# VARIATIONAL COMPLETENESS AND K-TRANSVERSAL DOMAINS 

LAWRENCE CONLON

## Introduction

Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold, and $K$ a compact connected Lie group, and suppose that $K$ acts differentiably and isometrically on $M$. Bott and Samelson [5] and R. Hermann [8], [9] have given classes of examples (all related to symmetric spaces) in which the following two properties are verified:
(A) There is a flat closed connected totally geodesic imbedded submanifold $T \subset M$ which meets every $K$-orbit and is orthogonal to the $K$-orbits at every point of intersection. (Such a submanifold $T$ will be called a $K$-transversal domain.)
(B) The action of $K$ on $M$ is variationally complete in the sense of [5, p. 974].

These properties make possible some interesting applications of Morse theory to the spaces $\Omega=\Omega(M ; N, q)$ of piecewise $C^{\infty}$ paths from a $K$-orbit $N$ to a point $q \in M$ (e.g., cf. [3], [4], [7], [5]). Here $\Omega$ is topologized as in [5]. In particular, if $K$ has a fixed point $p \in M$ one can study in this way the loopspaces $\Omega(M) \simeq \Omega(M ; p, q)$, while if $M$ is contractible one obtains results on $N \simeq$ $\Omega(M ; N, q)$. (Here, as elsewhere in the paper, $\simeq$ denotes homotopy equivalence.)

We do not know whether (A) and (B) are equivalent, but we will prove the following result which does not seem to have been noticed before.

Theorem I. (A) implies (B).
As a consequence, a number of interesting properties (which were established for the symmetric space cases by use of root systems - cf. [1], [5], [7]) can be shown to follow from (A). In particular, we obtain characterizations of the singular set, the Weyl group, and the Bott-Samelson $K$-cycles as follows.

Theorem II. Let $T \subset M$ be a $K$-transversal domain and assume $\pi_{1}(M)=0$. Then there is a finite collection $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{r}\right\}$ of closed connected flat submanifolds of codimension one in $T$, together with positive integers $m(i), i=$ $1, \cdots, r$, such that for each $x \in T$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(N_{x}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(T)-\sum_{i \in I_{x}} m(i),
$$

where $N_{x}$ is the $K$-orbit of $x$ and $I_{x}=\left\{i: x \in P_{i}\right\}$.

[^0]In what follows we continue to denote the $K$-orbit of a point $x \in M$ by $N_{x}$.
Definition. Each $P_{i}$ as above is called a singular variety of multiplicity $m(i)$, and each connected component of the complement in $T$ of the union of the singular varieties a Weyl domain in $T$. The Weyl group $W=W(K, T)$ is the group of transformations of $T$ produced by those $a \in K$ such that $a \cdot T=T$.

Theorem III. If $T$ is a $K$-transversal domain and $\pi_{1}(M)=0$, then the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in each singular variety $P_{i}$ exists, $W$ is a finite group generated by all such reflections, and $W$ permutes simply transitively the set of Weyl domains in T. If $x \in T$ lies on no singular variety, then $W$ permutes simply transitively the set $N_{x} \cap T$.

Definition. $K_{i}=\left\{a \in K: a \cdot q=q\right.$, all $\left.q \in P_{i}\right\}, i=1, \cdots, r$, and $K_{T}=$ $\{a \in K: a \cdot q=q$, all $q \in T\}$.

Let $P=\left(P_{i_{1}}, \cdots, P_{i_{k}}\right)$ be a sequence of singular varieties, repetitions allowed, and form the compact manifold

$$
\Gamma_{P}=K_{i_{1}} \times_{K_{T}} K_{i_{2}} \times_{K_{T}} \cdots \times_{K_{T}}\left(K_{i_{k}} / K_{T}\right) .
$$

If $x \in M$ and $q \in T$, there are topological imbeddings (well-defined up to homotopy)

$$
f_{P}: \Gamma_{P} \rightarrow \Omega\left(M ; N_{x}, q\right)
$$

defined via geodesic polygons on $M$ exactly as in [3, p. 40]. These provide $\bmod 2$ homology cycles in $\Omega$ of dimension $=\operatorname{dim}\left(\Gamma_{P}\right)$ (called the Bott-Samelson $K$-cycles) which are integral cycles if each $\Gamma_{P}$ is orientable. Selecting $q$ so as to lie on no singular variety, one obtains by use of the Morse theory a canonical homology basis consisting of certain of the $K$-cycles, one for each $K$-transversal geodesic in $\Omega$ (cf. [5, Theorem I] and the correction in [6]).
$\Gamma_{P}$ is an iterated fiber bundle with successive fibers $K_{i_{j}} / K_{T}$ and final base space $K_{i_{j}} / K_{T}$, and each of these fibrations admits a canonical cross section. The following theorem, generalizing results of Araki [1] and the author [7], completes the picture.

Theorem IV. Let $\pi_{1}(M)=0$. If there is a $K$-transversal domain $T \subset M$, and $P_{i} \subset T$ is a singular variety with multiplicity $m(i)$, then $K_{i} / K_{T}=S^{m(i)}$.

These theorems may lead to the discovery of interesting new examples of (B). Indeed, they may enable us to classify all cases of (A), at least for simply connected $M$. Classification attempts should probably begin with the "infinitesimal" case $M=\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ where the action of $K$ is an orthogonal representation satisfying (A). Not only do these form the simplest interesting class of examples, but the general case gives rise to these infinitesimal examples at each $x \in M$, as will be described in Theorem (3.7).

