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In this paper we use the methods of [1] to partially compute the cohomology of the classical groups with coefficients in the finite field with $q$ elements, $F_{q}$. Here $q$ is a power of an odd prime $p$. Cohomology is the usual group cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane [2] and coefficients are taken in $Z_{l}$, the integers mod $l$, where $l$ is a prime different from $p$.

Inherent in this method is the equivalence between the group cohomology of $G, H^{*}(G)$, and the singular cohomology of $B G, H^{*}(B G)$, where $B G$ is a classifying space for $G$ (see for example [3, pp. 185-186]). In this paper we will freely interchange these two concepts.

The approach as in [1] is to tie the cohomology of $B G$ to the cohomology of $B U$, where $U$ is the infinite unitary group. This is done by the use of a virtual complex representation induced from the natural modular representation of $G$ on $F_{q}^{n}$ [4, Theorem 1]. Strong use is made of the classical Lie theory associated to these groups by Chevalley [5] (e.g., the action of a Weyl group on diagonal subgroups of $G$ is critical for the analysis). In one form the main theorem says that the cohomology of $G$ is generated by Chern classes (see [6, Appendix]).

As in [1] we must pass to a certain subfield, $k_{1}$, of the algebraic closure of $F_{q}$ in order to complete the computations. Let $T$ denote the diagonal subgroup of $G[7$, chapter 7] and $W$ the Weyl group of $G$. Another form of the main theorem says that $H^{*}(G) \cong H^{*}(T)^{W}$, the fixed subring of $H^{*}(T)$ under the induced action of $W$. This theorem was proved in [1] for $G L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$ and $O_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$, the general linear and orthogonal groups. In this paper we extend the results to the other classical groups $S L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$, the special linear groups, $S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right)$, the symplectic groups and if $q$ is an even power of $p U_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$, the unitary groups. No attempt is made to complete the results in $F_{q}$ itself as is done for $G L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ in [8].

## 1. Definitions

Let $p$ be any odd prime and $q=p^{s}$ where $s$ is a positive integer. $F_{q}$ will stand for the finite field with $q$ elements and $G L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ will be the general linear group over $F_{q}$ (i.e., elements of $G L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ are the $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $F_{q}$ whose determinant is nonzero). We will consider a number of other classical linear groups and view them as subgroups of $G L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$.

The easiest to define is the subgroup of elements whose determinant is 1 . This subgroup is denoted by $S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$, the special linear group.
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Now suppose $V$, an $n$-dimensional vector space over $F_{q}$ is endowed with a nonsingular scalar product which is skew-symmetric (i.e., $(v, w)=-(w, v)$ ) then the subgroup of isometries with respect to the scalar product is called the symplectic group. It is well known that $n=2 m$ must be even and we denote this group by $S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$. It is, up to isomorphism, independent of the choice of a skew-symmetric scalar product. We will call a basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 m}\right\}$ for $V$ a symplectic basis if $\left(v_{i}, v_{i+m}\right)=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=0$ otherwise, $i \leq j$ (i.e., the matrix of the bilinear form with respect to this basis is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & I_{m} \\
-I_{m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the special case when the order of the finite field is $q^{2}$ we can define an involution of $F_{q^{2}}$ by $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{q} \equiv \bar{\lambda}, \lambda \in F_{q^{2}}$. If $V$ is now endowed with a nonsingular hermitian scalar product (i.e., $(v, w)=(\overline{w, v})$ ), then the elements of $G L_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ which are isometries with respect to this scalar product form a group $U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$, the unitary group. Again this group is, up to isomorphism, independent of the choice of a hermetian scalar product. A basis for $V,\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ will be called a unitary basis if $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$.

## 2. Main theorems

For each group $G$ studied in the previous section we will define a subgroup $T$ which will play the role of the maximal torus in the classical Lie group theory. We will show that under the map induced by the inclusion of $T$ in $G, H^{*}(G) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}(T)$ is a monomorphism, where $l$ is a prime different from $p$ (in some cases we will also assume $l \neq 2$ ). In the cases discussed in this paper $T$ will always be the diagonal subgroup of $G$.

Let $N$, the normalizer of $T$ in $G$, act on $T$ by conjugation. We then have a finite group $W \equiv N / T$ acting on $T$. $W$ is called the Weyl group. Let $H^{*}(T)^{W}$ denote the fixed subring of $H^{*}(T)$ under the induced action of $W$. An inner automorphism of $G$ induces the identity on cohomology [3, Proposition 16.2] so we will consider $H^{*}(G)$ as a subring of $H^{*}(T)^{W}$.

