Illinois Journal of Mathematics Volume 48, Number 1, Spring 2004, Pages 89–96 S 0019-2082

# ON THE GEOMETRY OF POSITIVELY CURVED MANIFOLDS WITH LARGE RADIUS

QIAOLING WANG

ABSTRACT. Let M be an n-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \geq 1$  and radius  $\operatorname{rad}(M) > \pi/2$ . For any  $x \in M$ , denote by  $\operatorname{rad}(x)$  and  $\rho(x)$  the radius and conjugate radius of M at x, respectively. In this paper we show that if  $\operatorname{rad}(x) \leq \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , then M is isometric to a Euclidean nsphere. We also show that the radius of any connected nontrivial (i.e., not reduced to a point) closed totally geodesic submanifold of M is greater than or equal to that of M.

# 1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \geq 1$ . Many interesting results about M have been proven during the past years. It was shown by Grove and Shiohama [GS] that M is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ , the n-dimensional sphere, if diam(M), the diameter of M, is greater than  $\pi/2$ . In the case diam $(M) = \pi/2$  (where the theorem is false, as shown by the example of the real projective space) a classification was given by Gromoll and Grove [GG]. It should be mentioned that in the proof of their result Grove and Shiohama established a critical point theory of distance functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, which serves as an important tool in Riemannian geometry (cf. [C]). In 1989, Shiohama and Yamaguchi [SY] proved that if the radius of M is close to  $\pi$ , then M is diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ . Recall that for a compact metric space (X, d), the radius of X at a point  $x \in X$  is defined as  $\operatorname{rad}(x) = \max_{y \in X} d(x, y)$ , and the radius of X is given by  $\operatorname{rad}(X) = \min_{x \in X} \operatorname{rad}(x)$  (cf. [SY]).

Colding [C1], [C2] extended the result of Shiohama and Yamaguchi as follows: An *n*-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature larger than or equal to n-1 and radius close to  $\pi$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^n$  (cf. [C1], [C2]). A classical result due to Toponogov [T] states that if n = 2and M contains a closed geodesic without self-intersections of length  $2\pi$ , then

©2004 University of Illinois

Received March 2, 2003; received in final form May 12, 2003.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20.

# QIAOLING WANG

M is isometric to a 2-dimensional unit sphere. Recently, Xia [X] partially extended Toponogov's theorem to higher dimensional Riemannian manifolds. In the case when the radius of M is greater than  $\pi/2$ , Grove and Petersen [GP] showed that the volume of M satisfies  $C(n) \leq \operatorname{vol}(M) \leq {\operatorname{rad}(M)/\pi} \cdot \omega_n$ , where  $\omega_n$  is the volume of a unit Euclidean *n*-sphere and C(n) is a positive constant depending only on n.

In this article, we study complete manifolds with sectional curvature bounded below by 1 and radius greater than  $\pi/2$ . In order to state our first result we fix some notation.

Let x be a point in a complete Riemannian manifold M and let  $\gamma$  be a unit speed geodesic with  $\gamma'(0) = v \in T_x M$ . The conjugate value  $c_v$  of v is defined to be the first number r > 0 such that there is a Jacobi field J along  $\gamma$  satisfying J(0) = J(r) = 0. Set

$$\rho(x) := \inf_{v \in S_x M} c_v,$$

where  $S_x M$  is the unit tangent sphere of M at x. We call  $\rho(x)$  the conjugate radius of M at x. The conjugate radius of M is defined as  $\rho(M) = \inf_{p \in M} \rho(p)$ .

Our first theorem is motivated by the simple fact that the radius and the conjugate radius at any point on a Euclidean sphere are the same. Theorem 1 below shows that in the set of closed manifolds with sectional curvature larger than or equal to 1 and radius greater than  $\pi/2$  this phenomenon can only happen for the spheres.

