# POTENTIAL THEORY ON COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE: APPLICATION TO CHARACTERIZATION OF PLURIPOLAR SETS AND GROWTH OF ANALYTIC VARIETIES 

## BY

R. E. Molzon

## 0. Introduction

A set $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ is said to be locally pluripolar if for each point $p \in E$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and a plurisubharmonic function $\psi$ defined on $U$ such that $E \subset U \quad\{x: \psi(x)=-\infty\}$ and $\psi$ is not identically $-\infty$ on each component of $U$. A basic problem in function theory of several complex variables is to characterize those sets which are pluripolar. In his paper on projective capacity [1], Alexander gives a characterization of pluripolar sets in $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ in terms of a Tchebycheff constant $\tau(E)$. His theorem says that $E$ is locally pluripolar if and only if $\tau(E)=0$. The constant $\tau(E)$ is defined in terms of normalized homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$. Another characterization of pluripolar sets was recently given by Bedford and Taylor [3]. Their characterization involves the Monge-Ampere equation and a "balayage" for a set $E \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$.

In this paper I give a characterization of locally pluripolar sets in $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ in terms of a singular integral with respect to a probability measure, supported on $E$; the set in question. The kernel of this singular integral is defined on

$$
\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)
$$

where $S_{n+1, d}$ is the $d$-fold symmetric tensor product of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$; hence the kernel is not symmetric. Explicitly the kernel is given by

$$
K_{d}(Z, a)=\log \frac{|Z|^{d}}{\left|a^{*}(Z)\right|}
$$

where $a^{*}$ denotes the homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ dual to $a$.
The kernel $K_{d}(Z, a)$ also turns out to play an important role in value distribution theory. If $X$ is an analytic subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ then a basic problem is to relate the growth of $X$ to the growth of intersections of $X$ with algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. This was done in [9] in the case where the algebraic subvarieties where hyperplanes. We also remarked in [9] that the growth of $X$ could be related to the growth of $X \cap V^{\lambda}$ where $\left\{V^{\lambda}\right\}$ was a sufficiently large family of

[^0]algebraic hypersurfaces. The family of algebraic hypersurfaces needed was much larger than in the case of hyperplanes.

Using singular integrals with the kernel $K_{d}(Z, a)$, I have been able to obtain a result analogous to the hyperplane section growth estimates of [9]. Essentially a family of algebraic hypersurfaces $\left\{V^{*}\right\}$ paramaterized by one real variable $t$ suffices to determine the growth of $X$ in terms of the growth of $X \cap V^{t}$.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $S_{n+1, d}$ denote the $d$-fold symmetric tensor product of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. This is the space dual to the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Let $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ be the associated projective space. Let $\phi$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ denote the Veronese map and the lifted Veronese map respectively. If $P$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ then

$$
(P, \tilde{\phi}(z))=P(z)
$$

where ( , ) denotes the dual pairing. The following diagram commutes.


Here $\pi$ denotes the usual projection from affine to projective space. Given

$$
a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)
$$

the projective algebraic variety defined by $a$ is

$$
V^{a}=\left\{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}: a^{*}(Z)=0\right\}
$$

where $a^{*}$ denotes the homogeneous polynomial dual to $a . V^{a}$ may also be expressed as

$$
V^{a}=\left\{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}:(a, \phi(Z))=0\right\}
$$

Let $|\cdot|$ denote the norm on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ so $|z|^{2}=\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm on $S_{n+1, d}$ induced by $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.

We now define a singular kernel on $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$; this kernel will then be used to define potential functions.

Let $a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ and $Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{d}(Z, a)=\log \left[|Z|^{d} /\left|a^{*}(Z)\right|\right]=\log \frac{|Z|^{d}}{|(a, \phi(Z))|} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|Z|^{2}=\left|Z_{0}\right|^{2}+\ldots\left|Z_{n}\right|^{2}$ and $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$ with $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$. Note that $K_{d}(Z, a)$ is well defined since $a^{*}(Z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in
$Z$ of degree $d$ and the expression for $K_{d}$ is independent of the representations for $Z$.

If $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ is a Borel measurable set let $\mathscr{P}(E)$ denote the probability measures supported on $E$, that is the positive Borel measures of unit mass supported on $E$. Similarly if $F \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ is Borel measurable let $\mathscr{P}(F)$ denote the probability measures supported on $F$. Let

$$
\mu \in \mathscr{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right) \text { and } \nu \in \mathscr{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}\right)
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{d, \mu}(Z)=\int_{S_{n+1, d}} K_{d}(Z, a) d \mu(a) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{d, \nu}(a)=\frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathrm{P}^{n} \mathrm{C}} K_{d}(Z, a) d \nu(Z) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ is compact, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{d}(E)=\inf _{\mu \in \mathscr{Y}(E)} \sup _{z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}} U_{d, \mu}(Z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $F \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ is compact, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{d}(F)=\inf _{\nu \in \mathscr{F}(F)} \sup _{a^{*} \in \mathcal{N}^{d}} V_{d, \theta}(a) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{d}$ denotes the normalized polynomials of degree $d$ as defined by Alexander [1].