At this point we pass to a subfield, $k_{1}$, of the algebraic closure, $k$, of $F_{p}$ which contains all the $l^{r}$ th roots of unity for all $r$. In this case there is no odd dimensional cohomology classes to consider (see [1]). We define the analogous subgroups of $G L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$ and their diagonal subgroups. The "Brauer lift" of the natural modular representation of a subgroup, $G$, of $G L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$ on $k^{n}$ induces a map in the homotopy category from $B G \rightarrow B U$ (see [1]; Section 1]). If $c_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th universal Chern class we get the following addendum to Theorem 4.7 of [1].

Theorem 1. Let $\eta: B S L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B U$ represent the homotopy class induced by the natural modular representation. Let $l$ be a prime, $l \neq p$ and $l \Varangle n$; then

$$
H^{*}\left(B S L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[\eta^{*}\left(c_{2}\right), \ldots, \eta^{*}\left(c_{n}\right)\right]
$$

a polynomial algebra in $n-1$ indeterminates.

Corollary 1.1. $H^{*}\left(B S L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)$ is generated by Chern classes.
Note. This follows trivially from the theorem.
Corollary 1.2. $H^{*}\left(S L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong H^{*}(T)^{W}$ where $T$ is the diagonal subgroup. This corollary will follow from the proof of Theorem 1 (Section 3).

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda: B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B U$ represent the homotopy class induced by the modular representation and let $l$ be an odd prime different from $p$. Then

$$
H^{*}\left(B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[\lambda^{*}\left(c_{2}\right), \ldots, \lambda^{*}\left(c_{2 m}\right)\right] .
$$

Theorem 3. Let $l$ be an odd prime, $l \neq p$, and let $\delta: B U_{n}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B U$ be the homotopy class induced by the modular representation. Then

$$
H^{*}\left(B U_{n}\left(k_{1}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[\delta^{*}\left(c_{1}\right), \ldots, \delta^{*}\left(c_{n}\right)\right]
$$

The obvious corollaries analogous to those stated after Theorem 1 can be stated and proved. In addition if we use the fact proved in the appendix to [1] that $\lambda: B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B U$ factors through $B S p$, the infinite symplectic group, we get the following additional corollaries.

Corollary 2.1. $\lambda$ induces an isomorphism from $H^{*}\left(B S p\left(k_{1}\right)\right)$ to $H^{*}(B S p)$ where $S p\left(k_{1}\right)$ is the infinite symplectic group over the field $k_{1}$.

Corollary 3.1. $\delta$ induces an isomorphism $H^{*}\left(B U\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong H^{*}(B U)$ where $U\left(k_{1}\right)$ is the infinite unitary group over $k_{1}$.

Proof. Both corollaries follow by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the known results about the cohomology of $B U$ and $B s p$.

$$
\text { 3. } S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)
$$

For $G=S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ we let $T=S T_{n-1}\left(F_{q}\right)$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices of determinant 1. $T$ is isomorphic to $\left(F_{q}^{*}\right)^{n-1}$, where $F_{q}^{*}$ is the multiplicative group of non zero elements in $F_{q}$. Let $\bar{N}$ be the subgroup of the normalizer described as follows. $\bar{N}$ is generated by the elements of $T$ together with all permutation matrices which have a $\pm 1$ in the $n$th column $n$th row. If $\sum_{n}$ denotes the symmetric group on $n$-elements then $\bar{N}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of $\sum_{n-1}$ and $\left(F_{q}^{*}\right)^{n-1}$, where $\sum_{n-1}$ acts by permuting the $n-1$ copies of $F_{q}^{*}$. Another way of writing this is $\bar{N} \cong \sum_{n-1} 乙 F_{q}^{*}$, the wreath product of $\sum_{n-1}$ and $F_{q}^{*}$. The normalizer $N$ is of order $n!(q-1)^{n-1}$ and can be described as $g \in S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ such that conjugating any diagonal matrix by $g$ induces a permutation of the diagonal entries.

$$
\left|S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)\right|=q \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{3}-1\right) \cdots\left(q^{n}-1\right) \quad[7, \text { chapter } 1]
$$

and as in [1, Lemma 4.2] if $l$ is a prime dividing $q-1$ then $\left[S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right): N\right]$ is an $l$-adic unit. $[N: \bar{N}]=n$ so that if we assume further that $l \nmid n$ then $H^{*}\left(S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\bar{N})$ will be a monomorphism [3, Theorem 16.4].

