# INTRINSIC ULTRACONTRACTIVITY, CONDITIONAL LIFETIMES AND CONDITIONAL GAUGE FOR SYMMETRIC STABLE PROCESSES ON ROUGH DOMAINS

### ZHEN-QING CHEN AND RENMING SONG

ABSTRACT. For a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process X on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $n \ge 2$  and a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $L^D$  be the infinitesimal generator of the subprocess of X killed upon leaving D. For a Kato class function q, it is shown that  $L^D + q$  is intrinsic ultracontractive on a Hölder domain D of order 0. Then this is used to establish the conditional gauge theorem for X on bounded Lipschitz domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is also shown that the conditional lifetimes for symmetric stable process in a Hölder domain of order 0 are uniformly bounded.

#### 1. Introduction

A symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process X on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a Lévy process whose transition density p(t, x - y) relative to the Lebesgue measure is uniquely determined by its Fourier transform

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi} p(t,x) \, dx = e^{-t|\xi|^{\alpha}}.$$

Here  $\alpha$  must be in the interval (0, 2]. When  $\alpha = 2$ , we get a Brownian motion running with a time clock twice as fast as the standard one. Brownian motion has been intensively studied due to its central role in modern probability theory and its numerous important applications in other scientific areas including many other branches of mathematics. In the sequel, symmetric stable processes refer to the case when  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . During the last thirty years, there has been an explosive growth in the study of physical and economic systems that can be successfully modeled with the use of stable processes. Stable processes are now widely used in physics, operation research, queuing theory, mathematical finance and risk estimation. Recently some fine properties related to symmetric stable processes and Riesz potential theory, as counterparts to Brownian motion and Newtonian potential theory, have been studied, for example in [8]–[13].

Let X be a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . It is well known that X is transient and has Green function  $G(x, y) = A(n, \alpha)|x - y|^{\alpha - n}$  where

$$A(n,\alpha) = \frac{\alpha 2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+n}{2})}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}.$$
 (1.1)

Received May 21, 1998, received in final form April 9, 1999.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60J30, 60J25, 60J45.

The first-named author was supported in part by the National Security Agency and the second-named author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Definition 1.1. A Borel measurable function q on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be in the Kato class  $\mathbb{K}_{n,\alpha}$  if

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y| < r} \frac{|q(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \, dy = 0.$$

For  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  and t > 0, define

$$e_q(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t q(X_s) \, ds\right).$$

For a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the gauge function g of (D,q) is defined by  $g(x) = E^x[e_q(\tau_D)]$ ,  $x \in D$  where  $\tau_D = \inf\{t > 0 \colon X_t \notin D\}$ . The following gauge theorem is known for domain D with finite Lebesgue measure: The function g is either identically infinite or is bounded on D. In the latter case, (D,q) is said to be gaugeable (with respect to X). By Theorem 1 of Chung [14], (D,0) is gaugeable for any domain D with finite Lebesgue measure. It is proved in [12] that (D,q) is gaugeable if and only if the first eigenvalue of  $L^D + q$  is negative, where  $L^D$  is the non-positive definite infinitesimal generator of the part process  $X^D$  of X killed upon leaving the domain D.

Now assume that D is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Recall that the Green function  $G_D$  and Poisson kernel  $K_D$  of X in D are determined by the following equations. For  $x \in D$ ,

$$E^{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{D}} f(X_{s}) ds\right] = \int_{D} G_{D}(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for } f \geq 0 \text{ on } D,$$

$$E^{x}\left[\varphi(X_{\tau_{D}})\right] = \int_{D^{c}} K_{D}(x, z) \varphi(z) dz \quad \text{for } \varphi \geq 0 \text{ on } D^{c}.$$

Fix an  $x_0 \in D$ ; it is shown in [13] (see also [9]) that for each  $z \in \partial D$  and  $x \in D$ ,

$$M_D(x, z) = \lim_{y \to z, y \in D} \frac{G_D(x, y)}{G_D(x_0, y)} \quad \text{exists and is finite.}$$
 (1.2)

 $M_D$  is called the Martin kernel of X in D.

For a domain D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we adjoin an extra point  $\partial$  to D and set

$$X_t^D(\omega) = \begin{cases} X_t(\omega) & \text{if } t < \tau_D(\omega), \\ \partial & \text{if } t \ge \tau_D(\omega). \end{cases}$$

The process  $X^D$  is called the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process killed upon leaving D, or simply the killed symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process on D. From now on we use the convention that any function f defined on D is automatically extended to  $D \cup \{\partial\}$  by setting  $f(\partial) = 0$ .

Definition 1.2. Let D be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A locally integrable function f defined on D taking values in  $(-\infty, \infty]$  and satisfying the condition  $\int_{\{|x|>1\}\cap D} |f(x)||x|^{-(n+\alpha)} dx < \infty$  is said to be superharmonic respect to  $X^D$  if f is lower semicontinuous in D and for each  $x \in D$  and each ball B(x, r) with  $\overline{B(x, r)} \subset D$ ,

$$f(x) \ge E_x[f(X_{\tau_{B(x,r)}}); \tau_{B(x,r)} < \tau_D].$$

A function h is said to be harmonic with respect to  $X^D$  if both h and -h are super-harmonic respect to  $X^D$ .

Suppose that  $h \ge 0$  is superharmonic in D with respect to  $X^D$ . Then by Theorem 2.3 of [13], for any domain  $D_1 \subset \overline{D_1} \subset D$ ,  $E_x[h^-(X^D_{\tau_{D_1}})] < \infty$  and

$$h(x) \ge E_x[h(X_{\tau_{D_1}}^D)]$$
 for every  $x \in D_1$ .

This implies (for example, see page 11 of Dynkin [24]) that

$$h(x) \ge E^x[h(X_t^D)].$$

Therefore one can define the h-conditioned stable process. Note that for each fixed  $y \in D$  and  $z \in \partial D$ ,  $G_D(x, y)$  and  $M_D(x, z)$  are harmonic functions in x with respect to  $X^{D\setminus\{y\}}$  and  $X^D$ , respectively. For any  $w \in \overline{D}^c$ ,  $K_D(x, w)$  is superharmonic in x with respect to  $X^D$ . Therefore we can define the  $G_D(\cdot, y)$ -conditioned stable process,  $K_D(\cdot, w)$ -conditioned stable process and the  $M_D(\cdot, z)$ -conditioned stable process. The probability laws corresponding to these conditional stable processes will be denoted by  $P_y^x$ ,  $P_w^x$  and  $P_z^x$ , respectively. The following conditional gauge theorem is established in Chen and Song [12].

