

ON MULTIPLIERS OF DIFFERENCE SETS¹

BY

H. B. MANN AND S. K. ZAREMBA

For the notation and terminology used in this paper see [1] chapters 6 and 7, except that we shall write groups multiplicatively. Let $\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)$ denote the Jacobi symbol [4, page 168], ζ_m a primitive m -th root of unity, and R the field of rational numbers.

Newman [3] proved the following theorem: *If D is a cyclic difference set with parameters $v, k, \lambda, n = k - \lambda = 2q, q$ a prime, $(7q, v) = 1$ then q is a multiplier of D .*

Turyn [5] generalized Newman's result in various ways. Turyn's result is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let D be an Abelian difference set with parameters v, k, λ having $n = k - \lambda = 2 \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{a_i}$, q_i odd primes, $(v, q_i) = 1$. Let $t \equiv q_i^{b_i} (v)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. If $v \equiv 0 (7)$ let $\binom{t}{7} = +1$. Then t is a multiplier of D .*

Turyn also remarks that $\binom{t}{7} = +1$ if $v \equiv 0 (7)$ and if any of the a_i is odd. This follows because $\binom{t}{7} = -1$ implies $q_i^{3b_i} \equiv -1 (7)$ and by Theorem 7.2 of [1] a_i must be even.

In this paper we shall be able to remove the restriction $\binom{t}{7} = +1$ for $v \equiv 0 (7)$ for a number of cases including all difference sets with $n > \lambda$ and $(\lambda, \prod_{i=1}^s q_i) = 1$.

We note in particular that for $s = 1$, Theorem 1 implies that q_1 is multiplier if $\binom{q_1}{7} = +1$ and that q_1^2 is always multiplier.

The cases which are not settled by Turyn's theorem are of special interest because the existence of such a difference set with $\binom{t}{7} = -1$ would in fact disprove the conjecture that every divisor of n is multiplier. For $\binom{t}{7} = -1$ implies $\binom{q_1}{7} = -1$ and Corollary 7.2.2 of [1] shows that q_1 is not a multiplier since n is not a square. We shall however be able to prove nonexistence of a difference set in a large class of cases including all difference sets with $n > \lambda$ and $(\lambda, \prod_{i=1}^s q_i) = 1$.

Combining Turyn's result with theorem 7.3 of [1] we can restrict ourselves to values v, k, λ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 k - \lambda = n = 2q^2, \quad q &= \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{a_i} \\
 \text{I} \quad t \equiv q_i^{b_i} (v), \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \quad v \equiv 0 (7), \quad \binom{t}{7} &= -1, \\
 n > \lambda \geq q^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the q_i are distinct odd primes.

Received August 21, 1967.

¹ Sponsored by the Mathematics Research Center, United States Army, Madison, Wisconsin.

It follows from I that $\binom{q_i}{7} = -1$ and that $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. This implies that the parity of the order of $t \pmod{\text{any divisor of } v}$ is the same as that of q_i and in particular that $\binom{q_i}{v_1} = \binom{t}{v_1}$ for every divisor v_1 of v .

We first prove

THEOREM 2. *There is no difference set with parameters v, k, λ satisfying I in a group G of order v with a subgroup of order 49.*

Proof. Under the conditions of theorem 2 there exists a homomorphism mapping G into G_1 , a group of order 49. This homomorphism extends to the grouping of G . Let $D_1 = \sum_{g \in G_1} a_g g$ correspond to D in this homomorphism.

Then

$$(1) \quad D_1 D_1(-1) = \mu G_1 + 2q^2,$$

where μ is an integer. Now let q_1 be a prime factor of q . Then since $\binom{q_1}{7} = -1$ we have $q_1^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{7}$ and $q_1^{21} \equiv -1 \pmod{49}$. Hence

$$(\chi(D_1(-1)), q_1^{a_1}) = (\chi(D_1), q_1^{a_1}) = q_1^{a_1}$$

for every non-principal character χ of G_1 . Since this is true for every prime factor q_i of q we have

$$\chi(D_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$

for every non-principal character of G_1 . Hence from lemma 7.3 of [1] we get

$$(2) \quad D_1 = \mu_1 G_1 + qH, \quad \mu_1 \text{ integral.}$$

Substituting this into (1) gives

$$(3) \quad HH(-1) = \mu^* G_1 + 2,$$

with integral μ^* .

The following lemma shows that (3) cannot be solved in integers.

LEMMA. *Let $A = \sum a_g g$ be an element of the grouping of a group G of order v over the integers where v is a power of an odd prime. Suppose*

$$(4) \quad AA(-1) = n + \lambda G.$$

Let
$$x^2 = n + \tau v, \quad 0 < x < v;$$

then

$$(5) \quad n + \tau \geq x.$$

Moreover equality in (5) implies that either A or $-A$ is congruent to a difference set mod G .