We say that a family $H_{i}, i \in I$, of subgroups of a group $G$ detects the cohomology of $G(\bmod l)$ if the map $H^{*}(G) \rightarrow \prod_{i} H^{*}\left(H_{i}\right)$ given by the restriction homorphisms is injective.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a group whose mod $l$ cohomology is detected by a family of abelian subgroups of exponent dividing $l^{a}$ with $a \geq 1$. Then $\sum_{n} 2 G$ has the same property.

Proof. [1, Proposition 3.4].
If $l \mid(q-1)$ then $F_{q}^{*}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 1 and therefore there exists abelian subgroups, $A_{i}$, of $\bar{N}$ of exponent $l^{a}$, where $l^{a} \mid(q-1)$, $a \geq 1$, satisfying the conclusion. As remarked, an inner automorphism on the group level induces the identity on the cohomology level. Therefore, if we can show that each $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $S T_{n-1}\left(F_{q}\right)$ in $S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ we get the following proposition:

Proposition 1. If $l$ is a prime which divides $q-1$ and furthermore if $l \nmid n$ then $H^{*}\left(S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(S T_{n-1}\left(F_{q}\right) ; Z_{l}\right)$ is a monomorphism, where the map is induced by inclusion.

Proof. By the previous remarks we must show that each $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $S T_{n-1}\left(F_{q}\right)$ in $S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right) . \quad A_{i}$ is abelian and has exponent dividing $q-1$ therefore the irreducible subspaces of $F_{q}^{n}$ under the action of $A$ are all 1 -dimensional [9, p. 272]. Since the order of $A_{i}$ is prime to $p$ the representation is completely reducible [9, p. 253]. This implies that there is a basis for $F_{q}^{n}$ for which all of $A_{i}$ is simultaneously diagonalized (i.e., $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of the diagonal matrices). Since this conjugation can be done using elements of $S L_{n}\left(F_{q}\right)$ the image lies in $S T_{n-1}\left(F_{q}\right)$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. We pass to $k_{1}$, a subfield of the algebraic closure of $F_{q}$ which contains all the $l^{r}$ th roots of unity for all $r \in Z . H^{*}\left(k_{1}^{*}\right) \cong Z_{l}[x]$ where $x$ is the first Chern class of the 1-dimensional complex representation induced by embedding $k_{1}^{*}$ in $S^{1} \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{*} . T=S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(k_{1}^{*}\right)^{n-1}$ by projecting onto the first $n-1$ diagonal entries. In the notation of [1, Section 4], $H^{*}(T) \cong Z_{l}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right] . W \cong \sum_{n}[12$, p. 115] and acts by permuting the diagonal entries of $T$. If we let $x_{n} \equiv-\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}\right)$ then the induced action on $H^{*}(T)$ is the action of $\sum_{n}$ on $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.

Since the Brauer lift of the natural modular representation restricted to $S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is a homomorphism into the diagonal matrices of determinant 1 , $\eta \mid B S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)$ factors through $B S U \rightarrow B U$ where $S U$ is the infinite special unitary group.

$$
H^{*}(B S U) \cong Z_{l}\left[s c_{2}, \ldots, s c_{n}\right]
$$

where the $s c_{i}$ are the images of $c_{i}$ under the map $H^{*}(B U) \rightarrow H^{*}(B S U)$. Therefore

$$
\eta^{*}\left(c_{i}\right) \mid B S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)=\left(\eta \mid B S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{*}\left(s c_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } i \geq 2
$$

Let $\bar{T}$ be the diagonal subgroup of $S U(n), \bar{T} \cong\left(S^{1}\right)^{n-1}$. The Weyl group acts on $\bar{T}$ by permuting the diagonal entries [12, p. 115]. If we write

$$
H^{*}(B \bar{T}) \cong Z_{l}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right]
$$

and if we define $y_{n} \equiv-\left(y_{1}+\cdots+y_{n-1}\right)$ then the Weyl group acts on $H^{*}(B T)$ as the full symmetric group on the set $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. We also have that $\eta^{*} \mid B S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)$ pulls $y_{i}$ back to $x_{i}$ for all $i$. In this notation the $s c_{i}$ are the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomials in the $y_{i}$. In particular $\eta^{*}\left(c_{i}\right) \mid B S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in the $x_{i}$ where

$$
H^{*}\left(S T_{n-1}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n}=-\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n-1}\right)
$$

The result now follows. Q.E.D.

