{n} \in A$ and $\tau\left([\phi]^{n}\right)\left([\phi]^{n}\right)=0$. Let $[\phi]^{n} \in A$. Then $[\phi]^{n+1} \in A_{a}(\gamma)$ if and only if $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right) \mid \sigma\left([\phi]^{n}\right)\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right)=0\right.$ and $\left.\tau\left([\phi]^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{|\omega|=n, \nu, j} a_{\nu} D_{\omega+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} u(\omega)\right)=0\right\}$
is an $a$-dimensional vector space. Thus there is a polynomial $\eta: J^{n+1}(p, q) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Lin}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \boldsymbol{R}^{b+c}\right)$ such that $[\phi]^{n+1} \in A_{a}(\gamma)$ if and only if $[\phi] \in A$ and $\eta\left([\phi]^{n+1}\right)$ has rank $p-a$. Since determinant functions are polynomials, the result follows.

Proposition 4.7. Assume the hypothesis of Proposition 4.6. Then $K_{a}$ and $\bar{B}$ are polynomially determined.

Proof. Let $\eta$ be the polynomial of the proof of Proposition 4.6. Then $\left([\phi]^{n+1}, v\right) \in K_{a}$ if and only if $[\phi]^{n+1} \in A_{a}(\gamma)$ and $\eta\left([\phi]^{n+1}\right)(v)=0$. We now show
that $\check{B}$ is polynomially determined. If $\phi \in A_{a}(\gamma)$, let $B_{\phi}=\left\{\psi \in \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \mid\left([\phi]^{n}, \psi\right) \in B\right\}$ and $F_{\phi}=\left\{\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \mid \boldsymbol{w}(v)=0\right.$ whenever $\left.(\phi, v) \in K_{a}\right\}$. Let

$$
C_{\phi}=\mu_{n+1}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes B_{\phi}+F_{\phi} \otimes \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right)\right) \cap\left(\left(\bigcirc_{n+1} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $C=\left\{(\phi, \psi) \mid \phi \in A_{a}(\gamma)\right.$ and $\left.\psi \in C_{\phi}\right\}$. The bundle $C$ is polynomially determined. It follows from Proposition 4.1 and the exactness of $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \times$ $\tilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow 0$ that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \times \tilde{J}^{n+1}(p, q) \rightarrow$ $s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow 0$.

If $\phi \in A_{a}(\gamma)$, let

$$
P_{\phi}=\left\{\sum_{|\omega|=n, \nu, j} a_{\nu} D_{\omega+\delta(\nu)} \phi_{j} u(\omega, j) \mid\left([\phi]^{n},\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right)\right) \in E_{2}\right\} .
$$

Each $P_{\phi}$ may be described in terms of polynomials in the coordinates of $\phi$. Since $0 \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a} \rightarrow s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, so is $K_{a}^{*} \otimes s_{a}^{*} S_{a} \rightarrow$ $T\left(E_{1}, A_{a}(\gamma)\right) \rightarrow 0$. It follows that
$\bar{B}=\left\{(\phi, \phi) \mid \phi \in A_{a}(\gamma), \psi \in C_{\phi}+\mu_{n+1}^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu_{n}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes P_{\phi}\right)\right) \cap\left(\left({ }_{n+1}^{\bigcirc} \boldsymbol{R}^{q^{*}} \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)\right)\right\}\right.$
and is therefore polynomially determined.

## 5. Singularities of mappings

Let $V$ be a manifold of type $G$, and suppose $G$ acts on $F$. $\underline{F}$ will denote the bundle with base $V$, fiber $F$ and group $G$. If $U$ is a subset of $F$, which is invariant under $G$, then $\underline{U}$ is a sub-bundle of $\underline{F}$. Let $W$ be a bundle over $U$, and suppose $G$ acts on $W$ in such a way that the bundle projection $W \rightarrow U$ is equivariant. Then $W$ induces a bundle $\underline{W}$ over $\underline{U}$ with group $G$ and fiber that of $W$. Suppose $G$ acts on bundles $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ over $U$ in such a way that the bundle projections are equivariant. If $\phi: W_{1} \rightarrow W_{2}$ is an invariant bundle morphism, then $\phi$ induces a morphism $\phi: \underline{W}_{1} \rightarrow \underline{W}_{2}$. If $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are $G$ bundles and $\phi: W_{1} \rightarrow W_{2}$ is an equivariant morphism of vector bundles, then $\phi$ is a morphism of vector bundles. Furthermore, - takes commutative dia$\overline{\text { grams into commutative diagrams and exact sequences into exact sequences. }}$

Let all notation be as in $\S 4$, and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ translation invariant subgroups of $\mathscr{L}_{p}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ respectively. Suppose $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ is $a$-uniform and satisfies the hypotheses of either Theorem 4.3 or Proposition 4.4 (so $\gamma$ is equivariant). Let $X$ be a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $Y$ a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. It follows from Corollary 3.5 that over $J_{A_{a}(\gamma)}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \underline{K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a}} \rightarrow \underline{s_{a}^{*} S_{a}} \rightarrow T\left(J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y), J_{A_{a}(\gamma)}^{n+1}(X, Y)\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Note also that $\underline{K}_{a}^{*} \otimes \underline{N_{a}} \approx\left(K_{a}\right)^{*} \otimes \underline{N_{a}}$. In the furture, underlines will be dropped, $s_{a}^{*} S_{a}$ will be abbreviated to $F_{a}$, and $T\left(J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y), J_{A_{a}(\gamma)}^{n+1}(X, Y)\right)$ to $R_{a}$. Thus the above sequence becomes $0 \rightarrow K_{a}^{*} \otimes N_{a} \rightarrow F_{a} \rightarrow R_{a} \rightarrow 0$ over $J_{A_{a}(r)}^{n+1}(X, Y)$.

