

## QUASI-REGULAR IDEALS OF SOME ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

JUTTA HAUSEN<sup>1</sup>

### 1. Introduction

If  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of the abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  such that  $x\alpha = x$  for all  $x$  in  $G$  of order  $p$  then  $\alpha$  is one-to-one and onto [5; 13.1, p. 279]. It follows that the set  $\text{Ann } G[p]$  of all endomorphisms of  $G$  annihilating  $G[p]$  is a quasi-regular (two-sided) ideal of the endomorphism ring  $\text{End } G$  of  $G$ . In general, not every element of  $\text{Ann } G[p]$  is nilpotent which shows that the Jacobson radical  $J(\text{End } G)$  of  $\text{End } G$  need not be nil. It is an easy exercise in ring theory to verify that an ideal  $J$  of a ring  $R$  with identity is quasi-regular if there exists a quasi-regular ideal  $L$  of  $R$  such that  $(J + L)/L$  is nil. Thus, for endomorphism rings of abelian  $p$ -groups, the famous problem whether the Jacobson radical needs to be nil reduces to the question whether  $J(\text{End } G)$  is a nil extension of the quasi-regular ideal  $L = \text{Ann } G[p]$ .

In this article we show that the answer to this question is affirmative if  $G$  is totally projective. In general, this is not the case: if  $G$  is unbounded and torsion-complete, then  $J(\text{End } G)$  contains elements no power of which annihilate  $G[p]$  [5; 14.6, p. 287].

Throughout the following,  $G$  denotes a totally projective abelian  $p$ -group, where  $p$  is some fixed prime. A complete description of  $J(\text{End } G)$  is given in 3.8: if  $\lambda$  denotes the length of  $G$  then  $J(\text{End } G)$  consists of all  $\varepsilon$  in  $\text{End } G$  for which there exists a finite sequence of ordinals

$$0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_n < \beta_{n+1} = \lambda$$

such that  $p^{\beta_i}G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\beta_{i+1}}G$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . It follows that an ideal of  $\text{End } G$  is quasi-regular if and only if its restriction to  $G[p]$  is a nil ring.

The proof largely depends on a strong decomposition theorem for totally projective  $p$ -groups (2.3) which may be of independent interest.

### 2. Tools

Notation and terminology will follow [2], [3], [4] unless explained otherwise. The word "ideal" will always mean two-sided ideal. A ring is called nil if all of its elements are nilpotent. Given fully invariant subgroups  $A \leq B$  of  $G$ , the set

---

Received May 24, 1976.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by a University of Houston Faculty Development Leave Grant.

of all  $\varepsilon$  in  $\text{End } G$  such that  $B\varepsilon \leq A$  is denoted by  $\text{Ann}(B/A)$ . Clearly,  $\text{Ann}(B/A)$  is an ideal of  $\text{End } G$ . We shall make frequent use of the following result.

2.1. If  $J$  is an ideal of  $\text{End } G$  such that  $J|G[p]$  is nil then  $J$  is quasi-regular.

Let  $\sigma$  be an ordinal. Since  $G$  is totally projective, every endomorphism of  $p^\sigma G$  can be extended to an endomorphism of  $G$  [7; 3.9, p. 252]. Thus, the restriction of  $J(\text{End } G)$  to  $p^\sigma G$  is a quasi-regular ideal of  $\text{End } p^\sigma G$ , and [5; 14.2 and 14.4, pp. 284, 286] implies the following fact.

2.2. If  $\varepsilon \in J(\text{End } G)$  then  $p^\sigma G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\sigma+1}G$  for every ordinal  $\sigma$ .

In order to construct certain endomorphisms, the following decomposition theorem will be needed. If  $\Sigma$  is a set of ordinals,  $\sup \Sigma$  denotes the smallest ordinal that is greater than or equal to every  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma$ . As customary,  $\tau = \{\sigma : \sigma < \tau\}$ .