$$
\text { 4. } S P_{2 m}
$$

Let $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ be the intersection of the diagonal subgroup of $G L_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ with $S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$. If the matrices are written with respect to a symplectic basis then a diagonal matrix $\left(\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{2 m}$ will be in $S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ if $\lambda_{i+m}=\lambda_{i}^{-1}$. This implies that $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(F_{q}\right) \cong\left(F_{q}^{*}\right)^{m}$. The normalizer of $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ in $S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ is generated by: (a) matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{m} & 0 \\
0 & p_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $p_{m}$ is an $m \times m$ permutation matrix; (b) matrices which by conjugation on a diagonal matrix transpose the $i$ th and $(i+m)$ th diagonal entries; and (c) $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(F_{q}\right)$. Therefore $|N|=2^{m} m!(q-1)^{m}$. The order of the group is

$$
\left|S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)\right|=q^{m^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(q^{2 j}-1\right) \quad[7, \text { chapter } 1]
$$

If $l$ is an odd prime which divides $q-1$ then as before $\left[S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right): N\right]$ is an $l$-adic unit and $H^{*}\left(S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(N)$ is a monomorphism.

If $\bar{N}$ is the subgroup of $N$ generated by matrices of type (a) and (c) then $\bar{N} \cong$ $\sum_{m} 乙 F_{q}^{*}$ and $[N: \bar{N}]=2^{m}$. Since $l$ is odd this implies that

$$
H^{*}\left(S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\bar{N})
$$

is a monomorphism. As in the previous case, [1, Lemma 3.4] assures the existence of abelian subgroups, $A_{i}$, of $\bar{N}$ of exponent $l^{a}$ where $l^{a} \mid q-1$, $a \geq 1$, such that $H^{*}(\bar{N}) \rightarrow \prod_{i} H^{*}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is 1-1. It then follows, as described previously, that $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of diagonal matrices. In order to complete this case, we must show that this conjugation can be carried out inside $S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right)$ (i.e., there is a symplectic basis under which all elements of $A_{i}$ are simultaneously diagonalized).

Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 m}$ be a basis of $V$ under which all of $A_{i}$ is simultaneously diagonalized. Such a basis exists since $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of diagonal matrices. If $a \in A_{i}$ then $a v_{i}=\lambda_{i}(a) v_{i}$ where $\lambda_{i}(a) \in F_{a}^{*}$. Since $p \neq 2$ our scalar
product is alternate (i.e., $(v, v)=0$ for all $v \in V$ ). Therefore there is a $v_{i}$, $2 \leq i \leq 2 m$, for which $\left(v_{1}, v_{i}\right) \neq 0$. We might as well assume that $i=1+m$ and that $\left(v_{1}, v_{1+m}\right)=1$. Since $a$ is symplectic, $\lambda_{1+m}(a)=\lambda_{1}(a)^{-1}$. If we now complete $\left\{v_{1}, v_{1+m}\right\}$ to a basis

$$
\left\{v_{1}, v_{1+m}, w_{2}, \ldots, \hat{w}_{i+m}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right\}
$$

for $V$ so that $\left(v_{1}, w_{i}\right)=\left(v_{1+m}, w_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i[10, \mathrm{pp} .79-80]$ then the space spanned by the $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ forms a subrepresentation space for $A_{i}$. For if

$$
a w_{i}=\mu_{1} v_{1}+\mu_{1+m} v_{1+m}+\cdots
$$

then $\mu_{m+1}=\left(a w_{i}, v_{1}\right)=\left(w_{i}, a^{-1} v_{1}\right)=0$ and similarly for $\mu_{1}$. By finite induction we can find our desired symplectic basis and we get the following proposition.

Proposition 4. If $l$ is an odd prime which divides $q-1$ then

$$
H^{*}\left(S p_{2 m}\left(F_{q}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(F_{q}\right) ; Z_{l}\right)
$$

is a monomorphism.
Proof of Theorem 2. We again pass to $k_{1}$ and get $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right) \cong\left(k_{1}^{*}\right)^{m}$. Therefore $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$.
Let us choose as the isomorphism from $\left(k_{1}^{*}\right)^{m}$ to $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)$ the projection onto the first $m$ diagonal entries. Then $W$ acts by permuting the first $m$ diagonal entries (simultaneously permuting the last $m$ diagonal entries in the identical manor) and by transposing the $i$ th and $(i+m)$ th entries. Since the first Chern class of a dual representation is equal to minus the first Chern class of the representation [6, Appendix] $W$ acts by permuting the $x_{i}$ and by sending $x_{i} \rightarrow-x_{i}$. It follows then that $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{W}$ is generated by symmetric polynomials in the $x_{i}^{2}$.