Let $r_{n}: J^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow J^{n-1}(X, Y), r^{n}: J^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow X \times Y$ for $n \geq 1$, and $\varepsilon_{1}: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\varepsilon_{2}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projections. For $n \geq 1$, define $\widetilde{J}^{n}(X, Y)=\left\{\phi \in J^{n}(X, Y) \mid \phi\right.$ is $(n-1)$-equivalent to a constant germ $\} . \widetilde{J}^{n}(X, Y)$ is a vector bundle over $X \times Y$ and, in fact, $\tilde{J}^{n}(X, Y) \approx\left(\bigcirc_{n} \varepsilon_{1}^{*} T X^{*}\right) \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} T Y$ $\approx\{0\} \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$.
$E_{3}$ is a factor bundle of $r^{n^{*}} \tilde{J}^{n}(X, Y)$ over $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$ because of the exactness of $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q) \rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow 0$ over $A$. Thus if $n=1$, then $E_{3}$ is a factor bundle of $T J^{1}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{-1} T r_{1} J_{A}^{1}(X, Y)$ over $J_{A}^{1}(X, Y)$. Note that for $n \geq 1$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow r^{n^{*}} \widetilde{J}^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow T J^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow r_{n}^{*} T J^{n-1}(X, Y) \rightarrow 0$, so $B$ is a sub-bundle of $T J^{n}(X, Y)$ over $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$. If $n \geq 2$, it follows from the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$ $+B \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{n}^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{n} T J_{A}^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore for $n \geq 1$ there is an epimorphism $\varepsilon: T r_{n}^{-1} T r_{n} T J_{A}^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow E_{3}$. If $n=0$, then $E_{3}$ is a factor bundle of $T Y$ over $Y$. The epimorphism $T Y \rightarrow E_{3}$ will also be denoted $\varepsilon$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y . A_{a}(\gamma)(f)$ will be abbreviated to $A_{a}(f)$. Note finally that if $n \geq 1$, then $f^{n^{*}} E_{2}$ is a sub-bundle of $T X$ over $A(f)$. In the case $n=0, E_{2}$ is a subbundle of $T X$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $n=0$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $A_{a}(f)=\{x \in X \mid$ dimension kernel $\left.(\varepsilon \circ T f) \mid\left(E_{2}\right)_{x}=a\right\}$.

Proof. Trivial.
Proposition 5.2. Let $n \geq 1$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $A_{a}(f)=\{x \in A(f) \mid$ dimension kernel $\left.\left(\varepsilon \circ T f^{n}\right) \mid\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)_{x}=a\right\}$.

Proof. This is a local question. Assume $X=\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, Y=\boldsymbol{R}^{q}, x=0, f(0)=0$, and $0 \in A(f) . J^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p}, \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)=\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{q} \times J^{n}(p, q)$. Let $\bar{f}^{n}$ be the projection of $f^{n}$ on $J^{n}(p, q) . T \bar{f}^{n}\left(v_{0}\right)=\left(D t_{f n}(v)\right)_{[f]^{n}}$. Let $v_{0} \in f^{n^{*}} E_{2}$, implying $\left([f]^{n}, v\right) \in E_{2}$ so $\left((\mathrm{inj}) D t_{f n-1}(v)\right)_{\left[f \jmath^{n}\right.} \in T A$. It follows that for $v=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right),\left(\varepsilon \circ T f^{n}\right)\left(v_{0}\right)=0$ if and only if $\left([f]^{n}, \sum_{|\omega|=n, \nu, j} a_{\nu} D_{\omega+\delta(\nu)} f_{j} u(\omega, j)\right) \in B$. Thus $0 \in A_{a}(f)$ if and only if kernel $\left(\varepsilon \circ T f^{n}\right) /\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)_{0}$ has dimension $a$. q.e.d.
$R_{a}$ is a factor bundle of $r^{n+1^{*}} \widetilde{J}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ over $J_{A_{a}(r)}^{n+1}(X, Y)$. Thus, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$, then $f^{n+1^{*}} R_{a}$ is a factor bundle of $\left(\underset{n+1}{\bigcirc} T X^{*}\right) \otimes f^{*} T Y$.

Suppose $f$ is $A$-transversal; so $A(f)$ is a manifold. $T f^{n}(T A(f)) \subset T J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$ so $T f^{n+1}(T A(f)) \subset \operatorname{Tr}_{n+1}^{-1} T J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)=T J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y)$. Since there is a map $T J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y) \rightarrow R_{a}$ over $A_{a}(\gamma), T f^{n+1}$ induces a map $T A(f) \rightarrow R_{a}$ over $A_{a}(f)$ and hence a map $\psi: T A(f) \rightarrow f^{n+1^{*}} R_{a}$ over $A_{a}(f)$.

Since $f$ is $A$-transversal, $T f^{n+1}$ induces an exact commutative diagram

over $A_{a}(f) . f$ is $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal if and only if $\eta$ is an epimorphism if and only if $\psi$ is an epimorphism. Hence we have shown

Proposition 5.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $f^{n+1^{*}} R_{a}$ is a factor bundle of $\left(\bigcirc_{n+1}^{\bigcirc} T X^{*}\right) \otimes f^{*} T Y$ over $A_{a}(f)$. If $f$ is $A$-transversal, then $T f^{n+1}$ induces a map $T A(f) \rightarrow f^{n+1^{*}} R_{a}$ over $A_{a}(f)$. $f$ is $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal if and only if this map is an epimorphism.

Let $f$ be $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal, $x \in A(f)$ and $v \in T X_{x}$. Then $v \in T A_{a}(f)$ if and only if $T f^{n+1}(v) \in T J_{A_{a}(\gamma)}^{n+1}(X, Y)$. Thus

Proposition 5.4. Let $f$ be $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal. Then, over $A_{a}(f), T A_{a}(f)$ is the kernel of $T A(f) \rightarrow{ }^{n+1^{*}} R_{a}$.