2.3. **THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a totally projective  $p$ -group of length  $\lambda$ , let  $\tau \leq \lambda$  be a limit ordinal, and let  $T$  be a set of ordinals such that  $T \subseteq \tau$  and  $\tau = \sup T$ . Then there exist  $\Sigma \subseteq T$  and subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of  $G$  satisfying the following: (i)  $\tau = \sup \Sigma$ ; (ii)  $A$  has length  $\tau$  and  $B$  has length  $\lambda$ ; (iii)  $G = A \oplus B$ ; (iv) For all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $p^\sigma G[p] = p^\sigma A[p] \oplus p^{\sigma+1}B[p]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = \omega = \tau$  then  $G$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups [7; 3.5, p. 251] and 2.3 holds with  $\Sigma$  any infinite subset of  $T$  such that  $T \setminus \Sigma$  is infinite. Suppose that  $\lambda > \omega$  and let  $f$  be the Ulm-Kaplansky function of  $G$ , i.e.,

$$f(\mu) = rk(p^\mu G[p]/p^{\mu+1}G[p])$$

for every  $\mu$ . It suffices to construct  $\Sigma \subseteq T$  such that  $\tau = \sup \Sigma$  and functions  $g$  and  $h$  from the ordinals to the cardinals satisfying the following conditions

- (2.4)  $f(\mu) = g(\mu) + h(\mu)$  for every  $\mu$ .
- (2.5)  $\tau = \sup \{\mu + 1 : g(\mu) \neq 0\}$ .
- (2.6)  $\lambda = \sup \{\mu + 1 : h(\mu) \neq 0\}$ .
- (2.7) For each limit ordinal  $\rho < \tau$  such that  $\rho + \omega < \tau$  and for each  $t < \omega$ ,  $\sum_{\rho+\omega \leq \mu < \tau} g(\mu) \leq \sum_{t \leq n < \omega} g(\rho + n)$ .
- (2.8) For each limit ordinal  $\rho < \lambda$  such that  $\rho + \omega < \lambda$  and for each  $t < \omega$ ,  $\sum_{\rho+\omega \leq \mu < \lambda} h(\mu) \leq \sum_{t \leq n < \omega} h(\rho + n)$ .
- (2.9)  $h(\sigma) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

In fact, by [3; 83.6, p. 100], there exist totally projective groups  $A$  and  $B$  whose Ulm-Kaplansky functions are  $g$  and  $h$  respectively. By (2.4), the Ulm-Kaplansky function of  $A \oplus B$  is  $f$ , so that  $G \simeq A \oplus B$  by [3; 83.3, p. 98]. Property (iv) is a direct consequence of (2.9). Since  $f$  is the Ulm-Kaplansky function of  $G$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{\rho+\omega \leq \mu < \lambda} f(\mu) \leq \sum_{t \leq n < \omega} f(\rho + n), \text{ for each limit ordinal } \rho \text{ such that } \rho + \omega < \lambda \text{ and all } t < \omega;$$

furthermore,

(2.11)  $\sup \{\mu + 1: f(\mu) \neq 0, \mu < \sigma\} = \sigma$  if  $\sigma = \lambda$  or  $\sigma < \lambda$  is a limit ordinal [3; 83.6, p. 100].

For convenience, let  $I_\rho = \{\mu: \rho \leq \mu < \rho + \omega\}$  and put  $T_\rho = T \cap I_\rho$ . For the construction of  $\Sigma$ , we distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\tau = v + \omega$  for some  $v < \tau$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that either  $v = 0$  or  $v$  is a limit ordinal [6; pp. 295f, 271f]. Let  $t < \omega$  and consider the cardinals

$$k_t = \sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in T_v} f(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad l_t = \sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in I_v \setminus T_v} f(\mu).$$

