SUBGROUPS WITH TRIVIAL MAXIMAL INTERSECTION

STEVEN BAUMAN

In a group G, let $\Phi(G)$ be the intersection of all maximal subgroups. If $H \leq G$, then it is clear that $H \leq \Phi(G)$ if and only if $H \leq M$ for every maximal subgroup M of G. It is well known that if G is finite then $\Phi(G)$ is a nilpotent group. It follows that if $H \cap M = H$ for all maximal subgroups M of a finite group G, then H is nilpotent. In this note we will consider a similar situation.

DEFINITION. A subgroup H of G is said to satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$ if for any maximal subgroup M of G either $H \cap M = H$ or $H \cap M = \langle 1 \rangle$.

It is proved in [1] that if G is finite and solvable then if H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$, H is nilpotent. In this note we provide more information about H. In particular, we say something of the embedding of H in G when H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

All groups will be finite and most notations standard. We use $M < \cdot G$ for M being a maximal subgroup of G.

LEMMA 1. Let $K \leq H < G$ with H satisfying $\mathcal{P}(G)$. If $N \triangleleft G$ then K satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and HN/N satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G/N)$.

Proof. The statement about K is clear. Let $M/N < \cdot G/N$. Then Dedekind's theorem yields

$$\frac{HN}{N} \cap \frac{M}{N} = \frac{(H \cap M)N}{N}.$$

Since H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ the result follows.

There are some particular situations where subgroups H satisfying $\mathcal{P}(G)$ arise. For example, if $H \leq \Phi(G)$ or $H \leq N$ where N is a minimal normal subgroup of a solvable group G, then H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Let G be a Frobenius group with kernel N and complement M. If N is minimal normal in G and $H \leq \Phi(M)$, then H is easily seen to satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Thus Frobenius actions sometimes give rise to subgroups satisfying $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

DEFINITION. A group H is said to be of Frobenius type if it has Sylow p-subgroups which are cyclic for p > 2 and cyclic or generalized quaternion for p = 2.

LEMMA 2. Let H satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$ in a solvable group G. If N is a minimal normal complemented subgroup of G with (|H|, |N|) = 1, then either

- (1) [H, N] = 1 or
- (2) H is of Frobenius type.

Received February 3, 1975.

Proof. Let $M < \cdot G$ be a complement to N in G. Since (|H|, |N|) = 1, by choosing conjugates, we may assume that $H \le M$. Suppose that for some $n \in N$ we have $H \cap H^n \ne \langle 1 \rangle$. By Lemma 1 we know that H^n satisfies $\mathscr{P}(G)$ and thus $H^n \le M$. Therefore $H^n \le HN \cap M = H$ and by comparing orders we see that $H^n = H$. It follows that $[H, n] \le H \cap N = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $n \in C(H)$. Thus if $H \cap H^n \ne 1$ then $n \in C_N(H)$. Since (|H|, |N|) = 1, Fitting's theorem implies that $N = [N, H] \times C_N(H)$. If $[N, H] = \langle 1 \rangle$ then we have (1). Thus assume $[N, H] \ne 1$. Let X = [N, H]H. Since no nonidentity element of [N, H] centralizes H, it follows that $N_X(H) = H$ and that the conjugates of H are a TI set. Thus X is a Frobenius group with complement H. It follows that H is of Frobenius type.

LEMMA 3. If H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ and N is a minimal normal abelian subgroup of G with $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ then either

- (1) $H \leq \Phi(G)$ or
- (2) HN is a p-group.

Proof. If $N \leq \Phi(G)$ then since $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ and H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ we have that $H \leq \Phi(G)$. Thus assume N is complemented in G by the maximal subgroup M. Since $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ we must have that $H \cap M = \langle 1 \rangle$. However it also follows that $H \cap M^g = \langle 1 \rangle$ for any $g \in G$. Since (G: M) is prime power, Sylow's theorem therefore forces H to be a p-group for some prime p. Since $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ the proof is complete.

THEOREM 1. If G is solvable and H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ then one of the following is true:

- (1) $H \leq \Phi(G)$.
- (2) H is elementary abelian of prime power order.
- (3) H is of Frobenius type.