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that D is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{K}_{n,\alpha}$  and that (D,q) is gaugeable. Then there exists a constant c>1 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq \inf_{(x,z) \in D \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial D)} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \leq \sup_{(x,z) \in D \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \partial D)} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \leq c.$$

Recently it was shown in [13] that under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, there is a constant c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1} \le \inf_{(x,z) \in D \times \partial D} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \le \sup_{(x,z) \in D \times \partial D} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \le c.$$

The gauge theorem for Brownian motion was first proved by Chung and Rao in [15] for bounded q and later was generalized to more general q by various authors. The conditional gauge theorem for Brownian motion was first proved by Falkner [25] for bounded q and a class of domains including bounded  $C^2$  domains. Extensions of this result to q belonging to the Kato class and to bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domains were given by

Zhao in [35] and [36]. The conditional gauge theorem has also been generalized by Cranston, Fabes and Zhao [19] to diffusion processes whose infinitesimal generators are uniformly elliptic divergence form operators and to bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a more detailed story about gauge and conditional gauge theorem for Brownian motion, the interested reader is referred to the recent book of Chung and Zhao [17].

In this paper, we extend the above conditional gauge theorem for symmetric stable processes from bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domains to bounded Lipschitz domains. We follow the idea from Chen and Song [12], proving the conditional gauge theorem through intrinsic ultracontractivity, but with substantial improvements, motivated by Bañuelos [3]. In [12], sharp estimates on Green functions of bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domains obtained in [11] were used to establish the conditional gauge theorem. However these estimates are no longer available for bounded Lipschitz domains. We are able to circumvent it in this paper. We first show that, under the assumption that (D, q) is gaugeable, the Green function  $V_q$  of  $L^D + q$  on D is bounded by a constant multiple of  $G_D$ . The latter is then used to prove the conditional gauge theorem on bounded Lipschitz domains. In order to establish  $V_q \le c G_D$  on  $D \times D$  for some c > 0, we show that operator  $L^D + q$  is intrinsiclly ultracontractive on D. In fact we show that  $L^D + q$  is intrinsically ultracontractive for any Hölder domain D of order 0. For definitions of intrinsic ultracontractivity and Hölder domain of order 0, see Definitions 3.1 and 3.2 below. We mention here that John domains, particularly bounded NTA domains and Lipschitz domains, are Hölder domains of order 0. Under the assumption that D has finite Lebesgue measure such that  $L^D$  is intrinsically ultracontractive, we show that there is a constant c > 0 such that for each non-trivial nonnegative superharmonic function h in D with respect to  $X^D$ ,  $\sup_{x \in D} E_h^x[\tau_D] \le c$ . This especially implies that for a bounded Lipschitz domain D,

$$\sup_{x\in D,\,z\in\mathbf{R}^n}E_z^x[\tau_D]<\infty.$$

Previously the boundedness of conditional lifetimes was proved for bounded  $C^{1,1}$  smooth domains for symmetric stable processes in [11] and [13]. Conditional lifetime estimates for Brownian motion in planar domains were first studied by M. Cranston and T. McConnell [20], in answering a question of K. L. Chung. The first extension to several dimensions for Brownian motion was done by M. Cranston [18], followed by many works on important extensions to more general domains and to elliptic diffusions (see [3], [4] and the references therein).

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Rodrigo Bañuelos, Rich Bass and Chris Burdzy for very helpful discussions. We thank Krzysztof Bogdan for very interesting and helpful discussions at the MSRI, Berkeley, and University of Washington, Seattle. Bogdan has informed us that he has also proved the conditional gauge theorem on bounded Lipschitz domains independently.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $n \ge 2$  and  $0 < \alpha < 2$ . Let X be a symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well known that the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$  associated with X is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = A(n,\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n + \alpha}} \, dx \, dy$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n} = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n + \alpha}} \, dx \, dy < \infty \right\},$$

where  $A(n, \alpha)$  is the constant in (1.1). As usual, we use  $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  to denote the transition semigroup of X and G to denote the potential of  $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ ; that is,

$$Gf(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t f(x) dt.$$

From now on, we assume that D is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well known (cf. [26]) that the Dirichlet form corresponding to the killed symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process  $X^D$  on D is  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  where

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ u \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n} : \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ quasi everywhere on } D^c \},$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  denotes a quasi continuous version of u.

We are going to use  $P_t^D$  and  $p^D(t, x, y)$  to denote the transition semigroup and transition density of  $X^D$  respectively.  $L^D$  will be used to denote the non-positive definite infinitesimal generator of  $X^D$  on  $L^2(D, dx)$ .  $G_D$ ,  $K_D$  and  $M_D$  will be used to denote the Green function, Poisson kernel and Martin kernel of X on D respectively. From [11] we know that when D satisfies the uniform exterior cone condition,  $G_D$  and  $K_D$  are related by

$$K_D(x,z) = A(n,\alpha) \int_D \frac{G_D(x,y)}{|y-z|^{n+\alpha}} dy, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $A(n, \alpha)$  is the constant in (1.1). Suppose that h > 0 is a positive superharmonic function with respect to  $X^D$ . We define

$$p_h^D(t, x, y) = h(x)^{-1} p^D(t, x, y) h(y), \qquad t > 0, x, y \in D.$$

It is easy to check that  $p_h^D$  is a transition density and it determines a Markov process (see Doob [23]). This process is called the h-conditioned symmetric stable process.

For any  $y \in D$ , the  $G_D(\cdot, y)$ -conditioned symmetric stable process is a Markov process with state space  $(D \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{\partial\}$ , with lifetime  $\zeta = \tau_{D \setminus \{y\}}$ . We will use  $P_y^x$  and  $E_y^x$  to denote the probability and expectation with respect to this process.

