# BESOV FUNCTIONS AND VANISHING EXPONENTIAL INTEGRABILITY 

DAVID R. ADAMS AND RITVA HURRI-SYRJÄNEN


#### Abstract

We prove a general vanishing exponential integrability result for Besov functions. In a basic case, this allows us to improve the known $\mathcal{O}(1)$ estimate to a $o(1)$ estimate. It also leads to improvements of differentiability results for Besov functions.


## 1. Introduction

A non-negative function $v(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is said to satisfy the vanishing exponential integrability condition if there is a constant $\beta>0$, independent of $v$ and the radius $r$ of the Euclidean $n$-ball $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left(e^{\beta v(x)}-1\right) d x=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E$, where $E$ is an exceptional set for some universal set function $\sigma$ strictly stronger than Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The set function $\sigma$ might be a Hausdorff capacity (content) or an $L^{p}$-capacity. In (1.1), the bar on the integral sign denotes the integral average over $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. In our basic case, Theorem 1.3, $v(x)$ will be $\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)}$, where $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the standard class of Besov functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The set function $\sigma$ is given by $\sigma=\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$, the Neugebauer bracket of the two capacities (see Definition 2.7), where $A_{\alpha, p, q}$ is the Besov capacity associated with the space $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \alpha p=n$, and $H_{p, q, h}$ is a certain Hausdorff capacity with the measure function $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$,

$$
H_{p, q, h}= \begin{cases}H^{h^{p / q}}, & \text { if } q<p  \tag{1.2}\\ \left(H^{h}\right)^{p / q}, & \text { if } p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

$1<p, q<\infty$. For the definitions of these capacities see Definition 2.3 and Remark 2.8. We now state our main theorem.

[^0]1.3. ThEOREM. Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\alpha p=n$. Then there exists a constant $\beta>0$ independent of $u$ and $r>0$ such that
$$
f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left(\exp \left(\beta\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)}\right)-1\right) d x=o(1)
$$
as $r \rightarrow 0$ for $\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where the Hausdorff capacity $H_{p, q, h}$ with the measure function $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$ is defined in (1.2).

In our general case, Theorem 6.1, v(x) is $\left(r^{-m}\left|u(x)-P_{x_{0}}^{m}(x)\right|\right)^{q /(q-1)}$, where $P_{x_{0}}^{m}$ is the $m$ th order Taylor polynomial for $u$ centered at $x_{0}$. Here $m \in[1, \alpha)$ and $\sigma=\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\right],(\alpha-m) p=n$. It is remarkable that the integral average in (1.1) of the exponential function is $o(1)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ when $v(x)=$ $\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)}$. Previously, C. J. Neugebauer [8, Proof of Theorem 2] showed that the integral in (1.1) over the exponential function with this function $v(x)$ was merely $\mathcal{O}(1)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Even in the paper [2, Theorem 2] by the first author the result is $\mathcal{O}(1)$. As an application of Theorem 6.1 some earlier differentiability results by J. R. Dorronsoro [7] for functions in the Besov space $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}, 1 \leq p<\infty, 1 \leq q<\infty,(\alpha-m) p=n$, are improved; see Section 7.

The definitions and previously known results which we need are recalled in Section 2. A capacitary average result is shown in Section 3 and lower bounds for the Besov capacity are proved in Section 4. The proof for Theorem 1.3 is presented in Section 5. The result for the general case, when $v(x)=$ $\left(r^{-m}\left|u(x)-P_{x_{0}}^{m}(x)\right|\right)^{q /(q-1)}$, is proved in Section 6. Differentiability results for Besov functions are briefly considered in Section 7.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\alpha>0,1<p<\infty$, and $1<q<\infty$ throughout the paper. Recall that a function sequence $f=\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$ is in $l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)$ if

$$
\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$

Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Set $\eta_{k}(x)=2^{n k} \eta\left(2^{k} x\right)$ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$. A representation theorem for Besov spaces, [4, Theorem 4.1.7], states that a function $u$ belongs to a Besov space $\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}$ if and only if there is a function sequence $f=\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\infty} \in$ $l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k} \eta_{k} * f_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)} \sim\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}
$$

where the norm $\|*\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}$ is the Besov norm (see [4, Chapter 4]).
2.2. Remark. The notation $\sim$ means 'is comparable to'.