Let  $m = \min \{k_t + l_t: t < \omega\}$ . Since  $v < v + \omega = \tau \leq \lambda$ , (2.11) implies

$$k_t + l_t = \sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in I_v} f(\mu) \geq \aleph_0,$$

for each  $t < \omega$ . Hence,  $m \geq \aleph_0$ . If  $k_t < m$  for some  $t < \omega$ , put  $\Sigma = T_v$ . Suppose that  $k_t \geq m$  for all  $t < \omega$ . Then  $T_v$  contains an infinite subset  $T'$  such that, for all  $t < \omega$ ,  $\sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in T'} f(\mu) \geq m$ . In this case, pick any subset  $\Sigma$  of  $T'$  such that both  $\Sigma$  and  $T' \setminus \Sigma$  are infinite. In either case,  $\sup \Sigma = \tau$  and

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in I_v \setminus \Sigma} f(\mu) = \sum_{v+t \leq \mu \in I_v} f(\mu) \geq \aleph_0 \quad \text{for all } t < \omega.$$

*Case 2.*  $\rho < \tau$  implies  $\rho + \omega < \tau$ . Let  $\Delta = \{\rho < \tau: T_\rho \neq \emptyset, \rho \text{ limit}\}$ . For each  $\rho \in \Delta$ , pick  $\sigma_\rho \in T_\rho$  and let  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_\rho: \rho \in \Delta\}$ . Then  $\sup \Sigma = \tau$  [6; pp. 295, 296] as desired. In either case, the set  $\Sigma$  has been constructed. In order to define the functions  $g$  and  $h$ , consider an ordinal  $\rho$  such that either  $\rho = 0$  or  $\rho$  is a limit ordinal for which  $\rho + \omega \leq \tau$ . Let

$$M_\rho = \{\mu \in I_\rho: 0 \neq f(\mu) < \aleph_0, \mu \in \Sigma\}.$$

If  $M_\rho$  is finite, put  $P_\rho = \emptyset$ ; otherwise, pick  $P_\rho \subseteq M_\rho$  such that both  $P_\rho$  and  $M_\rho \setminus P_\rho$  are infinite. Let

$$M = \bigcup \{M_\rho: \rho + \omega \leq \tau, \rho = 0 \text{ or } \rho \text{ limit}\},$$

$$P = \bigcup \{P_\rho: \rho + \omega \leq \tau, \rho = 0 \text{ or } \rho \text{ limit}\},$$

and define  $g$  and  $h$  by

$$g(\mu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tau \leq \mu, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \in M \setminus P, \\ f(\mu) & \text{if } \mu \in P \cup (\tau \setminus M), \end{cases}$$

$$h(\mu) = \begin{cases} f(\mu) & \text{if } \tau \leq \mu, \\ f(\mu) & \text{if } \mu \in \tau \setminus (P \cup \Sigma) \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \in P \cup \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Then (2.9) is satisfied. The fact that  $f(\mu) = f(\mu) + f(\mu)$  whenever  $\mu \in \tau \setminus (M \cup \Sigma)$  implies (2.4); (2.5) and (2.6) follow from (2.11) and (2.12), recalling that either both  $M_\rho$  and  $P_\rho$  are infinite or both are finite. The same argument implies

$$\aleph_0 + \sum_{\rho+t \leq \mu \in M_\rho} f(\mu) \leq \sum_{\rho+t \leq \mu \in I_\rho \setminus M_\rho} f(\rho)$$

for all  $t < \omega$ , whenever  $\rho$  is a limit ordinal such that  $\rho + \omega < \tau$  or  $\rho = 0$ . Thus, (2.7) follows from (2.10). It remains to verify (2.8). Let  $\rho$  be a limit ordinal such that  $\rho + \omega < \lambda$ . Because of (2.11), we may assume  $\rho < \tau$ . If  $\rho + \omega < \tau$ , (2.8) is a consequence of (2.10), (2.11), and the properties of  $M_\rho$  and  $N_\rho$ ; if  $\rho = v$  where  $v + \omega = \tau$ , observe (2.12).

The following easy set theoretical result will be needed.