## 6. Examples and applications

Let $V$ be a vector bundle over $X$, and suppose $W_{1}$ is a factor bundle of $\bigcirc_{m} V$ and $W_{2}$ is a factor bundle of $\bigcirc_{n} V$. Then $W_{1} \otimes W_{2}$ is a factor bundle of $\left(\bigcirc_{m}^{\bigcirc} V\right) \otimes\left(\bigcirc_{n}^{\bigcirc} V\right) \rightarrow W_{1} \otimes W_{2}$. Define $W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ to be the image of $\underset{m+n}{\bigcirc} V$. Since the fiber dimension of $W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ may vary from point to point of $X, W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ is not necessarily a bundle.

If $W_{1}$ is a factor bundle of $X \times\left(\bigcirc_{m} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right)$ and $W_{2}$ is a factor bundle of $X \times \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$, then $W_{1} \otimes W_{2}$ is a factor bundle of $X \times\left(\left(\bigcirc_{m} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q)\right)$ $=X \times\left(\left(\bigcirc_{m} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes\left(\bigcirc_{n} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)$. Define $W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ to be the image of $X \times\left(\left(\underset{m+n}{\bigcirc} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)=X \times \tilde{J}^{m+n}(p, q)$. Once again, $W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ need not be a bundle.

Consideration of the special case, where $X$ is a point, yields similar definitions for the symmetric product of appropriate vector spaces.

Let $W_{1}, W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ be factor bundles of $X \times(\underset{k}{\bigcirc} V), X \times(\underset{m}{\bigcirc} V)$, and $X \times\left(\bigcirc_{n}^{\bigcirc} V\right)$ respectively, and suppose $W_{1} \circ W_{2}$ and $W_{2} \circ W_{3}$ are bundles. Then $W_{1} \circ\left(W_{2} \circ W_{3}\right)=\left(W_{1} \circ W_{2}\right) \circ W_{3}$, so parentheses may be removed without introducing ambiguity. Similarly, if $W_{1}, W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ are factor bundles of $X \times\left(\bigcirc_{k} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right), X \times\left(\bigcirc_{m}^{\bigcirc} \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right)$, and $X \times \tilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$ respectively.

If $0 \leq p \leq q$, there is an epimorphism $\boldsymbol{R}^{q} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ defined by $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{q}\right) \rightarrow$
$\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)$. Suppose $I^{m}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$ is such that each $a_{i}$ is a non-negative integer and $a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{m}$. Since each of the vector spaces $\boldsymbol{R}^{a_{i}}$ is a factor space of $\boldsymbol{R}^{a_{1}}, \boldsymbol{R}^{a_{m}} \circ \cdots \circ \boldsymbol{R}^{a_{1}}$ is defined. Define $P\left(\boldsymbol{I}^{m}\right)=$ dimension $\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{a_{m}} \circ \cdots \circ \boldsymbol{R}^{a_{1}}\right)$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $W_{1}, \cdots, W_{m}$ be vector bundles over $X$, and suppose that for each $i$ there is an epimorphism $W_{i} \rightarrow W_{i_{+1}}$. Then $W_{m} \circ \cdots \circ W_{1}$ is a vector bundle. If, for each $i$, $\operatorname{dim} W_{i}=a_{i}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{m} \circ \cdots \circ W_{1}\right)=P\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$.

Proof. Straightforward.
Let $p$ and $q$ be given. Define an admissible sequence of length $n$ to be a tuple ( $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ ) of non-negative integers such that $a_{1} \geq p-q$ and $p \geq a_{1}$ $\geq \cdots \geq a_{n}$. If $I^{n}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is an admissible sequence of length $n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, then $I^{m}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$ is an admissible sequence of length $m$.

Fix an admissible sequence $I^{n}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$. If $0 \leq i \leq j$, let $r_{i}^{j}: J^{j}(p, q)$ $\rightarrow J^{i}(p, q)$ be the projection.

Define $Z(\phi)=\{0\}=J^{0}(p, q)$. Let $E_{2}^{0}=Z(\phi) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$ and $E_{3}^{0}=Z(\phi) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$. Now suppose that whenever $1 \leq m \leq n-1, Z\left(I^{m}\right)$ is a submanifold of $J^{m}(p, q)$. If $1 \leq m \leq n$, let $E_{1}^{m}=\left\{\phi \in J^{m}(p, q) \mid[\phi]^{m-1} \in Z\left(I^{m-1}\right)\right\}$. If $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, let $B^{m}=\left\{(\phi, \phi) \in Z\left(I^{m}\right) \times \tilde{J}^{m}(p, q) \mid \psi_{\phi} \in T Z\left(I^{m}\right)\right\}$ and assume it to be a bundle over $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$. If $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, define $E_{3}^{m}$ over $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow B^{m}$ $\rightarrow Z\left(I^{m}\right) \times \widetilde{J}^{m}(p, q) \rightarrow E_{3}^{m} \rightarrow 0$. If $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, let $E_{2}^{m}$ be a vector subbundle of $Z\left(I^{m}\right) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$. If $0 \leq m \leq n-1, H^{m+1}: E_{1}^{m+1} \rightarrow Z\left(I^{m}\right) \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}} \otimes \widetilde{J}^{m}(p, q)\right)$ induces $\gamma^{m+1}: E_{1}^{m+1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{m *} \otimes E_{3}^{m}$. If $0 \leq m \leq n-2$, suppose $\gamma^{m+1}$ is $a_{m+1^{-}}$ uniform and $Z\left(I^{m+1}\right)=Z\left(I^{m}\right)_{a_{m+1}}\left(\gamma^{m+1}\right)$. Define $Z\left(I^{n}\right)=Z\left(I^{n-1}\right)_{a_{n}}\left(\gamma^{n}\right)$. If $0 \leq m \leq n-1, r^{m+1}$ induces a map $r_{m}^{m+1 *} E_{2}^{m} \rightarrow r_{m}^{m+1 *} E_{3}^{m}$ over $E_{1}^{m+1}$. If $0 \leq$ $m \leq n-2$, suppose this map induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{m+1} \rightarrow r_{m}^{m+1^{*}} E_{2}^{m}$ $\rightarrow r_{m}^{m+1^{*}} E_{3}^{m} \rightarrow Q^{m+1} \rightarrow 0$ over $Z\left(I^{m+1}\right)$ defining $Q^{m+1}$. (Note that the bundles $E_{2}^{m}$ and the sets $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$ are defined inductively.) Define bundles $E_{2}^{n}$ and $Q^{n}$ over $Z\left(I^{n}\right)$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{n} \rightarrow r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-1} \rightarrow Q^{n} \rightarrow 0$. If $1 \leq m \leq n$, define a bundle $N^{m}$ over $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$ by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{m} \rightarrow$ $r_{m-1}^{m}{ }^{*} \rightarrow E_{2}^{m-1} \rightarrow N^{m} \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\bar{\pi}: G_{a_{n}}\left(r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow E_{1}^{n}$ be the bundle projection, and $0 \rightarrow L_{a_{n}} \rightarrow$ $\bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1} \rightarrow M_{a_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ the usual sequence as in §2. If $s^{n}: Z\left(I^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $G_{a_{n}}\left(r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1}\right)$ is the standard section, then $s^{n^{*}} L_{a_{n}}=E_{2}^{n}$ and $s^{n^{*}} M_{a_{n}}=N^{n}$.