The potential representation can be used to define the Besov capacity $A_{\alpha, p, q}(*)$.
2.3. Definition ([4, Definition 4.4.2 and Remark]). Let $1<p<\infty$, $1<q<\infty$, and $0<\alpha<\infty$. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}(E)=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}: f \geq 0, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(x) \geq 1 \text { on } E\right\} .
$$

Let $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a center $x_{0}$ and radius $r>0$. The Besov capacity for a ball $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ is given in the following lemma whenever the radius is sufficiently small.
2.4. Lemma ([3, Theorem 3.5]). Let $1<p<\infty$, $1<q<\infty$, and $0<\alpha<\infty$. For sufficiently small $r$, and any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \sim\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

whenever $\alpha p=n$.
We use the following notation:

$$
s= \begin{cases}q / p, & \text { if } p \leq q  \tag{2.5}\\ 1, & \text { if } p>q\end{cases}
$$

The strong type estimates for the Besov capacity are also needed.
2.6. Theorem ([5, Theorem1]). Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<p, q<\infty$, and $0<\alpha<\infty$. There is a constant $c=c(\alpha, p, q, n)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|u(x)| \geq t\right\}\right)\right)^{s} d t^{s p} \leq c\|u\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}^{s p}
$$

where $s$ is defined in (2.5).
Next, we introduce Neugebauer's bracket of two capacities.
2.7. Definition ([9, p. 304], [1, V.4]). Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Given two capacities cap $_{1}$ and cap ${ }_{2}$, set

$$
\left[\operatorname{cap}_{1}, \operatorname{cap}_{2}\right](E)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{cap}_{1}\left(E_{1}\right)+\operatorname{cap}_{2}\left(E_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

where the infimum is over all disjoint partitions $E_{1}, E_{2}$ of $E=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$.
2.8. Remark. The Hausdorff capacity is denoted by $H^{h}$. Here $h=h(t)$ is a monotone increasing function of $t \geq 0$, and

$$
H^{h}(K)=\inf \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h\left(r_{j}\right)
$$

where the infimum is over all countable coverings of $K$ by balls and $r_{j}$ denotes the radius of the $j$ th ball of such a cover.
2.9. Remark ([6, Chapter 4, Definition 4.1, Proposition 4.2]). We recall the equivalent norm for the Lorentz spaces $L(p, q)$ :

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t^{p}|\{x:|f(x)|>t\}|\right)^{q / p} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q} \sim\|f\|_{L(p, q)}<\infty
$$

Note that $\|f\|_{L(p, p)}=\|f\|_{L^{p}}$ and $L(p, p)=L^{p}$ is the classical Lebesgue space. One always has $L\left(p, q_{1}\right) \subset L\left(p, q_{2}\right)$ if $q_{1}<q_{2}$.

Throughout the paper, the letter $c$ will denote various constants which may differ from one formula to the next even within a single string of estimates.

## 3. Capacitary averages

Let $\alpha p=n$ throughout this section. We define $s$ as in (2.5). For a Besov function $v \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we consider the maximal function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}(v)\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \quad=\sup _{r>0} A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap[v>t]\right)\right)^{s} d t^{s p}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f$ with $f \geq 0$. The set $\{x: v(x)>t\}$ is abbreviated by $[v>t]$. For a function $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{t}(r)=B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{x:\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show (in Theorem 3.8 below) that the capacity average satisfies

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)\right)^{s} d t^{s p} \rightarrow 0
$$

when $r$ goes to zero, we need the following lemma.
3.3. Lemma. Let $\alpha p=n$. Then

$$
\left[\left(H^{h}\right)^{p / q}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]\left(\left\{x: \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, q / p}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x)>t^{q}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}, 1<p \leq q
$$

and

$$
\left[H^{h^{p / q}}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]\left(\left\{x: \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x)>t^{p}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}, q<p
$$

