

LACUNARY SPHERICAL MEANS

BY
CALIXTO P. CALDERÓN¹

0. Introduction and statement of results

Professor E. M. Stein introduced in [4] (see also [6]) the maximal function

$$(0.1) \quad S(f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\Sigma} f(x - \epsilon \alpha) d\sigma \right|$$

where f is any Borel measurable function defined on R^n , α is a point on the unit sphere Σ of R^n and $d\sigma$ stands for its “area” element. In the above paper Professor Stein proves the following result: If $n \geq 3$ and $p > n/(n-1)$, then

$$(0.2) \quad \|S(f)\|_p < C_p \|f\|_p.$$

If $p \leq n/(n-1)$ and $n \geq 2$ the result is false; what happens for $n = 2$ and $p > 2$ remains an open problem. Throughout this paper, we shall be concerned with the lacunary version of Stein’s theorem. Define

$$(0.3) \quad \sigma(f)(x) = \sup_{k>0} \left| \int_{\Sigma} f(x - 2^{-k} \alpha) d\sigma \right|$$

where k takes all the natural values. We have the following result:

0.4. THEOREM. *If $n \geq 2$, $p > 1$ and f is Borel measurable in R^n then*

(i) $\|\sigma(f)\|_p < C_p \|f\|_p, \quad p > 1.$

Moreover, we have the following inequality “near” L¹: If Q is a cube in R^n and $\lambda > 1/|Q|$ then

$$(ii) \quad |Q \cap E(\sigma(f) > \lambda)| < \frac{C_1}{\lambda} |Q| + C_2 \frac{|\log \lambda|}{\lambda} \int_{R^n} |f| [1 + (\log^+ |f|) \log^+ \log^+ |f|] dx.$$

The constants C_1 and C_2 depend on n and Q but not on λ or f .

In particular, (ii) implies differentiability a.e. by lacunary spherical means in the Orlicz Class $L(\log^+ L) \log^+ \log^+ L$. Professor S. Wainger communicated to me that part (i) of the above theorem has been obtained also by R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss.

Received January 16, 1978.

¹ The author has been partially supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

© 1979 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Manufactured in the United States of America

I would like to express my gratitude to Professors A. Zygmund and Y. Sagher for helpful discussions concerning the matters of this paper.

1. Auxiliary lemmas

1.1. LEMMA. Let $\hat{K}(x)$ be a radial function defined on R^n . Let

$$w(s) = \sup_{0 < r \leq s} |\hat{K}(r) - \hat{K}(0)| \quad \text{and} \quad v(s) = \sup_{r_1 > s, r_2 > s} |\hat{K}(r_1) - \hat{K}(r_2)|.$$

Assume that $w(s)$ and $v(s)$ satisfy

$$(a) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{v^2(s)}{s} ds < \infty, \quad (\text{aa}) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{w^2(s)}{s} ds < \infty.$$

Then the operator

$$\hat{T}(f) = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left| \int_{R^n} e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} \hat{K}[2^{-k}|y|] \hat{f}(y) dy \right|$$

(k takes natural values only) satisfies

$$(i) \quad \|\hat{T}(f)\|_2 < C_0 \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{w^2(s)}{s} ds + \int_1^\infty \frac{v(s)}{s} ds \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_2.$$

C_0 is independent from f and K if $\hat{K}(0) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(x)$ be a C^∞ radial function such that $\hat{\varphi}$ is C_0^∞ and $\hat{\varphi}(0) = \hat{K}(0)$. Let

$$(1) \quad T_k(f)(x) = \int_{R^n} e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} (\hat{\varphi}[2^{-k}|y|] - \hat{K}[2^{-k}|y|]) \hat{f}(y) dy$$

and

$$M(f) = \sup_k \left| \int_{R^n} 2^{kn} \varphi[2^k(x-y)] f(y) dy \right|.$$

Then we have

$$(1.1.1) \quad |\hat{T}(f)(x)|^2 \leq 4 \{ M^2(f)(x) + \sum_1^\infty |T_k(f)(x)|^2 \}.$$

Integrating and using Plancherel's inequality and estimates (a) and (aa) we get the thesis.