If $1 \leq i \leq n-1, \gamma^{i}: E_{1}^{i} \rightarrow E_{2}^{i-1^{*}} \otimes E_{3}^{i-1}$ over $Z\left(I^{i-1}\right)$ induces a monomorphism $N^{i} \rightarrow r_{i-1}^{i} * E_{3}^{i-1}$ and hence, over $G_{a_{n}}\left(r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1}\right)$, a monomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ & \pi^{*}\left(r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \cdots \circ r_{i}^{n^{*}} E_{2}^{i *}\right) \otimes \pi^{*} r_{i}^{n^{*}} N_{i} \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*}\left(r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \cdots \circ r_{i}^{n^{*}} E_{2}^{i *}\right) \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{i-1}^{n} * E_{3}^{i-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is annoying but straightforward to show that the image of this map is contained in the symmetric subset $L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*}\left(r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \ldots \circ r_{i}^{n *} E_{2^{*}}^{i} \circ r_{i-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{i-1}\right)$. $0 \rightarrow N^{i} \rightarrow r_{i-1}^{i} * E_{3}^{i-1} \rightarrow Q^{i} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{i^{*}} \otimes N^{i} \rightarrow E_{2}^{i *} \circ r_{i-1}^{i} * E_{3}^{i-1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{i^{*}} \circ Q^{i} \rightarrow 0$ are exact. But for $1 \leq i \leq n-1, E_{2}^{i^{*}} \circ Q^{i} \approx E_{3}^{i}$ by Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.2. Thus over each point of $G_{a_{n}}\left(r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1}\right)$ there are exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} N^{n-1} \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-2}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-2} \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-2}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-2^{*}} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-2}^{n} * N^{n-2} \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-2}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-2^{*}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-3}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-3} \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-2}^{n} E_{3}^{n-2} \rightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \ldots \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{1}^{n^{*}} E_{2}^{1 *} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{1}^{n^{*}} N^{1} \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1 *} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{1}^{n^{*}} E_{2}^{* *} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{0}^{n^{*}}\left(\{0\} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right) \\
& \rightarrow L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n} * E_{2}^{n-1^{*}} \circ \cdots \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{2}^{n *} E_{2}^{* *} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{1}^{n *} E_{3}^{1} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the fiber dimension of $N^{1}$ is $p-a_{1}$, and the fiber dimension of $N^{i}$ is $a_{i-1}-a_{i}$ for $i>1$. Thus from Lemma 6.1 and the exactness of the above sequences, the fiber dimension of $L_{a_{n}}^{*} \circ \bar{\pi}^{*} r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-1}$ at each point of $G_{a_{n}}\left(r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{2}^{n-1}\right)$ is $P\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\left(q-p+a_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} P\left(a_{i}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\left(a_{i-1}-a_{i}\right)$. Consequently, $\gamma^{n}$ is $a_{n}$-uniform and therefore $Z\left(I^{n}\right)$ is a manifold. Furthermore, $0 \rightarrow E_{2}^{n^{*}} \otimes N^{n} \rightarrow E_{2}^{n *} \circ r_{n-1}^{n}{ }^{*} E_{3}^{n-1} \rightarrow T\left(E_{1}^{n}, Z\left(I^{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Thus $T\left(E_{1}^{n}, Z\left(I^{n}\right)\right)$ $\approx E_{2}^{n^{*}} \circ Q^{n}$ and has dimension $P\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\left(q-p+a_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} P\left(a_{i}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ $\left(a_{i-1}-a_{i}\right)$.

That $Z\left(I^{n}\right)$ is invariant under $\mathscr{L}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$ is immediate from Theorem 4.3. If $U$ and $V$ are manifolds and $\phi: U \rightarrow V$, let $Z_{a}(\phi)=Z(a)(\phi)=\{x \in U \mid$ dimension kernel $\left.T \phi_{x}=a\right\}$. Let $X$ be a $p$-manifold, $Y$ a $q$-manifold, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$-transversal for each $m \leq n-1$. It follows from Proposition 5.4 that, for each $m, Z\left(I^{m+1}\right)(f)=Z_{a_{m+1}}\left(f / Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f)\right)$.