Proof. Let G be a minimal counterexample to the theorem. Thus G contains a subgroup H satisfying $\mathcal{P}(G)$ but not satisfying (1) or (2) or (3). We will show this leads to a contradiction. Since H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$ it is clear that $H \cap \Phi(G) = 1$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Case 1. Suppose $H \cap N = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since HN/N satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G/N)$ the minimality of G forces $HN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$. If $N \leq \Phi(G)$, it follows that $\Phi(G/N) = \Phi(G)/N$. This is a contradiction to $H \not\subset \Phi(G)$. Thus N is complemented. Let M be a maximal subgroup complementing N. Suppose core $G(M) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ and let G(G) be a minimal normal subgroup of G inside of G(G). Since G(G) and G(G) and G(G) we may choose G(G) so that G(G) and G(G). Therefore G(G) are G(G) and G(G) are G(G) and G(G) are G(G) are G(G) and G(G) are G(G) are G(G) and G(G) are G(G) are G(G) are G(G) and G(G) are G(G) are G(G). Therefore G(G) are G(G).

 $\langle 1 \rangle$. Knowing that $H \not< M$ we have $HT/T \not< M/T$. Therefore $HT/T \not< \Phi(G/T)$. Since $HT/T \cong H$ and G is a minimal counterexample we must have a contradiction. Thus core $_G(M) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and C(N) = N. It follows that $O_p(G/N) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and thus $(|\Phi(G/N)|, |N|) = 1$. Since $HN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$ we have that (|H|, |N|) = 1. Lemma 2 yields a contradiction.

Case 2. If $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ then, by Lemma 3, HN is a p-group. Since $H \cap \Phi(G) = 1$, N is complemented. Suppose M is a maximal subgroup complementing N. Since $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, then $H \cap M = \langle 1 \rangle$. If core $_G(M) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, then we can produce a minimal normal subgroup T of G such that $H \cap T = \langle 1 \rangle$. This situation was argued in Case 1. Thus C(N) = N and $O_p(G/N) = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since $H \cap N \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ and H satisfies $\mathscr{P}(G)$ then $HN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$. Thus HN/N is a subnormal p-group of G/N. This forces $H \leq N$. This final contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Putting Theorem 1 together with the result of [1] demonstrating that H is nilpotent gives us more information on the structure of H. In fact, if H is not abelian, nor a subgroup of $\Phi(G)$, then it must be a direct product of a quaternion group and a cyclic group of odd order. Such nonabelian groups may occur as the following example will show. Let Q be a quaternion group of order 8 with generators a and b of order 4. Let C_2 be a cyclic group of order 2 with generator t. Consider X as the wreath product of Q by C_2 . Denote the base group of X by $Q \times \overline{Q}$ where $a^t = \overline{a}$, $b^{\overline{t}} = \overline{b}$. It is not difficult to show that $\mathbf{Z}(X) =$ $\langle a^2 \bar{a}^2 \rangle$. If $H = \{ u\bar{u} \mid u \in Q \}$, then $\Phi(X) = H \langle a^2 \rangle = H \langle \bar{a}^2 \rangle$. It is clear that $\mathbf{Z}(X) < H < \Phi(X) < X$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ is cyclic it is well known that for any p > 2, X admits a faithful irreducible representation over GF(p). Let N be a representation module and let $G = N \rtimes X$ with the natural action of the representation. We claim that H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$. If $H < \Phi(X)$ then HN/N < $\Phi(G/N)$. Therefore if N < M < C it follows that $H \leq M$. Since N is minimal normal and C(N) = N, it follows that the only other maximal subgroups of G are the conjugates of X by elements of N. Let $z \in \mathbf{Z}(X)$ be the unique involution in H. It is clear that X = C(z). Suppose that $H \cap X^n \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $H \cong O$ we must have $z \in X^n$. Thus z and nzn^- are both in $X \cap N\langle z \rangle$. It follows that $z = nzn^-$ or that n = 1. Therefore if $H \cap X^n \neq \infty$ $\langle 1 \rangle$ then $H \leq X^n$. Note again that $H \cong Q$ and thus H need not be abelian.