1.2. Remark. The above lemma is a version of the tauberian condition in L^2 (see [4] and [6]).

1.3. LEMMA. Let $K(x)$ be a L^1 function supported on the unit ball of R^n .

Let $w_1(t)$ denote its L^1 -modulus of continuity. Suppose that $w_1(t)$ satisfies the Dini condition

$$(a) \quad \int_0^1 w_1(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Then the maximal operator

$$\overset{*}{T}(f)(x) = \sup_{k>0} \left| 2^{nk} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(2^k(x-y)) f(y) dy \right|$$

satisfies

$$(i) \quad |E(\overset{*}{T}(f) > \lambda)| < C_0 \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{w_1(t)}{t} dt \right) \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$$

where C_0 depends on the dimension only if $\|K\|_1 = 1$.

Proof. Consider the Calderón-Zygmund partition for $f, f \geq 0$: $f = f_1 + f_2$ where $0 \leq f_1 \leq 2^n \lambda$ a.e. and $f_2 = \sum_1^\infty (f - \mu_j) \varphi_j(x)$. Here, $\varphi_j(x)$ stands for the characteristic function of Q_j and the μ_j are the mean values:

$$(1.3.1) \quad \mu_j = \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} f(t) dt, \quad \lambda < \mu_j \leq 2^n \lambda, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(1.3.2) \quad \left| \bigcup_1^\infty Q_j \right| < \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dt.$$

for details see [5, pp.17, 18].

Let $G_\lambda = \bigcup_1^\infty 5Q_j$ where $5Q_j$ stands as usual for the dialation of Q_j 5 times about its center. Let x be a point in $\mathbb{R}^n - G_\lambda$ and consider the convolutions

$$(1.3.3) \quad (K_k * f_2)(x) \quad \text{where} \quad K_k(y) = 2^{nk} K[2^k y].$$

Let y_j be the center of Q_j . The above convolution can be written as

$$(1.3.4) \quad (K_k * f_2)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{Q_j} \{K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)\} f_2(y) dy.$$

In the above summation we have made use of the fact that f_2 has mean value zero over Q_j . Notice also that

$$(1.3.5) \quad \int_{Q_j} \{K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)\} f_2(y) dy = 0$$

provided that $2^k \operatorname{diam}(Q_j) \geq 1$. Thus, if $x \in R^n - G_\lambda$ we have

$$(1.3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j} \{K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)\} f_2(y) dy \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j} |K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)| |f_2(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{2^k < d_j^{-1}} \int_{Q_j} |K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)| |f_2(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

where $d_j \geq \operatorname{diam}(Q_j)$. Notice that the second and third members of the above inequality do not depend on k ; consequently, they constitute a bound for $T^*(f_2)$ on $R^n - G_\lambda$. Integrating the third member of (1.3.6) over $R^n - G_\lambda$ we get

$$(1.3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{R^n - G_\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{2^k < d_j^{-1}} \int_{Q_j} |K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)| |f_2(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j} |f_2(y)| \sum_{2^k < d_j^{-1}} \int_{R^n - 5Q_j} |K_k(x-y) - K_k(x-y_j)| dx \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^1 w_1(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_j} |f_2(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (1.3.5), (1.3.6) and (1.3.7) show that

$$(1.3.8) \quad \int_{R^n - G_\lambda} \overset{*}{T}(f_2) dx \leq C \left(\int_0^1 w_1(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right) \|f\|_1.$$

Assuming that $\int_{R^n} |K| dx = 1$ and using the fact that $0 \leq f_1 \leq 2^n \lambda$ we get

$$(1.3.9) \quad E\{\overset{*}{T}(f_1) > 2^n \lambda\} = \emptyset.$$

We get the thesis by using (1.3.8), (1.3.9), and the fact that

$$|G_\lambda| \leq \frac{5^n}{\lambda} \|f\|_1.$$

The following lemma is related to a one dimensional result due to R. Fefferman (see [1]).