where $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$.
Proof. We write $f^{r}=\left\{f_{k}^{r}\right\}$, where $f_{k}^{r}=f_{k} \cdot \chi_{B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)}$ and $g=\left\{g_{k}\right\}$, $g_{k}=f_{k}-f_{k}^{r}$; here $\chi_{B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)}$ is the characteristic function of a ball $B\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(x)=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f^{r}(x)+\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} g(x)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x) \leq c \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f^{r}\right)(x)+c \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} g\right)(x), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is defined in (2.5). By Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\alpha, p, q} & \left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left[\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f^{r}>t\right]\right)\right)^{s} d t^{s p} \\
& \leq c\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p s(q-1) / q}\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f^{r}\right\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p q}}^{s p} \\
& \sim c\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p s(q-1) / q}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k}^{r}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{q}\right)^{p s / q} \\
& =c\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p s(q-1) / q}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, 2 r\right)} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p s / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now set, for $t>0$,

$$
K_{t}=\left\{x: \sup _{r>0}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p s(q-1) / q}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B^{n}(x, r)} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p s / q}>t^{s p}\right\}
$$

For each $x \in K_{t}$ there exists a ball $B_{r_{x}}$ centered at $x$ and of radius $r_{x}$ such that

$$
\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{x}}\right)^{p s(1-q) / q}<\frac{1}{t^{s p}}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B_{r_{x}}} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p s / q}
$$

By a standard covering argument there exists a sequence of disjoint balls $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ with radius $r_{j}$ such that $\left\{5 B_{j}\right\}$ covers $K_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{j}}\right)^{p s(1-q) / q} \leq \frac{1}{t^{s p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B_{j}} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p s / q} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $s=q / p$ in (3.5), then $q / p \geq 1$ and hence

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{j}}\right)^{1-q} \leq \frac{1}{t^{q}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_{j}} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}
$$

This then gives the estimate

$$
H^{h_{1}}\left(K_{t}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{q}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{q}
$$

which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{h_{1}}\left(K_{t}\right)\right)^{p / q} \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p \leq q, h_{1}(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$.
When $s=1$ in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\log \frac{1}{r_{j}}\right)^{p(1-q) / q} & \leq \frac{1}{t^{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B_{j}} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p / q} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{t^{p}}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_{j}} f_{k}(y)^{p} d y\right)^{q / p}\right]^{p / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the generalized Minkowski inequality, [10, p. 271]. This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{h_{2}}\left(K_{t}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{2}(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{p(1-q) / q}$.
On the other hand,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} g\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \leq c \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left(x_{0}\right)^{s p}
$$

Hence, by (3.4) we have

$$
\left\{x: \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x)>t^{s p}\right\} \subset K_{c t} \cup\left\{x:\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{s p}>c t^{s p}\right\}
$$

where $K_{c t}$ is estimated in terms of $H^{h_{i}}, i=1,2$, as in (3.6) and (3.7). The set $\left\{x:\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{s p}>t^{s p}\right\}$ can be estimated in terms of $A_{\alpha, p, q}$ via a weak-type capacity estimate by the definition, Definition 2.3. We have from Definition 2.7 the estimates

$$
\left[\left(H^{h}\right)^{p / q}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]\left(\left\{x: \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, q / p}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x)>t^{q}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}, 1<p \leq q
$$

and

$$
\left[H^{h^{p / q}}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]\left(\left\{x: \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, 1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)(x)>t^{p}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{t^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}, q<p
$$

where $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$.
We are now in a position to prove a key result.
3.8. THEOREM. Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\alpha p=n$. Let $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$. If $E_{t}(r)=B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{x:\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)\right)^{s} d t^{s p}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left[\left(H^{h}\right)^{p / q}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0}$ whenever $s=q / p$ and $p \leq q$. If $s=1$ and $p>q$, (3.9) holds for $\left[H^{h^{p / q}}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0}$.

Proof. We consider only the case $s=q / p \geq 1$; the case $s=1$ is similar. Denote $\mathcal{C}=\left[\left(H^{h}\right)^{p / q}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$ for convenience. By the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{x:\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t\right\}\right)^{s} d t^{p s} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{x:|u(x)|+\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t\right\}\right)^{s} d t^{p s} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left(\{x:|u(x)|>t / 2\} \cup\left\{x:\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t / 2\right\}\right)\right)^{s} d t^{p s} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\{x:|u(x)|>t / 2\}\right)^{s} d t^{p s}\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{x:\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>t / 2\right\}\right)^{s} d t^{p s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a v \mathcal{C}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathcal{C}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)^{s} d t^{p s} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{t}(r)$ is defined as in (3.2). Hence, for $u=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f$, assuming, without loss of generality, $f \geq 0, u \geq 0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{av\mathcal {C}}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right) \leq c\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{p s}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{avC}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right) \leq c\left(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, s}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{p s}\right)
$$

We have to show that

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{av\mathcal {C}}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda^{p s}\right\}\right)=0
$$

for any $\lambda>0$. By the above estimate and the previous lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}:\right.\right. & \left.\left.\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{av\mathcal {C}}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda^{p s}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}+\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}:\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{p s}>(\lambda / 2)^{p s}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Definition 2.3 the weak type estimate

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}:\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{p s}>(\lambda / 2)^{p s}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^{p}}\|f\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}
$$

holds. We use the standard argument. Sequences of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ functions are dense in $l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)$. Let $f=f-\psi+\psi$, where $f=\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\infty} \in l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)$ and $\psi=\left\{\psi_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$ with $\psi_{k} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, and $f_{k}$ a sequence $\left\{\psi_{k}^{j}\right\}$ with $\left\|f_{k}-\psi_{k}^{j}\right\|_{L^{p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, for any $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}:\right.\right. & \left.\left.\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{avC}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f, r\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda^{p s}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{avC}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f-\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \psi, r\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda^{p s}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^{p}}\|f-\psi\|_{l^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)}^{p}<c \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ can be taken arbitrary small. Hence,

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{avC}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f, r\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda^{p s}\right\}\right)=0
$$

for all $\lambda>0$, and thus

$$
\mathcal{C}\left(\left\{x_{0}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{av\mathcal {C}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f, r\right)\left(x_{0}\right)>0\right\}\right)=0
$$

and the claim follows.

## 4. Lower bounds for $A_{\alpha, p, q}$-capacity

When $\alpha p=n$, by [2, Theorem 4] there exist constants $a>0$ and $c<\infty$ independent of a ball $B_{1}$ and a function $u$ such that

$$
f_{B_{1}} \exp \left(a|u(x)|^{q /(q-1)}\right) d x \leq c
$$

with $\|u\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}} \leq 1$. See [8, Theorem 2].
4.1. Lemma. Let $\alpha p=n$. There are constants a and $c>0$ independent of the set $E$ such that

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}(E) \geq a\left(\log \frac{c}{|E|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

for all $E \Subset B_{1}$, where $B_{1}$ is some fixed ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $|E|$ is small enough.
Proof. We use the estimate (27) in [2, Theorem 4] for a function $u=$ $g /\|g\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}$. Let $g(x) \geq 1$ on $E$, so $E \subset\left\{x \in B_{1}:|g(x)| \geq 1\right\}$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & \geq \int_{B_{1}} \exp \left(a\left(\frac{|g(x)|}{\|g\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}}\right)^{q /(q-1)}\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\left\{x \in B_{1}:|g(x)| \geq 1\right\}} \exp \left(a\left(\frac{1}{\|g\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}}\right)^{q /(q-1)}\right) d x \\
& \geq|E| \exp \left(\frac{a}{\|g\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}}^{q /(q-1)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\|g\|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}} \geq\left(a \log \frac{c}{|E|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

and

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}(E) \geq\left(a \log \frac{c}{|E|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

4.2. Remark. According to (3.10) we can write briefly

$$
\begin{equation*}
a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)=A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)^{s} d t^{s p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall the definition of $s$ from (2.5).)
4.4. Lemma. Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\alpha p=n$. Then there exists a number $r_{0}>0$ and a constant $c=c\left(n, r_{0}, x_{0}\right)>0$, such that for $\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0}$ and all $r<r_{0}$, when $r$ and $t$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 s p}<t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right) \geq c\left(\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{p, q, h}$ is defined in (1.2).
Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 4.1 we have for sufficiently small $r$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)}{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)} & \geq c\left(\frac{\log \frac{1}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}}{\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}  \tag{4.7}\\
& =c\left(\frac{\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}+\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}{\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}\right)^{p(1-q) / q} \\
& =c\left(1+\frac{\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}}{\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}\right)^{p(1-q) / q} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \frac{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)^{s}}{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{s}} t^{s p} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r, t>0$. For all $r$ and $t$ satisfying $a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 s p}<t$,

$$
\operatorname{av} A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)^{s}}{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{s}}
$$