If H is a cyclic group, find a cyclic group C such that $H = \Phi(C)$. By the Dirichlet theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions we can choose a prime p such that $p \equiv 1$ (|C|). Thus C acts faithfully on a cyclic group N such that |N| = p Let $G = N \bowtie C$ with this natural action. It is not hard to see that H satisfies $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

In the examples above, the groups H satisfying $\mathcal{P}(G)$ behave in the following manner. There is in each case a normal subgroup N of G such that $H \cap N = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $NH/N < \Phi(G/N)$. The following theorem shows that this is not a random occurrence.

THEOREM 2. Let G be solvable and H satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$. There exists an $N \triangleleft G$ (perhaps trivial) with $H \cap N = \langle 1 \rangle$ such that one of the following occurs:

- (1) |H| is a prime.
- (2) $HN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$.
- (3) HN/N is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G/N.

Proof. As usual the proof will proceed by induction on |G|. Let T be minimal normal in G and assume $H \cap T = \langle 1 \rangle$. By induction on HT/T in G/T, there is an $N/T \lhd G/T$ such that |HN/N| is a prime, $HN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$ or HN/N is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G/N. Further, since $HT/T \cap N/T = T/T$, it follows that $HT \cap N = (H \cap N)T = T$. Thus $H \cap N \leq T$ and $H \cap N = \langle 1 \rangle$. If $H \cap T \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, we may assume that T is complemented and by Lemma 3 that HT is a p-group. Let M be any complement to T in G. Since $H \cap T \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, it follows that $H \cap M = \langle 1 \rangle$. If core $G(M) \neq 1$, we can find a minimal normal subgroup of G which intersects $G(M) \neq 1$ this case has been handled. Thus G(T) = T and G(G/T) is trivial. Since G(M) = T and G(G/T) is a G(G/T). Since G(G/T) is a G(G/T). Since G(G/T) is a G(G/T) is a G(G/T).

We may say something a little more about the embedding in Theorem 2 if option (3) occurs.

THEOREM 3. Let G be solvable and H satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Suppose there is a minimal normal subgroup N with (|N|, |H|) = 1 such that HN/N is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G/N. Then either

- (1) |H| is prime or
- (2) H is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Proof. If N is complemented then Lemma 2 implies that H is of Frobenius type or $[H, N] = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since H is elementary abelian, by hypothesis we may assume the second alternative. Suppose $HN/N \leq K/N$ where K/N is a chief factor of G/N. We may also assume |HN/N| > 1. Since $C_K(N) > N$ it follows that $N < \mathbf{Z}(K)$. The hypothesis also implies that (|K/N|, |N|) = 1. Thus the Schur splitting theorem yields that $K = N \times L$, where L is a minimal normal subgroup of G and $K \leq L$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Let H satisfy $\mathcal{P}(G)$ in a solvable group G, and N a normal subgroup of G with (|H|, |N|) = 1. Suppose HN/N is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G/N. Then either

- (1) |H| is prime or
- (2) H is contained in a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Use Theorem 3 and work down a chief series of G in N.

In this section we consider the case in which $\mathcal{P}(G)$ on H is relaxed to where $H \cap M \triangleleft H$ for all maximal subgroups of G. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let G be solvable and $H \leq G$ such that $H \cap M \triangleleft H$ for every $M < \cdot G$. If the quarternion group is not involved in H then $H/H \cap \Phi(G)$ is supersolvable.

In proving Theorem 4 we consider groups which are not supersolvable but in which every proper subgroup is supersolvable. Such groups have been studied in [2] by Doerk. We list the results needed in the next lemma.

Lemma 4. If H is a nonsupersolvable group all of whose proper subgroups are supersolvable, then H contains a normal p-Sylow subgroup H_p for some prime p. It also follows that:

- (1) $H_p/\Phi(H_p)$ is a noncyclic chief factor of H.
- (2) Chief factors of H above H_p and below $\Phi(H_p)$ are all cyclic.
- (3) $\Phi(H_p) \leq \mathbf{Z}(H_p)$.
- (4) If p > 2, $\exp(H_p) = p$ and if p = 2 then $\exp(H_p) \le 4$.
- (5) H/K is supersolvable if and only if $H_p \leq K$.