1.4. LEMMA. *Let $K(x)$ be a non-negative monotonic radial function supported on the unit ball. Then, there exists $F \geq K$ such that*

$$(i) \quad \|F\|_1 + \int_0^1 w_1(F, t) \frac{dt}{t} < C_1 + C_2 \int_{|x| \leq 1} K \log^+ K dx.$$

Here, $w_1(F, t)$ denotes L^1 -modulus of continuity of F .

Proof. If $K(r)$ is non-decreasing, it is possible to find a domination of the

form

$$(1.4.1) \quad K(r) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} 2^i \phi_i(x) = F(x)$$

where the $\phi_j(x)$ are characteristic functions of annuli E_j of the form

$$\{x; 0 < r_j \leq |x| < 1\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

If $K(r)$ is non-increasing, it is possible to find a domination of the form

$$(1.4.2) \quad K(r) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} 2^j \varphi_j(x) = F(x)$$

where the $\varphi_j(x)$ are characteristic functions of balls

$$B_j = \{x; 0 < |x| \leq r_j < 1\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots .$$

We are going to assume that we are in the first case since the second one can be dealt with in a similar manner.

The dominant function $F(x)$ can be constructed so that the following two inequalities hold:

$$(1.4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_1^{\infty} 2^k |E_k| &\leq 4 \left(\int_{|x| \leq 1} K(x) dx + |B_0| \right), \\ \sum_1^{\infty} 2^k k |E_k| &\leq C \left(\int_{|x| \leq 1} K \log^+ K dx + |B_0| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, B_0 stands for the unit ball in R^n and $|B_0|$ for its measure. Assume without loss of generality that $2^k |E_k| < 1$ and $r_k > \frac{1}{2}$. Our first task will be to estimate $w_1(F, s)$. We have the trivial inequality

$$(1.4.4) \quad w_1(F, s) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} 2^k w_1(\phi_k, s),$$

thus

$$(1.4.5) \quad \int_0^1 w_1(F, s) \frac{ds}{s} \leq \sum_1^{\infty} 2^k \int_0^1 w_1(\phi_k, s) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

In the above inequalities we have used the notation $w_1(\phi_k, s)$ for the moduli of continuity of the ϕ_k .

The following estimates can be easily verified:

$$(1.4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1(\phi_k, s) &\leq 2 |E_k| \quad \text{if } s > \frac{1}{4}(1 - r_k), \\ w_1(\phi_k, s) &\leq 2n |B_0| s \quad \text{if } s < \frac{1}{4}(1 - r_k). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(1.4.7) \quad \int_0^1 w_1(\phi_k, s) \frac{ds}{s} \leq 2n^{n+1} |E_k| + 2 |E_k| \log \frac{1}{|E_k|}.$$

From (1.4.5) and (1.4.7) we get

$$(1.4.8) \quad \int_0^1 w_1(F, s) \frac{ds}{s} \leq C \|F\|_1 + \sum_1^\infty 2^k |E_k| \log \frac{1}{|E_k|}.$$

Now consider the two families of subindices, $\{k'\}$ and $\{k''\}$, defined as follows:

$$(1.4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \{k'\} & \text{ is the set of } k \text{'s for which } 2^k |E_k| < 3^{-k}, \\ \{k''\} & \text{ is the set of } k \text{'s for which } 2^k |E_k| \geq 3^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4.10) \quad & \sum_1^\infty 2^k |E_k| \log \frac{1}{|E_k|} \\ & \leq \sum_1^\infty 2^k |E_k| |\log 2^k |E_k|| + \int_{B_0} F \log^+ F dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_0} F \log^+ F dx + \sum_{k'} 3^{-k'/2} + \log 3 \sum_{k''} k 2^k |E_k| \\ & \leq \frac{3}{2} + 2 \int_{B_0} F \log^+ F dx. \end{aligned}$$

By combining (1.4.10), (1.4.8), (1.4.5) and (1.4.3) we get the desired result.

Remark. Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 provide a generalization of Theorem 3 in Zo's paper; see [8].

The following lemma is essentially due to L. Carleson and P. Sjölin (see [3, p. 563]). This, however, is a different type of proof.

