# BORDISM OF INVOLUTIONS ON MANIFOLDS 
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## I. Introduction and notation

In [7], Conner and Floyd computed the bordism groups of all involutions on closed manifolds. The purpose of this paper is to examine the bordism groups $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ of all orientation preserving involutions on closed oriented manifolds.

In section II we give a relation between certain bordism groups of an involution defined by Atiyah in [2] and the bordism groups of a space. In III we first examine the forgetful homomorphism $s: \Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$, where $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is the bordism group of fixed point free orientation preserving involutions. It is shown that the kernel of $s$ is exactly all of the torsion of $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. This result and that of section II enables us to show that all torsion of $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ has order 2 and that a free part occurs only in dimension $n=4 k$. From the computation of the kernel of $s$, it also follows that if $M^{n}$ bords orientably and $T$ is a fixed point free orientation preserving involution on $M^{n}$, then $M^{n}$ bounds some orientable $B^{n+1}$ to which $T$ can be extended, though ( $T, B^{n+1}$ ) may not be fixed point free.

All manifolds will be smooth and compact. The bordism groups $\Omega_{n}, \mathscr{N}_{n}$, $\Omega_{n}(X)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}(X)$ are defined in [7]. An element in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is represented by a pair ( $T, M^{n}$ ), where $M^{n}$ is a closed oriented $n$-manifold and $T$ is a smooth orientation preserving involution on $M^{n}$. Two such pairs ( $T_{1}, M^{n}$ ) and ( $T_{2}, V^{n}$ ) are bordant if there is an involution $T$ on a compact oriented $(n+1)$ manifold $B^{n+1}$ such that $\partial B^{n+1}$ is diffeomorphic to the disjoint union $M^{n} \mathbf{u}-V^{n}$ and $T \mid \partial B^{n+1}=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. The bordism equivalence class of $\left(T, M^{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is denoted by $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}$. The bordism group $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{\bullet}\right)$ differs from $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{\circ}\right)$ only in that the involutions are required to be fixed point free. The bordism class of a fixed point free involution ( $T, M^{n}$ ) in $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{9}\right)$ is denoted by [ $T, M^{n}$ ]. An element $\left[T, M^{n}\right]$ in $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is in the reduced group $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ if $\left[M^{n} / T\right]=0$ in $\Omega_{n}$. Now suppose that $T$ is an involution on a space $X$. Consider triples ( $M^{n}, \tau, f$ ) where $\tau$ is a fixed point free orientation reversing involution on the closed oriented manifold $M^{n}$ and $f:\left(\tau, M^{n}\right) \rightarrow(T, X)$ is an equivariant map. Two such triples ( $M^{n}, \tau_{1}, f_{1}$ ) and ( $V^{n}, \tau_{2}, f_{2}$ ) are bordant if there is a triple ( $\left.B^{n+1}, \sigma, F\right)$ such that $\sigma$ is a fixed point free orientation reversing involution on $B^{n+1}, \partial B^{n+1}$ is the disjoint union $M^{n} \mathrm{u}-V^{n}, F:\left(\sigma, B^{n+1}\right) \rightarrow(T, X)$ is equivariant, $\sigma \mid \partial B^{n+1}=\tau_{1} \cup \tau_{2}$, and $F \mid \partial B^{n+1}=f_{1} \cup f_{2}$. We denote the resulting bordism group by $\mathbb{Q}_{n}(T, X)$. These groups are essentially the groups $M S O_{n}(X, \alpha)$ defined in [2].

[^0]If $\lambda \rightarrow X$ is a real vector bundle with group $O(k)$, the total space of the associated sphere, disk and projective space bundles will be denoted by $S(\lambda)$, $D(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{R} P(\lambda)$ respectively.
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## II. An isomorphism on $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X)$

Let $T$ be a fixed point free involution on a closed manifold $X$ or a fixed point free cellular involution on a finite CW-complex $X$. Let $\gamma \rightarrow X / T$ be the line bundle associated to the $Z_{2}$-bundle $X \rightarrow X / T$ and let $M(\gamma)$ be the Thom space of $\gamma$.
(2.1) Theorem. $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X)$ is isomorphic to the reduced bordism group $\tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))$.