**2.13. LEMMA.** *Let  $\tau = \{\sigma : \sigma < \tau\}$  be a limit ordinal and let  $f : \tau \rightarrow \tau$  be a function such that  $f(\sigma) > \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \tau$ . Then there exists a subset  $T \subseteq f(\tau)$  such that  $\sup T = \tau$  and, for every  $\Sigma \subseteq T$ ,  $\sup \Sigma = \tau$  implies  $\sup [f^{-1}(\Sigma)] = \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Enlarge the domain of  $f$  by setting  $f(\tau) = \tau$ , ignoring the abuse of notation. Define ordinals  $\eta_\sigma$  inductively by  $\eta_0 = 0$  and  $\eta_\mu = f(\sup \{\eta_\sigma : \sigma < \mu\})$ . Then there exists  $v \leq \tau$  such that  $\eta_v = \tau$ . Let  $v$  be minimal with respect to this property. One verifies that the set  $T = \{\eta_\sigma : \sigma < v\}$  meets the requirements.

**2.14. LEMMA.** *Let  $G$  be totally projective of length  $\lambda$  and let  $\tau \leq \lambda$  be a limit ordinal. Let  $\varepsilon \in \text{End } G$  such that, for all  $\sigma < \tau$ ,  $p^\sigma G[p]\varepsilon \notin p^\tau G$  and  $p^\sigma G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\sigma+1}G$ . Then, for all  $k < \omega$ , there are  $A_k \leq G$ ,  $w_k \in A_k$  and ordinals  $\tau_k$  satisfying the following.*

- (i)  $G = \bigoplus_{k < \omega} A_k \oplus C$  for some  $C \leq G$ .
- (ii) For each  $k < \omega$ ,  $p^{\tau_k} A_k = \langle w_k \rangle = \mathbf{Z}(p)$  and  $\tau_k < \tau_{k+1} < \tau$ .
- (iii) There exist  $\phi, \psi \in \text{End } G$  such that, for all  $k < \omega$ ,  $w_k \phi \varepsilon \psi = w_{k+1}$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, for each  $\sigma < \tau$ , there exists  $y_\sigma \in p^\sigma G[p]$  such that  $y_\sigma \varepsilon \notin p^\tau G$ . Define  $f : \tau \rightarrow \tau$  by  $f(\sigma) = h(y_\sigma \varepsilon)$ . Then  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis of 2.13 and there exists  $T \subseteq \tau$  as described in 2.13. In particular,  $\sup T = \tau$  and 2.3 is applicable. Hence, there are  $A, B \leq G$  of length  $\tau$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively, and  $\Sigma \subseteq T$  such that  $G = A \oplus B$ ,  $\sup \Sigma = \tau$  and, for all  $\mu \in \Sigma$ ,

$$p^\mu G[p] = p^\mu A[p] \oplus p^{\mu+1}B[p].$$

Let  $\Delta = \{\sigma < \tau : h(y_\sigma \varepsilon) \in \Sigma\}$  and let  $\pi : G \rightarrow A$  be the natural projection annihilating  $B$ . Then  $\Delta = f^{-1}(\Sigma)$ , hence, by 2.13,

$$(2.15) \quad \tau = \sup \Delta,$$

and

$$0 \neq y_\sigma \varepsilon \pi \in A \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in \Delta.$$

Since  $A$  is totally projective [3; (A), p. 89] of length  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  is a limit ordinal,

there exist  $H_\sigma \leq A$  such that  $A = \bigoplus_{\sigma < \tau} H_\sigma$ ,  $p^{\sigma+1}H_\sigma = 0$  for all  $\sigma < \tau$  [3; (e), p. 97]. Clearly, every  $a \in A$  has finite support. Thus, for each  $\sigma \in \Delta$ , there exist ordinals  $\eta_\sigma, \rho_\sigma$  such that  $\sigma < \eta_\sigma \leq \rho_\sigma < \tau$  and  $0 \neq y_\sigma \varepsilon \pi \in \bigoplus_{\eta_\sigma \leq \mu \leq \rho_\sigma} H_\mu$ . By (2.15), we may select countably many  $\sigma_k \in \Delta, k < \omega$ , such that  $\sigma_{k+1} \geq \rho_{\sigma_k}$  for all  $k < \omega$ . Simplifying our notation without going through a formal renaming process, we write  $y_k$  instead of  $y_{\sigma_k}$  and  $\rho_k$  instead of  $\rho_{\sigma_k}$ . Let  $v_k = h(y_k)$  and  $\mu_k = h(y_k \varepsilon \pi)$ . Then  $\sigma_k \leq v_k < \mu_k \leq \rho_k \leq \sigma_{k+1}$ , and

