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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $K_{M} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{radius} \operatorname{rad}(M)>$ $\pi / 2$. For any $x \in M$, denote by $\operatorname{rad}(x)$ and $\rho(x)$ the radius and conjugate radius of $M$ at $x$, respectively. In this paper we show that if $\operatorname{rad}(x) \leq \rho(x)$ for all $x \in M$, then $M$ is isometric to a Euclidean $n$ sphere. We also show that the radius of any connected nontrivial (i.e., not reduced to a point) closed totally geodesic submanifold of $M$ is greater than or equal to that of $M$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $K_{M} \geq 1$. Many interesting results about $M$ have been proven during the past years. It was shown by Grove and Shiohama [GS] that $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$, the $n$-dimensional sphere, if $\operatorname{diam}(M)$, the diameter of $M$, is greater than $\pi / 2$. In the case $\operatorname{diam}(M)=\pi / 2$ (where the theorem is false, as shown by the example of the real projective space) a classification was given by Gromoll and Grove [GG]. It should be mentioned that in the proof of their result Grove and Shiohama established a critical point theory of distance functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, which serves as an important tool in Riemannian geometry (cf. [C]). In 1989, Shiohama and Yamaguchi [SY] proved that if the radius of $M$ is close to $\pi$, then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n}$. Recall that for a compact metric space $(X, d)$, the radius of $X$ at a point $x \in X$ is defined as $\operatorname{rad}(x)=\max _{y \in X} d(x, y)$, and the radius of $X$ is given by $\operatorname{rad}(X)=\min _{x \in X} \operatorname{rad}(x)$ (cf. [SY]).

Colding [C1], [C2] extended the result of Shiohama and Yamaguchi as follows: An $n$-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature larger than or equal to $n-1$ and radius close to $\pi$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n}$ (cf. [C1], [C2]). A classical result due to Toponogov [T] states that if $n=2$ and $M$ contains a closed geodesic without self-intersections of length $2 \pi$, then

[^0]$M$ is isometric to a 2-dimensional unit sphere. Recently, Xia [X] partially extended Toponogov's theorem to higher dimensional Riemannian manifolds. In the case when the radius of $M$ is greater than $\pi / 2$, Grove and Petersen [GP] showed that the volume of $M$ satisfies $C(n) \leq \operatorname{vol}(M) \leq\{\operatorname{rad}(M) / \pi\} \cdot \omega_{n}$, where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of a unit Euclidean $n$-sphere and $C(n)$ is a positive constant depending only on $n$.

In this article, we study complete manifolds with sectional curvature bounded below by 1 and radius greater than $\pi / 2$. In order to state our first result we fix some notation.

Let $x$ be a point in a complete Riemannian manifold $M$ and let $\gamma$ be a unit speed geodesic with $\gamma^{\prime}(0)=v \in T_{x} M$. The conjugate value $c_{v}$ of $v$ is defined to be the first number $r>0$ such that there is a Jacobi field $J$ along $\gamma$ satisfying $J(0)=J(r)=0$. Set

$$
\rho(x):=\inf _{v \in S_{x} M} c_{v}
$$

where $S_{x} M$ is the unit tangent sphere of $M$ at $x$. We call $\rho(x)$ the conjugate radius of $M$ at $x$. The conjugate radius of $M$ is defined as $\rho(M)=\inf _{p \in M} \rho(p)$.

Our first theorem is motivated by the simple fact that the radius and the conjugate radius at any point on a Euclidean sphere are the same. Theorem 1 below shows that in the set of closed manifolds with sectional curvature larger than or equal to 1 and radius greater than $\pi / 2$ this phenomenon can only happen for the spheres.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with $K_{M} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{rad}(M)>\pi / 2$. If for any $x \in M$ we have $\rho(x) \geq \operatorname{rad}(x)$, then $M$ is isometric to an $n$-sphere.

We next prove the following result.
Theorem 2. Let $M$ be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with $K_{M} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{rad}(M)>\pi / 2$. Then the radius of any connected nontrivial (i.e., not reduced to a point) closed totally geodesic submanifold of $M$ is greater than or equal to that of $M$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and the diameter sphere theorem of Grove and Shiohama, we have the following corollary, first obtained by Xia [X].