For any  $w \in \overline{D}^c$ , the  $K_D(\cdot, w)$ -conditioned symmetric stable process is a Markov process with state space  $D \cup \{\partial\}$ , with lifetime  $\zeta = \tau_D$ . We will use  $P_w^x$  and  $E_w^x$  to denote the probability and expectation with respect to this process.

For any  $z \in \partial D$ , the  $M_D(\cdot, z)$ -conditioned symmetric stable process is a Markov process with state space  $D \cup \{\partial\}$ , with lifetime  $\zeta = \tau_D$ . We will use  $P_z^x$  and  $E_z^x$  to denote the probability and expectation with respect to this process.

In [11] we proved the following 3G Theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose that D is a bounded  $C^{1,1}$  domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Then there exists a constant  $c = c(D, n, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\frac{G_D(x,y)G_D(y,w)}{G_D(x,w)} \le \frac{c|x-w|^{n-\alpha}}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y-w|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad x,y,w \in D,$$
 (2.2)

$$\frac{G_D(x,y)K_D(y,z)}{K_D(x,z)} \le \frac{c\,|x-z|^{n-\alpha}}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y-z|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad x,y \in D, z \in D^c. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Theorem 2.1 above and the scaling property we easily get the following result.

COROLLARY 2.2. There exists a constant  $c = c(n, \alpha) > 0$  such that for any ball B in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one has

$$\frac{G_B(x, y)G_B(y, w)}{G_B(x, w)} \le \frac{c |x - w|^{n - \alpha}}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}|y - w|^{n - \alpha}}, \quad x, y, w \in B,$$
(2.4)

$$\frac{G_B(x,y)K_B(y,z)}{K_B(x,z)} \le \frac{c|x-z|^{n-\alpha}}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y-z|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad x,y \in B, z \in B^c.$$
 (2.5)

The 3G Theorem actually holds for bounded Lipschitz domains.

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that D is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a constant  $c = c(D, n, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\frac{G_D(x, y)G_D(y, w)}{G_D(x, w)} \le \frac{c |x - w|^{n - \alpha}}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}|y - w|^{n - \alpha}}, \quad x, y, w \in D$$
 (2.6)

$$\frac{G_D(x,y)M_D(y,z)}{M_D(x,z)} \le \frac{c |x-z|^{n-\alpha}}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}|y-z|^{n-\alpha}}, \quad x,y \in D, z \in \partial D. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* Note that the boundary Harnack inequality holds on D for positive harmonic functions in D with respect to the symmetric stable process X, due to Bogdan [8]. One can then prove (2.6) by repeating the argument in Section 6.2 of [17] so we omit the details here. Recalling (1.2), inequality (2.7) follows from (2.6) by letting  $w \to z$ .  $\square$ 

We need the following result on the decomposition of Kato class functions later on.

LEMMA 2.4. Let q have compact support. Then  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  if and only if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a function  $q_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $q - q_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|q_{\varepsilon}(y)|}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}} \, dy \le \varepsilon$$

*Proof.* The proof is the same as that of Theorem 4.16 in [1].  $\Box$ 

In what follows, q is an arbitrary but fixed function in  $\mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$ . For a domain D in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , define

$$T_t f(x) = E^x [e_q(t) f(X(t)); t < \tau_D], \qquad x \in D$$

The semigroup  $T_t$  admits an integral kernel  $u_q(t,x,y)$  (cf. [12]). The infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $T_t$  on  $L^2(D,dx)$  is  $L^D+q$ . If D has finite Lebesgue measure, then it is known (see Theorem 3.3 of [12]) that  $L^D+q$  has discrete spectrum. Let  $\{\lambda_k, k=0,1,\ldots\}$  be all the eigenvalues of  $L^D+q$  written in decreasing order, each repeated according to its multiplicity. Then  $\lambda_k \downarrow -\infty$  and the corresponding eigenfunctions  $\{\varphi_k, k=0,1,\ldots\}$  can be chosen so that they form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(D,dx)$ . We know that all the eigenfunctions  $\varphi_k$  are bounded and the first eigenfunction  $\varphi_0$  can be chosen to be strictly positive in D (cf. [12]).

Definition 2.1. A bounded Borel function f defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to a solution to the equation

$$(L^{D} + q)f(x) = 0, x \in D$$
 (2.8)

if it is continuous in D and for any open domain  $D_0 \subset \overline{D_0} \subset D$ ,

$$f(x) = E^{x}[e_{q}(\tau_{D_{0}})f(X_{\tau_{D_{0}}})], \quad x \in D_{0}.$$

Clearly the first eigenfunction  $\varphi_0$  of  $T_t$  is a positive solution of

$$(L^D + q + \lambda_0) f(x) = 0, \qquad x \in D.$$

For positive solutions of (2.8) we have the following uniform local Harnack inequality, which is applicable to  $\varphi_0$  with  $q + \lambda_0$  in place of q.

THEOREM 2.5. There exist two positive constants  $r_0 = r_0(q)$  and C = C(q) > 0 such that for any solution f of (2.8) which is strictly positive on D and for any ball  $B(x_0, r)$  with  $0 < r \le r_0$  and  $B(x_0, 2r) \subset D$ , one has

$$\sup_{x\in B(x_0,r)} f(x) \le C \inf_{x\in B(x_0,r)} f(x).$$

*Proof.* It follows from (2.5) and the assumption of  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  that there exists a positive number  $R_0$  such that for any ball of radius  $r \leq R_0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in B} \int_{B} \frac{G_B(x, y)|q(y)|K_B(y, z)}{K_B(x, z)} \, dy \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Jensen's inequality and Khas'minskii's lemma,

$$e^{-1/2} \leq \inf_{x \in B, z \in \overline{B}^c} E_z^x[e_q(\tau_B)] \leq \sup_{x \in B, z \in \overline{B}^c} E_z^x[e_q(\tau_B)] \leq 2.$$

For any ball  $B(x_0, r)$  with  $0 < r \le R_0/2$  and  $\overline{B(x_0, 2r)} \subset D$ , for any  $x \in B(x_0, 2r)$  we have

$$f(x) = E^{x}[e_{q}(\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)})f(X_{\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)}})]$$

$$= \int_{B(x_{0},2r)^{c}} E_{z}^{x}[e_{q}(\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)})] f(z) K_{B(x_{0},2r)}(x,z) dz$$

$$\leq 2E^{x}[f(X_{\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)}})].$$