THEOREM 1. Let M be an n-dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with  $K_M \geq 1$  and  $\operatorname{rad}(M) > \pi/2$ . If for any  $x \in M$  we have  $\rho(x) \geq \operatorname{rad}(x)$ , then M is isometric to an n-sphere.

We next prove the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let M be an  $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete connected Riemannian manifold with  $K_M \geq 1$  and  $rad(M) > \pi/2$ . Then the radius of any connected nontrivial (i.e., not reduced to a point) closed totally geodesic submanifold of M is greater than or equal to that of M.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and the diameter sphere theorem of Grove and Shiohama, we have the following corollary, first obtained by Xia [X].

COROLLARY 3. Let M be an  $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \geq 1$  and radius rad  $M > \pi/2$ . Suppose that N is a  $k(\geq 2)$ -dimensional complete connected totally geodesic submanifold. Then N is homeomorphic to a k-sphere.

Combining Theorem 2 and the above-mentioned theorem of Grove and Petersen, we obtain the following result. COROLLARY 4. Let M be an  $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \geq 1$  and radius rad  $M > \pi/2$ . Suppose that N is a  $k(\geq 2)$ -dimensional closed connected totally geodesic submanifold. Then there exists a positive constant C(k) such that vol(N) > C(k).

### 2. Proof of the theorems

Before proving our results, we list some known facts that we will need. Let M be a complete connected Riemannian n-manifold satisfying  $K_M \geq 1$  and  $\operatorname{rad}(M) > \pi/2$ . By using the Toponogov comparison theorem one can show that for any  $x \in M$  there exists a unique point A(x) which is at maximal distance from x. The map  $A : M \to M$  is easily seen to be continuous (cf. [GP], [X]). Since M is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ , the Brouwer fixed point theorem implies that A is surjective.

We shall assume throughout this paper that all geodesics are parametrized by arc-length.

A connected simply connected compact Riemannian *n*-manifold M without boundary such that for any  $m \in M$  the cut locus of m in M is a single point is called a wiederschen manifold (cf. [Gn]). From the work of Green [Gn], Berger [B], Weinstein [W] and Yang [Y1], [Y2] we know that a wiederschen manifold is isometric to a Euclidean sphere.

Now we are ready to prove our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. The Bonnet-Myers Theorem implies that M is compact. Since the diameter of M is greater than or equal to  $\operatorname{rad}(M) > \pi/2$ , Mis homeomorphic to  $S^n$  and, in particular, M is simply connected. For any  $x \in M$ , let D(x) be the cut locus of x. It is well known that the function  $g: M \to R^+$  given by f(x) = d(x, D(x)) is continuous. We shall show that our M is a wiederschen manifold and therefore is isometric to an n-sphere. It then suffices to show that  $D(x) = \{A(x)\}$  for all  $x \in M$ , where  $A: M \to M$ is the map defined at the beginning of this section. To do this, we fix a point  $p \in M$ . Since D(p) is closed and hence is compact, there exists  $q \in D(p)$ such that  $d(p,q) = \inf_{x \in D(p)} d(p,x)$ . We claim that q = A(p). In fact, set s = d(p,q); from well known results in Riemannian geometry (cf. [Ca, p. 274]) we conclude that either

- (a) there exists a minimizing geodesic  $\sigma$  from p to q along which q is conjugate to p, or
- (b) there exist exactly two minimizing geodesics  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  from p to q with  $\sigma'_1(s) = -\sigma'_2(s)$ .

If (a) holds, then we have  $s \ge \rho(p) \ge \operatorname{rad}(p)$ . Thus  $s = \operatorname{rad}(p)$  and so q = A(p) since A(p) is the unique point which is at maximal distance from p.