We now summarize:
Theorem 6.2 (Boardman). Let $X$ be a (compact) p-manifold, Y a q-manifold and $I^{n}=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ an admissible sequence. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$, define $Z(\phi)(f)$ $=X$, and if $Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f) \subset X$ is defined and is a manifold, define $Z\left(I^{m+1}\right)(f)=$ $Z_{a_{m+1}}\left(f / Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f)\right)$. Then for a (open and dense) dense set of functions $f$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n+1}(X, Y), Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f)$ is a manifold for $1 \leq m \leq n$, and furthermore, for such $f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dimension } T\left(Z\left(I^{n-1}\right)(f), Z\left(I^{n}(f)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(I^{n}\right)\left(q-p+a_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(a_{i}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\left(a_{i-1}-a_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.3. Let $\check{\mathscr{L}}_{p}=\left\{\alpha_{0} \mid \alpha \in \mathscr{F}(p, p), \alpha_{0} \in \mathscr{L}_{p}\right.$ and, for sufficiently small $x, D \alpha_{x}$ preserves perpendicularity\}. Let $a>p-q$ and $\max (0, a(q-p$ $+a)+a-p) \leq b \leq a$, then there is a submanifold $Z(a \perp b)$ of $\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} Z(a)$, invariant under $\overline{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$, such that:
i) dimension $T\left(\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} Z(a), Z(a \perp b)\right)=b(p-a(q-p+a)-(a-b))$,
ii) if $X$ is a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}, Y$ is a $q$-manifold and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $Z(a)$ transversal, then $Z(a \perp b)(f)=\{x \in Z(a)(f) \mid$ the intersection of the vector space normal to $T Z(a)(f)_{x}$ with kernel $T f_{x}$ is b-dimensional $\}$.

Proof. Over $Z(a), H^{1}$ induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{a} \rightarrow Z(a) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \rightarrow$ $Z(a) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{q} \rightarrow Q_{a} \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, $T\left(J^{1}(p, q), Z(a)\right) \approx K_{a}^{*} \otimes Q_{a}$. Define $E$ over $Z(a)$ by $E=\left\{(\phi, v) \in Z(a) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p} \mid v\right.$ is perpendicular to $w$ whenever $\left.(\phi, w) \in K_{a}\right\}$, $E$ is an $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$ bundle over $Z(a)$ with fiber dimension $p-a . H^{2}$ induces $r^{2}:\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} Z(a) \rightarrow E^{*} \otimes K_{a}^{*} \otimes Q_{a}$. Define $Z(a \perp b)=Z(a)_{p-a(q-p+a)-(a-b)}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$. $\gamma^{2}$ is $p-a(q-p+a)-(a-b)$ uniform sice $E \cap K_{a}$ is the zero section of $Z(a) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$. Over $Z(a \perp b), \gamma^{2}$ induces and exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{a \perp b} \rightarrow r_{1}^{2 *} E \rightarrow$ $r_{1}^{2^{*}}\left(K_{a}^{*} \otimes Q_{a}\right)$ where dimension $\left(K_{a \perp b}\right)=p-a(q-p+a)-(a-b)$. If $N_{a \perp b}$ is defined by the exactness of $0 \rightarrow K_{a \perp b} \rightarrow r_{1}^{r^{2}} E \rightarrow N_{a \perp b} \rightarrow 0$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{a \perp b}^{*} \otimes N_{a \perp b} \rightarrow K_{a \perp b}^{*} \otimes r_{1}^{2^{\star}}\left(K_{a}^{*} \otimes Q_{a}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} Z(a), Z(a \perp b)\right) \rightarrow 0$. That $Z(a \perp b)$ is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \mathscr{L}_{q}$ is immediate from Theorem 4.3. It remains to show ii).

Let $X$ be a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ and $Y$ a $q$-manifold. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $Z(a)$ transversal and let $x \in Z(a)(f)$. By Proposition 5.4, $x \in Z(a \perp b)$ if and only if $\left(f^{1^{*}} E\right)_{x} \cap(T Z(a)(f))_{x}$ has dimension $p-a(q-p+a)-(a-b)$. But

$$
\left(\left(f^{*} E\right)_{x} \cup T Z(a)(f)_{x}\right)^{\perp}=\left(f 1^{*} E\right)_{\bar{x}}^{\perp}+T Z(a)(f)_{\bar{x}}^{\perp}=\left(f^{1^{*}} K_{a}\right)_{x}+T Z(a)(f)_{x}^{\perp}
$$

Thus $x \in Z(a \perp b)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(q-p+a)+(a-b) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(f^{1^{*}} E\right)_{x} \cap T Z(a)(f)_{x}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(f^{1^{*}} K_{a}\right)_{x}+T Z(a)(f) \frac{\perp}{x}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{dim} f^{1^{*}} K_{a}+\operatorname{dim} T Z(a)(f)^{\perp}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(f^{1^{*}} K_{a}\right)_{x} \cap T Z(a)(f)_{x}^{\perp}\right) \\
& \quad=a+a(q-p+a)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(f^{1^{*}} K_{a}\right)_{x} \cap T Z(a)(f)_{x}^{\perp}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if and only if $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(f^{1^{*}} K_{a}\right)_{x} \cap T Z(a)(f)_{\frac{1}{x}}\right)=b$. q.e.d.
Obviously Proposition 6.3 is not the most general result possible. One can construct invariant manifolds by combining perpendicularity considerations with the constructions of Theorem 6.2.

Proposition 6.4. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \subset \mathscr{L}_{p}$ be translation invariant, $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}=\{\mathrm{id}\}$, and $Q_{a}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 6.3. Let $E$ be a vector sub-bundle of $Z(a) \times R^{p}$ invariant under the action of $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$, and $\gamma^{2}:\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} Z(a) \rightarrow E^{*} \otimes$ $\left(Z(a) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p^{*}}\right) \otimes Q_{a}$ be the map induced by $H^{2}$. If $b \leq \operatorname{dim} E$, then $Z(a)_{b}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$ is a manifold and is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$.

Proof. $\gamma^{2}$ is $b$-uniform by Lemma 6.1, so $Z(a)_{b}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$ is a manifold. $\gamma^{2}$ is equivariant by Proposition 4.4. so $Z(a)_{b}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$ is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$. q.e.d.