The standard cases of orthogonal representations satisfying (A) are the isotropy representations coming from Riemannian symmetric spaces. In addition, any orthogonal representation of $K$ transitive on the unit sphere of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ trivially
satisfies (A). The only other examples presently known to the author are a representation of Spin (8) on $\boldsymbol{R}^{16}$ and one of Spin (7) on $\boldsymbol{R}^{15}$, both having $K$ transversal domains of dimension two. In each case the singular varieties are a pair of mutually orthogonal lines, the multiplicities are $m(1)=m(2)=7$ in the first case and $m(1)=6$ and $m(2)=7$ in the second case, and the respective principal orbit types are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K / K_{T}=\operatorname{Spin}(8) / G_{2}=S^{7} \times S^{7} \\
& K / K_{T}=\operatorname{Spin}(7) / S U(3)=S^{6} \times S^{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notational conventions. Throughout this paper $T \subset M$ will be a $K$-transversal domain. If $x, y \in M$, the Riemannian distance between these points will be denoted by $d(x, y)$. For $x \in M, K_{x} \subset K$ denotes the isotropy subgroup of $x$, hence $N_{x}=K / K_{x} . V_{x}$ will denote the orthogonal complement of the tangent space $T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$ in $T_{x}(M)$.

If the orbit $N_{x}$ is fixed throughout a certain discussion, we will denote $\Omega\left(M ; N_{x}, q\right)$ by $\Omega_{q}$. If $q$ is also fixed, we will simply write $\Omega$. If $a>0$, the symbols $\Omega^{a}\left(M ; N_{x}, q\right), \Omega_{q}^{a}, \Omega^{a}$ will denote respectively the subspaces of the above spaces consisting of paths of length $\leq a$. If $u:[a, b] \rightarrow M$ is a smooth path, $\dot{u}(t)$ denotes the tangent field along $u, a \leq t \leq b$.

The Lie algebras of groups $K, K_{T}, K_{i}, K_{x}$, etc. will be denoted by corresponding $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}_{T}, \boldsymbol{k}_{i}, \boldsymbol{k}_{x}$, etc. The identity components of Lie groups $K, K_{T}$, etc. will be denoted by $K^{0}, K_{T}^{0}$, etc. Whenever homology theory is employed, the singular theory will be understood. In addition, notations already established in the introduction will be used without further explanation.

## 1. The proof of Theorem I

We assume (A) and seek to establish (B). This will require a number of propositions and lemmas, some of which are interesting in their own right.
(1.1) Proposition. Let $N \subset M$ be a $K$-orbit or maximal dimension. Then $\operatorname{dim}(N)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(T)$.

Proof. $\quad \operatorname{dim}(N) \leq \operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(T)$ is immediate from (A). We will prove the reverse inequality.

We may assume that $N$ is a principal $K$-orbit. The union of all principal $K$ orbits is an open set $U \subset M$, [2], and $U \cap T$ is a nonempty open subset of $T$. Let $W \subset U \cap T$ be a convex open set of $T$. If $x, y \in W$, let $s:[0,1] \rightarrow W$ be a geodesic with $s(0)=x, s(1)=y$. Then $K_{x}=K_{s(t)}=K_{y}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$; this is by the slice property [2] together with the fact that the $K$-orbit of each $s(t)$ is principal. Since $W$ is open in $T$ and $T$ is totally geodesic, $K_{x}=K_{T}$ for all $x \in W$ and hence for all $x \in U \cap T$. In particular, $N=K / K_{T}$.

Define

$$
\phi: T \times\left(K / K_{T}\right) \rightarrow M
$$

by

$$
\phi\left(x, y K_{T}\right)=y \cdot x .
$$

Clearly $\phi$ is smooth and well defined, and $\operatorname{Im}(\phi)=M$. Since $T \times\left(K / K_{T}\right)$ is second countable and $\phi$ is $C^{\infty}$, we have (cf. [10, Théorème 3])

$$
\operatorname{dim}(T \times N) \geq \operatorname{dim}(M)
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{dim}(N) \geq \operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(T)
$$

(1.2) Corollary. Any two $K$-transversal domains in $M$ are conjugate under the action of $K$.

Proof. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ satisfy (A), and $N$ be a maximal $K$-orbit. Choose $x \in N \cap T$ and $y \in N \cap T^{\prime}$. There is $a \in K$ such that $a \cdot y=x$. Then $a \cdot T^{\prime}$ and $T$ are totally geodesic closed submanifolds of $M$, each containing $x$, orthonormal to $N$ at $x$, and of complementary dimension to $N$. This implies $T=a \cdot T^{\prime}$.

q.e.d.

Let $x \in M$. By Corollary (1.2) no generality is lost by assuming $x \in T$. Let $U$ be the open $\varepsilon$-ball in $V_{x}$ with center 0 . For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $S=\exp (U)$ is a $K$-slice at $x$ and is invariant under $K_{x}^{0}$. For $b \in S$, let $Q_{b}$ denote the $K_{x}^{0}$ orbit of $b$. Thus $Q_{b} \subset S$. Note that $T \cap S$ is an open convex set in $T$.
(1.3) Lemma. $T \cap S$ meets $Q_{b}$ for all $b \in S$, and these manifolds are orthogonal at each point of intersection. If $Q_{b}$ has maximal dimension, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{b}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(S)-\operatorname{dim}(T)$.