Corollary 3. Let $M$ be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $K_{M} \geq 1$ and radius $\mathrm{rad} M>\pi / 2$. Suppose that $N$ is a $k(\geq 2)$-dimensional complete connected totally geodesic submanifold. Then $N$ is homeomorphic to a $k$-sphere.

Combining Theorem 2 and the above-mentioned theorem of Grove and Petersen, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4. Let $M$ be an $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $K_{M} \geq 1$ and radius $\operatorname{rad} M>\pi / 2$. Suppose that $N$ is a $k(\geq 2)$-dimensional closed connected totally geodesic submanifold. Then there exists a positive constant $C(k)$ such that $\operatorname{vol}(N) \geq C(k)$.

## 2. Proof of the theorems

Before proving our results, we list some known facts that we will need. Let $M$ be a complete connected Riemannian $n$-manifold satisfying $K_{M} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{rad}(M)>\pi / 2$. By using the Toponogov comparison theorem one can show that for any $x \in M$ there exists a unique point $A(x)$ which is at maximal distance from $x$. The map $A: M \rightarrow M$ is easily seen to be continuous (cf. [GP], [X]). Since $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$, the Brouwer fixed point theorem implies that $A$ is surjective.

We shall assume throughout this paper that all geodesics are parametrized by arc-length.

A connected simply connected compact Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ without boundary such that for any $m \in M$ the cut locus of $m$ in $M$ is a single point is called a wiedersehen manifold (cf. [Gn]). From the work of Green [Gn], Berger [B], Weinstein [W] and Yang [Y1], [Y2] we know that a wiedersehen manifold is isometric to a Euclidean sphere.

Now we are ready to prove our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. The Bonnet-Myers Theorem implies that $M$ is compact. Since the diameter of $M$ is greater than or equal to $\operatorname{rad}(M)>\pi / 2, M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$ and, in particular, $M$ is simply connected. For any $x \in M$, let $D(x)$ be the cut locus of $x$. It is well known that the function $g: M \rightarrow R^{+}$given by $f(x)=d(x, D(x))$ is continuous. We shall show that our $M$ is a wiedersehen manifold and therefore is isometric to an $n$-sphere. It then suffices to show that $D(x)=\{A(x)\}$ for all $x \in M$, where $A: M \rightarrow M$ is the map defined at the beginning of this section. To do this, we fix a point $p \in M$. Since $D(p)$ is closed and hence is compact, there exists $q \in D(p)$ such that $d(p, q)=\inf _{x \in D(p)} d(p, x)$. We claim that $q=A(p)$. In fact, set $s=d(p, q)$; from well known results in Riemannian geometry (cf. [Ca, p. 274]) we conclude that either
(a) there exists a minimizing geodesic $\sigma$ from $p$ to $q$ along which $q$ is conjugate to $p$, or
(b) there exist exactly two minimizing geodesics $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ from $p$ to $q$ with $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)=-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(s)$.
If (a) holds, then we have $s \geq \rho(p) \geq \operatorname{rad}(p)$. Thus $s=\operatorname{rad}(p)$ and so $q=A(p)$ since $A(p)$ is the unique point which is at maximal distance from $p$.

Suppose that (b) holds and $q \neq A(p)$. Set $t=d(q, A(p)), r=d(p, A(p))$ and consider first the case when $s>\pi / 2$. Take a minimal geodesic $\sigma_{3}$ from $q$
to $A(p)$; then either