A homogeneous polynomial $f$ on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ is said to be normalized if $\operatorname{deg} f=d$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} \log |f| d \sigma=d \int_{S} \log \left|z_{0}\right| d \sigma \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ and $d \sigma$ denotes the normalized unitarily invariant measure on $S$ and $z=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. The quantities $U_{d}(E)$ and $V_{d}(E)$ may of course take on the value $+\infty$. If $\mu(\nu)$ is a probability measure with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{d}(E)=\sup _{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}} U_{d, \mu}(Z) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[V_{d}(F)=\sup _{a^{*} \in \mathcal{N}_{d}} V_{d, v}(a)\right] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we call $\mu(\nu)$ an equilibrium measure for the set $E(F)$.
The potential function $V_{d, v}(a)$ will be used to give a characterization of pluripolar sets in $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ and the potential function $U_{d, \mu}(Z)$ will be used to make a growth estimate for a problem in value distribution theory. We remark that one could define capacity functions on subsets of $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ or $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ as the reciprocal of $U_{d}$ or $V_{d}$ respectively. We will state our results here however in terms of the set functions $U_{d}$ and $V_{d}$.

## 2. Pluripolar subsets of $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$

We say a set $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ is locally pluripolar if for each point $p \in E$ there exist a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ and a plurisubharmonic function $\psi$ defined on $U$ such that $\psi$ is not identically $-\infty$ on any component of $E$ and

$$
E \cap U \subset\{\psi=-\infty\}
$$

Alexander gives in [1] a criterion, in terms of Tchebycheff polynomials, that a set $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ be pluripolar. We will give here a necessary and sufficient condition that a set be pluripolar in terms of the potentials $V_{d, \mu}(a)$. This will be done by relating $V_{d, \mu}(a)$ to the Tchebycheff constant of Alexander.

We first define some Tchebycheff constants closely related to the potential function $V_{d, \mu}(a)$. For $d$ and $k$ positive integers and $E \quad \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ a compact set let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{d, k}(E)=\inf _{\left\{a_{i}^{*} \mid 1, k \subset \mathcal{N}_{d}\right.} \sup _{Z \in E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\left(a_{i}, \phi(Z)\right)\right|}{|Z|^{d}}\right]^{1 / k d .} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{d}(E)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{d, k}(E) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(E)=\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} r_{d}(E) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof that these limits exist follows from the same arguments used in the classical definition of the Tchebycheff constant. See, for example, [1].

Proposition 2.1. Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ be compact. Then for all positive integers $d$ we have the inequality $e^{-V_{d}(E)} \leq r_{d}(E)$.

Proof. First fix $d$. Suppose $F \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ is compact. We may identify $F$ with $K \subset S \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ where $S$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{V}(F)=\inf _{\mu \in \mathscr{(}(K)} \sup _{a^{*} \in \mathcal{N}_{d}} \int \log \frac{1}{|(a, X)|} d \mu(X),  \tag{2.4}\\
& \tilde{r}_{k}(F)=\inf _{\left\{a_{i} \mid 1, k \subset N_{d}\right.} \sup _{X \in K}\left[\prod_{l}^{k}\left|\left(a_{i}, X\right)\right|\right]^{1 / k}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}(F)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{r}_{k}(F) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F=\phi(E)$ so $F \quad \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$. Given a probability measure $\mu$ on $E$ the push forward $\phi_{*} \mu$ gives a probability measure on $\phi(E)=F$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\nu \in P(F)} \sup _{a^{*} \in N_{d}} \int_{X \in F} \log \frac{|a||X|}{|(a, X)|} d \nu(X) \leq \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\inf _{\mu \in P(E)} \sup _{a^{*} \in N_{d}} \int_{Z \in E} \log \frac{|a||\phi(Z)|}{|(a, \phi(Z))|} d \mu(Z)
$$

Again with $F=\phi(E)$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\inf _{\left|a_{i}\right|_{1, k} \cap N_{d}} & \sup _{X \in F}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\left(a_{i}, X\right)\right|}{\left|a_{i}\right||X|}\right]^{1 / k} \leq  \tag{2.8}\\
\inf _{\left.\mid a_{i}\right\}_{1, k} \cap N_{d}} & \sup _{Z \in E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\left(a_{i}, \phi(Z)\right)\right|}{\left|a_{i}\right||\phi(Z)|}\right]^{1 / k}
\end{array}
$$

Now using (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) and taking $d$-th roots we get the result.
Our next result will compare $r_{d}(E)$ with the Tchebycheff constant defined by Alexander in [1].

The Tchebycheff constant $\tau(E)$ (denoted by $\operatorname{cap}(E)$ in [1]) is defined for $E \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ compact, as

$$
\tau(E)=\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} m_{d}(E)
$$

where

$$
m_{d}(E)=\inf _{f} \sup _{Z \in E}\left[\frac{|f(Z)|}{|Z|^{d}}\right]^{1 / d}
$$

where the infimum is taken over normalized homogeneous polynomials of deg $d$. The Tchebycheff constant, $m_{d}(E)$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{d}(E)=\inf _{a^{*} \in N_{d}} \quad \sup _{Z \in E}\left[\frac{|(a, \phi(Z))|}{|a||Z|^{d}}\right]^{1 / d} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ be compact. Then for all positive integers $d$ we have $r_{d}(E) \leq m_{d}(E)$.

Proof. We have by definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{d, k}(E) & =\inf _{\mid a_{i} 1_{1, k} N_{d}} \sup _{Z \in E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left|\left(a_{i}, \phi(Z)\right)\right|}{|Z|^{d}}\right]^{1 / k d} \\
& \leq \inf _{a^{*} \in N_{d}} \sup _{z \in E}\left[\frac{|(a, \phi(Z))|}{|Z|^{d}}\right]^{1 / d} \\
& =m_{d}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.10). Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ on the left-hand side gives the result.
We now present a result which gives a lower bound on $e^{-V_{d}(E)}$ for $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ compact. Letting $\pi: \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ as before, let $S \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ be the unit sphere and $K=\pi^{-1}(E) \cap S$. Then $K$ is a compact circled subset of $S$. We let $R$ denote the polynomially convex hull of $K$.

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ is compact, $K$ and $R$ as above. If $R$ contains a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ then there exists a constant $M$ such that $V_{d}(E) \leq M$ for all $d$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{H}_{d}$ denote the normalized homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ which factor as a product of homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq d$. Note that if $P$ and $Q$ are elements of $\mathscr{H}_{d}$ then $P \cdot Q$ is an element of $\mathscr{H}_{d}$. Let $X$ denote the $\mathscr{H}_{d}$ hull of $K$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$, that is,

$$
X=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}:|P(z)| \leq \sup _{K}|P(x)| \text { for all } P \in \mathscr{H}_{d}\right.
$$

Then $\hat{K} \subset X$. By an extension of Bishop's theorem on Jensen measures given by Alexander (see [2]) there exists for each probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ a probability measure $\nu$ on $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \log |P| d \mu \leq \int_{K} \log |P| d \nu \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $P \in \mathscr{H}_{d}$.
By the assumptions of the proposition there exists a $\delta>0$ independent of $d$ such that $B_{\delta} \subset \hat{K} \subset X$ where $B_{\delta}$ denotes the closed ball of radius $\delta$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Let $\sigma_{\delta}$ denote the normalized unitarily invariant measure on $\partial \mathrm{B}_{\delta}$ and $\sigma=\sigma_{1}$. Now apply Bishop's theorem with $a^{*} \in \mathcal{N}_{d}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial_{B_{\delta}}} \log \left|a^{*}(Z)\right| d \sigma_{\delta} \leq \int_{K} \log \left|a^{*}(Z)\right| d \nu \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\partial B_{\delta} \subset X$ and the measure $\nu$ is a probability measure on $K$. Let $\eta=\pi_{*} \nu$ which is a probability measure on $E$. Then (2.12) becomes

$$
\int_{\partial B} \log \left|a^{*}(\delta Z)\right| d \sigma \leq \int_{E} \log \frac{\left|a^{*}(Z)\right|}{|Z|^{d}} d \eta(Z)
$$

with $Z=\left[Z_{0}: \cdots: Z_{n}\right] \in E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$. Since $a^{*} \in \mathscr{N}_{d}$ is a normalized polynomial in the sense of (1.6) we obtain

$$
\log \left[\delta^{d}\right]+\int_{\partial_{B}} \log \left|z_{0}\right|^{d} d \sigma \leq \int_{E} \log \frac{\left|a^{*}(Z)\right|}{|Z|^{d}} d \eta(Z)
$$

Hence

$$
d \cdot V_{d}(E) \leq d\left[\log \frac{1}{\delta}-\int_{S} \log \left|z_{0}\right| d \sigma\right]
$$

and the proposition follows by letting

$$
M=\log \frac{1}{\delta}-\int_{s} \log \left|z_{0}\right| d \sigma
$$

We now state two results concerning locally pluripolar subsets of $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ due to Alexander [1].

Proposition 2.4. Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ be compact, $K$ and $R$ as above. Then the following statements hold:
(1) $E$ is not locally pluripolar if and only if $\hat{K}$ contains a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$.
(2) If $E$ is locally pluripolar then $\tau(E)=0$.

We now give the characterization of locally pluripolar subsets of $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ in terms of the potential $V(E)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ be compact. Then $E$ is locally pluripolar if and only if

$$
\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} V_{d}(E)=+\infty
$$

Proof. First suppose $\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} V_{d}(E)=+\infty$. Suppose $E$ is not locally pluripolar. Then by Proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{K}$, the polynomially convex hull of

$$
K=\pi^{-1}(E) \cap S
$$

contains a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. By Proposition 2.3, $V_{d}(E)$ must be bounded, a contradiction.

Now suppose $E$ is locally pluripolar. By Proposition $2.4, \tau(E)=0$. Using the inequalities of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, and letting $d \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude $V_{d}(E) \rightarrow+\infty$.

## 3. Growth estimates for affine analytic varieties

We now turn to a problem in value distribution theory related to the potential functions $U_{d, \mu}(Z)$. In an earlier paper [9], growth estimates for an affine analytic variety $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ were given in terms of the growth of $X \cap H^{\lambda}$ where $\left\{H^{\lambda}\right\}$ was a family of hyperplanes. A family $\left\{H^{\wedge}\right\}$ parameterized by $\lambda \in[0,1]$ sufficed to obtain the growth estimate for $X$. In this paper we also remarked that the growth of $X$ could be expressed in terms of $X \cap g S$ where $\{g S\}$ consisted of the family of algebraic hypersurfaces obtained by letting $g \in G \ell(n+1, C)$ act on the algebraic hypersurface $S$. A set $E$ of $g$ 's in $G \ell(n+1, C)$ of positive volume was required to obtain the growth estimate in contrast to the case where $S$ was a hyperplane.

Using the potential functions $U_{d, \mu}(Z)$ we can now show that in fact a much smaller family of algebraic hypersurfaces suffices to estimate the growth of $X$ in terms of intersection with the hypersurfaces.

We will first recall some necessary notation from value distribution theory.
Suppose $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is an analytic subvariety of pure dimension $s \geq 1$. We want to consider the intersection of $X$ with algebraic varieties. We will regard $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ as projective space minus the hyperplane at $\infty$ so $\mathbf{C}^{n} \sim \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}-H_{\infty}$. If

$$
Z=\left[Z_{0}: \ldots: Z_{n}\right]
$$

are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ then on $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}-H_{\infty}, Z=$ [ $1: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{n}$ ] and a point in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ is identified as

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \sim\left[1: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] .
$$

For $x \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$ write $|x|^{2}=\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2}$. Write

$$
X[r]=\{x \in X:|x| \leq r\},
$$

$$
X<r>=\{x \in X:|x|=r\}
$$

$$
X\left[r_{o}, r_{1}\right]=\left\{x \in X: r_{0} \leq|x| \leq r_{1}\right\}
$$

Recall that for $a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ a projective algebraic hypersurface is defined by

$$
V^{a}=\left\{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}: a^{*}(Z)=0\right\}
$$

$V^{a}$ may be regarded as an affine variety and is then given by

$$
V^{a}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{C}^{n}: a^{*}\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

When we consider the intersection of $X$ with $V^{a}$ we will be considering $V^{a}$ as the affine variety.

For most $a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, \alpha}\right), V_{a} \cap X$ will have dimension $s-1$. Precisely, let

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{r}=\left\{a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right): X[r] \cap V^{a}=\emptyset\right. \text { or }  \tag{3.1}\\
\left.\operatorname{dim}_{p} X \cap V^{a}=s-1 \text { for all } p \in X[r] \cap V^{a}\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $D_{r}$ is a nonempty open set in $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$. For $a \in D_{r}$, the set

$$
X \cap V^{a} \cap\{|x| \leq r\}
$$

is a pure (s-1)-dimensional subvariety of the open ball $\{|x|<r\}$ or it is empty.

Growth of Analytic Varieties. On $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ define the following differential forms.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\frac{1}{4 \pi} d d^{c} \log |x|^{2}, \\
& \beta=\frac{1}{4 \pi} d d^{c}|x|^{2},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \gamma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} d^{c} \log |x|^{2} \Lambda \alpha^{s-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The growth of $X$ is then defined by
$n(X, r)=\frac{1}{r^{2 s}} \int_{X[r]} \beta^{s}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{r} \pi} \int_{x_{<r>}} d^{c} \log |x|^{2} \Lambda \alpha^{s-1}=\int_{X[r]} \alpha^{s}+n(X, 0)$
where $n(X, 0)$ is the Lelong number of $X$ at 0 . The integrated growth function of $X$ is, for $s \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(X, \mathrm{r})=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} n(X, t) d \log t=\int_{X} \tau_{r} \alpha^{s}+\tau_{r}(0) n(X, 0) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tau_{r}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if }|x| \geq r  \tag{3.5}\\
\log (r /|x|) & \text { if } r_{0} \leq|x| \leq r \\
\log \left(r / r_{0}\right) & \text { if }|x| \leq r_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note: If $s=0$, that is, $\operatorname{dim} X=0$, then $N(X, r)=\Sigma_{x \in x} \tau_{r}(x)$.
We will be interested in computing the growth of $X \cap V^{a}$. This will be done by means of Jensen's formula. Suppose $D$ is a pure $(s-1)$-dimensional subvariety of the $s$-dimensional variety $X$ so $D=\operatorname{divisor}(f)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
N(D, r) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{x_{\left.<r_{0}\right\rangle}} \log |f| d^{c} \log |x| \Lambda \alpha^{s-1}-\int_{x\left[r_{0}, r\right]} \log |f| \alpha^{s}  \tag{3.6}\\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{x_{\left.<r_{0}\right\rangle}} \log |f| d^{c} \log |x| \Lambda \alpha^{s-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Gamma: D_{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a)=N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Gamma$ is continuous and bounded on $D_{r}$ (see for example [11] or [16]).
We now turn to the connection with the potential function $U_{d, \mu}(Z)$ defined by (1.2). Define a function $f_{d}^{a}(x)$ for $a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d}^{a}(x)=\frac{a^{*}\left(1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\|a\|} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f_{d}^{a}(x)$ is well defined since it is independent of the representation chosen for $a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$. By Jensen's formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right)=\int_{x_{<r>}} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}\right| \gamma-\int_{x\left[r_{0}, r\right]} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}\right| \alpha^{s}-\int_{x_{\left.<r_{0}\right\rangle}} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}\right| \gamma \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ be compact and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(E)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{U}_{d, \mu}(x)=\int_{a \in E} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}(x)\right| d \mu(a) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will integrate equation (3.9) with respect to a measure $\mu$ on $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ and then estimate the resulting integrals on the right-hand side. For this we need the following:

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $v(x)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that for some constant $M$ and an integer $d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \cdot \log \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-M \leq \nu(x) \leq d \cdot \log \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ depending only on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \int_{X[r]} d d^{c} v \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \geq k_{1} \int_{X\left[k_{2} r\right]} \beta^{s} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The lemma is a straightforward extension of a result due to Gruman [8]. We present a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Let

$$
X^{\prime}[r]=\left\{x \in X: v(x) \leq d \cdot \log \left(1+r^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
y(x)=d \cdot \log \left(1+r^{2}\right)-v(x)
$$

If $x \in X^{\prime}[r]$ then $|x|^{2} \leq\left(e^{M / d}-1\right)+e^{M / d} r^{2}$. Hence if $r$ is sufficiently large then $x \in X^{\prime}[r]$ implies $|x|^{2} \leq k_{1} r^{2}$ for some constant $k_{1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
X[r] \subset X^{\prime}[r] \subset X\left[k_{1} r\right] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1}^{2} r^{2} \int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d d^{c} V \Lambda \beta^{s-1} & =k_{1}^{2} r^{2} \int_{\partial X^{\prime}[r]} d^{c} V \Lambda \beta^{s-1}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \geq \int_{\partial X^{\prime}[r]}|x|^{2} d^{c} V \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \\
& \geq \int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d|x|^{2} \Lambda d^{c} V \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \\
& =\int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d v \Lambda d^{c}|x|^{2} \Lambda \beta^{s-1}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d \nu \Lambda d^{c}|x|^{2} \Lambda \beta^{s-1} & =-\int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d y \Lambda d^{c}|x|^{2} \Lambda \beta^{s-1}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\int_{X^{\prime}[r]} y d d^{c}|x|^{2} \Lambda \beta^{s-1}-\int_{\partial X^{\prime}[r]} y d^{c}|x|^{2} \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \\
& =4 \pi \int_{X^{\prime}[r]} y \beta^{s}
\end{align*}
$$

since $y=0$ on $\partial X^{\prime}[r]$.
Let $0<k_{2}<1$. Then

$$
X^{\prime}\left[k_{2} r\right]=\left\{x \in X: v(x)-d \cdot \log \left(1+k_{2}^{2} r^{2}\right) \leq 0\right\}
$$

and there exists a constant $k_{3}$ such that $y(x) \geq k_{3}$ if $x \in X^{\prime}\left[k_{2} r\right]$. Using (3.14) and (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{2} r^{2} \int_{X^{\prime}[r]} d d^{c} v \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \geq 4 \pi k_{3} \int_{X^{\prime}\left[k_{2} k^{r}\right]} \beta_{s} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally using (3.13) and relabeling the constants we get

$$
r^{2} \int_{X[r]} d d^{c} v \Lambda \beta^{s-1} \geq k_{1} \int_{X\left[k_{2} r\right]} \beta^{s}
$$

We may now give the main growth estimate for $X \cap V^{a}$ for a family $\left\{V^{a}\right\}$ of algebraic hypersurfaces.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be an analytic subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ of pure dimension $s \geq 1$. Let

$$
E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)
$$

be a compact set such that $U_{d}(E) \leq M<+\infty$ for some constant $M$. Then there exist positive constants $k_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ depending only on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} N\left(X, k_{2} r\right) \leq \int_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{m+, ~}\right)} N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu(a) \leq k_{3} N\left(X, k_{4} r\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is an equilibrium measure for $E$.
Proof. The proof follows the idea of the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [9]. The first inequality involves some extra technical difficulty because of the nonsymmetric nature of the kernel $K_{d}(Z, a)$. The second inequality however follows by the same argument used in [9] and we therefore only present the proof of the first inequality.

By Jensen's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right)=\int_{x_{<r>}} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}(x)\right| \gamma-\int_{x_{\left[r_{0} r\right]}} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}(x)\right| \alpha^{s}-\int_{x_{<r_{0}>}} \log \left|f_{d}^{a}(x)\right| \gamma \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$ as before, let $H \subset U(N+1, C)$ be subgroup of $U(N+1, \mathbf{C})$ defined as follows. Let $Y=\tilde{\phi}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}\right) \quad S_{n+1, d}$. An element of the unitary group $U(N+1, \mathrm{C})$ is determined by its action on $Y$ since $Y$ contains a set of $\binom{n+\infty}{d}$ linearly independent (over C) elements of $S_{n+1, d}$. If $g \in U(n+1, \mathbf{C})$, let

$$
\sigma(g) \in U(N+1, \mathbf{C})
$$

such that

$$
\sigma(g) \tilde{\phi}(Z)=\tilde{\phi}(g Z)
$$

where $Z \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. Then $\sigma(g)$ determines a unique element of $U(N+1, \mathbf{C})$. Let

$$
H=\sigma(U(n+1, \mathbf{C}))
$$

Let $d h$ denote the normalized Haar measure on $H$ and let $\{\psi\}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ approximate identity on $H$ so

$$
\int \psi_{\mathrm{e}}(h) d h=1
$$

and the support of $\psi_{\mathrm{e}}$ decreases to id $=\sigma(1) \in H$. Define the sequence of measures $\mu_{k}$ by

$$
\mu_{k}=\int_{H} \psi_{1 / k}(h) h_{*} \mu d h .
$$

Define potentials $U_{d, \mu_{k}}$ by letting $K_{d}^{\prime}(Z, a)=\log \left[|Z|^{d}\|a\| /|(a, \phi(Z))|\right]$ and (3.20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{d, \mu_{k}}(Z)=\int_{a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n, t, t}\right)} K_{d}^{\prime}(Z, a) d \mu_{k}(a)=\int_{H} \int_{\mathbf{P}\left(S_{\ldots, t,}\right)} K_{d}^{\prime}(Z, h a) \psi_{1 / k}(h) d \mu(a) d h \\
& =\int_{H} \int_{\mathbf{P}\left(S_{\ldots .1}\right)} \log \frac{\|h a\|\|\phi(Z)\|}{|(h a, \phi(Z))|} \psi_{1 / k}(h) d \mu(a) d h \\
& =\int_{H} \int_{P\left(S_{\text {S.l. }}\right)} \log \frac{\|a\|\left\|h^{-1} \phi(Z)\right\|}{\left|\left(a, h^{-1} \phi(Z)\right)\right|} \psi_{1 / k}(h) d u(a) d h \\
& =\int_{U(n+1, \mathrm{C})} \int_{\mathbf{P}\left(S_{\ldots, \ldots}\right)} \log \frac{\|a\|\left\|\phi\left(g^{-1} Z\right)\right\|}{\left|\left(a, \phi\left(g^{-1} Z\right)\right)\right|} \tilde{\psi}_{1 / k}(g) d \mu(a) d g \\
& =\int_{U(n+1, C)} U_{d, \mu}\left(g^{-1} Z\right) \tilde{\psi}_{1 / k}(g) d g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d g$ is Haar measure on $U(n+1, \mathbf{C})$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{d}(g)=\psi_{d}(\sigma(g))$. By assumption,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq U_{d, \mu}(Z) \leq M \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$. It follows that $U_{d, \mu_{k}} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}\right)$ and is bounded by $M$.
Now define $\tilde{U}_{d, k} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{U}_{d, k}(x) & =d \cdot \log \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-U_{d, \mu_{k}}([x])  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\int \log \left|f_{d}^{a}(x)\right| d \mu_{k}(a)
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $[x]=\left[1: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{n}\right]$. Then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \cdot \log \left(1+|x|^{2}\right)-M \leq \tilde{U}_{d, k}(x) \leq d \cdot \log \left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also the equation of currents

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{a}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} d d^{c} \log \left|f^{a}\right| . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $0 \notin X$ we use the expression (3.3) for $n(X, r)$, equations (3.23) and (3.24) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int n\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu_{k}(a)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{2}{r^{2 \sigma-2}} \int_{X[r]} d d^{\bullet} \tilde{U}_{d, k} \Lambda \beta^{s-1} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \int_{X[r]} d d^{c} \tilde{U}_{d, k} \Lambda \beta^{*-1} \geq 4 \pi k_{1} \int_{X\left[k_{2} r\right]} \beta^{*} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$ depending only on $M$. It then follows from (3.25) and (3.26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int n\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu_{k}(a) \geq \tilde{k}_{1} n\left(X, \tilde{k_{2}} r\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu_{k}(a) \geq k_{1} N\left(X, k_{2} r\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(after relabeling the constants).
Now if $0 \in X$ then we replace $X$ by $X_{t}=X+t b$ and let $t \rightarrow 0$. (This is a standard argument, see for example [9].) Hence equation (3.28) holds in general.

The first inequality then follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.28) since

$$
\int N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu_{k}(a) \rightarrow \int N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) d \mu(a) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

We now give, as an application of the above theorem, a sufficient condition that an affine analytic variety be algebraic.

Theorem 3.3. Let $X$ be an analytic subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ of pure dimension $s$. Suppose $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ is a compact set such that $U_{d}(E)$ is finite and suppose $X \cap V^{a}$ is algebraic for all $a \in \mathrm{E}$. Then $X$ is algebraic.

Proof. We may assume $\operatorname{dim}\left(X \cap V^{a}\right)=s-1$ for all $a \in E$ since in fact

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(X \cap V^{a}\right)=s-1
$$

for $\mu$ almost all $a \in E$ where $\mu$ is an equilibrium measure supported on $E$. To see this fix $p \in X \quad \mathbf{C}^{n}$. Let

$$
Q_{p}=\left\{a \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right): a^{*}(p)=0\right\}
$$

Since $U_{d, \mu}(E)$ is finite, $\mu\left(Q_{p}\right)=0$. Now choose points $p_{1}, p^{2}, \ldots$ in each irreducible component of $X$ and let $Q=U_{i} Q_{p_{i}}$. Then $\mu(Q)=0$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(X \cap V^{a}\right)=s-1$ for all $a \notin Q$.

Now let

$$
E_{m}=\left\{a \in E: N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right) \leq m \log r \text { for } r \geq 2\right\}
$$

A theorem of Stoll says that if $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is an affine analytic variety of pure dimension then $Y$ is algebraic if and only if $N(Y, r)=O(\log r)$.

Hence $N\left(X \cap V^{a}, r\right)=O(\log r)$ for all $a \in E$ and $E=U_{m} E_{m}$. Since $U_{d}(E)$ is finite it follows that $U_{d}\left(E_{m}\right)$ is finite for some $m$, say $m_{0}$. (This follows by the usual argument that a countable union of sets of capacity zero has capacity zero; see, for example, [9].) By Theorem 3.2 it then follows that

$$
N(X, r)=O(\log r)
$$

which implies that $X$ is algebraic.
One could at this point present a whole sequence of results concerning growth estimates for $X$ in terms of the growth estimates for $X \cap V^{a}$ for
families of algebraic hypersurfaces $V^{a}$ following the results of [9]. We will leave this to the reader. We will however give a result concerning growth estimates when the family of hypersurfaces $V^{a}$ is parameterized by $t \in[0,1]$. The nondegeneracy condition in the present context is not so simple as in [9]. We state the result in terms of intersection with codimension $s$ algebraic varieties although what we have is essentially a codimension one result.

We will say that a curve $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow G l(n+1, C)$ is algebraically nondegenerate if the matrix entries $g_{j}(t)$ of $g(t)$ are algebraically independent.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow G l(n+1, C)$ be an analytic algebraically non-degenerate arc. Let $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ be an analytic variety of pure dimension $s$ and $V \subset C^{n}$ an algebraic variety of pure dimension $n-s$ such that $V=Y_{1} \cap$ $\cdots \cap Y_{n-s}$ is a complete intersection of algebraic hypersurfaces. Let

$$
t=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n-s}\right) \in I^{n-s}
$$

with $I=[0,1]$ and $V^{t}$ the family of varieties

$$
V^{t}=g\left(t_{1}\right) Y_{1} \cap \cdots \cap g\left(t_{n-s}\right) Y_{n-s}
$$

If $V^{*} \cap X$ is finite for all $t \in I^{n-s}$ then $X$ is algebraic.
Proof. The proof will follow from Theorem 3.3 and a series of lemmas. We first give a class of sets $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ such that $U_{d}(E)$ is finite.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ be a linearly nondegenerate analytic curve; that is, assume $E=\sigma([0,1])$ is not contained in a hyperplane of $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$. Then $U_{d}(E) \leq M<+\infty$ for some constant $M$.