Suppose that (b) holds and  $q \neq A(p)$ . Set t = d(q, A(p)), r = d(p, A(p))and consider first the case when  $s > \pi/2$ . Take a minimal geodesic  $\sigma_3$  from q to A(p); then either

$$\angle \left(\sigma_3'(0), -\sigma_1'(s)\right) \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$

or

$$\angle \left( \sigma_3'(0), -\sigma_2'(s) \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$$

We assume without loss of generality that  $\angle(\sigma'_3(0), -\sigma'_1(s)) \leq \pi/2$ . Applying the Toponogov inequality to the hinge  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_3)$ , we obtain

 $(2.1) \quad 0 > \cos r \ge \cos s \cos t + \sin s \sin t \cos \angle \left( \sigma'_3(0), -\sigma'_1(s) \right) \ge \cos s \cos t.$ 

On the other hand, since A(p) is at maximal distance from p, by the well known Berger Lemma (cf. [CE]) there exists a minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  from A(p)to p such that  $\angle (-\sigma'_3(t), \gamma'(0)) \leq \pi/2$ . Applying the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge  $(\gamma, \sigma_3)$ , we obtain

(2.2)  $\cos s \ge \cos r \cos t + \sin r \sin t \cos \angle (-\sigma'_3(t), \gamma'(0)) \ge \cos r \cos t.$ 

Since  $s > \pi/2$ , (2.1) and (2.2) imply that

(2.3) 
$$\cos r \sin^2 t \ge 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $s \leq \pi/2$ . We suppose that p = A(z) is the unique point which is at maximal distance from some point  $z \in M$ . Then  $z \neq q$  since  $d(p,z) > \pi/2 \geq d(p,q)$ . Set  $t_1 = d(p,z)$  and  $t_2 = d(q,z)$ ; then  $t_1 > t_2$ . Take a minimal geodesic c from q to z. Since we have either

$$\angle \left(c'(0), -\sigma_1'(s)\right) \le \frac{\pi}{2},$$

or

$$\angle \left(c'(0), -\sigma_2'(s)\right) \le \frac{\pi}{2},$$

one can use the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge  $(c, \sigma_1)$  or  $(c, \sigma_2)$  to get

$$(2.4) 0 > \cos t_1 \ge \cos s \cos t_2.$$

2

This implies that  $s \neq \pi/2$ , and so we obtain from

$$\cos t_1 < \cos t_2$$

and (2.4) that

$$(2.5)\qquad\qquad\qquad\cos t_1 > \cos s \cos t_1$$

Thus,

$$\cos t_1(1-\cos s) > 0,$$

which clearly contradicts the fact that  $t_1 > \pi/2$ . Thus our claim is true. For any  $x \in D(p)$ , we then conclude from

(2.6) 
$$d(p,q) = d(p,A(p)) \ge d(p,x) \ge d(p,D(p)) = d(p,q)$$

92

that x = A(p). Consequently, we have  $D(p) = \{A(p)\}$ . Hence, our M is a wiederschen manifold and so is isometric to an *n*-sphere. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let N be a closed totally geodesic submanifold of M. We consider two cases:

Case 1. dim  $N \geq 2$ . Denote by d and  $d^N$  the distance functions on Mand N, respectively. Let  $\operatorname{rad}_N : N \to R$  be the radius function on N, i.e.,  $\operatorname{rad}_N(x) = \max_{y \in N} d^N(x, y)$  for all  $x \in N$ , and define  $\operatorname{rad}_M$  similarly. It then suffices to prove that  $\operatorname{rad}_N(x) \geq \operatorname{rad}_M(x)$  for all  $x \in N$ . In order to prove this, we fix a point  $p \in N$  and take  $q \in N$  satisfying

(2.7) 
$$\operatorname{rad}_N(p) = d^N(p,q).$$

Let  $\Gamma_{qp}$  be the set of unit vectors in  $T_q N$  corresponding to the set of normal minimal geodesics of N from q to p. Then, by Berger's Lemma,  $\Gamma_{qp}$  is  $\pi/2$ -dense in  $S_q N$ , that is,

(2.8) 
$$\Gamma_{qp}(\pi/2) := \{ u \in S_q N \mid \angle (u, \Gamma_{qp}) \le \pi/2 \} = S_q N_q$$

where  $S_x N$  denotes the unit tangent sphere of N at x. Since a  $\pi/2$ -dense subset of a great sphere  $S^l$  in a unit sphere  $S^m$ , l < m, is also  $\pi/2$ -dense in  $S^m$ ,  $\Gamma_{qp}$  is  $\pi/2$ -dense in  $S_q M$ .