By the Harnack inequality in [5], there exists a constant  $c = c(n, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in B(x_0,r)} E^x[f(X_{\tau_{B(x_0,2r)}})] \le c \inf_{x \in B(x_0,r)} E^x[f(X_{\tau_{B(x_0,2r)}})].$$

Therefore for any  $x, y \in B(x_0, r)$ ,

$$f(x) \leq 2c E^{y}[f(X_{\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)}})]$$

$$\leq 2c e^{1/2} \int_{B(x_{0},2r)^{c}} E_{z}^{y}[e_{q}(\tau_{B(x_{0},2r)})] f(z) K_{B(x_{0},2r)}(y,z) dz$$

$$= 2c e^{1/2} f(y),$$

and the proof is now complete.  $\Box$ 

From the theorem above we immediately get the following Harnack inequality by a standard chain argument.

THEOREM 2.6. Suppose that K is a compact subset of D. There exists a constant  $C = C(D, q, n, \alpha) > 0$  such that for any solution f of (2.8) which is strictly positive on D one has

$$\sup_{x \in K} f(x) \le C \inf_{x \in K} f(x).$$

## 3. Intrinsic ultracontractivity

Let us first recall the definition of intrinsic ultracontractivity, due to Davies and Simon [22]. Suppose that H is a semibounded self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(D)$  with D being a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $\{e^{Ht}, t > 0\}$  is an irreducible positivity-preserving semigroup with integral kernel a(t, x, y). Assume that the top of the spectrum  $\mu_0$  of H is an eigenvalue. In this case,  $\mu_0$  has multiplicity one and the corresponding eigenfunction  $\varphi_0$ , normalized by  $\|\varphi_0\|_2 = 1$ , can be chosen to be positive almost everywhere on D.  $\varphi_0$  is called the ground state of H.

Let U be the unitary operator  $\widetilde{U}$  from  $L^2(D, \varphi_0^2(x)dx)$  to  $L^2(D)$  given by  $Uf = \varphi_0 f$  and define  $\widetilde{H}$  on  $L^2(D, \varphi_0^2(x) dx)$  by

$$\widetilde{H} = U^{-1} (H - \mu_0) U.$$

Then  $e^{\widetilde{H}t}$  is an irreducible symmetric Markov semigroup on  $L^2(D, \varphi_0^2(x) dx)$  whose integral kernel with respect to the measure  $\varphi_0^2(x)dx$  is given by

$$\frac{e^{-\mu_0 t}a(t,x,y)}{\varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(y)}.$$

Definition 3.1. H is said to be ultracontractive if  $e^{Ht}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^2(D)$  to  $L^\infty(D)$  for all t>0. H is said to be intrinsically ultracontractive if  $\widetilde{H}$  is ultracontractive; that is,  $e^{\widetilde{H}t}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^2(D, \varphi_0^2(x) dx)$  to  $L^\infty(D, \varphi_0^2(x) dx)$  for all t>0.

Ultracontractivity is connected to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. The connection between logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  bounds of semigroups was first given by L. Gross [27] in 1975. E. Davies and B. Simons [1] adopted L. Gross's approach to allow  $q=\infty$  and therefore established the connection between logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and ultracontractivity. (For an updated survey on the subject of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups; see [2], [28].) In [3], R. Bañuelos proved the intrinsic ultracontractivity for Shrödinger operators on uniformly Hölder domains of order  $\alpha \in (0,2)$  using the logarithmic Sobolev inequality characterization. We will use the same strategy in this section; that is, establishing the intrinsic ultracontractivity through logarithmic Sobolev inequalities.

In the rest of this section, unless otherwise specified, D is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure, q is a fixed function in the Kato class  $\mathbb{K}_{n,\alpha}$ . Recall that the semigroup  $\{T_t, t > 0\}$  is defined as follows:

$$T_t f(x) = E^x \left[ e_q(t) f(X(t)); \ t < \tau_D \right], \qquad x \in D.$$

 $\{\lambda_k: k=0,1,\ldots\}$  are all the eigenvalues of  $L^D+q$  written in decreasing order, each repeated according to its multiplicity.  $\{\varphi_k: k=0,1,\ldots\}$  are the corresponding

eigenfunctions, normalized so that they form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(D, dx)$  and  $\varphi_0 > 0$  on D.

The following result is proven in [12].

THEOREM 3.1. The logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds for functions in  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ . That is, for any  $\eta > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dx)$ , we have

$$\int_{D} f^{2} \log |f| dx \leq \eta \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta(\eta) \|f\|_{2}^{2} + \|f\|_{2}^{2} \log \|f\|_{2},$$

with

$$\beta(\eta) = -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \eta + c$$

for some constant c > 0.

Recall that for any domain D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the quasi-hyperbolic distance between any two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in D is defined by

$$\rho_D(x_1, x_2) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \frac{ds}{\delta(x, \partial D)}$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves  $\gamma$  joining  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  in D and  $\delta(x, \partial D)$  is the Euclidean distance between x and  $\partial D$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in D$  which we call the center of D and assume without loss of generality that  $\delta(x_0, \partial D) = 1$ .

Definition 3.2. A domain D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a Hölder domain of order 0 if for a fixed  $x_0 \in D$ , there exist constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for all  $x \in D$ ,

$$\rho_D(x_0, x) \le C_1 \log \left( \frac{1}{\delta(x, \partial D)} \right) + C_2.$$

It is shown in Smith and Stegenga [32] that a Hölder domain of order 0 is bounded. It is well known that John domains, in particular bounded NTA domains and Lipschitz domains, are Hölder domains of order 0 (cf. [3]).