Before proving (2.1) we mention two easily verified lemmas.
(2.2) Lemma. Let $M^{k+1}$ be an oriented $(k+1)$-manifold and let $K^{k}$ be a $k$ dimensional submanifold. Then we can identify the boundary of the normal tube to $K^{k}$ in $M^{k+1}$ with the orientation double covering of $K^{k}$.
(2.3) Lemma. Let $M^{n}$ be a closed oriented manifold and let $T$ be a fixed point free orientation reversing involution on $M^{n}$. Then the covering $\left(T, M^{n}\right) \rightarrow M^{n} / T$ is the orientation double covering of $M^{n} / T$.

Both of these lemmas follow from Lemma 2.2 in [3]. To obtain (2.3), consider the Gysin sequence of the line bundle associated to the $Z_{2}$-bundle $M^{n} \rightarrow M^{n} / T$.

Proof of (2.1). First consider the case when $X$ is a smooth compact $n$ manifold, $X=V^{n}$. To define $\varphi: \mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(T, V^{n}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))$, consider an element $\left[M^{k}, \tau, f\right]$ in $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(T, V^{n}\right)$. If $\eta \rightarrow M^{k} / \tau$ is the line bundle associated to $M^{k} \rightarrow M^{k} / \tau$, then $f$ induces a map of Thom spaces, $F: M(\eta) \rightarrow M(\gamma)$. Define an involution $T_{1}$ on $M^{k} \times S^{1}$ by $T_{1}(x, z)=(\tau(x), \bar{z})$, where $\bar{z}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $z . \quad T_{1}$ preserves orientation and $\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}$ receives an orientation from the orientations of $M^{k}$ and $S^{1}$. Let

$$
r: M^{k} \times S^{1} \rightarrow\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}
$$

be the decomposition map. If $B$ is the subset of $M^{k} \times S^{1}$ consisting of all pairs ( $x, a+b i$ ) with $a>0$, then collapsing $\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}-r(B)$ to a point in $\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}$ yields the Thom space $M(\eta)$. This now defines a mapping

$$
g:\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1} \rightarrow M(\eta)
$$

Letting $m:\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1} \rightarrow M(\gamma)$ be the composition $m=F \cdot g$, we define

$$
\varphi\left(\left[M^{k}, \tau, f\right]\right)=\left[\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}, m\right] \quad \text { in } \Omega_{k+1}(M(\gamma))
$$

$\varphi$ is a well defined homomorphism and since $T_{1}$ can be extended to a fixed point free involution on $M^{k} \times D^{2}$, the image of $\varphi$ lies in the reduced group $\tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))$.

To show $\varphi$ is an epimorphism, consider an element $\left[M^{k+1}, m\right]$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))$. We may take the restricted map $m \mid\left(M^{k+1}-m^{-1}(\infty)\right)$ to be smooth. Since $V^{n} / T$ is regularly embedded in $M(\gamma)$ as the zero section of $\gamma$, we may assume $m$ is transverse regular on $V^{n} / T[9, \mathrm{p} .22]$ and that $K^{k}=m^{-1}\left(V^{n} / T\right)$ is a nonempty regularly embedded $k$-dimensional submanifold of $M^{k+1}$. Let $\left(\tau, L^{k}\right) \rightarrow$ $K^{k}$ be the orientation double covering of $K^{k}$. Since $m$ is transverse regular, the differential, $d m$, takes the normal bundle to $K^{k}$ in $M^{k+1}$ onto the normal bundle to $V^{n} / T$ in $M(\gamma)$, and we may assume $m$ is a bundle map of the normal tube to $K^{k}$ onto the normal tube to $V^{n} / T$. Thus we obtain an equivariant map $m_{1}:\left(\tau, L^{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(T, V^{n}\right)$. Examine $\varphi\left(\left[L^{k}, \tau, m_{1}\right]\right)$. By (2.2) the line bundle associated to $\left(\tau, L^{k}\right) \rightarrow K^{k}$ is the normal tubular neighborhood of $K^{k}$ in $M^{k+1}$. The manifolds $L^{k}$ and $M^{k+1}$ are orientable, so we can identify the normal tube to $L^{k}$ in $M^{k+1}$ with $L^{k} \times[-1,1]$. We choose this tube so that it does not intersect $K^{k}$ and so that $L^{k} \times\{-1\}$ always lies inside the normal tube to $K^{k}$. Define an involution $T_{2}$ on $L^{k} \times[-1,1]$ by $T_{2}(x, t)=(\tau(x), t)$. Since $\left[M^{k+1}, m\right.$ ] is in $\tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))$, there is an oriented manifold $B^{k+2}$ with $\partial B^{k+2}=M^{k+1}$. In $B^{k+2}$ identify $q$ and $T_{2}(q)$ for all $q$ in $L^{k} \times[-1,1]$ and let $U^{k+2}$ be the resulting manifold. $T_{2}$ reverses orientation, so $U^{k+2}$ is orientable. The boundary of $U^{k+2}$ is diffeomorphic to the disjoint union of $M^{k+1}$ and $\left(L^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}$, where $T_{1}(x, z)=(\tau(x), \bar{z})$ as in the definition of $\varphi$. By taking the composition of $m$ followed by an appropriate deformation of $M(\gamma), m$ is homotopic to a map, still denoted by $m$, which at each point $x$ of $K^{k}$ takes the fibre at $x$ of the normal tube $N$ of $K^{k}$ in $M^{k+1}$ "linearly" onto the fibre of $\gamma$ at $m(x)$ and which takes $M^{k+1}-N$ into the point at infinity. Similarly, if