Proof. Orthogonality is immediate from (A). First asssume $b \in S \cap T$ and suppose $\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{b}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{c}\right)$ for all $c \in S \cap T$. Remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{b}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{x}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{b}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{dim}(K)-\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{b}\right)\right)-\left(\operatorname{dim}(K)-\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{x}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(N_{b}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(N_{x}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{codim}\left(N_{x}\right)-\operatorname{codim}\left(N_{b}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}(S)-\operatorname{codim}\left(N_{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T \cap S$ meets all $K$-orbits sufficiently near $N_{x}$ and the maximal $K$-orbits unite to form a dense subset of $M$ [2], $N_{b}$ must be a maximal $K$-orbit. Thus, by Proposition (1.1),

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{b}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(S)-\operatorname{dim}(T)
$$

Now let $c \in S$. If $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow S$ is a minimal path from $Q_{b}$ to $Q_{c}$, we may suppose $\sigma(0)=b$. Then $\dot{\sigma}(0) \perp T_{b}\left(Q_{b}\right)$. By the above formula and the fact
that $T \cap S$ is totally geodesic in $M$ and hence in $S$, we conclude that $\sigma$ lies on $T \cap S$. Thus $\sigma(1) \in Q_{c} \cap S \cap T \neq \emptyset$, and all assertions follow.
(1.4) Corollary. If $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are $K$-transversal domains and $x \in T \cap T^{\prime}$, then there is $a \in K_{x}^{0}$ such that $a \cdot T=T^{\prime}$.
(1.5) Corollary. Every $v \in V_{x}$ is tangent to some $K$-transversal domain.

These corollaries are easy consequences of Lemma (1.3).
Let $N \subset M$ be any $K$-orbit, let $q \in M$, and choose $s \in \Omega(M ; N, q)$ a critical path for the energy functional (i.e., $s$ is a $K$-transversal geodesic as in [5, p. 967]). For $r>0$ sufficiently small, let

$$
\pi: \Sigma_{r} \rightarrow N
$$

be the normal sphere bundle of radius $r$ for $\Sigma_{r} \subset M$, and $t_{0}$ be the smallest positive value such that $s\left(t_{0}\right) \in \Sigma_{r}$ (hence the length of $\left.s\right|_{\left[0, t_{0}\right]}$ is $r$ ). Let $s\left(t_{0}\right)=x$ and remark that $N_{x} \subset \Sigma_{r}$.

Definition. $J_{s}^{N}$ is the set of Jacobi fields along $s$ produced by the variations

$$
V:[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \times[0,1] \rightarrow M
$$

such that, for each $\mu \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon], s_{\mu}$ defined by $s_{\mu}(t)=V(\mu, t)$ is a geodesic satisfying

1) $s_{\mu}(0) \in N$,
2) length of $s_{\mu}=$ length of $s$,
3) $\dot{s}_{\mu}(0) \perp T_{s \mu(0)}(N)$,
$-\varepsilon \leq \mu \leq \varepsilon$.
It is well known that $J_{s}^{N}$ is a linear space of dimension $=\operatorname{dim}(M)-1$. Indeed, the map

$$
J_{s}^{N} \rightarrow T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
U \mapsto U\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

is a linear isomorphism.
Definition. $\Lambda_{s}(N)=\left\{U \in J_{s}^{N}: U(1)=0\right\}$.
Property (B) in the introduction means that for every choice of $q, N$, and $s$ as above each $U \in \Lambda_{s}(N)$ is produced by a variation

$$
V(\mu, t)=\sigma(\mu) \cdot s(t)
$$

where $\sigma$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $K_{q}^{0}$.
If $U \in J_{s}^{\mathrm{V}}$, write $U=U^{\prime}+U^{\prime \prime}, U^{\prime}$ and $U^{\prime \prime} \in J_{s}^{N}$ with $U^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \in T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$ and $U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \in T_{x}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right)$ such that $U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \perp T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$. Evidently this is a unique decomposition.
(1.6) Lemma. If $U \in J_{s}^{N}$, then $U^{\prime}$ as above is produced by a variation

$$
V(\mu, t)=\sigma(\mu) \cdot s(t)
$$

where $\sigma$ is a one parameter subgroup of $K$.
Proof. Given $Y \in T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$, a suitable choice of $\sigma$ produces $Z \in J_{s}^{N}$ with $Z\left(t_{0}\right)=Y$. Any $Z \in J_{s}^{N}$ is uniquely determined by $Z\left(t_{0}\right)$. Thus choose $Y=U^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and obtain $Z=U^{\prime}$.
(1.7) Lemma. $\dot{s}\left(t_{0}\right) \in T_{x}(T)$ for every $K$-transversal domain $T \subset M$ containing $x$.

Proof. $\dot{s}\left(t_{0}\right) \perp T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$, hence is tangent to some $T$ by (1.5). Let $T^{\prime}$ be another $K$-transversal domain through $x$. By Corollary (1.4) there is $a \in K_{x}^{0}$ such that $a \cdot T=T^{\prime}$. Then $s^{\prime}=\left.(a \cdot s)\right|_{\left[0, t_{0}\right]}$ is a geodesic of length $r$ meeting $N$ orthogonally and with $s^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x$. Therefore $s^{\prime}=\left.s\right|_{\left[0, t_{0}\right]}$, so $\dot{s}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is also tangent to $T^{\prime}$ at $x$.
(1.8) Lemma. If $U \in \Lambda_{s}(N)$, then $U^{\prime \prime}=0$.