Let  $A: M \to M$  be the map defined above. Set  $s = d^N(p,q)$  and r = d(p, A(p)). We claim that  $s > \pi/2$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $s \le \pi/2$ . Take a point  $z \in M$  so that p = A(z). It follows from

$$d(p,z) > \frac{\pi}{2} \ge d^N(p,q) \ge d(p,q)$$

that  $q \neq z$ . Set l = d(p, z) and t = d(q, z); then l > t. Take a minimal geodesic c of M from q to z. Since  $\Gamma_{qp}$  is  $\pi/2$ -dense in  $S_q M$ , we can find  $v \in \Gamma_{qp}$  such that  $\angle (v, c'(0)) \leq \pi/2$ . Thus, by the definition of  $\Gamma_{qp}$ , there exists a minimal geodesic  $c_1$  of N from q to p such that  $c'_1(0) = v$ . Since N is totally geodesic,  $c_1$  is also a geodesic of M. We apply the Toponogov comparison theorem to the hinge  $(c, c_1)$  to get

 $(2.9) \qquad 0 > \cos l \ge \cos s \cos t + \sin s \sin t \cos \angle (c'(0), c'_1(0)) \ge \cos s \cos t.$ 

Since  $\cos l < \cos t$  and  $s \le \pi/2$ , we get from (2.9) that

(2.10) 
$$\cos l(1 - \cos s) > 0$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $s > \pi/2$ .

Now we are ready to show that  $s \ge r$ . Assume by contradiction that s < r. Since

$$d(p,q) \le d^N(p,q) < d(p,A(p)),$$

we have  $A(p) \neq q$ . Let w = d(q, A(p)) and take a minimal geodesic  $\gamma$  of M from q to A(p). We can find a minimal geodesic  $\gamma_1$  of N from q to p such

that  $\angle(\gamma'(0), \gamma'_1(0)) \leq \pi/2$ . Since  $\gamma_1$  is also a geodesic of M, applying the Toponogov inequality to the hinge  $(\gamma, \gamma_1)$ , we conclude that

$$(2.11) 0 > \cos r \ge \cos s \cos w,$$

which gives  $\cos w > 0$  since  $s > \pi/2$ . Hence, since s < r, we have

$$(2.12) \qquad \qquad \cos w \cos s > \cos w \cos r.$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.12), we conclude

(2.13) 
$$\cos r(1 - \cos w) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

Case 2. N is a closed geodesic. The proof in this case is similar to that in Case 1; for the sake of completeness, we give the argument. Denote by  $c: [0, a] \to M$  the closed geodesic N. Set p = c(0) and q = c(a/2). Let us first show that  $a > \pi$ . Take  $z \in M$  so that p = A(z) and assume that  $a \leq \pi$ . Then we have  $q \neq z$  since

$$d(p,z) > \frac{\pi}{2} \ge \frac{a}{2} = L[c|_{[0,a/2]}] \ge d(p,q),$$

where d is as before the distance function on M. Set l = d(p, z) and t = d(q, z); then l > t. Let  $\gamma$  be a minimal geodesic of M from q to z; then we have either

 $\angle \left(\gamma'(0), c'\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$ 

or

$$\leq \left(\gamma'(0), -c'\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Thus, we can apply the Toponogov inequality to the hinges  $(\gamma, c|_{[0,a/2]})$  or  $(\gamma, c|_{[a/2,a]})$  to get

$$0 > \cos l \ge \cos \frac{a}{2} \cos t,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\cos l < \cos t$  and  $a \le \pi$ . Thus we have  $a > \pi$ .