Proof. The proofs of (1)-(4) appear in [2]. To prove (5) note that (2) implies that H/H_p is supersolvable. Thus if $H_p \leq K$, H/K is also supersolvable. Conversely, since H/H_p is supersolvable, $H/H_p \cap K$ is also. Since $H_p/\Phi(H_p)$ is a chief factor of H, $\Phi(H_p) \cdot (H_p \cap K)$ equals $\Phi(H_p)$ or H_p . The first alternative contradicts the supersolvability of $H/H_p \cap K$ while the second yields the result by using the nongenerating property of the Frattini subgroup.

Proof of Theorem 4. We proceed by induction on |G| and |H|. We note that the hypothesis which H satisfies in G inherits to HN/N in G/N for all $N \triangleleft G$ and to X in G where $X \leq H$.

- (1) $\Phi(G)$ is trivial. If not, choose $N \cdot \triangleleft G$ where $N \leq \Phi(G)$. By induction on HN/N in G/N, and the fact that $\Phi(G/N) = \Phi(G)/N$, it follows that $H/H \cap \Phi(G)$ is supersolvable.
- (2) G is primitive. Let $N \cdot \lhd G$ and $\Phi_N/N = \Phi(G/N)$. It is clear that $\Phi_N = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M$ where the intersection runs over all maximal subgroups of G containing N. As in (1), it follows that $H/H \cap \Phi_N$ is supersolvable, and thus $H/H \cap M$ is supersolvable for all $M < \cdot G$ containing N. If core $G(M) \neq 1$ for all $M < \cdot G$, then by the formation property of supersolvables we find that $H/H \cap \Phi(G)$ is supersolvable. Thus we may assume that there is an $M < \cdot G$ in which core G(M) is trivial.

By induction on proper subgroups of H, and noting that $\Phi(G) = 1$, we may assume that H is a minimal nonsupersolvable group. Thus all the notation and results of Lemma 4 apply to the subgroup H. Further, if N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G, then, by induction on G/N and Lemma 4(5), it follows that $H_pN/N \leq \Phi(G/N)$.

(3) |N| is relatively prime to p. If $|N| = p^{\alpha}$ then, since G is primitive, $O_p(G/N)$ is trivial. Since $H_pN/N \le \Phi(G/N)$ it follows that $H_p \le N$. Let Q be a complement to H_p in H. We may choose $M < \cdot G$ such that $M \cap N$ is trivial and $Q \le M$. It follows that $H \cap M = Q$. Thus $Q \lhd H$ and this forces $H = H_p \times Q$ to be supersolvable. This contradiction assures the result.

Since (|N|, p) = 1 we have by Fitting's theorem that $N = [N, H_p] \times C_N(H_p)$. Let X be the first factor. Since C(N) = N, X is not trivial. Choose $M < \cdot G$ with $M \cap N$ trivial and $H_p \le M$. By (3) this is possible. Suppose that for some $n \in N$, $H_p \le M^n$. It follows that $H_pN \cap M^n = H_p = H_p^n$. Therefore $H_p \le M^n$ if and only if $n \in C_N(H_p)$.