Proof. $U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \perp T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right)$, hence is tangent to some $T$ containing $x$ by Corollary (1.5). $\dot{s}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is tangent to this same $T$ by Lemma (1.7), so $s$ lies on $T$. In particular, $s(0) \in T$ and $\Sigma_{r} \cap T$ is a sphere in $T$ with center $s(0)$ and of radius $r . U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ is tangent to this sphere.

Let $u$ be a smooth curve in $T \cap \Sigma_{r}$ with $u(0)=x, \dot{u}(0)=U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Consider the geodesic variation $V(\mu, t)=s_{\mu}(t)$ where $s_{\mu}$ is the unique geodesic with $s_{\mu}(0)=s(0), s_{\mu}\left(t_{0}\right)=u(\mu)$, and length of $s_{\mu}{\mid\left[0, t_{0}\right]}=r,-\varepsilon \leq \mu \leq \varepsilon$. Clearly $\operatorname{Im}(V)$ $\subset T$ and $V$ produces $Z \in J_{s}^{N} . Z\left(t_{0}\right)=U^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$, so $Z=U^{\prime \prime}$.
But $T$ is flat and $U^{\prime \prime}$ is also a Jacobi field along $s$ in $T, U^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$. It follows that $U^{\prime \prime}$ is identically zero or $U^{\prime \prime}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. In this latter case, $0 \neq$ $U^{\prime \prime}(1) \in T_{s(1)}(T) \perp T_{s(1)}\left(N_{s(1)}\right)$. Since $U=U^{\prime}+U^{\prime \prime}$ where $U^{\prime}$ is produced by a one-parameter group of $K$, we see that $U(1) \neq 0$ unless $U^{\prime \prime}$ is identically zero. But $U \in \Lambda_{s}(N)$ implies $U(1)=0$. q.e.d.

The proof of Theorem I is now easy. We have seen, under assumption (A), that any $U \in \Lambda_{s}(N)$ is produced by a variation

$$
V(\mu, t)=\sigma(\mu) \cdot s(t)
$$

where $\sigma$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $K$. Since $U(1)=0, \sigma$ must be contained in $K_{q}^{0}$; this proves (B).

## 2. The singular set and the Weyl group

We assume (A), hence (B), and we further assume $\pi_{1}(M)=0$. The latter assumption assures us of the following crucial fact.
(2.1) Lemma. Let $q \in T$ such that $N_{q}$ is an orbit of maximal dimension, and let $N \subset M$ be any $K$-orbit. Then there is one and only one $K$-transversal geodesic of index zero joining $N$ to $q$.

Proof. Let $\Omega=\Omega(M ; N, q) . N$ is path connected since $K$ is. Since also $\pi_{1}(M)=0$, the exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(\Omega) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(N)
$$

implies that $\Omega$ is path connected, hence $H_{0}\left(\Omega ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{Z}_{2}$. Since (B) holds and the orbit of $q$ is maximal, Theorem I of [5] applies and gives the desired conclusion. q.e.d.

We will repeatedly reduce undesirable possibilities to contradictions of Lemma (2.1). This approach is basically due to Bott and Samelson (e.g., cf. [5, Proposition 2.14, pp. 1022-1023]).
(2.2) Proposition. Under the above assumptions, every $K$-orbit in $M$ of maximal dimension is principal.
Proof. Let $y \in T$ and suppose that $N_{y}$ has maximal dimension. A sufficiently small ball neighborhood $U$ of $y$ in $T$ is a $K$-slice at $y$. Thus, if $x \in U$, then $K_{x} \subset K_{y}$. By the density of the principal orbits there is $x_{0} \in U$ such that $N_{x_{0}}$ is principal. We must prove $K_{x_{0}}=K_{y}$. It not, let $a \in K_{y}-K_{x_{0}}$, and let $s$ be a geodesic from $x_{0}$ to $y$ in $U$. Using (B) and the fact that all orbits crossed by $s$ have the same dimension (indeed, all $K$-orbits of points of $U$ have maximal dimension), we see that $s$ has Morse index zero as a critical point in $\Omega=$ $\Omega\left(M ; N_{x_{0}}, y\right)$. Then $a \cdot s \in \Omega$ is also a $K$-transversal geodesic having index zero. $s \neq a \cdot s$ since $a \notin K_{x_{0}}$, and this contradicts (2.1).
(2.3) Lemma. If $y \in T$, then each component of $P_{y}=\left\{x \in T: K_{y} \subset K_{x}\right\}$ is a closed flat totally geodesic submanifold of $T$.

Proof. $\quad P_{y}$ is closed in $T$, hence so is each component. Since $T$ is flat, it will be enough to show that each component of $P_{y}$ is a submanifold of $T$ geodesically immersed at each point. Let $x \in P_{y}$, and $F_{x}=\left\{v \in T_{x}(T): a \cdot v=v\right.$, each $\left.a \in K_{y}\right\}$. Clearly $F_{x}$ is a linear subspace of $T_{x}(T), \exp \left(F_{x}\right) \subset P_{y}$, and for a sufficiently small ball neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $T, U \cap P_{y}=U \cap \exp \left(F_{x}\right)$. Thus all assertions follow. q.e.d.