$$
\varphi\left(\left[L^{k}, \tau, m_{1}\right]\right)=\left[\left(L^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}, m_{2}\right]
$$

then $\left(L^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1} \rightarrow K^{k}$ is the $Z_{2}$-bundle with fibre $S^{1}$ associated to the $Z_{2}$ bundle ( $\tau, L^{k}$ ) $\rightarrow K^{k}$ and above any point $x$ in $K^{k}, m_{2}$ takes half of the fibre $S^{1}$ "linearly" onto the fibre of $\gamma$ at $m(x)$ and takes the other half of the fibre into the point at infinity. Using the fact that a neighborhood of $M^{k+1}$ in $B^{k+2}$ has the form $M^{k+1} \times[0,1)$, an examination of the formation of $U^{k+2}$ shows that the disjoint union map $m \mathbf{u} m_{2}$ on

$$
M^{k+1} \mathbf{u}\left(\left(L^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}\right)=\partial U^{k+2}
$$

can be extended to all of $U^{k+2}$. Thus $\varphi\left(\left[L^{k}, \tau, m_{1}\right]\right)=\left[M^{k+1}, m\right]$ and $\varphi$ is an epimorphism.

Now suppose $\varphi\left(\left[M^{k}, \tau, f\right]\right)=0$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{k+1} M(\gamma)$, i.e., $\left(\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}, m\right)$ bounds some oriented pair $\left(B^{k+2}, \widetilde{m}\right)$. By the construction of $m$, it is transverse regular on $V^{n} / T$ and $m^{-1}\left(V^{n} / T\right)=M^{k} / \tau$, considering $M^{k} / \tau$ as the image of $M^{k} \times\{1\}$ under the decomposition

$$
M^{k} \times S^{1} \rightarrow\left(M^{k} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}
$$

Again, we may assume the restriction $\widetilde{m} \mid\left(B^{k+2}-\widetilde{m}^{-1}(\infty)\right)$ is smooth and $\widetilde{m}$ is transverse regular on $V^{n} / T$ without changing the values of $\widetilde{m}=m$ on $M^{k} / \tau$.

Now let $K^{k+1}=\widetilde{m}^{-1}\left(V^{n} / T\right)$ and let $\left(\sigma, L^{k+1}\right) \rightarrow K^{k+1}$ be the orientation double covering. Then $M^{k} / \tau=\partial K^{k+1}$, so by Lemma (2.3), $M^{k}=\partial L^{k+1}$ and $\sigma \mid M^{k}=\tau$. As before, there is an equivariant map $g:\left(\sigma, L^{k+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(T, V^{n}\right)$ with $g \mid M^{k}=f$. Thus $\left[M^{k}, \tau, f\right]=0$ in $Q_{k}\left(T, V^{n}\right)$ and $\varphi$ is a monomorphism.