The induced complex representation restricted to $\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is a homomorphism into a diagonal subgroup of $U_{2 m}(\mathbf{C})$. This is the subgroup of all diagonal matrices whose $(i+m)$ th diagonal entry is the inverse of the $i$ th diagonal entry, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let

$$
S p_{2 m}(\mathbf{C}) \stackrel{( }{\hookrightarrow} U_{2 m}(\mathbf{C})
$$

be the natural inclusion. Then the diagonal subgroup of $S p_{2 m}(\mathbf{C}), T^{\prime}$, is the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $U_{2 m}(\mathbf{C})$ just described. Suppose $j$ also represents the induced map from $B S_{p} \rightarrow B U$; then

$$
H^{*}(B S p) \cong Z_{l}\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right]
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th universal symplectic Pontryagin class and within sign $e_{i}=j^{*}\left(c_{2 i}\right)[11,9.6]$. Let $T$ be the diagonal subgroup of $U_{2 m}(\mathbf{C})$ then

$$
\left.H^{*}(T) \cong Z_{l}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 m}\right], \quad H^{*}\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]
$$

and $j^{*}\left(y_{i}\right)=v_{i}, j^{*}\left(y_{i+m}\right)=-v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. With this notation $e_{i}$ is the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial on $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$.

The above analysis implies that $\lambda \mid B \widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)$ factors through $B S p$ and in fact

$$
\lambda^{*}\left(c_{2 i}\right) \mid B \widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)=\left(\lambda \mid B \widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{*}\left(e_{i}\right)
$$

It now follows from the product formula for Chern classes and from the previous remarks that $\lambda^{*}\left(c_{2 i}\right) \mid B \widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in the $x_{i}^{2}$ where $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{T}_{m}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$. Q.E.D.

Note. As remarked previously, in the appendix to [1] it is shown that

$$
\lambda: B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B U
$$

factors through $B S p$. Letting $\lambda$ also designate the map $B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow B S p$ then

$$
H^{*}\left(B S p_{2 m}\left(k_{1}\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[\lambda^{*}\left(e_{1}\right), \ldots, \lambda^{*}\left(e_{n}\right)\right]\right.
$$

and Corollary 2.1 follows from the fact that $H^{*}(B S p) \cong Z_{l}\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots\right]$.

## 5. $B U_{n}$

For the final case, $G=U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right) \leq G L_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$. Let $T=U T_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices. If matrices are written with respect to a unitary basis then the diagonal matrix $\left(\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)$ is in $U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ iff $\lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{q+1}=1$. The elements $\lambda_{i} \in F_{q^{2}}$ which have the above property form a cyclic subgroup of order $q+1, Z_{q+1}$, in $F_{q^{2}}^{*}$. This implies that $U T_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right) \cong\left(Z_{q+1}\right)^{n}$. Since the permutation matrices are all unitary, it follows that $N$, the normalizer of $U T_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ in $U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\sum_{n} 乙 Z_{q+1}$ and $|N|=n!(q+1)^{n}$.

$$
\left|U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)\right|=q \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(q^{j}-(-1)^{j}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\left[U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right): N\right]=q \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{j}-(-1)^{j}}{j(q+1)}
$$

Lemma. If $l$ is odd and $l \mid q+1, l \neq p$, then $\left(q^{j}-(-1)^{j}\right) / j(q+1)$ is an l-adic unit.

Proof. Suppose $q+1=k l^{n}$ where $l \nmid k$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{j}-(-1)^{j} & =\left(k l^{n}-1\right)^{j}-(-1)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{j}\binom{j}{s}\left(k l^{n}\right)^{s}(-1)^{j-s}-(-1)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{j}\binom{j}{s}\left(k l^{n}\right)^{s}(-1)^{j-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (1)

$$
\frac{q^{j}-(-1)^{j}}{j(q+1)}=\frac{j(-1)^{j-1}+\sum_{s=2}^{j} k^{s-1} l^{n(s-1)}(-1)^{j-s}}{j}
$$

If $j$ is an $l$-adic unit then the result is obvious. So suppose $j=b l^{\mu}, \mu \geq 1$, and $b$ is prime to $l$. Dividing in formula (1) gives us

$$
\frac{q^{j}-(-1)^{j}}{j(q+1)}=1+\frac{1}{b l^{\mu}} \sum_{s=2}^{l \mu}\binom{l^{\mu}}{s} k^{s-1} l^{n(s-1)}(-1)^{j-s}
$$