By the proof of Proposition (1.1), the set of $y \in T$ such that $N_{y}$ is principal is exactly the set of $y \in T$ such that $K_{y}=K_{T}$. The complement of this set is called the singular set $S^{*} \subset T$. By Proposition (2.2), $S^{*}$ is exactly the set $\left\{y \in T: \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{y}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{T}\right)\right\}$ and can also be identified as $\left\{y \in T: P_{y} \neq T\right\}$.

Definition. $\quad P \subset T$ is called a singular variety if $P$ is a component of $P_{y}$ for some $y \in S^{*}$, but is not properly contained in a component of $P_{z}$ for any $z \in S^{*}$.

Remark that $S^{*}$ is the union of the singular varieties. The proof of Theorem II will show that this notion of singular variety agrees with the one already formulated, which depends on Theorem II.
(2.4) Lemma. Let $P \subset T$ be a singular variety. Then there are $y \in P$ and an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood $U$ of $y$ in $T$ such that $P \cap U=S^{*} \cap U$ and $U$ is symmetric about $P \cap U$.

Proof. The symmetry will be an obvious consequence of Lemma (2.3) and the fact that the metric in $T$ is locally Euclidean. Choose any $z_{0} \in P$ and an $\varepsilon_{0}-$ neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $z_{0}$ in $T$, which is a subset of a $K$-slice at $z_{0}$. Thus $z \in U_{0}$ implies $K_{z} \subset K_{z_{0}}$. If $K_{z}=K_{z_{0}}$ for every $z \in P \cap U_{0}$ choose $y=z_{0}$, but if not choose $z_{1} \in P \cap U_{0}$ with $K_{z_{1}} \neq K_{z_{0}}$. Then let $U_{1}$ be an $\varepsilon_{1}$-neighborhood of $z_{1}$, which is a subset of a $K$-slice at $z_{1}$. Iterate this procedure until obtaining $z_{n} \in P$ and an $\varepsilon_{n}$-neighborhood $U_{n}$ of $z_{n}$ in $T$ such that $z \in P \cap U_{n}$ implies $K_{z}=K_{z_{n}}$. Let $y=z_{n}, \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n}, U=U_{n}$. Note that $P \subset P_{y}$. If $x \in S^{*} \cap U$, then $K_{x} \subset K_{y}$ and the shortest geodesic from $x$ to $y$ is contained in $P_{x} \cap U \subset S^{*} \cap U$. Thus if $x \notin P \cap U, P$ is properly contained in a component of $P_{x}$, contradicting the definition of $P$. Thus $S^{*} \cap U \subset P \cap U$ and the reverse inclusion is obvious.
(2.5) Proposition. If $P \subset T$ is a singular variety, then $P$ has codimension one in $T$.

Proof. Let $y \in P$ and $U$ be as in Lemma (2.4). Let $x \in U-P$ and let $x^{\prime} \in U$ be the reflection of $x$ in $P$. Let $s$ be the minimal geodesic in $T$ (hence in $U$ ) from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$. s cannot be minimal from $N_{x^{\prime}}$ to $x$ since it properly crosses $P$ and hence has Morse index greater than zero.

Assume without loss of generality that

$$
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{radius}(U)-d(y, x)
$$

If $\sigma \in \Omega\left(M ; N_{x^{\prime}}, x\right)$ is a minimal geodesic (hence, since $x \notin S^{*}, \sigma$ lies on $T$ ), then the point $x^{\prime \prime}=\sigma(0) \in N_{x^{\prime}}$ must lie in $U$. By the above remarks, $x^{\prime \prime} \neq x^{\prime}$.

If $\operatorname{codim}(P)>1$, then there is $z \in U-P$ which can be joined to $x^{\prime}$ and to $x^{\prime \prime}$ by geodesics in $U-P$. This implies that there are two geodesics of index zero in $\Omega\left(M ; N_{x^{\prime}}, z\right)$, contradicting Lemma (2.1). q.e.d.

In order to prove that there are only finitely many distinct singular varieties (these will then constitute the set $\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{r}\right\}$ of Theorem II) we will investigate certain properties of the Weyl group $W$.
(2.6) Lemma. Let $N$ be a principal $K$-orbit. Then $W$ permutes the set $N \cap T$ simply transitively.

Proof. Clearly $W$ permutes $N \cap T$. If $x, y \in N \cap T$, there is $a \in K$ with $a \cdot x=y$. Since $T$ is totally geodesic, meets $N$ orthogonally at $x$ and $y$, and $\operatorname{dim}(T)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(N)$, we conclude that $a \cdot T=T$. Thus $a$ defines $w \in W$ such that $w(x)=y$. Finally, if $w(x)=x$, the same argument which was used in Proposition (2.2) shows the existence of a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $T$ such that $\left.w\right|_{U}=$ identity. It follows that $w$ is the identity of $W$.
(2.7) Corollary. $W$ is a finite group.

Proof. By Lemma (2.6), the order $|W|$ is the cardinality of $N \cap T$. Since $N$ is compact and orthogonal to $T$ at each point of $N \cap T$, one concludes that $N \cap T$ is finite.
(2.8) Proposition. If $P \subset T$ is a singular variety, the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in $P$ is well defined and is an element of $W$.