$$(2.16) \quad y_k \varepsilon \pi \in L_k \text{ where } L_k = \bigoplus_{\mu_k \leq \mu \leq \rho_k} H_\mu.$$

Clearly,

$$(2.17) \quad G = \bigoplus_{k < \omega} L_k \oplus H$$

for some  $H \leq G$ . By [1; 3.3, p. 15], every totally projective  $p$ -group  $L$  is a direct sum of subgroups each of which has a  $p$ -basis with exactly one minimal element; and the lengths of those summands cannot exceed the length of  $L$ . Using the fact that  $L_k$  has length  $\rho_k + 1$  it follows that, for each  $k < \omega$ ,  $L_k$  has a decomposition of the form  $L_k = A_k \oplus B_k$  where  $p^{\tau_k} A_k = Z(p)$  for some ordinal  $\tau_k$  such that  $\mu_k \leq \tau_k \leq \rho_k$ . Since  $\rho_k \leq \sigma_{k+1} < \mu_{k+1}$ , we have  $\tau_k < \tau_{k+1}$  for all  $k < \omega$ . Let  $w_k \in A_k$  such that  $p^{\tau_k} A_k = \langle w_k \rangle$ . Then  $\tau_k = h(w_k) \leq \rho_k \leq \sigma_{k+1} \leq v_{k+1} = h(y_{k+1})$ . Thus, for each  $k < \omega$ , there is a homomorphism from  $A_k$  to  $G$  mapping  $w_k$  to  $y_{k+1}$  [7; 3.9, p. 252]. Since, for suitable  $C \leq G$ ,  $G = \bigoplus_{k < \omega} A_k \oplus C$ , there exists  $\phi \in \text{End } G$  such that  $w_k \phi = y_{k+1}$  for all  $k < \omega$  [2; 8.1, p. 40]. Likewise,  $h(y_k \varepsilon \pi) = \mu_k \leq \tau_k = h(w_k)$ , and, recalling (2.16) and (2.17), the same argument implies the existence of  $\psi' \in \text{End } G$  such that  $y_k \varepsilon \pi \psi' = w_k$  and thus,  $w_k \phi \varepsilon \pi \psi' = y_{k+1} \varepsilon \pi \psi' = w_{k+1}$  for all  $k < \omega$ . Setting  $\pi \psi' = \psi$ , the conclusion follows.

### 3. Main results

In the following proposition,  $G$  need not be totally projective.

**3.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\varepsilon \in \text{End } G$  and assume the validity of (i), (ii), (iii) of 2.14. Then  $\varepsilon \notin J(\text{End } G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $\varepsilon \in J(\text{End } G)$ . Let  $\pi \in \text{End } G$  be the natural projection of  $G$  onto  $\bigoplus_{k < \omega} A_k$  corresponding to the decomposition (i). Put  $\beta = \phi \varepsilon \psi \pi$ . Then

$$(3.2) \quad w_k \beta = w_{k+1} \text{ for all } k < \omega,$$

$C\beta = 0$ , and  $\beta \in J(\text{End } G)$ . Hence  $1 - \beta$  is an automorphism and there exists  $\gamma \in \text{End } G$  such that  $(1 - \beta)^{-1} = 1 - \gamma$ . A straightforward computation shows that

$$(3.3) \quad \beta = \beta\gamma - \gamma, \quad \beta\gamma = \gamma\beta,$$

and (3.2) implies

$$(3.4) \quad w_{k+1} = w_{k+1}\gamma - w_k\gamma \quad \text{for all } k < \omega.$$

Let  $y = w_0(1 - \gamma)$  and let  $z_n = w_0 + w_1 + \cdots + w_n$ . Then, by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= w_0 + (w_1\gamma - w_0\gamma) + (w_2\gamma - w_1\gamma) + \cdots + (w_n\gamma - w_{n-1}\gamma), \\ &= w_0(1 - \gamma) + w_n\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $y - z_n = (-w_n)\gamma$  has height at least  $\tau_n$  which implies that, for all  $k < \omega$ , the component of  $y$  in the  $k$ th summand of the decomposition 2.14 (i) is  $w_k$ . This is plainly impossible and the proof is completed.

**3.5. THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be totally projective of length  $\lambda$  and let  $\varepsilon \in J(\text{End } G)$ . Then, for each  $0 < \tau \leq \lambda$ , there exists  $\sigma < \tau$  such that  $p^\sigma G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^\tau G$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, by way of contradiction, that, for all  $\sigma < \tau$ ,  $p^\sigma G[p]\varepsilon \not\leq p^\tau G$ . Then, by 2.2,  $\varepsilon$  satisfies the hypothesis of 2.14 and (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Apply 3.1.

**3.6. THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be totally projective of length  $\lambda$  and let  $\varepsilon \in J(\text{End } G)$ . Then there exist finitely many ordinals*

$$0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_n < \beta_{n+1} = \lambda$$

*such that, for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ ,  $p^{\beta_i}G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\beta_{i+1}}G$ .*

*Proof.* Use 3.5 together with the fact that every properly decreasing sequence of ordinals terminates after finitely many steps [6; p. 270].

If  $\varepsilon$  has the properties stated in 3.6 then  $\varepsilon \in \bigcap_{i=0}^n \text{Ann}(p^{\beta_i}G[p]/p^{\beta_{i+1}}G[p])$ , and  $\varepsilon|G[p]$  is nilpotent. Recalling 2.1, we have the following result.

**3.7. COROLLARY.** *Let  $G$  be a totally projective  $p$ -group and let  $J$  be an ideal of  $\text{End } G$ . Then  $J$  is quasi-regular if and only if  $J$  induces in  $G[p]$  a nil ring of endomorphisms.*

The description of the Jacobson radical of  $\text{End } G$  is now complete.

**3.8. THEOREM.** *If  $G$  is a totally projective  $p$ -group of length  $\lambda$  then*

$$J(\text{End } G) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \left( \bigcup_{0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_{n+1} = \lambda} \left[ \bigcap_{i=0}^n \text{Ann}(p^{\beta_i}G[p]/p^{\beta_{i+1}}G[p]) \right] \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $J$  denote the right hand side of this equation. Then  $\varepsilon|G[p]$  is nilpotent for every  $\varepsilon \in J$ . Thus, using 3.6 and 3.7, it remains to show that  $J$  is an ideal. This follows from the fact that, if

$$0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_{n+1} = \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_{m+1} = \lambda$$

are ordinals such that  $\{\beta_i\}_{i \leq n+1} \subseteq \{\gamma_i\}_{i \leq m+1}$  and  $p^{\beta_i}G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\beta_{i+1}}G$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $p^{\gamma_i}G[p]\varepsilon \leq p^{\gamma_{i+1}}G$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ .

## REFERENCES

1. P. CRAWLEY AND A. W. HALES, *The structure of abelian p-groups given by certain presentations*, J. Algebra, vol. 12 (1969), pp. 10–23.
2. L. FUCHS, *Infinite abelian groups*, vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
3. ———, *Infinite abelian groups*, vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
4. N. JACOBSON, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Revised Edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
5. R. S. PIERCE, “Homomorphisms of primary abelian groups” in *Topics in abelian groups*, Scott, Foresman, Chicago, 1963, pp. 215–310.
6. W. SIERPINSKI, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, Warszawa, 1958.
7. E. A. WALKER, *The groups  $P_\beta$* , Symposia Math., vol. 13, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 245–255.

UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON  
HOUSTON, TEXAS