We conclude this section with an application of Proposition 6.4.
Let $X$ be a $p$-manifold, and $f: X \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{q}$ an immersion. $f$ induces a map $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow G_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{q}\right)$ defined by $T f\left(T X_{x}\right)=(\bar{f}(x))_{f(x)}$. According to Proposition 2.2,
$\bar{f}^{*} T G_{p}\left(R^{q}\right) \approx T X^{*} \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q_{0}$. Thus $T \bar{f}$ induces a map $\psi: T X \rightarrow T X^{*} \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q_{0}$. If, in Proposition 6.4, $a=0$ and $E=Z(0) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$, then a straightforward local analysis shows that $\psi=f^{2 *} \gamma^{2}$. It follows from Proposition 6.4 that for $b \leq p$ and $f$ suitably transversal, $Z_{b}(\bar{f})$ is a submanifold of $X$. Define bundles $K_{b}^{2}$ and $N_{b}^{2}$ over $Z_{b}(\tilde{f})$ by the exactness of the sequences $0 \rightarrow K_{b}^{2} \rightarrow T X \rightarrow T X^{*} \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q_{0}$ and $0 \rightarrow K_{b}^{2} \rightarrow T X \rightarrow N_{b}^{2} \rightarrow 0$. For $Z(0)_{b}\left(\gamma^{2}\right)$-transversal immersions $f$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{b}^{2^{*}} \otimes N_{b}^{2} \rightarrow K_{b}^{2 *} \bigcirc T X^{*} \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q_{0} \rightarrow T\left(X, Z_{b}(\bar{f})\right) \rightarrow 0$ over $Z_{b}(\bar{f})$. Thus $T\left(X, Z_{b}(\bar{f})\right)$ has dimension $\left(\frac{1}{2} b(b+1)+b(p-b)\right)(q-p)-$ $b(p-b)=\frac{1}{2} b(b+1)(q-p)+b(p-b)(q-p-1)$.

Proposition 6.5. Let $X$ be a compact $p$-manifold and let $q \geq p+2$. Then there is a set $\mathscr{S}$ of immersions of $X$ into $R^{q}$, which is open and dense in the set of all immersions of $X$ into $\boldsymbol{R}^{q}\left(\right.$ in $\mathscr{C}\left(X, \boldsymbol{R}^{q}\right)$ ) such that $\bar{f}$ is an immersion for each $f \in \mathscr{S}$.

Proof. If $b \geq 1$ and $q \geq p+2$, then $\frac{1}{2} b(b+1)(q-p)+b(p-b)(q-p$ $-1) \geq b(b+1)+b(p-b)=b(p+1)>p$.

## 7. Characteristic classes

In this section it will be shown that there is a connection between certain kinds of singularities of nice maps of manifolds and the Whitney classes of the domain and target manifolds. Since the results are fragmentary, only a sketch of the methodology will be given. The approach was outlined by Porteous in [5].

Let $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ (respectively $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$ ) be a subgroup of $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$ (respectively $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ ), and $A \subset J^{n}(p, q)$ a manifold invariant under $\check{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \check{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. Let $E_{1}=\left\{[\phi]^{n+1} \mid[\phi]^{n} \in A\right\}$, and let $\pi: E_{1} \rightarrow A$ be the bundle projection. Let $E_{2}$ be a vector sub-bundle of $A \times \boldsymbol{R}^{p}$, which is invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$, and let $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \times \widetilde{J}^{n}(p, q)$ $\rightarrow E_{3} \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence over $A$ with $B$ invariant under $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p} \times \tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$. Let $\gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ be the map induced by $H^{n+1}$, and suppose that $\gamma$ is equivariant and $a$-uniform ( $a \leq$ fiber dimension $E_{2}$ ). Let $X$ be a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{p}$, and $Y$ a manifold of type $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}_{q}$.

Then, as in $\S 5, J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$ and $J_{A_{a}(\gamma)}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ are manifolds, and $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ determine bundles (also denoted $E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ ) over $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$. Also $\gamma$ induces a map $\gamma: J_{E_{1}}^{n}(X, Y) \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ over $J_{A}^{n}(X, Y)$, and we have a bundle $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$ over $J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} E_{2} \rightarrow M_{a} \rightarrow 0$ over $G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$ where $\bar{\pi}: G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow J_{E_{1}}^{n+1}(X, Y)$ is the bundle projection. Let $\gamma_{a}: G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$ be the section induced by $\gamma$. Since $\gamma$ is $a$-uniform, there is a symmetric sub-bundle $S_{a}$ of $L_{a}^{*} \otimes \bar{\pi}^{*} \pi^{*} E_{3}$, containing the image of $\gamma_{a}$, such that $\gamma_{a}$ is a transversal section of $S_{a}$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y . f^{n+1}$ induces a map $\bar{f}: G_{a}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow G_{a}\left(\pi^{*} E_{2}\right)$. If $\tilde{\pi}: G_{a}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)$ $\rightarrow A(f)$ is the bundle projection, and $0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{a} \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}^{*} f^{n^{*}} E_{2} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{a} \rightarrow 0$ is the obvious sequence over $G_{a}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)$, then $\tilde{L}_{a}=\bar{f}^{*} L_{a}$ and $\bar{M}_{a}=\bar{f}^{*} M_{a} . \gamma: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}^{*} \otimes E_{3}$ induces a vector bundle morphism $\tilde{\gamma}: f^{n^{*}} E_{2} \rightarrow f^{n^{*}} E_{3}$ which, in turn, induces a
section $\tilde{\gamma}_{a}: G_{a}\left(f n^{*} E_{2}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{a}^{*} \otimes \tilde{\pi}^{*} f^{n^{*}} E_{3}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_{a}$ is the pullback $\bar{f}^{*} \gamma_{a}$ of the section $\gamma_{a}$, the image of $\tilde{\gamma}_{a}$ is contained in the symmetric sub-bundle $\bar{f}^{*} S_{a}$. Note that $A_{a}(f)=\left\{x \in A(f) \mid\right.$ dimension kernel $\left.\tilde{\gamma}_{x}=a\right\}$. Define a section $\tilde{s}_{a}: A_{a}(f) \rightarrow G_{a}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)$ by $\tilde{s}_{a}(x)=$ kernel $\tilde{\gamma}_{x}$. Suppose $f$ is $A$-transversal. It is not difficult to show that $f$ is $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal if and only if $\tilde{\gamma}_{a}$ is a transversal section of $\bar{f} * S_{a}$ on $\tilde{s}_{a} A_{a}(f)$.