Proof. Let $U, y, x, x^{\prime}$ all be as in the proof of Proposition (2.5), the radius of $U$ being denoted by $r$. Arguing as in Proposition (2.5), we produce $x^{\prime \prime} \in N_{x^{\prime}}$ $\cap U$ on the same side of $P \cap U$ as $x$.

By Lemma (2.6) let $w_{0} \in W$ such that $w_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime \prime}$. Let $y^{\prime}=w_{0}(y)$ and remark that

$$
d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(y, x^{\prime \prime}\right)+d\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=d\left(y, x^{\prime \prime}\right)+d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)<2 r
$$

Since $W$ is finite and $r>0$ was arbitrarily small, we can assume $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ less than the minimal positive value of $d(y, w(y))$ as $w$ ranges over $W$, that is, $w_{0}(y)=y$; hence $w_{0}(U)=U$ and $w_{0}(P)=P$. Also, $w_{0}$ interchanges the two sides of $P \cap U$ in $U$.

Suppose $w_{0}{ }^{2} \neq$ identity. Then there is $z \in U-P$ such that $w_{0}{ }^{2}(z) \neq z$. But $w_{0}{ }^{2}(z)$ is on the same side of $P \cap U$ in $U$ as is $z$, leading to our usual contradiction. Thus $w_{0}{ }^{2}=$ identity.

Suppose $\left.w_{0}\right|_{P} \neq$ identity. Then there is $z \in P \cap U$ with $w_{0}(z) \neq z$. But then there are $K$-transversal geodesics of Morse index zero in $\Omega\left(M ; N_{z}, x\right)$, one from $z$ to $x$ in $U$ and one from $w_{0}(z)$ to $x$ in $U$. As usual, this contradicts Lemma (2.1), hence proves $\left.w_{0}\right|_{P}=$ identity.

Since $w_{0}$ is an isometry of $T$, it follows from the above that $w_{0}$ must be the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in $P$.
(2.9) Corollary. There are only finitely many distinct singular varieties.

Proof. Given a singular variety $P$, let $w_{0} \in W$ be the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in $P$. Suppose $w_{0}$ is also the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in a singular variety $P^{\prime} \neq P$. Clearly $P^{\prime} \cap P=\emptyset$. If $p: \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \rightarrow T$ is the universal covering, $p^{-1}(P)$ $\cup p^{-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ is a family of parallel hyperplanes. If $s$ is a geodesic on $T, s(0) \in P^{\prime}$ and $\dot{s}(0) \perp T_{s(0)}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, then $s$ lifts to a straight line on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ perpendicular to the family of hyperplanes. Thus $s$ meets $P$. If $\sigma$ is a minimal segment of $s$ from $P^{\prime}$ to $P$, then $\sigma+w_{0}(\sigma)$ is clearly a closed geodesic on $T$ and hence describes a geodesic circle $S^{1} \subset T$. The above reasoning then shows that $S^{1}$ meets any singular veriety $P^{\prime \prime}$ such that $w_{0}$ is the orthogonal reflection in $P^{\prime \prime}$. Clearly $S^{1}$ is invariant under $w_{0}, w_{0}$ is nontrivial on $S^{1}$, and $w_{0}$ has at least two fixed points on $S^{1}$. Since $w_{0}$ is an isometric involution of $S^{1}$, it follows that these are the only fixed points of $w_{0}$ on $S^{1}$, and hence that $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are the only singular varieties relative to which $w_{0}$ is the orthogonal reflection. Since $W$ is finite, our assertion follows. q.e.d.

We now let $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \cdots, P_{r}\right\}$ denote the set of distinct singular varieties, and $w_{i} \in W$ be the orthogonal reflection of $T$ in $P_{i}, i=1, \cdots, r$.
(2.10) Corollary. The reflections $w_{i}, i=1, \cdots, r$, generate $W$, which is a simply transitive group on the set of Weyl domains in $T$.

Proof. Since $W$ leaves the singular set $S^{*}$ invariant, it is clear that $W$ permutes the set of Weyl domains. If $w \in W, D \subset T$ is a Weyl domain, and $w(D)=D$, then our standard argument using Lemma (2.1) shows that $\left.w\right|_{D}$ is
the identity, and hence that $w$ is the identity. Given Weyl domains $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, let $s$ be a geodesic on $T$ with $s(0) \in D_{1}$ and $s(1) \in D_{2}$. By slightly moving $s$ we can insure that it crosses the singular varieties $P_{i}$ singly. Let $P_{i_{1}}, P_{i_{2}}, \ldots, P_{i_{q}}$ be the singular varieties crossed by $s$ in order. Then $w_{i_{1}} w_{i_{2}} \cdots w_{i_{q}}=w \in W$ satisfies $w\left(D_{2}\right)=D_{1}$, and all assertions follow. q.e.d.

We have verified every assertion of Theorem III and identified the singular varieties of Theorem II. The proof of Theorem II will be completed in the following section.

## 3. Definition and properties of $m(i)$

Let $\boldsymbol{k}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{T}$ denote the respective Lie algebras of $K_{i}$ and $K_{T}$, and write

$$
\boldsymbol{k}_{i}=\boldsymbol{k}_{T} \oplus \boldsymbol{m}_{i}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{m}_{i}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\boldsymbol{k}_{T}$ in $\boldsymbol{k}_{i}$.
Definition. $m(i)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\boldsymbol{m}_{i}\right)$ is called the multiplicity of $P_{i}$.
(3.1) Lemma. The set $A_{i}=\left\{y \in P_{i}: K_{y}=K_{i}\right\}$ is an open dense subset of $P_{i}$.