LEMMA 3.2. If D is a Hölder domain of order 0, then there exists a constant C = C(D) > 0 such that for any  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\int_{D} (\rho_{D}(x_{0}, x))^{\beta} u^{2}(x) dx \leq C\mathcal{E}(u, u), \qquad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* From [32] we know that for any  $\beta > 0$  we have

$$\int_D (\rho_D(x_0,x))^{\beta} dx < \infty.$$

It follows from the Sobolev inequality (see formula (1.5.20) of [26] or Theorem 1 on page 119 of [34]) that there is constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$||u||_{p_0} \leq C_1 \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(u,u)},$$

where  $p_0$  is such that  $1/p_0 = 1/2 - \alpha/(2n)$ . Let  $p = n/(n - \alpha)$  and  $p' = n/\alpha$ . By Hölder's inequality it follows that for any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\int_{D} (\rho_{D}(x_{0}, x))^{\beta} u^{2}(x) dx \leq \left( \int_{D} (\rho_{D}(x_{0}, x))^{\beta p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{D} |u|^{p_{0}}(x) dx \right)^{(n-\alpha)/n}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \left( \int_{D} (\rho_{D}(x_{0}, x))^{\beta p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \mathcal{E}(u, u).$$

The proof is now complete.  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 3.3. If D is a Hölder domain of order 0, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\sigma > 0$  we have

$$\int_{D} f^{2} \log \frac{1}{\varphi_{0}} dx \leq \varepsilon \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{2}^{2}, \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

with

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = c_1 \varepsilon^{-\sigma} + c_2$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Here  $\varphi_0$  is the ground state of  $L^D + q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $W = \{Q_j\}$  be a Whitney decomposition of D. This is a decomposition of D into closed cubes Q with the following three properties (see [34] for details):

- (1) For  $j \neq k$ , the interior of  $Q_j$  and the interior of  $Q_k$  are disjoint.
- (2) If  $Q_i$  and  $Q_k$  intersect, then

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam}(Q_j)}{\operatorname{diam}(Q_k)} \leq 4.$$

(3) For any j,

$$1 \le \frac{\delta(Q_j, \partial D)}{\operatorname{diam}(Q_i)} \le 4.$$

Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point in D and  $x_0 \in Q_0$ . If  $Q_k \in W$ , we say that  $Q_0 = Q(0) \to Q(1) \to \cdots \to Q(m) = Q_k$  is a Whitney chain connecting  $Q_0$  and  $Q_k$  of length m if  $Q(i) \in W$  for all i and Q(i) and Q(i+1) have touching edges for all i. We define the Whitney distance  $d(Q_0, Q_k)$  to be the length of the shortest Whitney chain connecting

 $Q_0$  and  $Q_k$ . If  $x \in Q_k$  we define  $\tilde{\rho}(x_0, x) = d(Q_0, Q_k)$ . It is well known and easy to prove that this distance is comparable with  $\rho_D$ , the quasi-hyperbolic distance.

By Theorem 2.5, property (3) of the Whitney decomposition, the boundedness of D and the equivalence of  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\rho_D$ , there is a constant  $C_1 = C_1(D) > 0$  such that for any  $Q \in W$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in Q} \varphi_0(x) \le C_1 \inf_{x \in Q} \varphi_0(x).$$

Therefore there exists a constant  $C_2 = C_2(D) > 0$  such that

$$\varphi_0(x) \ge e^{-C_2 \rho_D(x_0, x)} \varphi_0(x_0), \qquad x \in D.$$
 (3.1)

For any p > 1, let p' be its conjugate. By (3.1) and Lemma 3.2, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\int_{D} u^{2} \log \frac{1}{\varphi_{0}} dx \leq C_{2} \int_{D} \rho_{D}(x_{0}, x) u(x)^{2} dx$$

$$= C_{2} \int_{D} \frac{\varepsilon^{1/p}}{\varepsilon^{1/p}} \rho_{D}(x_{0}, x) u(x)^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon C_{2} \int_{D} (\rho_{D}(x_{0}, x))^{p} u(x)^{2} dx + C_{2} \varepsilon^{-p'/p} \int_{D} u^{2}(x) dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon C_{3} \varepsilon (u, u) + C_{2} \varepsilon^{-p'/p} \int_{D} u^{2}(x) dx,$$

where  $C_3$  is a positive constant depending on D only. The proof is now complete.

Combining the two theorems above we get the following result.

THEOREM 3.4. If D is a Hölder domain of order 0, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\sigma > 0$  we have

$$\int_{D} f^{2} \log \frac{|f|}{\varphi_{0}} dx \leq \eta \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta(\eta) \|f\|_{2}^{2} + \|f\|_{2}^{2} \log \|f\|_{2}, \qquad f \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dx)$$

with

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \varepsilon + c_1 \varepsilon^{-\sigma} + c_2$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

With the result above, we can easily get our main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.5. Assume that D is a Hölder domain of order 0. Then  $L^D + q$  is intrinsically ultracontractive. More precisely,

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t} u_q(t,x,y)}{\varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(y)} \le e^{2M(t/2)} \quad \textit{for all } x,y \in D \textit{ and } t > 0,$$

where

$$M(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t A(\varepsilon) \, d\varepsilon$$

with

$$A(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \varepsilon + c_1 \varepsilon^{-1/3} + c_2 & \text{for } \varepsilon \leq 1, \\ c_1 + c_2 & \text{for } \varepsilon > 1. \end{cases}$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ 

*Proof.* By taking  $\sigma = 1/3$  in Theorem 3.4, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap$  $L^{\infty}(D, dx)$  we have

$$\int_{D} f^{2} \log \frac{|f|}{\varphi_{0}} dx \leq \varepsilon \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta_{1}(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{2}^{2} + \|f\|_{2}^{2} \log \|f\|_{2}, \tag{3.2}$$

with

$$\beta_1(\varepsilon) = -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \varepsilon + c_1 \varepsilon^{-1/3} + c_2$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Suppose that  $(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is the Dirichlet form on  $L^2(m)$  with  $m(dx) = \varphi_0^2 dx$  associated with the semigroup whose integral kernel with respect to the measure m is given by

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t} u_q(t, x, y)}{\varphi_0(x) \varphi_0(y)}.$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{ f \colon f \varphi_0 \in \mathcal{F} \}$$

and

$$\widetilde{T}(f,h) = \mathcal{E}(f\varphi_0,h\varphi_0) - \int_D qf\varphi_0 \, h\varphi_0 \, dx + \lambda_0 \int_D fh \, dm$$