If $U$ is a topological space, then $H_{*}(U)\left(H^{*}(U)\right)$ will denote the singular homology (cohomology) of $U$ with $Z_{2}$-coefficients. Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be compact manifolds with $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$. If $i: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ is the inclusion, $i_{*}: H_{*}\left(U_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(U_{2}\right)$ is the group homomorphism induced by $i$, and $u$ is the fundamental cycle of $U_{1}$, then the dual to $i_{*} u$ in $H^{*}\left(U_{2}\right)$ will be called the dual to $U_{1}$ in $U_{2}$, and will be denoted $D\left(U_{2}, U_{1}\right)$.

Let $E$ be an $m$-dimensional vector bundle over a compact manifold $U$. $W(E)=1+W_{1}(E)+\cdots+W_{m}(E)$ will denote the Whitney class of $E$. If $\sigma: U \rightarrow E$ is a transversal section and $Z$ is the zero set of $\sigma$, then $W_{m}(E)$ is the dual to $Z$ in $U$.

If $A_{b}(f)=\phi$ for each $b>a$, then $\tilde{s}_{a} A_{a}(f)$ is the zero set of $\tilde{\gamma}_{a}$. Hence the following

Lemma 7.1. Suppose the fiber dimension of $S_{a}$ is $m$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $A_{a}(\gamma)$-transversal. suppose $A(f)$ is compact and $A_{b}(f)=\phi$ for each $b>a$. Then the dual to $\tilde{s}_{a} A_{a}(f)$ in $G_{a}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)$ is $W_{m}\left(\bar{f}^{*} S_{a}\right)$.

If dimension $E_{2}=1$, then $G_{1}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)=A(f)$ and $\bar{f}^{*} S_{1}=\left(f^{n} E_{2}\right) * \otimes\left(f^{n} E_{3}\right)$. Thus

Proposition 7.2. Let $\operatorname{dim} E_{2}=1, \operatorname{dim} E_{3}=m$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $A_{1}(\gamma)$ transversal. If $A(f)$ is compact, then

$$
D\left(A(f), A_{1}(f)\right)=W_{m}\left(\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)^{*} \otimes\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{3}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} W_{1}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{2}\right)^{i} W_{m-i}\left(f^{n^{*}} E_{3}\right)
$$

Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be compact manifolds and let $\phi: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ be continuous. $\phi$ induces a group (not ring) homomorphism $\phi_{\#}: H^{*}\left(U_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(U_{2}\right) . \phi_{\#}$ is defined by composing $\phi_{*}$ with the appropriate duality isomorphisms.

If $\phi^{*}: H^{*}\left(U_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(U_{1}\right)$ is the ring homomorphism induced by $\phi, u_{1} \in H^{*}\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $u_{2} \in H^{*}\left(U_{2}\right)$, then $\phi_{\sharp}\left(\left(\phi^{*} u_{2}\right) \cdot u_{1}\right)=u_{2} \cdot \phi_{\#} u_{1}$. If $\phi: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ and $\phi: U_{2} \rightarrow U_{3}$, then $(\psi \phi)_{\#}=\psi_{\#} \phi_{*}$. Note that if $U_{1} \subset U_{2}, i: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ is the inclusion, and 1 is the unit cohomology class of $U_{1}$, then $D\left(U_{2}, U_{1}\right)=i_{\sharp} 1$.

For the remainder of this section, $X$ will be compact.
Lemma 7.3. Let $E$ be a vector bundle over $X$ of fiber dimension $m$. Let $a \leq m$ and let $\bar{\pi}: G_{a}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Suppose $0 \rightarrow L_{a} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} E \rightarrow M_{a} \rightarrow 0$ is the usual sequence over $G_{a}(E)$. Then $\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(W_{m-a}\left(M_{a}\right)^{a}\right)$ is the unit cohomology class of $X$.

Proof. See [5].
If $E$ is a vector bundle over $X$, then $-E$ will denote the inverse bundle of $E$.
Porteous uses Lemma 7.3 to prove

Theorem 7.4. Let $X$ be a compact p-manifold, $Y$ a q-manifold and a a positive integer such that $a \leq p$ and $a>p-q$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $Z(a)$-transversal and suppose $Z_{b}(f)=\phi$ for $b>a$. Then $D\left(X, Z_{a}(f)\right)$ is the determinant of the $a \times$ a matrix whose $i, j$ term is $W_{q-p+a+i-j}\left(f^{*} T Y-T X\right)$.

Proof. See [5].
(Actually, Porteous proves a somewhat stronger theorem.)
Lemma 7.5. Let $E$ be a vector bundle over $X$ of fiber dimension $m$, and $\bar{\pi}: G_{1}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the bundle projection. Then $\bar{\pi}_{\xi}\left(W_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)^{j}\right)=W_{j-m+1}(-E)$ for each $j$.