Since by Theorem 3.8 for $\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0}$ we have $a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 as $r$ tends to zero,

$$
\frac{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right)^{s}}{A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)^{s}} \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly for $t>a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 s p}$. By (4.7) also

$$
\left(1+\frac{\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}}{\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}\right)^{s p(1-q) / q} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } r \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence, for $\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0}$ there is $r_{0}>0$ such that for all $r<r_{0}$ and all $t>a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 s p}$,

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right) \geq c A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)\left(\frac{\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}}{\log \frac{1}{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

and by Lemma 2.4 there is $r_{1}>0$ such that for all $r<r_{1} \leq r_{0}$ and all $t>a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / 2 s p}$,

$$
A_{\alpha, p, q}\left(E_{t}(r)\right) \geq c\left(\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q}
$$

## 5. Vanishing exponential integrability

We are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We take $\sigma:=a v A_{\alpha, p, q}(u, r)\left(x_{0}\right)^{1 / s p}$ as in (4.3). Using the elementary inequality $\log t \leq k t^{1 / k}$, for all $t$ and $k>0$, we can estimate (4.6) below by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\log \frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}\right)^{p(1-q) / q} \geq\left[k\left(\frac{\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|}{\left|E_{t}(r)\right|}\right)^{1 / k}\right]^{p(1-q) / q} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p>q$. Thus using (4.3), Lemma 2.4, (4.6) and (5.1) we have
$\sigma^{s p} \geq c k^{p(1-q) / q}\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{p(q-1) / k q}\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|^{p(1-q) / k q} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty}\left|E_{t}(r)\right|^{p(q-1) / k q} d t^{s p}$.
Here,

$$
\int_{\sigma^{1 / 2}}^{\infty}\left|E_{t}(r)\right|^{p(q-1) / k q} d t^{s p}=\int_{\sigma^{1 / 2}}^{\infty}\left(t^{k q /(q-1)}\left|E_{t}(r)\right|\right)^{p(q-1) / k q} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

If the integration were extended to $[0, \infty)$, then the above integral would be the classical $L(q k /(q-1), p)$-Lorentz norm of $\left|u-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$ over the ball $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ to the power $p$. Recall that $L(q k /(q-1), p) \subset L(q k /(q-1), q k /(q-1))$ as soon as $p<q k /(q-1)$, which is equivalent to $k>p(q-1) / q$; see [6, Chapter

4, Proposition 4.2]. Hence for $E_{\sigma}(r)$ (see (3.2)),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\sigma}^{\infty}\left|E_{t}(r)\right|^{p(q-1) / k q} d t^{s p} & \geq\left\|u-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{L(k q /(q-1), p)\left(E_{\sigma}(r)\right)}^{p} \\
& \geq\left\|u-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{L(k q /(q-1), k q /(q-1))\left(E_{\sigma}(r)\right)}^{p} \\
& =\left\|u-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{k q /(q-1)}\left(E_{\sigma}(r)\right)}^{p} \\
& =\left(\int_{E_{\sigma}(r)}\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{k q /(q-1)} d x\right)^{p(q-1) / k q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for $p>q$ and $s=1$,

$$
\left|B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right|^{-1} \int_{E_{\sigma}(r)}\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{k q /(q-1)} d x \leq c\left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1} k^{k} \sigma^{s p k q / p(q-1)}
$$

We use this to estimate the terms of the series expansion of the exponentials. Thus we write

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)} & \left(\exp \left(\beta\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)}\right)-1\right) d x  \tag{5.2}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{j}}{j!} f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{j q /(q-1)} d x
\end{align*}
$$

We now break up this integral into two parts, corresponding to the sets $E_{\sigma}(r)$ and $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \backslash E_{\sigma}(r)$. In the latter case, (5.2) does not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{j}}{j!} \sigma^{j q /(q-1)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the former case with $k=j$, we obtain for the series the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=[p(q-1) / q]}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{j}}{j!} j^{j}(c \sigma)^{j q /(q-1)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r<r_{0}$. The case $j<p(q-1) / q$ is handled by the Hölder inequality. Thus, since (5.3) and (5.4) tend to zero with $\sigma$, the vanishing exponential integrability results are valid when $p>q$.

The case $p \leq q$ is handled in a similar manner.