Proof. From (4) we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum a_g &= \varepsilon x + rv & \varepsilon &= \pm 1 \\ (\sum a_g)^2 &= n + \lambda v \\ \sum a_g^2 &= n + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equations of (6) give

$$(7) \quad \lambda = \tau + 2\epsilon r x + r^2 v.$$

We set $a_g = r + b_g$ then

$$\sum b_g = \epsilon x$$

and

$$n + \lambda = \sum a_g^2 = r^2 v + 2r \sum b_g + \sum b_g^2 = r^2 v + 2r \epsilon x + \sum b_g^2.$$

Combining this with (7) we get

$$n + \tau = \sum b_g^2 \geq | \sum b_g | = x.$$

Moreover equality implies that b_g can take only the values 1 or 0, if we choose A so that $a_g > 0$ for at least one g . Hence the lemma.

Applying the lemma to (3) we have $n = 2, x = 10, \tau = 2$ which shows that (3) has no integral solutions and proves Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If the conditions I are satisfied and if a prime factor q_1 of q is of even order with respect to a prime factor p of $v, p \neq 7$, then no v, k, λ difference set exists.*

Proof. We map G homomorphically into the group R_p of residues mod p . This mapping maps D into $D_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i x^i$, where x is a generator of R_p , satisfying (for some integer μ)

$$D_1 D_1(-1) = \mu R_p + 2q^2$$

The conditions I imply that

$$(\chi(D_1(t)), q) = (\chi(D_1), q)$$

and therefore

$$\chi(D_1)\chi(D_1(-t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}$$

for every non-principal character χ of R_p . Hence

$$(8) \quad D_1 D_1(-t) = \mu R_p + q^2 F$$

where $\chi_1(F) = 2$ and $FF(-1) = 4$. A calculation presented in detail in [2] shows this to be impossible for $p \neq 7$ unless $F = 2x^j$. Multiplying (8) by $D_1(t)$ we get $D_1(t) = x^{-j} D_1$. But if q_1 is of even order with respect to p then t must be of even order with respect to p (see condition I). Hence we have

$$t^f \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

for some f , and it follows that

$$D_1(-1) = D_1(t^f) = x^u D_1.$$

But this contradicts

$$\chi(D_1)\chi(D_1(-1)) = 2q^2$$

because 2 is not a square in $R(\zeta_p)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

We now consider the case $n > \lambda$, $(\lambda, q) = 1$. We have

$$k^2 - n = k^2 - 2q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda}, \quad k - 2q^2 = \lambda$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad 4q^4 - 2q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda} \quad 4q^2 - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda}.$$

Since $2q^2 > \lambda > q^2$ this implies

$$4q^2 - 2 = 2\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad 4q^2 - 2 = 3\lambda.$$

But $4q^2 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and therefore $\lambda = 2q^2 - 1$. Hence the only solution in this case is

$$(10) \quad v = 8q^2 - 1, \quad k = 4q^2 - 1, \quad \lambda = 2q^2 - 1.$$

We now assume that the conditions I are satisfied and the parameters v, k, λ are given by (10). An easy calculation shows that

$$\binom{q_1}{v} = +1$$

for every prime divisor q_1 of q . Hence if $v = 7v_1$, $(v_1, 7) = 1$ and $\binom{q_1}{7} = -1$ we have

$$\binom{q_1}{v_1} = -1.$$

Hence

$$\binom{q_1}{p} = -1$$

for some prime divisor of v_1 . Hence no difference set can exist by Theorem 3. Together with Theorems 1 and 2 and Theorem 7.3 of [1] we therefore have

THEOREM 4. *Let G be an Abelian group. Assume that G has a difference set D with $n = k - \lambda = 2n_1$, $(\lambda, n_1) = 1$, $n > \lambda$, and that $t \equiv q_i^{f_i} \pmod{v}$ for every prime divisor q_i of n_1 and some integer f_i . Then t is a multiplier of D .*

If we drop the restriction $n > \lambda$ then (9) has the additional solution $\lambda = 4q^2 - 2$, and this gives

$$v = 9q^2 - 2, \quad k = 6q^2 - 2, \quad \lambda = 4q^2 - 2.$$

The complementary solution to this is

$$(11) \quad v = 9q^2 - 2, \quad k = 3q^2, \quad \lambda = q^2.$$

If the parameters are given by (11) then $\binom{q_1}{v_1} = \binom{2}{q_1}$. If $v = 7v_1$, $(7, v_1) = 1$ and if $\binom{q_1}{7} = -1$ then

$$\binom{q_1}{v_1} = -\binom{2}{q_1}.$$

Hence by Theorem 3 if a difference set with the parameters (11) exists we must have

$$(12) \quad \binom{2}{q_1} = -1.$$

Hence we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. *Suppose a difference set with parameters given by (11) exists in an Abelian group G of order $v = 7v_1$, $(7, v_1) = 1$. Suppose moreover that the conditions I are satisfied. Then $\binom{2}{q_1} = -1$ for every divisor q_1 of q .*

REFERENCES

1. H. B. MANN, *Addition theorems*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
2. R. L. MCFARLAND, *A generalization of a result of Newman on multipliers of difference sets*, J. Res. Nat. Bureau Standards, vol. 69B (1965), pp. 319-322.
3. MORRIS NEWMAN, *Multipliers of difference sets.*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 15, (1963), pp. 121-124.
4. B. M. STEWART, *Theory of numbers*, Macmillan, New York, 1952.
5. RICHARD J. TURYN, *The multiplier theorem for difference sets*, Canad. J. Math., vol. 16 (1964), pp. 386-388.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
MADISON, WISCONSIN