I'd like to thank Robert Stong for showing me the following method of reducing the case when $X$ is a finite complex to the case where $X=V^{n}$ is a smooth manifold. Let $T$ be a fixed point free cellular involution on a finite complex $X$. Embed $X / T$ in some $\mathrm{R}^{n}$ and let $p: N \rightarrow X / T$ be a regular neighborhood of $X / T$. Then $N$ is a smooth manifold having the homotopy type of $X / T . \quad p$ induces a principal $Z_{2}$-bundle $\left(T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N$ and $X^{\prime}$ has the homotopy type of $X$ [8, Cor. 7.10]. Then we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$
\mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X) \approx \mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \approx \tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}\left(M\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right) \approx \tilde{\Omega}_{k+1}(M(\gamma))
$$

This completes the proof of (2.1).
Now suppose that $T$ is any involution on a finite complex $X$. Set

$$
\tau=A \times T: S^{n} \times X \rightarrow S^{n} \times X
$$

where $\left(A, S^{n}\right)$ denotes the antipodal map on the unit sphere in $\mathrm{R}^{n+1}$.
(2.4) Theorem. $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(\tau, S^{N} \times X\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X)$ for $k<N$.

Proof. Given $\left[M^{k}, \sigma, f\right]$ in $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X)$, for $k<N$ there is an equivariant map $e:\left(\sigma, M^{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(A, S^{N}\right)$ and $e$ is unique up to equivariant homotopy. Define

$$
\varphi\left(\left[M^{k}, \sigma, f\right]\right)=\left[M^{k}, \sigma, e \times f\right] .
$$

If $\left[M^{k}, \sigma, g\right]$ is in $\mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(\tau, S^{N} \times X\right)$, express $g$ as $e \times f$ and define

$$
\psi\left(\left[M^{k}, \sigma, g\right]\right)=\left[M^{k}, \sigma, f\right] .
$$

It is clear that

$$
\varphi: \mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(\tau, S^{N} \times X\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi: \mathfrak{Q}_{k}\left(\tau, S^{N} \times X\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{k}(T, X)
$$

are well-defined inverse homomorphisms.

## III. The structure of $\mathcal{O}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$

Let $s: \Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ be the homomorphism given by $s\left(\left[T, M^{n}\right]\right)=$ $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}$.
(3.1) Theorem. The kernel of $s$ consists of all the torsion of $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\subset} \Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\leftarrow} \Omega_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

is a split short exact sequence, where

$$
\varepsilon\left(\left[T, M^{n}\right]\right)=\left[M^{n} / T\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha\left(\left[V^{n}\right]\right)=\left[A^{\prime}, V^{n} \times Z_{2}\right]
$$

$A^{\prime}$ being the map switching copies of $V^{n}, A^{\prime}(x, i)=(x, 1-i)$. Thus $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$
is isomorphic to $\Omega_{n} \oplus \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. Burdick [6, p. 51] showed that $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}_{n-1}$ and thus consists entirely of 2 -torsion. Now the torsion subgroup of $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ consists entirely of 2 -torsion and can be written as

$$
\text { Tor }\left(Z_{2}, \Omega_{n}\right) \oplus \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

where Tor $\left(Z_{2}, \Omega_{n}\right)$ is the 2 -torsion of the group $\Omega_{n}$. To show that $s$ takes $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ into 0 , we examine Burdick's isomorphism

$$
\varphi: \mathfrak{N}_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

He defines $\varphi\left(\left[V^{n-1}\right]_{2}\right)=\left[T,\left(E^{n-1} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}\right]$, where $\left(\tau, E^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow V^{n-1}$ is the orientation double covering, $T_{1}(x, z)=(\tau(x), \bar{z})$ and $T$ is induced on $\left(E^{n-1} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}$ by the involution $T(x, z)=(x,-z)$ on $E^{n-1} \times S^{1}$. By extending $T$ and $T_{1}$ to $E^{n-1} \times D^{2}$, we see that ( $T,\left(E^{n-1} \times S^{1}\right) / T_{1}$ ) bounds $\left(T,\left(E^{n-1} \times D^{2}\right) / T_{1}\right)$ so $s\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)\right)=0$.
In [1], Anderson showed that every element of Tor ( $Z_{2}, \Omega_{n}$ ) may be represented as a sum of classes of manifolds of the form