Proof (By induction on $j$ ). Let $a=W_{1}\left(L_{1}\right), 1+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{m-1}=W\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $1+c_{1}+\cdots+c_{m}=\bar{\pi}^{*} W(E)$. $\bar{\pi}_{z}$ lowers dimension by the fiber dimension of $G_{1}(E)$, so the lemma is trivial for $j<m-1$. By the Whitney duality theorem, $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^{i} c_{m-1-i}=b_{m-1}$, so $\bar{\pi}_{\sharp} b_{m-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(a^{i}\right) W_{m-1-i}(E)=\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(a^{m-1}\right)$. But by Lemma 7.3, $\bar{\pi}_{\ddagger} b_{m-1}=1$, so the lemma is valid for $j=m-1$.

We now assume that $t \geq m-1$ and that Lemma 7.5 is valid for $j \leq t$, and prove for $j=t+1 . \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^{i} c_{m-1-i}=b_{m-1}$ implying $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^{i+1} c_{m-i}=a b_{m-1}=c_{m}$, so $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} c_{m-i}=0$. Thus if $t+1 \geq m$, then $\sum_{i=0}^{m} a^{t+1-m+i} c_{m-i}=0$. Applying $\bar{\pi}_{\#}$ and the induction hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(a^{t+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \bar{\pi}_{\#}\left(a^{t+1-m+i}\right) W_{m-i}(E) \\
& =\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(a^{t+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} W_{t+2-2 m+i}(-E) W_{m-i}(E),
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\bar{\pi}_{\#}\left(a^{t+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} W_{t+2-2 m+i}(-E) W_{m-i}(E)$. But $\sum_{i=0}^{m} W_{t+2-2 m+i}(-E) W_{m-i}(E)$ is the $(t+2-m)$-dimensional term of $W(-E) W(E)$ which is 0 since $(t+2-m) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$
\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}\left(a^{t+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} W_{t+2-2 m+i}(-E) W_{m-i}(E)=W_{t+2-m}(-E)
$$

Theorem 7.6. Let $p \leq q$ and $I^{n}=(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n})$. Let $X$ be a compact $p$ manifold, and $Y$ a q-manifold. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$-transversal for each $m \leq n$ and such that $Z_{i}(f)=\phi$ for each $i>1$. Then the dual to $Z\left(I^{n}\right)(f)$ in $X$ is a polynomial in the $W_{i}\left(f^{*} T Y-T X\right)$, and this polynomial is computable and does not depend on $X, Y$ and $f$.

Proof. Let all notation be as in §6. If $1 \leq m \leq n$, then $f^{m^{*}} E_{2}^{m}=f^{1^{*}} E_{2}^{1}$ and $f^{m^{*}} E_{3}^{m}=\left(\underset{m}{\otimes} f^{1^{*}} E_{2}^{1}\right) \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q^{1}$ over $Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f)$. Note that $\operatorname{dim} E_{2}^{1}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} Q^{1}=$ $q-p+1$. Let $i_{m}: Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f) \rightarrow Z\left(I^{1}\right)(f)$ be the inclusion. By Proposition 7.2, if $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(Z\left(I^{m}\right)(f), Z\left(I^{m+1}\right)(f)\right) & =i_{m}^{*}\left(W_{q-p+1}\left(\left(\otimes_{m}^{\otimes} f^{1^{*}} E_{2}^{1}\right) \otimes f^{1^{*}} Q^{1}\right)\right) \\
& =i_{m}^{*}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-p+1}\left((m+1) W_{1}\left(f^{1^{*}} E_{2}^{1}\right)\right)^{i} W_{q-p+1-i}\left(f^{*} Q^{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
D\left(Z\left(I^{1}\right)(f), Z\left(I^{n}\right)(f)\right)=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{q-p+1}\left((m+1) W_{1}\left(f^{*} E_{2}^{1}\right)\right)^{i} W_{q-p+1-i}\left(f^{1^{*}} Q^{1}\right)\right\}
$$

Denote this cohomology class by $C$. If $i: Z\left(I^{1}\right)(f) \rightarrow X$ is the injection, then $D\left(X, Z\left(I^{n}\right)(f)\right)=i_{\sharp} C$. Let $\bar{\pi}: G_{1}(T X) \rightarrow X$ be the projection and $s^{1}: Z\left(I^{1}\right)(f) \rightarrow$ $G_{1}(T X)$ the obvious section. Then $\bar{\pi} s^{1}=i$ so $D\left(X, Z\left(I^{n}\right)(f)\right)=\bar{\pi}_{\sharp}{ }^{1}{ }_{\#} C$. If $\bar{Q}$ is defined over $G_{1}(T X)$ by the exactness $0 \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{*} f^{*} T Y \rightarrow \bar{Q} \rightarrow 0$, then $f^{1^{*}} Q^{1}$ $=s^{1^{*}} \bar{Q}$. As noted before, $f^{*} E_{2}^{1}=s^{{ }^{*}} L_{1} . s_{\sharp}^{1} C$ is now computable by Lemma 7.1. By the Whitney duality theorem, $s_{\sharp}^{1} C$ is expressible in terms of $W_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)$ and the Whitney closses of $\bar{\pi}^{*} T X$ and $\bar{\pi}^{*} f^{*} T Y$. By Lemma $7.5, \bar{\pi}_{\#} s_{\sharp}^{1} C$ is computable.

Theorem 7.7. Let $p \geq q$, and $I^{n}=(p-q+1, \underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n-1})$. Let $X$ be $a$ compact p-manifold, and $Y$ a q-manifold. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $Z\left(I^{m}\right)$-transversal for each $m \leq n$ and such that
i) $Z_{i}(f)=\phi$ for each $i>p-q+1$, and
ii) $Z(p-q+1, i)(f)=\phi$ for each $i>1$.

Then the dual to $Z\left(I^{n}\right)(f)$ in $X$ is a polynomial in the $W_{i}\left(f^{*} T Y-T X\right)$, and this polynomial is computable and does not depend on $X, Y$ and $f$.

Proof. In the spirit of Theorem 7.6.
The author has been unable to find a nice form (as in Theorem 7.4) for the polynomials of Theorems 7.6 and 7.7.
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