Since  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$ , by Theorem 3.2 of [33] there exists a constant B > 0 such that

$$\int_{D} |q| u^{2} dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(u, u) + B \int_{D} u^{2} dx, \qquad u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus

$$\widetilde{T}(h,h) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(h\varphi_0,h\varphi_0) - (B-\lambda_0)\int_D h^2 dm.$$

By putting  $f = h\varphi_0$  in (3.2), for  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dm)$ , we get

$$\int_{D} h^{2} \log |h| \, dm \leq 2\varepsilon \, \widetilde{T}(h, h)$$

$$+ (\beta_{1}(\varepsilon) + 2(B - \lambda_{0})) \int_{D} h^{2} \, dm + ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} \log ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore for  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  and  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dm)$ ,

$$\int_{D} h^{2} \log|h| \, dm \le \varepsilon \, \widetilde{T}(h,h) + \beta_{2}(\varepsilon) \int_{D} h^{2} \, dm + \|h\|_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} \log\|h\|_{L^{2}(m)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\beta_2(\varepsilon) = -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \varepsilon + c_3 \varepsilon^{-1/3} + c_4,$$

for some constants  $c_3$ ,  $c_4 > 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 1$ , since  $\widetilde{T}$  is nonnegative and (3.4) holds for  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we have, for any  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dm)$ ,

$$\int_{D} h^{2} \log |h| dm \leq \widetilde{T}(h, h) + \beta_{1}(1) \int_{D} h^{2} dm + ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} \log ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \widetilde{T}(h, h) + \beta_{1}(1) \int_{D} h^{2} dm + ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} \log ||h||_{L^{2}(m)}. (3.5)$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap L^{\infty}(D, dm)$  we get

$$\int_{D} h^{2} \log|h| \, dm \le \varepsilon \widetilde{T}(h,h) + A(\varepsilon) \|h\|_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} + \|h\|_{L^{2}(m)}^{2} \log \|h\|_{L^{2}(m)}, \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$A(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} -\frac{n}{2\alpha} \log \varepsilon + c_5 \varepsilon^{-1/3} + c_6 & \text{for } \eta \le 1, \\ c_5 + c_6 & \text{for } \varepsilon > 1. \end{cases}$$

for some positive constants  $c_5$  and  $c_6$ . By Corollary 2.2.8 of [21], we have

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t} u_q(t, x, y)}{\varphi_0(x) \varphi_0(y)} \le e^{2M(t/2)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D \text{ and } t > 0,$$

where

$$M(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t A(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < \infty.$$

Using the same argument as that of Theorem 6 in R. Smits [31], we have:

THEOREM 3.6. Assume that D is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure such that  $L^D + q$  is intrinsic ultracontractive. Then there exists C > 0 such that for any t > 1,

$$e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)t} \leq \sup_{x,y\in D} \left| \frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t}u_q(t,x,y)}{\varphi_0(x)\varphi_0(y)} - 1 \right| \leq Ce^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_0)t}.$$

### 4. Conditional lifetimes

Assume in this section that D is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure such that  $L^D$  is intrinsically ultracontractive, unless otherwise specified. In particular, we know from Theorem 3.5 that a Hölder domain of order 0 satisfies this assumption. Since (D,0) is gaugeable, the first eigenvalue  $\mu_0$  of  $L^D$  is negative. Let  $\phi_0$  be the ground state of  $L^D$ . Recall that  $p_D$  is the transition density function for the killed symmetric stable process  $X^D$ . Similar to Corollary 1 of Bañuelos [3], we have:

THEOREM 4.1. Under the assumption given at the beginning of this section there is a constant c > 0 such that:

- (1)  $e^{\mu_0 t} \phi_0(x) \phi_0(y) \le p^D(t, x, y) \le c e^{\mu_0 t} \phi_0(x) \phi_0(y)$  for all  $x, y \in D$  and t > 1.
- (2) Let  $SH^+$  denote all non-trivial nonnegative superharmonic functions in D with respect to  $X^D$ . Then

$$\sup_{x \in D, h \in SH^+} E_h^x[\tau_D] < \infty.$$

(3) For  $h \in SH^+$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\mu_0 t} P_h^x(\tau_D > t) = \frac{\phi_0(x)}{h(x)} \int_D \phi_0(y) h(y).$$

In particular,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P_h^x(\tau_D > t) = \mu_0$ .

*Proof.* (1) This follows directly from Theorem 3.6.

(2) Note that for each  $h \in SH^+$ , by (1),

$$h(x) \ge \int_D p^D(1, x, y)h(y) dy \ge e^{\mu_0} \phi_0(x) \int_D \phi_0(y)h(y) dy$$
 for  $x \in D$ .

Therefore

$$\sup_{x \in D, h \in SH^+} \frac{\phi_0(x)}{h(x)} \int_D \phi_0(y) h(y) \, dy \le e^{-\mu_0} < \infty.$$

Therefore by (1)

$$\sup_{x \in D, h \in SH^{+}} E_{h}^{x}[\tau_{D}] = \sup_{x \in D, h \in SH^{+}} \frac{1}{h(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{D} p^{D}(t, x, y) h(y) \, dy$$

$$\leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\mu_{0}t} \, dt \sup_{x \in D, h \in SH^{+}} \frac{\phi_{0}(x)}{h(x)} \int_{D} \phi_{0}(y) h(y) \, dy < \infty.$$

(3) By Theorem 3.6,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\mu_0 t} P_h^x(\tau_D > t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-\mu_0 t} \phi_0(x)^{-1} \int_D p^D(t, x, y) h(y) \, dy$$
$$= \frac{\phi_0(x)}{h(x)} \int_D \phi_0(y) h(y).$$

When D is a bounded Lipschitz domain,  $G_D(x, y)$ ,  $M_D(x, z)$  and  $K_D(x, w)$  are superharmonic functions in x with respect to  $X^D$  for each fixed  $y \in D$ ,  $z \in \partial D$  and  $w \in \overline{D}^c$ , respectively. The above theorem in particular implies the following result.

COROLLARY 4.2 (Conditional Lifetimes). Assume that D is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then

$$\sup_{x\in D,\,z\in\mathbf{R}^n}E_z^x[\tau_D]<\infty.$$

### 5. Conditional gauge theorem

Throughout this section, D is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Recall that  $L^D$  is the non-positive definite infinitesimal generator of the killed  $\alpha$ -stable process on D. For  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$ , let  $u_q(t,x,y)$  be the kernel of the following Feynman-Kac semigroup

$$T_t f(x) = E^x \left[ e_q(t) f(X_t) 1_{\{t < \tau_D\}} \right].$$

Note that the semigroup  $T_t$  only depends on the function q through  $q1_D$  so we may assume that q = 0 off D. The following result is proven in [12].

THEOREM 5.1. Suppose that  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  is such that

$$\sup_{x,y\in D} \int_{D} \frac{G_D(x,z)|q(z)|G_D(z,y)}{G_D(x,y)} dz \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$e^{-1/2}G_D(x, y) \le V_q(x, y) \le 2G_D(x, y),$$
 (5.1)

where

$$V_q(x, y) = \int_0^\infty u_q(t, x, y) dt.$$

THEOREM 5.2. Assume that  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  and (D,q) is gaugeable. Then there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$V_q(x, y) \le c G_D(x, y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in D$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.3, the function q can be decomposed as  $q = q_1 + q_2$  with  $q_1$  bounded and  $q_2 \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  satisfying

$$\sup_{x,y \in D} \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(x,z)|q_{2}(z)|G_{D}(z,y)}{G_{D}(x,y)} dz \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (5.2)

Therefore by Theorem 5.1,

$$e^{-1/2}G_D(x, y) \le V_{q_2}(x, y) \le 2G_D(x, y).$$
 (5.3)

Let  $\{\nu_k, k=0,1,\ldots\}$  be all the eigenvalues of  $L^D+q_2$  written in decreasing order, each repeated according to its multiplicity. and let  $\{\psi_k, k=0,1,\ldots\}$  be the corresponding eigenfunctions with  $\psi_0>0$  on D. We can assume that  $\{\psi_k, k=0,1,\ldots\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(D,dx)$ . Since by Khas'minskii's lemma  $E_y^*[e_{q_2}(\zeta)]<2$  for  $x,y\in D$ , we know from Theorem 3.11 of [12] that  $\nu_0<0$ .

By Theorem 3.6, there is a  $t_1 > 1$  such that for all  $t \ge t_1$  and all  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{e^{-\nu_0 t} u_{q_2}(t, x, y)}{\psi_0(x) \psi_0(y)} \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

Therefore for all  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$V_{q_{2}}(x, y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} u_{q_{2}}(t, x, y) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} u_{q_{2}}(t, x, y) dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \psi_{0}(x) \psi_{0}(y) \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} e^{\nu_{0}t} dt$$

$$\geq C_{1} \psi_{0}(x) \psi_{0}(y)$$
(5.4)

for some positive constant  $C_1 > 0$ .

Since  $L^D + q = (L^D + q_2) + q_1$  and  $q_1$  is bounded, it follows from Theorem 3.4 of [22] that the first eigenfunction  $\varphi_0$  of  $L^D + q$  is comparable to the first eigenfunction  $\psi_0$  of  $L^D + q_2$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $C_2 > 1$  such that

$$C_2^{-1}\psi_0 \le \varphi_0 \le C_2\psi_0. \tag{5.5}$$

By Theorem 3.6 again, there is a  $t_2 > 1$  such that for all  $t \ge t_2$  and all  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t} u_q(t, x, y)}{\varphi_0(x) \varphi_0(y)} \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Since the gauge function of (D, q) is assumed to be finite, the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  is negative by Theorem 3.11 of [12]. Therefore it follows from (5.5), (5.4) and (5.3) that for any  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$\int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} u_{q}(t, x, y) dt \leq \frac{3}{2} \varphi_{0}(x) \varphi_{0}(y) \int_{t_{2}}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_{0}t} dt$$

$$\leq C_{3} \varphi_{0}(x) \varphi_{0}(y) \leq C_{4} \psi_{0}(x) \psi_{0}(y)$$

$$\leq C_{5} V_{q_{2}}(x, y) \leq C_{6} G_{D}(x, y),$$

where  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ ,  $C_6$  are positive constants. Since  $q_1$  is bounded,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{t_2} u_q(t,x,y) \, dt & \leq e^{\|q_1\|_{\infty} t_2} \int_0^{t_2} u_{q_2}(t,x,y) \, dt \\ & \leq e^{\|q_1\|_{\infty} t_2} V_{q_2}(x,y) \leq 2e^{\|q_1\|_{\infty} t_2} G_D(x,y). \end{split}$$

Hence there exists a constant C > 1 such that

$$V_q(x, y) \le CG_D(x, y), \qquad x, y \in D.$$

THEOREM 5.3. Assume that (D, q) is gaugeable. Then:

(1) For all  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$V_q(x, y) = G_D(x, y) + \int_D V_q(x, u) q(u) G_D(u, y) du$$
 (5.6)

$$V_q(x, y) = G_D(x, y) + \int_D G_D(x, u) q(u) V_q(u, y) du.$$
 (5.7)

(2) For all  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$E_y^x [e_q(\zeta)] = 1 + G_D(x, y)^{-1} \int_D V_q(x, w) q(w) G_D(w, y) dw.$$

(3) For all  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$E_y^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] = \frac{V_q(x, y)}{G_D(x, y)}.$$

(4) There exists c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1} \le \inf_{x,y \in D} E_y^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \le \sup_{x,y \in D} E_y^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \le c.$$

(5) There exists a constant c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1}G_D(x, y) \le V_q(x, y) \le cG_D(x, y)$$
 for  $x, y \in D$ .

That is, the Green function of D with respect to  $L^D + q$  is comparable to the Green function of D with respect to  $L^D$ .

(6) For all  $x \in D$  and  $z \in \overline{D}^c$ , we have

$$E_z^x [e_q(\zeta)] = 1 + K_D(x, z)^{-1} \int_D V_q(x, w) q(w) K_D(w, z) dw.$$

(7) There exists a constsnt c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq \inf_{(x,z)\in D\times \overline{D}^c} E_z^x[e_q(\tau_D)] \leq \sup_{(x,z)\in D\times \overline{D}^c} E_z^x[e_q(\tau_D)] \leq c.$$

*Proof.* The proofs of (1)–(5) are exactly the same as those of Theorems 5.3–5.7 of [12] so the details are omitted.