Proof. Let $x \in P_{i}$. Given a sufficiently small neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $P_{i}$, standard application of the slice property (cf. proof of Lemma (2.4)) shows that there are $y \in U$ and an open neighborhood $V$ of $y$ in $U$ such that every $z \in V$ satisfies $K_{z} \subset K_{i}$. The reverse inclusion is evident and the lemma follows.
(3.2) Lemma. If $x \in T$ lies on one and only one $P_{i}$, then $K_{x}^{0}=K_{i}^{0}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dim}\left(N_{x}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(T)-m(i)$.

Proof. By Corollary (2.9) we can find an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $T$ such that $P_{i} \cap U=S^{*} \cap U$. Suppose $K_{x}^{0} \neq K_{i}^{0}$ so that $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{x}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i}\right)$. Choose $z \in U \cap A_{i}$ and let $z^{\prime} \in P_{i} \cap U$ be the reflection of $z$ in $x$. The minimal geodesic $s$ from $z^{\prime}$ to $z$ does not have index zero in $\Omega\left(M ; N_{z^{\prime}}, z\right)$ since it properly crosses $x$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{x}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i}\right)$. Thus if $z$ was chosen sufficiently near $x$ (possible by Lemma (3.1)), there is $z^{\prime \prime} \in N_{z^{\prime}} \cap U \cap P_{i}$ such that the minimal geodesic from $z^{\prime \prime}$ to $z$ does have Morse index zero. Thus $z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}$ are distinct and both lie in $P_{i} \cap U \cap N_{z^{\prime}}$. Let $q \in U-P_{i}$. Then the minimal geodesics from $z^{\prime}$ to $q$ and from $z^{\prime \prime}$ to $q$ both have index zero in $\Omega\left(M ; N_{z^{\prime}}, q\right)$, contradicting Lemma (2.1). q.e.d.

Let $x \in T$ and let $I_{x} \subset\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ be as in Theorem II. If $I_{x}=\emptyset$, then Proposition (1.1) gives the final assertion of Theorem II, while if $I_{x}$ is a singleton then Lemma (3.2) gives the result. Without loss of generality assume $I_{x}=$ $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}, n \leq r$.
For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon$-neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $T$ we have $U \cap P_{j}=\emptyset$ for $j>n$, and $U \cap P_{i}$ is a disk of codimension one in $U$ dividing $U-P_{i}$ into two components, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $z \in U-S^{*}$, and choose $a>0$ such that $d(x, z)$ $<a<\varepsilon$.
(3.3) Lemma. $\quad \Omega^{a}\left(M ; N_{z}, x\right) \simeq K_{x} / K_{T}$.

Proof. Let $s \in \Omega^{a}$ be a $K$-transversal geodesic. By Corollary (1.4) and Corollary (1.5), there is $b \in K_{x}$ such that $b \cdot s$ lies on $T$ and hence on $T \cap U$. Each of $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}$ leaves $x$ and hence $U$ invariant, and so each component of $U-P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n}$ contains one (and only one) element of $N_{z} \cap U$. These points are equidistant from $x$, and $b \cdot s$ is the minimal geodesic from some point of $N_{z} \cap U$ to $x$. Using $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n}$, we see that

$$
K_{x} / K_{T}=K_{x} \cdot s \subset \Omega^{a}
$$

is the subset of all $K$-transversal geodesics in $\Omega^{a}$. Since these geodesics are of index zero, [4, Theorem III] implies $\Omega^{a} \simeq K_{x} / K_{T}$. q.e.d.

Choose $x^{\prime} \in U-S^{*}$ such that $c=d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies $a+3 c<\varepsilon$. Keeping the endmanifold $N_{z}$ fixed, we consider $\Omega_{x}^{a}$ and $\Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a}$.
(3.4) Lemma. $\Omega_{x}^{a} \simeq \Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a}$.

Proof. $\quad \Omega_{x}^{a} \subset \Omega_{x}^{a+c} \subset \Omega_{x}^{a+2 c}$ are deformation retracts since the three spaces contain exactly the same $K$-transversal geodesics. The same holds when $x$ is replaced by $x^{\prime}$. Let $\sigma$ be the minimal geodesic from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$, and define

$$
\phi_{a}: \Omega_{x}^{a} \rightarrow \Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a+c}
$$

by

$$
\phi_{\sigma}(u)=u+\sigma .
$$

Similarly use $\sigma^{-1}$ to define a map

$$
\Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a+c} \rightarrow \Omega_{x}^{a+2 c}
$$

Using the above deformation retractions we may interpret these maps as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}: \Omega_{x}^{a} \rightarrow \Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a}, \\
& \phi_{2}: \Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a} \rightarrow \Omega_{x}^{a},
\end{aligned}
$$

which are readily verified to be mutual homotopy inverses.
(3.5) Corollary. $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{x} / K_{T}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(i)$.