(4) $\Phi(H_p)$ is trivial. By Maschke's theorem, $X = \bigoplus J_i$ where the J_i are irreducible H_p invariant subgroups of X. Let $C_i = C_{H_p}(J_i)$. It is clear that $\bigcap C_i = C_{H_n}(X)$. Since C(N) = N and $N = X \times C_N(H_n)$, it follows that $C_{H_p}(X)$ is trivial. Let $L_i = J_i H_p$. For any $t \in J_i^{\#}$, consider the group $H_p \cap H_p^t$. Recall that $H \cap M^t \triangleleft H$ and thus also $H_n \cap M^t \triangleleft H$. If $H/H_n \cap M^t$ is supersolvable it follows from Lemma 4(5) that $H_p \leq M^t$. By the preceding comment this forces $t \in C_N(H_p)$ which contradicts the fact that $J_i^\# \cap C_N(H_p) =$ \emptyset . Since $H_p/\Phi(H_p)$ is a chief factor of H and $H_p \not < M^t$, we may conclude that $H_p \cap M^t \leq \Phi(H_p) \leq \mathbf{Z}(H_p)$. Therefore $H_p \cap H_p^t \leq \mathbf{Z}(H_p)$. A dual argument shows that $H_p \cap H_p^t \leq \mathbf{Z}(H_p^t)$. Since H_p and H_p^t are distinct maximal subgroups of L_i , it follows that $H_p \cap H_p^t \leq C_i$. Thus if $t \in J_i^\#$, then $H_p \cap H_p^t \leq$ C_i . It follows that the group L_i/C_i is a Frobenius group with complement H_p/C_i . By the structure of Frobenius complements and Lemma 4, if p > 2 we may conclude that $(H_n: C_i) \leq p$. Thus $\Phi(H_n) \leq C_i$ for each i and since $\bigcap C_i = 1$, (4) follows. If p = 2, since the quarternions are not present in H, H_p/C_i might be cyclic of order 4. In any case, since $\bigcap C_i = 1$, H_p is abelian of exponent ≤ 4 . Let Q be a complement to H_p in H. It follows that

$$H_p = [H_p, Q] \times C_{H_p}(Q).$$

If the first factor is not H_p then $H = [H_p, Q]Q \times C_{H_p}(Q)$ is supersolvable. Thus we may assume that $[H_p, Q] = H_p$ or $C_{H_p}(Q) = 1$. It follows that $\Phi(H_p)$ is a normal elementary abelian subgroup of the supersolvable group $\Phi(H_p)Q$. Therefore $\Phi(H_p) \leq C_{H_p}(Q)$ and again $\Phi(H_p)$ is trivial.

By Lemma 4, H_p is a minimal normal subgroup of H. Let $L = XH_p$. Suppose for $x \in X$, $H_p \cap H_p^x$ is nontrivial. Since $H_p \cap M^x < H$ and is a nontrivial p-group, the minimality of $H_p < H$ forces $H_p \le M^x$. This implies $x \in C_N(H_p)$. Therefore x = 1 and L is a Frobenius group with complement H_p . Since quaternions are not involved in H, this forces H_p to be cyclic and therefore H is supersolvable. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Examples. (i) Let $Q = \langle a, b \rangle$ be a quaternion group of order 8 and $C = \langle c \rangle$ be cyclic of order 9. Let Q act on C by $c^a = c^-$ and $c^b = c$. We may form $M = C \bowtie Q$ with this action. It is easy to see that $H = \langle c^3, a \rangle$ is a super-

solvable group of order 12. Let F be the field with 37 elements and choose $\varepsilon \in F^{\#}$ where $|\varepsilon| = 9$. It follows that

$$c \to \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon - \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \to \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives a faithful irreducible representation of degree 2 of the group M. Letting N be a representation module we can form the group $G = N \bowtie M$. It is routine to check that $H \cap R \bowtie H$ for all $R < \cdot G$. It is also true that $\Phi(G)$ is trivial and H is *not* nilpotent.

(ii) Let $C = \langle c_1 \rangle \times \langle c_2 \rangle$ where $|C_i| = 4$ and $A = \langle a \rangle$ where |a| = 3. Let A act on C according to the following: $c_1^a = c_1c_2$ and $c_2^a = c_1c_2^2$. Form $G = C \bowtie A$ with the above action. It is easy to check that $H = \langle c_1^2, c_2^2, a \rangle$ has the property that $H \cap R \bowtie H$ for all $R < \cdot G$ but H itself is not supersolvable. Of course $H/H \cap \Phi(G)$ is supersolvable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Steven Bauman, The intersection map of subgroups, Arch. Math., vol. 4 (1974), pp. 338-340.
- K. DOERK, Minimal nicht überauflösbare Gruppen, endlichen Gruppen, Math. Zeitschr., vol. 91 (1966), pp. 198-205.

University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin