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BY<br>A. Learner

1. Let $P$ be a group property. A group $G$ is residually- $P$ if to any nonidentity element in $G$ there is a normal subgroup $K$ of $G$ excluding $x$, and such that $G / K$ has $P$. K. A. Hirsch [6] proved that a polycyclic group, which is a soluble group with maximal condition, is residually finite. Our aim is to sharpen this result.

Let $\pi$ be a set of prime numbers. A $\pi$-number is a positive integer whose prime divisors lie in $\pi$. A $\pi$-group is a finite group of order a $\pi$-number. If $\pi$ contains just one prime $p$, we write $p$-number and $p$-group. Thus a $p$-group here always means a finite $p$-group.

Our main result is
Theorem 1. A polycyclic group is residually a r-group for a finite set of primes $\pi$.

We give below an explicit method for constructing the set $\pi$. It depends only on the group $G$ and the finite factors occurring in a normal series for $G$. In particular if $G$ is completely infinite (defined below), we can give a definite bound for $\pi$ depending only on an invariant of $G$. A corollary to the theorem is a result of K . W. Gruenberg [3] on finitely generated nilpotent groups, which are a special class of polycyclic groups [7, p. 232].

Our notation is as follows:
If $S$ is a subset of a group $G, \operatorname{Gp}(S)=$ subgroup generated by $S$;
$G^{t}=\operatorname{Gp}\left(g^{t} \mid g \epsilon G\right)$, where $t$ is a positive integer;
$[H, K]=\operatorname{Gp}\left([h, k]=h^{-1} k^{-1} h k \mid h \in H, k \in K\right)$, where $H, K$ are subsets of $G$;
$C_{G}(F)=$ centraliser in $G$ of a factor group $F$ in $G$;
$\varphi(G)=$ Frattini subgroup of $G$;
Greek letters are used for sets of primes.
As usual $\pi^{\prime}$ is the complementary set to $\pi$.
2. For any positive integer $t, G^{t}$ is a characteristic subgroup of finite index in a polycyclic group $G$. If $t$ is a $\pi$-number, then $G / G^{t}$ has exponent a $\pi$-number and so is a $\pi$-group. Hence any normal subgroup $H$ of index a $\pi$-number $m$ in $G$, contains the characteristic subgroup $G^{m}$, also of index a $\pi$-number. If $H$ is residually a $\pi$-group, then for the same reason any $x \epsilon H$ is excluded from some $H^{n}$ where $n$ is a $\pi$-number. Now $H^{n}$ is normal in $G$ and of index a $\pi$-number. These remarks make the following lemma obvious.

Lemma 1. If a normal subgroup of index a $\gamma$-number in $G$ is residually a $\delta$-group, then $G$ is residually a $\pi$-group, where $\pi$ is the union of $\gamma$ and $\delta$.
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Any polycyclic group $G$ has a series of subgroups of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=G_{0}>G_{1}>\cdots>G_{k}=1 \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $G_{i}$ is normal in $G$ and $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is finitely generated free abelian or finite abelian. We shall use the term "normal series" only in this special sense. If all the factors in some normal series are infinite, $G$ is called completely infinite. ${ }^{1}$

We can refine (A) so that it contains the greatest possible number of infinite factors. It is then called a strong series. By mutually refining two strong series we see that the maximum of the ranks of the free abelian factors in such a series is an invariant of $G$. We call it the width $w(G)$. For example a finitely generated nilpotent group has width $\leqq 1$. In what follows (A) is any normal series, not necessarily a strong series.
3. $G$ is a given polycyclic group and we have chosen a normal series (A). Let $\tau$ be a finite set of primes such that all the finite factors in (A) are $\tau$-groups. We say $\tau$ is a suitable set for $G$. It is clearly not unique since two different normal series may have different finite factors (cf. [2]), and in any case a set which contains $\tau$ is also suitable. We always choose $\tau$ nonempty. If $G$ is completely infinite we may take $\tau$ to be an arbitrary single prime $p$.

We now construct the set $\pi$ of Theorem 1. Let $w$ be the product of all the primes in $\tau$, so that any $\tau$-number divides some power of $w$. Put $F_{i}=$ $G_{i-1} / G_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$. Let $C_{i}$ be the centraliser in $G$ of (i) $F_{i}$ if it is a finite group, or (ii) $F_{i} / F_{i}^{w}$ if $F_{i}$ is free abelian. All the $G / C_{i}$ are finite groups so that the set $\mu$ of all primes dividing their orders is finite. We now define $\pi$ to be the union of $\tau$ and $\mu$. This set $\pi$ apparently depends on series (A) and on the choice of $\tau$, and so we write $\pi=f(\mathrm{~A}, \tau)$. We show later that $\pi$ is in a sense independent of the choice of (A).

The following lemmas demonstrate why $\pi$ is defined in the above way.
Lemma 2. Let $t$ be any $\tau$-number and $F_{i}=G_{i-1} / G_{i}$, some factor in (A). There is a $\pi$-number $r$ such that

$$
\left[G_{i-1}, G^{r}\right] \leqq G_{i-1}^{t} G_{i}
$$

We notice that if $F_{i}$ is finite, its order is a $\tau$-number and so the lemma states that $\left[G_{i-1}, G^{r}\right] \leqq G_{i}$ for some $\pi$-number $r$. This is obvious since by definition of $\pi$, the centraliser of a finite $F_{i}$ has index a $\pi$-number. Now suppose $F_{i}$ is infinite. The centraliser of $F_{i} / F_{i}^{w}$ is again by definition of index a $\pi$-number. Hence $\left[G_{i-1}, G^{s}\right] \leqq G_{i-1}^{w} G_{i}$ for some $\pi$-number s. But $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is free abelian and one can now prove by induction that for any positive integer $n,\left[G_{i-1}, G^{r}\right] \leqq G_{i-1}^{w^{n}} G_{i}$, where $r=s w^{n-1}$ is a $\pi$-number. We finally choose $n$ so that the given $\tau$-number $t$ divides $w^{n}$, and the lemma is proved.

[^0]We now extend this result to any finite normal $\tau$-factor in $G$. First we need the following observation.

Lemma 3. Let $F$ be a finitely generated free abelian group containing a finite $\tau$-factor $B / C$. Then there is a $\tau$-number $t$ such that $B \cap F^{t} \leqq C$.

Proof. We are given $|B / C|=s$, say, is a $\tau$-number. $F$ has a free basis $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ such that $B$ has a basis $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}(m \leqq n)$ with $b_{i}=a_{i}^{u_{i}}$. Choose $t$ to be the product of $s$ and all the primes in $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{m}$ occurring in $s$. Then $s$ divides each $v_{i} / u_{i}$ where $v_{i}=\operatorname{lcm}\left(t, u_{i}\right)$. But $B \cap F^{t}$ is freely generated by $a_{i}^{v_{i}}(i=1, \cdots, m)$, and so $B \cap F^{t} \leqq B^{s} \leqq C$.

Lemma 4. Let $L, M$ be normal subgroups of $G$ and let $L / M$ be a $\tau$-group. Then $C_{G}(L / M)$ is of index a $\pi$-number in $G$.

Proof. First assume $G_{i-1} \geqq L>M \geqq G_{i}$ for some $i$. The result is immediate by Lemma 2 if $F_{i}=G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is finite. If $F_{i}$ is free abelian, then by Lemma 3 there is a $\tau$-number $t$ such that $L \cap G_{i-1}^{t} G_{i} \leqq M$. But $L$ is normal in $G$, so using Lemma 2 we have a $\pi$-number $r$ with $\left[L, G^{r}\right] \leqq M$. This proves the result in this case.

Now assume $L / M$ is any normal $\tau$-factor, of order $r$ say. Put $L_{i}=$ $\left(L \cap G_{i}\right) M$. Then $L_{i-1} / L_{i}$ is isomorphic to a $\tau$-factor group in $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ by the Zassenhaus isomorphism. This isomorphism preserves transformation by elements of $G$ and so by the first case above, there is a $\pi$-number $s$ with $\left[L_{i-1}, G^{s}\right] \leqq L_{i}(i=1, \cdots, k)$. Now if $b \in L=L_{0}, x \epsilon G^{s}$, then

$$
\left[b, x^{r}\right] \equiv[b, x]^{r} \equiv 1\left(\bmod L_{2}\right)
$$

Similarly $\left[b, x^{r^{2}}\right] \in L_{3}$. Since $M=L_{k}$, we can prove by induction that $\left[L, G^{u}\right] \leqq M$ where $u=s r^{k-1}$ and so is a $\pi$-number.
4. Lemma 4 is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 1. However before giving the proof, we note that $\pi$ can be constructed using any normal series all of whose finite factors are $\tau$-groups. Thus suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=K_{0}>K_{1}>\cdots>K_{n}=1 \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

is such a normal series. If $K_{i-1} / K_{i}$ is finite, its centraliser is of index a $\pi$-number by Lemma 4 . If $K_{i-1} / K_{i}$ is infinite, then again by Lemma 4 the centraliser of $K_{i-1} / K_{i-1}^{w} K_{i}$ is of index a $\pi$-number. Hence the set of primes $f(\mathrm{~B}, \tau)$ lies in $\pi=f(\mathrm{~A}, \tau)$. Reversing the argument, we have equality and so we can put $\pi=f(G, \tau)$. This means $G$ has some normal series whose finite factors are $\tau$-groups, and that $\pi$ is formed in the way explained from any such series.

We need the following technical lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $\tau$ be suitable for $G$ and $\pi=f(G, \tau)$.
(i) If $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ then $\pi \subseteq f(G, \sigma)$.
(ii) $\tau$ is suitable for any subgroup $H$. If $H$ is normal then $f(H, \tau) \subseteq \pi$.
(iii) If $\tau$ is suitable for $G / H$ then $f(G / H, \tau) \subseteq \pi$.

Proof. (i) Any finite normal $\tau$-factor in $G$ is also a $\sigma$-factor and so has centraliser of index a $f(G, \sigma)$-number by the previous lemma. (ii) The normal series (A) for $G$ induces a normal series on $H$ with terms $H_{i}=H \cap G_{i}$. $H_{i-1} / H_{i}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ and so is a nontrivial finite group if and only if $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is finite. Hence $\tau$ is suitable for $H$. If $H$ is normal in $G$ and we use this induced series to construct $f(H, \tau)$, then the factors concerned are normal $\tau$-factors in $G$. By Lemma 4 they are all centralised by a subgroup $C$ of index a $\pi$-number in $G$. Then $H / H \cap C$ is a $\pi$-group and so $f(H, \tau) \subseteq \pi$. (iii) We are given that $G / H$ has a normal series for which $\tau$ is suitable, and the result follows as in (ii). We must specify that $\tau$ is suitable for $G / H$ since the induced series with terms $H G_{i}$ may have finite factors not $\tau$-groups. For instance if $G$ is free abelian and $p$ any prime, then $f(G, p)=p$. However $p$ does not divide $|G / H|$ if $H=G^{q}$ and $q \neq p$.
We now turn to the main theorem for which we need the following result of P. Hall and G. Higman [5].
Lemma. If $F$ is a finite soluble group containing no nontrivial normal $p^{\prime}$-subgroup and $P$ is a maximal normal $p$-subgroup of $F$, then $P=C_{F}(P / \varphi(P))$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (A) be a normal series for $G$ of length $k$ with all finite factors $\tau$-groups and $\pi=f(G, \tau)$. We use induction on $k$ to prove that $G$ is residually a $\pi$-group. If $k=1, G$ is finitely generated abelian and either a finite $\tau$-group or free. In both cases $G$ is residually a $\tau$-group, and a fortiori residually a $\pi$-group. Assume $k>1$ and put $H=G_{k-1}$, the last nontrivial term in series (A). Truncating (A) at $H$ gives a normal series for $G / H$ whose finite factors are $\tau$-groups. By Lemma $5, f(G / H, \tau) \subseteq \pi$ and so by induction hypothesis, $G / H$ is residually a $\pi$-group. It is now sufficient to take $x \neq 1$ in $H$ and find a normal subgroup $K$ excluding $x$ and such that $G / K$ is a $\pi$-group. Whether $H$ is finite or not, there is a prime $p \epsilon \tau$ and a positive integer $n$ such that $x \notin B=H^{p^{n}}$. Choose $K$ to be a maximal normal subgroup $\geqq B$ and excluding $x$. Since $G$ is residually finite (Hirsch's theorem), $G / K=F$ is a finite soluble group. Every normal subgroup of $F$ contains $x K$ of $p$-power order. Hence if $P=L / K$ is a maximal normal $p$-subgroup of $F$ we have $P=C_{F}(P / \varphi(P))$ by the lemma above. This implies that $L$ is the centraliser in $G$ of a normal $p$-factor $L / L_{1}$. Since $p \epsilon \tau$, by Lemma $4,|G / L|$ is a $\pi$-number. But $L / K$ is a $p$-group and so $G / K$ is a $\pi$-group. This completes Theorem 1.
5. By [6, Theorem 3.21] any polycyclic group has a completely infinite subgroup of finite index. Here by convention the trivial group is completely infinite. We can make this more precise.

Theorem 2. G has a completely infinite subgroup $M$ of index a $\pi$-number. (By a remark in §2 we can choose $M$ to be characteristic in G.)

Proof. Let $G$ have normal series (A) with finite factors all $\tau$-groups. If $G$ is finite, it is a $\tau$-group and $\tau \subseteq \pi$ and so we put $M=1$. Assume $G$ is infinite. We use induction on the length $k$ of (A). If $k=1, G$ is already free abelian. If $k>1$, put $G_{k-1}=H$. The theorem holds for $G / H$ and so there is a $\pi$-number $t$ such that $(G / H)^{t}=G^{t} H / H$ is completely infinite. If $H$ is free abelian, then $G^{t} H$ itself is completely infinite and we are finished. Assume $H$ is a finite abelian $\tau$-group of order $m$ say. By Lemma $4,\left[H, G^{s}\right]=1$ where $s$ is a $\pi$-number. Put $L=G^{t s} H$ so that $G / L$ is a $\pi$-group, $H$ is central in $L$, and $L / H$ is completely infinite being a subgroup of $G^{t} H / H . \quad L / H$ has a normal series $(\overline{\mathrm{A}})$ of length $\leqq(k-1)$ induced by $(\bar{A})$ and the finite factors of ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ ) are $\tau$-groups. The last factor, $K / H$ say, of ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}})$ must be free abelian since $L / H$ is completely infinite and so certainly torsion-free. Let $x_{1} H, \cdots, x_{n} H$ be a set of free generators of $K / H$. Then $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}^{m}\right]=$ $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]^{m}=1$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, n$. Hence $K_{1}=\mathrm{Gp}\left(x_{1}^{m}, \cdots, x_{n}^{m}\right)$ is free abelian and $\left|K / K_{1}\right|=\left|K / K_{1} H\right||H|$ is a $\tau$-number. Thus for some $\tau$-number $r, K^{r}$ is free abelian. Now replace $K / H$ in series ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ ) by $K / K^{r}$ to obtain a normal series of length $\leqq(k-1)$ for $L / K^{r}$ whose finite factors are still $\tau$-groups. Hence by induction $L / K^{r}$ has a completely infinite subgroup $M / K^{r}$ of index a $\pi$-number. But $K^{r}$ is free abelian by construction and so $M$ is completely infinite, which proves Theorem 2.