1.5. LEMMA (Carleson–Sjölin). *Let T be a sublinear operator mapping $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p > 1$, into weak $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$(a) \quad |E(|T(f)| > \lambda)| < \frac{C_0}{(p-1)^\rho} \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \|f\|_p^p, \quad p > 1,$$

where C_0 and ρ are independent from f and p . Let Q be a cube in \mathbb{R}^n and $\lambda > 1/|Q|$; then

$$(i) \quad |Q \cap \{|T(f)| > \lambda\}| < \frac{C_1}{\lambda} |Q| + C_2 \frac{|\log \lambda|}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| [1 + (\log^+ |f|)^\rho \log^+ \log^+ f] dx$$

Here, C_1 and C_2 do not depend on f or λ .

Proof. Let E_k be the set where $2^k < |f| \leq 2^{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$. Let f_k be the function that equals f on E_k and is zero otherwise. Let Q be a given cube in

R^n and choose $\lambda > 1/|Q|$. From (a), taking $p = 1 + 1/k$ we have

$$(1.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |E(|T(f_k)| > \lambda)| &< \frac{C_0}{\lambda^{1+1/k}} k^p 2^k |E_k| \\ &< \frac{C}{\lambda} |Q|^{1/k} k^p 2^k |E_k| \\ &\leq \frac{C(Q)}{\lambda} k^p 2^k |E_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the sets $X_k(\lambda) = E(|T(f_k)| > \lambda)$ and the exceptional set $X(\lambda) = \bigcup_1^\infty X_k(\lambda)$. By (1.5.1) we have

$$(1.5.2) \quad |X(\lambda)| < \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{R^n} |f| (\log^+ |f|)^p dx.$$

Let $D_k(s)$ be the distribution function of $|T(f_k)|$ on $Q - X(\lambda)$. We have the estimates

$$(1.5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{Q-X(\lambda)} \sum_1^\infty |T(f_k)| dx &= \sum_1^\infty \int_0^\lambda D_k(s) ds \\ &\leq \sum_{k: k^2 \leq 1/\lambda} \int_0^\lambda D_k(s) ds + \sum_{k: k^2 > 1/\lambda} \int_0^{1/k^2} D_k(s) ds + \int_{1/k^2}^\lambda D_k(s) ds \\ &\leq |Q| \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{k^2} + C \sum_1^\infty \int_{1/k^2}^\lambda k^p 2^k |E_k| \frac{ds}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \bar{f} be the function that equals f if $|f| \leq 2$ and zero otherwise. Decompose f as $\bar{f} + \sum_k f_k$ and use (a) for \bar{f} with $p = 1 + 1/k_0$ for some fixed k_0 . In order to deal with $\sum_k f_k$ use inequalities (1.5.2) and (1.5.3). This finishes the proof.

1.6. Following E. Stein (see [4]) let us introduce the following kernels:

$$(1.6.1) \quad K_\alpha(r) = \frac{(1-r^2)_+^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad R(\alpha) > 0,$$

and their Fourier transforms

$$(1.6.2) \quad \hat{K}_\alpha(r) = \pi^{-\alpha} r^{-(n/2)-\alpha+1} J_{(n/2)+\alpha-1}(2\pi r).$$

Consider the maximal operators

$$(1.6.3) \quad S_\alpha^*(f) = \sup_{k=1} \left| \int_{R^n} e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} \hat{K}_\alpha(2^{-k} |y|) \hat{f}(y) dy \right|, \quad R(\alpha) > 1/2 - n/2$$

If f is a step function we have (see [4])

$$(1.6.4) \quad \sigma(f) = S_0^*(f).$$

2. Proof of the main result

Write $\alpha = u + iv$ and consider $1/2 - n/2 < u < M$. Using the procedure in [7, pp. 158–159], and the formulas

$$(2.1.1) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |v|^{(n/2)+u-1} e^{-(\pi|v|/2)}, \quad v \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z} \Gamma(z+1), \quad R(z) > 0,$$