This will be an $l$-adic unit if

$$
l^{\mu+1} \left\lvert\,\binom{ l^{\mu}}{s} l^{n(s-1)} \quad\right. \text { where } 2 \leq s \leq l^{\mu}
$$

We will prove this for $n=1$, which implies all other cases (i.e., we will show that

$$
l^{\mu+2} \left\lvert\,\binom{ l^{\mu}}{s} \cdot l^{s}\right.
$$

for $\left.2 \leq s \leq l^{\mu}\right)$.

$$
\binom{l^{\mu}}{s}=\prod_{r=1}^{s} \frac{l^{\mu}-(r-1)}{r}
$$

If $s$ is prime to $l$ then for every term in the denominator of the form $t \cdot l^{m}$ ( $t$ prime to $l$ ) there corresponds in a $1-1$ fashion the term $l^{\mu}-t \cdot l^{m}$ in the numerator. Taking into consideration the first term in the numerator, $l^{\mu}$, and the fact that $s \geq 2$ we conclude that

$$
l^{\mu+2} \left\lvert\,\binom{ l^{\mu}}{s} l^{s}\right.
$$

if $s$ is prime to $l$.
Suppose $s=t \cdot l^{m}, m \geq 1$.

$$
\binom{l^{\mu}}{t l^{m}}=\binom{l^{\mu}}{t l^{m}-1} \cdot \frac{l^{\mu}-\left(t l^{m}-1\right)}{t l^{m}} .
$$

Since $t l^{m}-1$ is prime to $l$ it follows that

$$
l^{\mu-m} \left\lvert\,\binom{ l^{\mu}}{t l^{m}}\right.
$$

To finish the proof we note that $l^{m+1} \mid l^{l^{m}}$, since $x+1 \leq l^{x}, l \geq 3$, for all real $x$. Q.E.D.

The previous lemma implies that $H^{*}\left(U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(N ; Z_{l}\right)$ is a monomorphism if $l$ is an odd prime dividing $q+1$. Since $N \cong \sum_{n} 乙 Z_{q+1}$ there are abelian subgroups $A_{i}$, of $N$, of exponent $l^{a} \mid q+1, a \geq 1$, with the property that $H^{*}(N) \rightarrow \Pi_{i} H^{*}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is 1-1. By the usual argument $A_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of diagonal matrices. We now have to show that this conjugation can be carried out inside $U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)$ (i.e., there is a unitary basis which diagonalizes all of $A_{i}$.

If we can find an eigenvector $v$ such that $(v, v) \neq 0$ then we can construct our unitary basis of eigenvectors by finite induction. Suppose $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ is a diagonalizing basis for $A_{i}$ and suppose ( $v_{1}, v_{1}$ ) $=0$. Let us look at the set $\delta=\left\{v_{i} \mid\left(v_{1}, v_{i}\right) \neq 0\right\}$, nonempty by the nonsingularity of the scalar product. We might as well assume that $\delta=\left\{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\} s \geq 2$ and that $\left(v_{1}, v_{i}\right)=1$, $2 \leq i \leq s$. If $a v_{i}=\lambda_{i}(a) v_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s, a \in A_{i}, \lambda_{i}(a) \in F_{q^{2}}$ then $1=\left(v_{1}, v_{i}\right)=$ $\left(a v_{1}, a v_{i}\right)=\lambda_{1}(a) \overline{\lambda_{i}(a)}$. Since the exponent of $A_{i}$ divides $q+1, \lambda_{i}(a)^{q+1}=1$ which implies that $\lambda_{i}(a)=\lambda_{1}(a), 2 \leq i \leq s$. Let $V^{1}$ be the subspace generated by $\delta$. The scalar product restricted to $V^{1}$ must also be nonsingular and since every vector in $V^{1}$ is an eigenvector we are done.

Proposition 5. If $l$ is an odd prime which divides $q+1$ then

$$
H^{*}\left(U_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right) ; Z_{l}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(U T_{n}\left(F_{q^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

is a monomorphism.
Proof of Theorem 3. As in [1, Theorem 4.7], $\delta^{*}\left(c_{i}\right) \mid B U T_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is the $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in the $x_{i}$ where

$$
H^{*}\left(B U T_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \cong Z_{l}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

Therefore the argument is completely analogous to the case $G L_{n}\left(k_{1}\right)$ of [1].
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