$$
\angle\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

or

$$
\angle\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

We assume without loss of generality that $\angle\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \leq \pi / 2$.
Applying the Toponogov inequality to the hinge $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\cos r \geq \cos s \cos t+\sin s \sin t \cos \angle\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \geq \cos s \cos t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $A(p)$ is at maximal distance from $p$, by the well known Berger Lemma (cf. [CE]) there exists a minimal geodesic $\gamma$ from $A(p)$ to $p$ such that $\angle\left(-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq \pi / 2$. Applying the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge $\left(\gamma, \sigma_{3}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos s \geq \cos r \cos t+\sin r \sin t \cos \angle\left(-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(t), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \geq \cos r \cos t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s>\pi / 2,(2.1)$ and (2.2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos r \sin ^{2} t \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Suppose now that $s \leq \pi / 2$. We suppose that $p=A(z)$ is the unique point which is at maximal distance from some point $z \in M$. Then $z \neq q$ since $d(p, z)>\pi / 2 \geq d(p, q)$. Set $t_{1}=d(p, z)$ and $t_{2}=d(q, z)$; then $t_{1}>t_{2}$. Take a minimal geodesic $c$ from $q$ to $z$. Since we have either

$$
\angle\left(c^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

or

$$
\angle\left(c^{\prime}(0),-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

one can use the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge $\left(c, \sigma_{1}\right)$ or $\left(c, \sigma_{2}\right)$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\cos t_{1} \geq \cos s \cos t_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $s \neq \pi / 2$, and so we obtain from

$$
\cos t_{1}<\cos t_{2}
$$

and (2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos t_{1}>\cos s \cos t_{1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\cos t_{1}(1-\cos s)>0
$$

which clearly contradicts the fact that $t_{1}>\pi / 2$. Thus our claim is true. For any $x \in D(p)$, we then conclude from

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(p, q)=d(p, A(p)) \geq d(p, x) \geq d(p, D(p))=d(p, q) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $x=A(p)$. Consequently, we have $D(p)=\{A(p)\}$. Hence, our $M$ is a wiedersehen manifold and so is isometric to an $n$-sphere. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $N$ be a closed totally geodesic submanifold of $M$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. $\operatorname{dim} N \geq 2$. Denote by $d$ and $d^{N}$ the distance functions on $M$ and $N$, respectively. Let $\operatorname{rad}_{N}: N \rightarrow R$ be the radius function on $N$, i.e., $\operatorname{rad}_{N}(x)=\max _{y \in N} d^{N}(x, y)$ for all $x \in N$, and define $\operatorname{rad}_{M}$ similarly. It then suffices to prove that $\operatorname{rad}_{N}(x) \geq \operatorname{rad}_{M}(x)$ for all $x \in N$. In order to prove this, we fix a point $p \in N$ and take $q \in N$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rad}_{N}(p)=d^{N}(p, q) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Gamma_{q p}$ be the set of unit vectors in $T_{q} N$ corresponding to the set of normal minimal geodesics of $N$ from $q$ to $p$. Then, by Berger's Lemma, $\Gamma_{q p}$ is $\pi / 2-$ dense in $S_{q} N$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q p}(\pi / 2):=\left\{u \in S_{q} N \mid \angle\left(u, \Gamma_{q p}\right) \leq \pi / 2\right\}=S_{q} N \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{x} N$ denotes the unit tangent sphere of $N$ at $x$. Since a $\pi / 2$-dense subset of a great sphere $S^{l}$ in a unit sphere $S^{m}, l<m$, is also $\pi / 2$-dense in $S^{m}, \Gamma_{q p}$ is $\pi / 2$-dense in $S_{q} M$.

Let $A: M \rightarrow M$ be the map defined above. Set $s=d^{N}(p, q)$ and $r=$ $d(p, A(p))$. We claim that $s>\pi / 2$. Suppose on the contrary that $s \leq \pi / 2$. Take a point $z \in M$ so that $p=A(z)$. It follows from