Proof. By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$
H_{*}\left(\Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a} ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right)=H_{*}\left(K_{x} / K_{T} ; \mathbf{Z}_{2}\right) .
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that each geodesic in $U$ from $N_{z} \cap U$ to $x^{\prime}$ crosses the singular varieties singly. These are the only $K$-transversal geodesics in $\Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a}$, and correspond one-one to a basis in $H_{*}\left(\Omega_{x^{\prime}}^{a} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ by [5, Theorem I], the dimension of the homology class being the index of the geodesic. By Lemma (3.2) and [5, Proposition 9.2] each such geodesic has in-
dex given by the sum of the multiplicities $m(i)$ of the singular varieties $P_{i}$ which it crosses. Thus the highest dimensional homology class in $H_{*}\left(K_{x} / K_{T} ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$ is of dimension equal to the sum of the $m(i), i=1, \cdots, n$. Since $K_{x} / K_{T}$ is a compact manifold, the desired conclusion is clear. q.e.d.

Theorem II follows immediately.
(3.6) Corollary. $\boldsymbol{k}_{x}=\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}_{i}$, a direct sum.

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq i<j \leq n$. Clearly $K_{T} \subset K_{i} \cap K_{j}$. The reverse inclusion also holds. Indeed, if $a \in K_{i} \cap K_{j}, a$ leaves $T_{x}\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $T_{x}\left(P_{j}\right)$ pointwise fixed, hence $a$ leaves $T_{x}(T)$ pointwise fixed, so $a \in K_{T}$. Thus $K_{T}=K_{i} \cap K_{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}_{i} \cap \boldsymbol{m}_{j}=0$. This shows that $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}_{i}$ is contained as a direct sum in $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. By Corollary (3.5) these vector spaces have the same dimension, so equality holds. q.e.d.

For $x \in M$, the isotropy representation of $K_{x}$ on $T_{x}(M)$ restricts to

$$
K_{x}^{0} \times V_{x} \rightarrow V_{x}
$$

(3.7) Theorem. $K_{x}^{0} \times V_{x} \rightarrow V_{x}$ satisfies (A).

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose $x \in T$. Let $T=T_{x}(T) \subset V_{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}=T_{x}\left(P_{i}\right) \subset V_{x}$, for all $i \in I_{x}$. Again we assume $I_{x}=\{1, \cdots, n\} ;$ hence

$$
\boldsymbol{k}_{x}=\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}_{i}
$$

The representation of $K_{x}^{0}$ on $V_{x}$ induces a representation of $\boldsymbol{k}_{x}$ on $V_{x}$. If $X \in V_{x}$, then the tangent space at $X$ to the $K_{x}^{0}$-orbit of $X$ is identified with $\left\{A(X): A \in \boldsymbol{k}_{x}\right\}$. Furthermore, the representation of $\boldsymbol{k}_{x}$ is skew symmetric, so $A(X) \perp X$. Suppose $X \in T$. If $A \in \boldsymbol{k}_{T}$, then $A(X)=0$. If $A \in \boldsymbol{m}_{i}$ for some $i=$ $1, \cdots, n$, then $A(X) \perp P_{i}$ and $A(X) \perp X$. If also $X \in P_{i}$, then $A(X)=0$. Thus in all cases $A(X) \perp T$, so the $K_{x}^{0}$-orbit of any $X \in T$ meets $T$ orthogonally at $X$.

Since the map

$$
\exp : V_{x} \rightarrow M
$$

commutes with the action of $K_{x}^{0}$, for $X \in \boldsymbol{T}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{P}_{i}$ sufficiently near 0 the $K_{x}^{0}-$ orbit of $X$ is of maximal dimension among all the $K_{x}^{0}$-orbits in $V_{x}$. By Lemma (1.3) this dimension is exactly the codimension of $T$ in $V_{x}$. By standard theory, any two $K_{x}^{0}$-orbits in $V_{x}$ can be joined by a straight line orthogonal to each orbit at the respective endpoints. Hence $T$ must meet every $K_{x}^{0}$-orbit, and we have proven that $T \subset V_{x}$ is a $K_{x}^{0}$-transversal domain. q.e.d.

Thus, as remarked in the introduction, the linear orthogonal representations satisfying (A) play a special role in the general case of (A).

## 4. The $K$-cycles

We prove Theorem IV. Given the singular variety $P_{i} \subset T$, one chooses $x \in P_{i}$ such that $K_{x}=K_{i}$ (by Lemma (3.1)). Write

$$
T_{x}(M)=T_{x}\left(N_{x}\right) \oplus T_{x}\left(P_{i}\right) \oplus L_{x}
$$

where $L_{x}$ is the orthogonal complement of the first two summands. $K_{x}$ leaves $L_{x}$ invariant. Let $Z \in L_{x} \cap T_{x}(T),\|Z\|=1$. If $a \in K_{x}=K_{i}$ satisfies $a \cdot Z=Z$, then $a \in K_{T}$. Conversely, $a \in K_{T}$ implies $a \cdot Z=Z$. Therefore $K_{i} / K_{T}$ is identified with the $K_{x}$-orbit of $Z$ in $L_{x}$ and hence is a closed submanifold of the unit sphere in $L_{x}$.

Remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{x}\right) & =\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}\left(N_{x}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}(T)+m(i)-\operatorname{dim}(T)+1 \\
& =m(i)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{i} / K_{T}\right)=m(i), K_{i} / K_{T}$ must be diffeomorphic to the unit sphere $S^{m(i)}$ in $L_{x}$; this is the assertion of Theorem IV.
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