$$
V^{n}=\mathbf{R} P\left(\lambda \oplus \theta^{2 k+1}(M)\right)
$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow M$ is the line bundle with $w_{1}(\lambda)=w_{1}(M)$ and $\theta^{2 k+1}(M) \rightarrow M$ is the trivial $(2 k+1)$-bundle. On $S\left(\lambda \oplus \theta^{2 k+1}(M)\right)$ there is an orientation reversing involution $T=(-1) \oplus$ (identity). $\quad T$ commutes with the bundle involution [7, p. 60] $A$ on $S\left(\lambda \oplus \theta^{2 k+1}(M)\right)$, so it induces an orientation reversing map, $T^{\prime}$, on $V^{n}$, though $T^{\prime}$ is not fixed point free. Now there is the fixed point free orientation reversing involution $\tilde{T}$ on $V^{n} \times Z_{2}$ given by $\tilde{T}(x, i)=\left(T^{\prime}(x)\right.$, $1-i)$. $\tilde{T}$ commutes with $A^{\prime}$, so $\left\{A^{\prime}, V^{n} \times Z_{2}\right\}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$.

Suppose [ $T, M^{n}$ ] is in the kernel of $s$. We have

$$
\left[T, M^{n}\right]=\left[A^{\prime}, V^{n} \times Z_{2}\right]+\left[\tilde{T}, \tilde{M}^{n}\right]
$$

where [ $\tilde{T}, \tilde{M}^{n}$ ] is in $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ and $\left[V^{n}\right]$ is in $\Omega_{n}$. Since

$$
s\left(\left[\tilde{T}, \tilde{M}^{n}\right]\right)=0, \quad s\left(\left[A^{\prime}, V^{n} \times Z_{2}\right]\right)=0
$$

and $V^{n} \times Z_{2}$ bounds some oriented $B^{n+1}$, so $2\left[V^{n}\right\rceil=0$. Thus

$$
2\left[A^{\prime}, V^{n} \times Z_{2}\right]=\alpha\left(2\left[V^{n}\right]\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad 2\left[T, M^{n}\right]=0
$$

completing the proof of (3.1).
(3.2) Corollary. If $\left[M^{n}\right]=0$ in $\Omega_{n}$ and $T$ is a fixed point free orientation preserving involution on $M^{n}$, then $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Under the isomorphism between $\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ and $\Omega_{n} \oplus \tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right),\left[T, M^{n}\right]$ corresponds to ( $\left[M^{n} / T\right],\left[\tilde{T}, \tilde{M}^{n}\right]$ ) for some $\left[\tilde{T}, \tilde{M}^{n}\right]$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. Since $2\left(\left[M^{n} / T\right]\right)=\left[M^{n}\right]=0$ in $\Omega_{n}$, then $s\left(\left[A^{\prime}, Z_{2} \times\left(M^{n} / T\right)\right]\right)=0$ and thus $s\left(\left[T, M^{n}\right]\right)=0$, i.e., $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}=0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$.

Now consider the orientation double covering

$$
(\tau, B S O(n)) \rightarrow B O(n)
$$

and let

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]} \mathbb{Q}_{n-2 k}(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

We define a homomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}_{n}$ as follows. First define

$$
\alpha\left(\left\{\text { identity }, M^{n}\right\}\right)=\left[\tilde{M}^{n}, \sigma, f\right]
$$

where $\left(\sigma, \widetilde{M}^{n}\right) \rightarrow M^{n}$ is the orientation double covering of $M^{n}$ and

$$
f:\left(\sigma, \widetilde{M}^{n}\right) \rightarrow(\tau, B S O(0))
$$

is the obvious equivariant map, with $B S O(0)=\{$ point $\} \times S^{0}$. Now look at an arbitrary $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. If $F^{m}$ is the $m$-dimensional part of the fixed point set of $T$, then $n-m$ is even [5, p. 79] and $F^{m}$ is a regularly embedded submanifold of $M^{n}$. For $k>0$, let $\eta_{2 k} \rightarrow F^{n-2 k}$ denote the normal bundle to $F^{n-2 k}$ in $M^{n}$. The bundle $\eta_{2 k}$ has a classifying map

$$
\bar{f}_{2 k}: F^{n-2 k} \rightarrow B O(2 k)
$$

which induces a principal $Z_{2}$-bundle $\left(\tau_{2 k}, V^{n-2 k}\right) \rightarrow F^{n-2 k}$ from the covering $(\tau, B S O(2 k)) \rightarrow B O(2 k)$ and hence an equivariant map

$$
f_{2 k}:\left(\tau_{2 k}, V^{n-2 k}\right) \rightarrow(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

Since $\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*}\left(w_{1}(B O(2 k))\right)=w_{1}\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)=w_{1}\left(F^{n-2 k}\right)$,

$$
\left(\tau_{2 k}, V^{n-2 k}\right) \rightarrow F^{n-2 k}
$$

is the orientation double covering of $F^{n-2 k}$ and $V^{n-2 k}$ is canonically oriented. Define

$$
\alpha\left(\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\left[V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]
$$

where the case $k=0$ is handled as in $\alpha$ (\{identity, $M\}$ ).
Henceforth, $\xi \rightarrow B O(k)$ will denote the universal $k$-plane bundle and $A$ will denote the bundle involution on the indicated sphere or disk bundle. For $n \geq 1$, we define a homomorphism

$$
\partial: \mathbb{Q}_{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

to be the sum of the homomorphisms

$$
\partial: \mathscr{Q}_{n-2 k}(\tau, B S O(2 k)) \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

given, for $k>0$, by

$$
\partial\left(\left[V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]\right)=\left[A, S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right] .
$$

Here $A$ is the bundle involution on the sphere bundle associated to the induced bundle $f_{2 k}^{*} \xi$, where

$$
\bar{f}_{2 k}: V^{n-2 k} / \tau_{2 k} \rightarrow B O(2 k)
$$

is induced from the equivariant map

$$
f_{2 k}:\left(\tau_{2 k}, V^{n-2 k}\right) \rightarrow(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

Because $w_{1}\left(f_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)=w_{1}\left(F^{n-2 k}\right), S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$ and $D\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$ are orientable. Since
$\left(A, S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right)$ bounds $\left(A, D\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right), s\left(\left[A, S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right]\right)=0 \operatorname{in} \Theta_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right) . \quad$ By (3.1), $2\left[A, S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right]=0$ in $\Omega_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)$, so it is not necessary to choose an orientation for $S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$.
(3.3) Theorem. For $n \geq 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{s} \Theta_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q}_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\cdot \partial=\partial \cdot \alpha=\alpha \cdot s=0$. Suppose that $s\left(\left[T, M^{n}\right]\right)=0$, i.e., $\left(T, M^{n}\right)$ bounds some $\left(T^{\prime}, B^{n+1}\right)$. As usual, let $F^{n+1-2 k}$ be the $(n+1-2 k)$-dimensional part of the fixed point set of $T^{\prime}, \eta_{2 k} \rightarrow F^{n+1-2 k}$ its normal bundle, and

$$
\bar{f}_{2 k}: \mathrm{F}^{n+1-2 k} \rightarrow B O(2 k)
$$

the classifying map. By removing the interiors of the normal tubular neighborhoods of the $F^{n+1-2 k}$, we see that

$$
\left[T, M^{n}\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{[(n+1) / 2]}\left[A, S\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)\right] .
$$

Each map $\bar{f}_{2 k}$ induces an equivariant map

$$
f_{2 k}:\left(\tau_{9 k}, V^{n+1-2 k}\right) \rightarrow(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

Then

$$
\partial\left(\sum_{k=0}^{[(n+1) / 2]}\left[V^{n+1-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{[(n+1) / 2]}\left[A, S\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)\right]
$$

and hence kernel $(s)=$ image ( $\partial$ ).
If $\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\left[V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]$ is in kernel ( $\partial$ ), then

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{[n / 2)}\left(A, S\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right)
$$

bounds some ( $T, M^{n}$ ) with $T$ fixed point free orientation preserving. Also, each $\left(A, S\left(f_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right)$ bounds $\left(A, D\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right)$, where the fixed point set of $A$ on the disk bundle is $V^{n-2 k} / \tau_{2 k}$. Let $B^{n}$ be the union of $M^{n}$ with the union $\bigcup_{k=0}^{[n / 2]} D\left(\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$ with their boundaries identified. There is an orientation preserving involution $T^{\prime}$ on the closed manifold $B^{n}$ given by $T^{\prime}=T$ on $M^{n}$ and $T^{\prime}=A$ on the union of the $D\left(\tilde{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$. The fixed point set of $T^{\prime}$ is the union of the $V^{n-2 k} / \tau_{2 k}$ and the normal bundle to $V^{n-2 k} / \tau_{2 k}$ in $B^{n}$ is

$$
\bar{f}_{2 k}^{*} \xi \rightarrow V^{n-2 k} / \tau_{2 k}
$$

Thus

$$
\alpha\left(\left\{T^{\prime}, B^{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\left(V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]
$$

Finally, suppose that $\alpha\left(\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{[n / 2]}\left(V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right]=0$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$. Fix a Riemannian metric on $M^{n}$ for which $T$ is an isometry. For each $k$ for which $F^{n-2 k}$ is non-empty there is a triple

$$
\left(B^{n-2 k+1}, \sigma_{2 k}, g_{2 k}\right)
$$

with boundary $\left(V^{n-2 k}, \tau_{2 k}, f_{2 k}\right)$, i.e., $\partial B^{n-2 k+1}=V^{n-2 k},\left(\sigma_{2 k}, B^{n-2 k+1}\right)$ is an orientation reversing involution,

$$
g_{2 k}:\left(\sigma_{2 k}, B^{n-2 k+1}\right) \rightarrow(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

is equivariant, and $\sigma_{2 k}$ and $g_{2 k}$ extend $\tau_{2 k}$ and $f_{2 k}$ respectively. We then have the map of quotient spaces

$$
\bar{g}_{2 k}: B^{n-2 k+1} / \sigma_{2 k} \rightarrow B O(2 k)
$$

and the induced bundle

$$
\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi \rightarrow B^{n-2 k+1} / \sigma_{2 k} .
$$

If $\eta_{2 k} \rightarrow F^{n-2 k}$ is the normal bundle to $F^{n-2 k}$ in $M^{n}$, then

$$
S\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right) \cup D\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)=\partial\left(D\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad S\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right) \cap D\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)=S\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)
$$

Let $U^{n+1}$ be the union of $M^{n} \times[0,1]$ with the union $\bigcup_{k=0}^{[n / 2]} D\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$, identifying the two copies of $D\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)$ that lie in $M^{n} \times 1$ and in $D\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$. The boundary of $U^{n+1}$ is split into two parts: one consists of $M^{n} \times 0$, the other of $M^{n} \times 1$, but with $S\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$ replacing $D\left(\eta_{2 k}\right)$, the normal tubular neighborhood of the ( $n-2 k$ )-dimensional part of the fixed point set. Define an involution $T^{\prime}$ on $U^{n+1}$ by $T^{\prime}(x, t)=(T(x), t)$ on $M^{n} \times I$ and $T^{\prime}$ is the bundle involution on each $D\left(\bar{g}_{2 k}^{*} \xi\right)$. Since $T$ was an isometry $T^{\prime}$ is well defined on $U^{n+1}$. $T^{\prime}=T$ on $M^{n}=M^{n} \times 0$ and is fixed point free on the rest of the boundary of $U^{n+1}$. Thus $\left\{T, M^{n}\right\}$ is in the image of $s$ and the proof of (3.3) is completed.
(3.4) Theorem. All torsion of $\mathcal{O}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ has order 2.

Proof. Let $\gamma_{2 k} \rightarrow B O(2 k)$ be the line bundle associated with the double covering ( $\tau, B S O(2 k)) \rightarrow B O(2 k)$. By (2.1),

$$
\mathbb{Q}_{n-2 k}(\tau, B S O(2 k))
$$

is isomorphic to

$$
\tilde{\Omega}_{n-2 k+1}\left(M\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right)
$$

which is in turn isomorphis to

$$
\Omega_{n-2 k+1}\left(D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right)
$$

By Theorem (15.2) in [7] we know that if $(X, A)$ is a CW-pair such that each $H_{m}(X, A ; Z)$ is finitely generated and has no odd torsion, then $\Omega_{m}(X, A)$ is isomorphic to $\sum_{p+q=m} H_{p}\left(X, A ; \Omega_{q}\right)$. All homology and cohomology will now have coefficients in $Z$, the integers, unless indicated otherwise. The free parts of $H_{m}\left(D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right)$ and of $H^{m}\left(D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right)$ are isomorphic, as are the torsion subgroups of

$$
H_{m}\left(D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H^{m+1}\left(D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)\right)
$$

Since $B O(2 k)$ is a deformation retract of $D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)$ and $S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)=B S O(2 k)$, the exact cohomology triangle of the pair ( $D\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right), S\left(\gamma_{2 k}\right)$ ) becomes


By computations of the cohomology rings of $B O(k)$ and $B S O(k)$ given in [4] and [11], this exact triangle becomes

where $p_{m}$ in $H^{4 m}(B O(2 k))$ is a universal Pontrjagin class, $\tilde{p}_{m}=j^{*}\left(p_{m}\right)$ for $1 \leq m \leq k-1, X_{2 k}$ in $H^{2 k}(B S O(2 k))$ is the Euler class, $j^{*}\left(p_{k}\right)=X_{2 k}^{2}$, and $j^{*}$ maps the 2 -torsion of $H^{*}(B O(2 k))$ onto the 2 -torsion of $H^{*}(B S O(2 k))$. Thus $H^{*}(B O(2 k), B S O(2 k))$ has no odd torsion or torsion of order 4 and hence neither do the $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$. The assertion then follows from Theorems (3.1) and (3.3).

We now consider the free part of $\mathcal{O}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. Since the image of

$$
\partial: \mathbb{Q}_{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)
$$

consists of torsion elements, for $Q$ the rationals,

$$
\partial \otimes 1: Q_{n} \otimes Q \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q
$$

is the zero homomorphism. We then have a split short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q \xrightarrow{s \otimes 1} \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} Q_{n} \otimes Q \rightarrow 0
$$

and a sequence of isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q \approx\left(\Omega_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q\right) \oplus\left(Q_{n} \otimes Q\right) \approx\left(\Omega_{n} \otimes Q\right) \oplus\left(A_{n} \otimes Q\right)
$$

Since $\Omega_{*} \otimes Q$ is known to be $Q[C P(2), C P(4), \cdots][10]$, to determine the free part of $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(X_{2}\right)$, we need only consider that of $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$. We have the isomorphism
(\#) $\mathbb{Q}_{n-2 k}(\tau, B S O(2 k)) \otimes Q \approx \sum_{p+q=n-2 k+1} H_{p}\left(B O(2 k), B S O(2 k) ; \Omega_{q}\right) \otimes Q$.
The bordism group $\Omega_{q}$ is isomorphic to a sum $Z \oplus \cdots \oplus Z \oplus Z_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{2}$, so the free part of $Q_{n}$ can be computed from the exact triangle


The free part of $\mathcal{O}_{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is now easy to compute. In particular we have
(3.5) Theorem. If $n$ is not a multiple of 4 , then $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q=0$.

Proof. We need only show the statement is true for $\mathbb{Q}_{n} \otimes Q$. First suppose $n$ is odd. A now-zero case can occur in (\#) only if $q=4 m$, in which case
$p$ is even. Then $H^{p-1}(B S O(2 k) ; Q)=0$ and

$$
j^{*}: H^{p}(B O(2 k) ; Q) \rightarrow H^{p}(B S O(2 k) ; Q)
$$

is a monomorphism, so $H^{p}(B O(2 k), B S O(2 k) ; Q)=0$. It then follows that $\mathcal{O}_{n}\left(Z_{2}\right) \otimes Q=0$.

For $n$ even, in (\#) we must still have $q=4 m$, so now $p$ is odd. Thus $H^{p}(B O(2 k) ; Q)=0$ and we have the exact sequence
$H^{p-1}(B O(2 k) ; Q) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} H^{p-1}(B S O(2 k) ; Q) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{p}(B O(2 k)$, $B S O(2 k) ; Q)) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$.

The homomorphism $j^{*}$ can fail to be onto only if $p-1$ is an odd multiple of $2 k$, the dimension of the Euler class, plus $4 i$, so let

$$
p-1=4 a k+2 k+4 i
$$

Then $n=p+q+2 k-1=4(a k+m+k+i)$.
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