We could of course use Theorem 2 to give a different proof of Theorem 1. It reduces the problem to completely infinite groups which are easier to handle.
6. Example 6.1. Suppose $G$ is a finitely generated nilpotent group. We choose (A) to be a central series so that $G$ itself centralises all the factors used in defining $\pi$. Therefore $\pi=\tau=$ the set of primes occurring in the finite factors of (A). In this case the torsion elements of $G$ form a $\tau$-subgroup. If $G$ happens to be torsion-free, we can choose $\pi$ as an arbitrary single prime. We have thus obtained Theorem 2.1 of [3].

This simple result is not true of polycyclic groups in general even if the periodic elements happen to form a subgroup. For example take

$$
G=\mathrm{Gp}\left(x, y \mid y^{3}=1, x^{-1} y x=y^{2}\right)
$$

Any normal subgroup of $G$ excluding $y$ lies in $K=G p\left(x^{2}\right)$, and $|G / K|=6$. Put $A=\mathrm{Gp}(y)$, the torsion subgroup of $G$. The normal series $G>A>1$ gives $f(G, 3)=(2,3)$. Hence $G$ is residually a $\pi$-group if and only if $\pi \supseteq(2,3)$.

Example 6.2. Suppose $G$ is completely infinite of width $n$ (see $\S 2$ above). We can choose $\tau$ to be any single prime $p$. The normal factors $F$ used in defining $f(G, p)$ are elementary abelian $p$-groups of dimension at most $n$. Hence $\left|G / C_{G}(F)\right|$ divides $|G L(n, p)|$. Thus $\pi$ lies in the set of primes
dividing $p|G L(n, p)|$. This set is a specific upper bound. However it is different for each choice of $p$, and is in general much too large. Consider for example the completely infinite metabelian group

$$
G=\mathrm{Gp}\left(x, a, b \mid[a, b]=1, x^{-1} a x=a b, x^{-1} b x=a^{5} b^{6}\right)
$$

If $A=\mathrm{Gp}(a, b), G>A>1$ is a completely infinite series.

$$
C_{G}\left(A / A^{2}\right)=\mathrm{Gp}\left(x^{3}, A\right), \quad C_{G}\left(A / A^{3}\right)=\mathrm{Gp}\left(x^{6}, A\right)
$$

and

$$
C_{G}\left(A / A^{5}\right)=\operatorname{Gp}\left(x^{5}, A\right)
$$

Hence choosing $p=2$ or 3 we see $G$ is residually a (2,3)-group, and choosing $p=5, G$ is also residually a 5 -group. Thus $\pi$ is by no means unique.

Example 6.3. A supersoluble group is a polycyclic group with a normal series all of whose factors are cyclic. We may make the finite factors of prime order, $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{m}$ say. The infinite cyclic factors have centralisers of index at most 2 , and so $\pi$ is contained in the union of $\left(2, q_{i}\right)$ and the primes dividing $q_{i}-1(i=1, \cdots, m)$. Thus if $G$ is completely infinite it is residually a 2 -group. An example is the dihedral group, $\mathrm{Gp}\left(x, y \mid y^{-1} x y=x^{-1}\right)$. This is residually a $\pi$-group if and only if $2 \epsilon \pi$ because all normal subgroups of odd index contain the element $x$.
7. Given a polycyclic group we have constructed a finite set of primes $\pi$ such that $G$ has a unit filter, ( $K_{\alpha}$ ) say, of normal subgroups with all $G / K_{\alpha} \pi$-groups. We can now complete $G$ to $\bar{G}=$ inverse $\lim G / K_{\alpha}$ with the usual projections (cf. [4]). $\quad \bar{G}$ is soluble, but of course no longer polycyclic. However $\bar{G}$ has certain interesting properties. One can transfer the definition of Hall subgroups and the Hall theorems from the finite soluble groups $G / K_{\alpha}$ to $\bar{G}$. The details of this process can be found in [1].
8. It is an open question whether the above theorems possess suitable converses. Given that $G$ is residually a $p$-group, for example, what can be said about possible normal series for $G$ ? Several attractive conjectures can be made which are supported by known examples. The author has been unable yet to obtain satisfactory results in this direction.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This term, used in [2] is preferable to "special polycyclic" in [8].