Proof. Theorem 2.1 of [9] tells us that

$$
\inf _{\mu \in \mathscr{R}(E)} \sup _{b \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{N_{1+1}}\right)} \quad \int_{a \in E} \log \frac{\|a\|\|b\|}{|(a, b)|} d \mu(a) \leq M
$$

for some constant $M$. Let $\mu$ be a measure such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{b \in \mathbf{P}\left(S_{m+1, \alpha)}\right.} \int_{a \in E} \log \frac{\|a\|\|b\|}{|(a, b)|} d \mu(a) \leq M . \\
U_{d, \mu}(Z)=\int_{a \in E} \log \frac{\|a\|\|\phi(Z)\|}{|(a, \phi(Z))|} d \mu(a) \leq M .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let

$$
V=\left\{a^{*}(Z)=0\right\}
$$

be an algebraic hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ so $a \in S_{n+1, d}$. Let

$$
\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow G \ell(n+1, \mathbf{C})
$$

be an algebraically nondegenerate real analytic arc. Then the set $E \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$ corresponding to the set of algebraic hypersurfaces $\{g(t) V: t \in[0,1]\}$ has the property that $U_{d}(E)$ is finite.

Proof. By the previous lemma it suffices to show that $E$ is the image of a map

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{S}_{n+1, d}\right)
$$

and that $E$ is not contained in a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right)$. With

$$
V=\left\{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}: a^{*}(Z)=0\right\}
$$

we have

$$
g(t) V=\left\{Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}: a^{*}(g(t) Z)=0\right\}=\{Z:(a, \phi(g(t) Z))=0\} .
$$

Write $(g(t) Z)_{i}=g_{\nu j}(t) Z_{j}, i, j=0, \ldots, n$, where we use the summation convention. Since the $g_{\mu}(t)$ are algebraically independent, the linear polynomials $(g(t) Z)_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\left[\left(Z_{j}\right)\right]$ are algebraically independent. Now if $W \in \mathbf{P}^{n} \mathbf{C}$ then write

$$
\phi(W)=\left[\phi_{0}(W) ; \ldots ; \phi_{N}(W)\right]
$$

where the $\phi_{v}(W)$ are linearly independent polynomials of degree $d$ in the $W_{i}$, $i=0, n ; \nu=0 N$. Hence it follows that $\phi_{\nu}(g(t) Z)$ are linearly independent polynomials of degree $d$ in $g_{v j}(t)$ with coefficients which are polynomials of degree $d$ in $Z_{i}$. In fact the polynomials $\phi_{\boldsymbol{v}}(g(t) Z)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}\left[\left(Z_{i}\right)\right], i=0, n$. Considering $\phi_{v}(g(t) Z)$ as a polynomial with coefficients in the $g_{v}(t), \phi_{v}(g(t) Z)$ is homogeneous in the $Z_{i}$ and of degree $d$ and the $\phi_{v}(g(t) Z)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}$. We may write

$$
\phi_{\nu}(g(t) Z)=h_{\nu \mu}(t) \psi_{\mu}(Z)
$$

where $\nu, \mu=0, N$ and $\psi_{\mu}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$. It follows that the functions $h_{\mu \nu}(t)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}$ and

$$
E=\left\{\left[a_{\nu} h_{\nu 0}(t): \cdots: a_{\nu} h_{\nu N}(t)\right]\right\}_{t \in[0,1]} \subset \mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, d}\right) .
$$

Since the $h_{\nu_{\mu}}(t)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{C}, E$ does not lie in a hyperplane of $\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n+1, \alpha}\right)$.

To prove the theorem write

$$
X \cap V^{t}=\left(X \cap g\left(t_{1}\right) Y_{1} \cap \cdots \cap g\left(t_{n-s-1}\right) Y_{n-s-1}\right) \cap g\left(t_{n-s}\right) Y_{n-s} .
$$

It follows by the two lemmas above and Theorem 3.3 that

$$
X \cap g\left(t_{1}\right) Y_{1} \cap \cdots \cap g\left(t_{n-s-1}\right) Y_{n-s-1}
$$

is algebraic and hence the result follows by induction.
A simple example shows that some sort of nondegeneracy condition is needed in Theorem 3.4. Suppose

$$
g(t)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1+t & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \in G \ell(4, C), \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

Let $V \subset \mathbf{P}^{4} \mathbf{C}$ be given by

$$
V=\left\{Z: Z_{0}^{2} Z_{3}-Z_{0}^{3}-Z_{2}^{3}=0\right\}
$$

Then

$$
V^{t}=\left\{Z: Z_{0}^{2} Z_{3}-Z_{0}^{3}-(1+t)^{3} Z_{2}^{3}=0\right\}
$$

The affine algebraic variety associated with $V^{t}$ is

$$
V^{t}=\left\{z \in C^{3}: z_{3}=1+(1+t)^{3} z_{2}^{3}\right\}
$$

Let $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{3}$ be the analytic curve

$$
X=\left\{z: z_{1}=e^{z_{2}}, z_{2}^{2}=z_{3}\right\}
$$

Consider $X \cap V^{t}$. For each $t$ there are three points in the intersection; however, $X$ is not algebraic.
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