(see [7, p. 281 bottom note], we get the estimates

$$(2.1.2) \quad |\hat{K}_\alpha(x)| \leq \min \left(C_1, C_2 \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + u - 1/2\right) \frac{e^{2\pi|v|} |v|^{-(n/2)+u} \left|\frac{n}{2} + \alpha - 1/2\right|}{|x|^{(n/2)+u-1/2}} \right)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are uniform provided $1/2 - n/2 < R(\alpha) < M$. (For similar estimates see [6, pp. 60 and 61].) An application of Lemma 1.1 gives

$$(2.1.3) \quad \|S_\alpha^*(f)\|_{2,\infty}^* \leq \frac{K}{\left|\frac{n}{2} + u - 1/2\right|^{3/2}} |v|^{-(n/2)+u} e^{2\pi|v|} \|f\|_{2,2}^*, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2} < R(\alpha) < M.$$

Here, $\| \cdot \|_{p,q}^*$ is the usual notation for Lorentz's norms. The estimate

$$\int_{|x| \leq 1} |K_\alpha| \log^+ |K_\alpha| dx < \frac{C}{u} e^{\pi(|v|/2)} (1 + |v|)$$

and Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 give

$$(2.1.4) \quad \|S_\alpha^*(f)\|_{(1,\infty)}^* < \frac{C}{u} e^{\pi(|v|/2)} (1 + |v|) \|f\|_{(1,1)}^*.$$

To end the proof of the main result consider the case $n = 2$, a typical one.

Consider step functions f and the analytic family of operators

$$(2.1.5) \quad T_{\alpha(z)}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\langle x,y \rangle} \hat{K}_{\alpha(z)}(2^{-k(x)}|y|) \hat{f}(y) dy$$

where $0 \leq R(z) \leq 1$, $\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{2}[(u-1) + \varepsilon + iv]$ and $k(x)$ is a bounded measurable function taking natural values only. (See [7, p. 280]).

The main theorem and definitions in [2] can be formulated in terms of characteristic functions of finite union of intervals and step functions. From this remark and estimate (2.1.2) we see that $T_{\alpha(z)}(f)$ is admissible (see [2]).

From (2.1.3) and (2.1.4) we have

$$(2.1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_{\alpha(iv)}(f)\|_{(2,\infty)}^* &< C(|v|+1) \frac{e^{2\pi|v|}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \|f\|_{(2,2)}^*, \\ \|T_{\alpha(1+iv)}(f)\|_{(1,\infty)}^* &< C(|v|+1) \frac{e^{|v|/4}}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{(1,1)}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Take $u = 1 - \varepsilon$ and define P_u by

$$\frac{1}{P_u} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1}.$$

Sagher's convexity theorem gives (see [2])

$$(2.1.7) \quad \|T_{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}(f)\|_{(P_u,\infty)}^* \leq \frac{K}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{(P_u,P_u)}^*.$$

Replacing P_u by its value, $P_u = 1 + \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon$, and using (2.1.7) and the fact that $k(x)$ is arbitrary we get

$$(2.1.8) \quad \|S_0^*(f)\|_{(1+(\varepsilon/2-\varepsilon),\infty)}^* \leq \frac{K}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon/2-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon/2-\varepsilon)}^*.$$

An application of Lemma 1.5 gives part (ii) of the thesis and Marcinkiewicz's interpolation theorem gives part (i).

REFERENCES

1. R. FEFFERMAN, *A theory of entropy in Fourier analysis*, Advances in Mathematics, to appear.
2. Y. SAGHER, *On analytic families of operators*, Israel J. Math., vol. 7 (1969), pp. 350–356.
3. P. SJÖLIN, *An inequality of Paley and convergence a.e. of Walsh–Fourier Series*, Arch. Math., vol. 7 (1969), pp. 551–569.
4. E. M. STEIN, *Maximal functions, Spherical means*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., vol. 73 (1976), pp. 2174–2175.
5. ———, *Singular integrals and differentiability of functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
6. E. M. STEIN and S. WAINGER, *Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature*, preprint.
7. E. M. STEIN and G. WEISS, *Introduction to Fourier analysis in Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1971.
8. F. ZO, *A note on approximation of the identity*, Studia Math., vol. 55 (1975), pp. 111–122.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO CIRCLE
CHICAGO ILLINOIS