Set r = d(p, A(p)). Then we need only show that  $a \ge 2r$ , since the (intrinsic) radius of c is equal to its intrinsic diameter, which in turn is equal to half of its length, i.e., a/2. Suppose on the contrary that a < 2r. Then  $A(p) \ne q$ since

$$d(p,q) \le \frac{a}{2} < r.$$

Take a minimal geodesic  $\sigma$  of M from q to A(p) and let w = d(q, A(p)). Applying the Toponogov inequality to  $(\sigma, c|_{[0,a/2]})$  or  $(\sigma, c|_{[a/2,a]})$ , we have

$$(2.14) 0 > \cos r \ge \cos \frac{a}{2} \cos w_{\rm s}$$

and so  $\cos w > 0$  since  $a/2 > \pi/2$ . Since a/2 < r, we conclude that

(2.15) 
$$\cos w \cos \frac{a}{2} > \cos w \cos r$$

94

From (2.14) and (2.15) it follows that  $\cos r(1 - \cos w) > 0$ , which is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

In view of Theorem 2, it is interesting to study the following problem.

PROBLEM. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with  $K_M \ge 1$  and  $rad(M) > \pi/2$ . Does the "antipodal" map A of M restricted to a totally geodesic submanifold agree with the "antipodal" map of the submanifold?

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for the encouragement and valuable remarks.

#### References

- [B] A. L. Besse, Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, Erg. Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 93, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978. MR 80c:53044
- [Ca] M. P. do Carmo, Riemannian geometry, Bikhäuser, Boston, 1992. MR 92i:53001
- [C] J. Cheeger, Critical points of distance functions and applications to geometry, Geometric topology: recent developments (Montecatini Terme, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1504, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 1–38. MR 94a:53075
- [CE] J. Cheeger and D. G. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1975. MR 56#16538
- [C1] T. H. Colding, Shape of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), 175–191. MR 96k:53067
- [C2] \_\_\_\_\_, Large manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), 193-214. MR 96k:53068
- [Gn] L. W. Green, Auf Wiederschensflächen, Ann. of Math. (2) 78 (1963), 289–299. MR 27#5206
- [GG] D. Gromoll and K. Grove, A generalization of Berger's rigidity theorem for positively curved manifolds, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 20 (1987), 227–239. MR 88k:53062
- [GP] K. Grove and P. Petersen, Volume comparison à la Aleksandrov, Acta Math. 169 (1992), 131–151. MR 93j:53057
- [GS] K. Grove and K. Shiohama, A generalized sphere theorem, Ann. Math. (2) 106 (1977), 201–211. MR 58#18268
- [SY] K. Shiohama and T. Yamaguchi, Positively curved manifolds with restricted diameters, Geometry of manifolds (Matsumoto, 1988), Perspect. Math., vol. 8, Academic Press, New York, 1989, pp. 345–350. MR 90m:53056
- [T] V. A. Toponogov, Computation of the length of a closed geodesic on a convex surface, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124 (1959), 282–284. MR 21#850
- [W] A. Weinstein, On the volume of manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, J. Differential Geom. 9 (1974), 513–517. MR 52#11791
- [Y1] C. T. Yang, Odd-dimensional wiederschen manifolds are spheres, J. Differential Geom. 15 (1980), 91–96. MR 82g:53049
- [Y2] \_\_\_\_\_, On the Blaschke conjecture, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 102, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1982, pp. 159–171. MR 83h:53059b
- [X] C. Xia, Some applications of critical point theory of distance functions on Riemannian manifolds, Compositio Math. 132 (2002), 49–55. MR 2003e:53051

# QIAOLING WANG

Departamento de Matemática-IE, Fundação Universidade de Brasília, Campus UNIVERSITÁRIO, 70910-900-BRASÍLIA-DF, BRASIL

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{wang@mat.unb.br}$