$$
d(p, z)>\frac{\pi}{2} \geq d^{N}(p, q) \geq d(p, q)
$$

that $q \neq z$. Set $l=d(p, z)$ and $t=d(q, z)$; then $l>t$. Take a minimal geodesic $c$ of $M$ from $q$ to $z$. Since $\Gamma_{q p}$ is $\pi / 2$-dense in $S_{q} M$, we can find $v \in \Gamma_{q p}$ such that $\angle\left(v, c^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq \pi / 2$. Thus, by the definition of $\Gamma_{q p}$, there exists a minimal geodesic $c_{1}$ of $N$ from $q$ to $p$ such that $c_{1}^{\prime}(0)=v$. Since $N$ is totally geodesic, $c_{1}$ is also a geodesic of $M$. We apply the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge $\left(c, c_{1}\right)$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\cos l \geq \cos s \cos t+\sin s \sin t \cos \angle\left(c^{\prime}(0), c_{1}^{\prime}(0)\right) \geq \cos s \cos t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\cos l<\cos t$ and $s \leq \pi / 2$, we get from (2.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos l(1-\cos s)>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $s>\pi / 2$.
Now we are ready to show that $s \geq r$. Assume by contradiction that $s<r$. Since

$$
d(p, q) \leq d^{N}(p, q)<d(p, A(p))
$$

we have $A(p) \neq q$. Let $w=d(q, A(p))$ and take a minimal geodesic $\gamma$ of $M$ from $q$ to $A(p)$. We can find a minimal geodesic $\gamma_{1}$ of $N$ from $q$ to $p$ such
that $\angle\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq \pi / 2$. Since $\gamma_{1}$ is also a geodesic of $M$, applying the Toponogov inequality to the hinge ( $\gamma, \gamma_{1}$ ), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\cos r \geq \cos s \cos w \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives $\cos w>0$ since $s>\pi / 2$. Hence, since $s<r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos w \cos s>\cos w \cos r \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos r(1-\cos w)>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction.
Case 2. $N$ is a closed geodesic. The proof in this case is similar to that in Case 1; for the sake of completeness, we give the argument. Denote by $c:[0, a] \rightarrow M$ the closed geodesic $N$. Set $p=c(0)$ and $q=c(a / 2)$. Let us first show that $a>\pi$. Take $z \in M$ so that $p=A(z)$ and assume that $a \leq \pi$. Then we have $q \neq z$ since

$$
d(p, z)>\frac{\pi}{2} \geq \frac{a}{2}=L\left[\left.c\right|_{[0, a / 2]}\right] \geq d(p, q)
$$

where $d$ is as before the distance function on $M$. Set $l=d(p, z)$ and $t=d(q, z)$; then $l>t$. Let $\gamma$ be a minimal geodesic of $M$ from $q$ to $z$; then we have either

$$
\angle\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0), c^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

or

$$
\angle\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0),-c^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

Thus, we can apply the Toponogov inequality to the hinges $\left(\gamma,\left.c\right|_{[0, a / 2]}\right)$ or $\left(\gamma,\left.c\right|_{[a / 2, a]}\right)$ to get

$$
0>\cos l \geq \cos \frac{a}{2} \cos t
$$

which contradicts the fact that $\cos l<\cos t$ and $a \leq \pi$. Thus we have $a>\pi$.
Set $r=d(p, A(p))$. Then we need only show that $a \geq 2 r$, since the (intrinsic) radius of $c$ is equal to its intrinsic diameter, which in turn is equal to half of its length, i.e., $a / 2$. Suppose on the contrary that $a<2 r$. Then $A(p) \neq q$ since

$$
d(p, q) \leq \frac{a}{2}<r
$$

Take a minimal geodesic $\sigma$ of $M$ from $q$ to $A(p)$ and let $w=d(q, A(p))$. Applying the Toponogov inequality to $\left(\sigma,\left.\right|_{[0, a / 2]}\right)$ or $\left(\sigma,\left.\right|_{[a / 2, a]}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0>\cos r \geq \cos \frac{a}{2} \cos w \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\cos w>0$ since $a / 2>\pi / 2$. Since $a / 2<r$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos w \cos \frac{a}{2}>\cos w \cos r \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.14) and (2.15) it follows that $\cos r(1-\cos w)>0$, which is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

In view of Theorem 2, it is interesting to study the following problem.

Problem. Let $M$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with $K_{M} \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{rad}(M)>\pi / 2$. Does the "antipodal" map $A$ of $M$ restricted to a totally geodesic submanifold agree with the "antipodal" map of the submanifold?
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