## 6. Vanishing exponential integrability: the general case

The $m$ th order Taylor polynomial of a function $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}$ at $x_{0}$ is denoted by $P_{x_{0}}^{m}$.
6.1. Theorem. Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0<\alpha<n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$be such that $1 \leq m<\alpha$, and suppose further that $(\alpha-m) p=n$. Then there exists a constant $\beta>0$ independent of $u$ and $r>0$ such that

$$
f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left(e^{\beta\left(r^{-m}\left|u(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m}(y)\right|\right)^{q /(q-1)}}-1\right) d y=o(1)
$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$ for $\left[H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $H_{p, q, h}$ is the Hausdorff capacity with the measure function $h(t)=(\log 1 / t)^{1-q}$ as in (1.2).

Proof. From Taylor's formula for $C^{m}$-functions $u$,

$$
u(y)=P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}(y)+m \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \frac{1}{\gamma!}\left[\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{m-1} D^{\gamma} u\left((1-t) x_{0}+t y\right) d t\right]\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

If $u=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f$ with $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}(y)\right| \leq m \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \frac{1}{\gamma!}\left|\int_{0}^{1} D^{\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f\left((1-t) x_{0}+t y\right) d t \| y-x_{0}\right|^{m}
$$

By (2.1),

$$
\left|D^{\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(y)\right| \leq c \mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f(y)
$$

where the function $\eta$ occurring in the representation of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f$ is different from the one used in the $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f$-case. This is an abuse of notation, but it is acceptable since the estimates we give depend only on $f$, and not on $\eta$. Let $y \in B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. By the mean value theorem there is a point $t_{0}=t_{0}(y) \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}(y)\right|<c \mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f\left(x_{0}+t_{0}\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right) r^{m}
$$

We may assume $s=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} & A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left[\frac{\left|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}(y)\right|}{r^{m}}>\lambda\right]\right) d \lambda^{p} \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left[\mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f\left(x_{0}+t_{0}(y)\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right)>\lambda\right]\right) d \lambda^{p} \\
& \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left[\mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f>\lambda\right]\right) d \lambda^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|x_{0}+t_{0}(y)\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right| \leq t_{0}(y)\left|y-x_{0}\right|<r$. Write briefly

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}\left(r ; \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}\right)=B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cap\left\{y:\left|\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}(y)\right|>\lambda\right\} .
$$

Taking the supremum over $r>0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{r>0} r^{-m p} & \frac{1}{A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}\left(r ; \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f-P_{x_{0}}^{m-1}\right)\right) d \lambda^{p} \\
& \leq \mathcal{M}_{\alpha-m, 1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha-m} f\right)\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This reduces the general case to the basic one: We are able to apply Lemma 3.3 as in the proof of Theorem 3.8 to obtain

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-m p} A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\lambda}\left(r ; \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} f-P_{x_{0}}^{m}\right)\right) d \lambda^{p}=0
$$

This is used in the same way as Theorem 3.8 was used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 to obtain the claim. The case $s=1$ is complete. The case $s=q / p$ is proved in a similar manner.

## 7. Differentiability for Besov functions

The ( $s, m$ )-differentiability means

$$
\left(f_{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right)}\left|u(y)-P_{x_{0}}^{m}(y)\right|^{s} d y\right)^{1 / s}=o\left(r^{m}\right) \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Here, $P_{x_{0}}^{m}$ denotes the $[m]$ th order Taylor polynomial of $u$ at $x_{0}$. We refer also to [11, Section 3.5]. J. R. Dorronsoro [7] proved differentiability results for functions in the Besov spaces; one of his theorems is as follows.
7.1. Theorem ([7, Theorem 2]). If $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}, 1 \leq p<\infty, \alpha p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq p$ and $\beta$ with $0 \leq \beta<\alpha$ is given, $u$ has an $(n p /(n-\alpha p), \beta)$ differential $\left[H^{n-(\alpha-\beta) p}, A_{\alpha-\beta, p, q}\right]$-a.e.

Theorems 1.3 and 6.1 imply the following result.
7.2. Theorem. Let $u \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{p, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty,(\alpha-m) p=n$ with $m \in[0, \alpha)$ given. Then for any $s<\infty, u$ has an $(s, m)$-differential [ $\left.H_{p, q, h}, A_{\alpha-m, p, q}\right]$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $H_{\alpha, p, q}$ is defined as in (1.2).
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