Now we prove (6). By (2.1), for any Borel measurable subset  $A \subset D$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{A} \frac{G_{D}(x,y)|q(y)|K_{D}(y,z)}{K_{D}(x,z)} \, dy \\ &= \frac{A(n,\alpha)}{K_{D}(x,z)} \int_{A} G_{D}(x,y)|q(y)| \left( \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(y,w)}{|w-z|^{n+\alpha}} \, dw \right) dy \\ &= \frac{A(n,\alpha)}{K_{D}(x,z)} \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(x,w)}{|w-z|^{n+\alpha}} \left( \int_{A} \frac{G_{D}(x,y)|q(y)|G_{D}(y,w)}{G_{D}(x,w)} \, dy \right) dw. \end{split}$$

The family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{G_D(x,\cdot)|q(\cdot)|G_D(\cdot,w)}{G_D(x,w)}, \ x,w \in D \right\}$$

is uniformly integrable by (2.6) and therefore the family of functions

$$\left\{\frac{G_D(x,\cdot)|q(\cdot)|K_D(\cdot,z)}{K_D(x,z)},\ x\in D,z\in\overline{D}^c\right\}$$

is uniformly integrable. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} E_z^x \left[ \int_0^{\zeta} e_q(t) |q(X_t)| \, dt \right] \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} E_z^x \left[ e_q(t) |q(X_t)|; \, t < \zeta \right] \, dt \\ &= K_D(x, z)^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} E^x \left[ e_q(t) |q(X_t)| K_D(X_t, z); \, t < \tau_D \right] \, dt \\ &= K_D(x, z)^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} \int_D u_q(t, x, y) |q(w)| K_D(w, z) \, dw \, dt \\ &= K_D(x, z)^{-1} \int_D V_q(x, w) |q(w)| K_D(w, z) \, dw < \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} E_z^x[e_q(\zeta)] &= 1 + E_z^x \left[ \int_0^\zeta e_q(t) q(X_t) \, dt \right] \\ &= 1 + K_D(x, z)^{-1} \int_D V_q(x, w) q(w) K_D(w, z) \, dw. \end{split}$$

Finally we prove (7). By (2.1),

$$\begin{split} E_{z}^{x} \left[ e_{q}(\tau_{D}) \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{K_{D}(x, z)} \int_{D} V_{q}(x, w) q(w) \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(w, v)}{|v - z|^{n + \alpha}} dv dw \\ &= \frac{A(n, \alpha)}{K_{D}(x, z)} \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(x, v)}{|v - z|^{n + \alpha}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{G_{D}(x, v)} \int_{D} V_{q}(x, w) q(w) G_{D}(w, v) dw \right) dv \\ &= \frac{A(n, \alpha)}{K_{D}(x, z)} \int_{D} \frac{G_{D}(x, v)}{|v - z|^{n + \alpha}} E_{v}^{x} \left[ e_{q}(\zeta) \right] dv. \end{split}$$

The assertion of this theorem then follows from (4) above.  $\Box$ 

*Remark.* (3) and (7) in the above theorem are the conditional gauge theorms for symmetric stable processes. We are informed by Bogdan that he has also obtained these results independently.

For  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$ , let  $\psi_0 >$  be the ground state of  $L^D + q$  and let  $u_q(t, x, y)$  be the density kernel of  $L^D + q$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure in D.

THEOREM 5.4. Assume that (D, q) is gaugeable. Then:

(1) There exists a constant c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq \inf_{(x,z) \in D \times \partial D} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\tau_D) \right] \leq \sup_{(x,z) \in D \times \partial D} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\tau_D) \right] \leq c.$$

(2) There exists a constant c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1} \leq \inf_{(x,z)\in D\times\mathbf{R}^n} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \leq \sup_{(x,z)\in D\times\mathbf{R}^n} E_z^x \left[ e_q(\zeta) \right] \leq c.$$

(3) There is a constant c > 1 such that

$$c^{-1}\phi_0(x) \le \psi_0(x) \le c\phi_0(x)$$
 for all  $x \in D$ .

(4) For each t > 0 there is a constant  $c_t > 1$  such that

$$c_t^{-1}p^D(t, x, y) \le u_q(t, x, y) \le c_t p^D(t, x, y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in D$ .

*Proof.* (1). It follows from the (2.7) that the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{G_D(x,\cdot)|q(\cdot)|M_D(\cdot,z)}{M_D(x,z)}, x \in D, z \in \partial D \right\}$$

is uniformly integrable. A calculation similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 5.3 (6) yields

$$E_z^x[e_q(\zeta)] = 1 + \frac{1}{M_D(x,z)} \int_D V_q(x,u) q(u) M_D(u,z) \, du.$$

Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point in D. Then from [13] we know that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{y \to z} \frac{1}{G_D(x,y)} V_q(x,w) q(w) G_D(w,y) &= \lim_{y \to z} V_q(x,w) q(w) \frac{G_D(w,y)/G_D(x_0,y)}{G_D(x,y)/G_D(x_0,y)} \\ &= V_q(x,w) q(w) \frac{M_D(w,z)}{M_D(x,z)}. \end{split}$$

Now using the uniform integrability of the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{G_D(x,\cdot)|q(\cdot)|G_D(\cdot,y)}{G_D(x,y)}, \, x,y \in D \right\}$$

and letting  $y \rightarrow z \in \partial D$  in Theorem 5.3 (2) we have

$$\lim_{y \to z} E_y^x[e_q(\zeta)] = 1 + \frac{1}{M_D(x, z)} \int_D V_q(x, u) q(u) M_D(u, z) \, du.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{y \to z} E_x^y[e_q(\zeta)] = E_x^z[e_q(\zeta)] \tag{5.8}$$

and the theorem now follows from Theorem 5.3 (4).

Combining Theorem 5.3 and (1) above we get (2). The proofs of (3) and (4) are the same as that for Theorem 2 in Bañuelos [3] and are thus omitted.  $\Box$ 

Remark. It is possible to extend conditional gauge theorem beyond bounded Lipschitz domains to domains having the following properties. Suppose that D is a domain having finite Lebesgue measure and  $q \in \mathbf{K}_{n,\alpha}$  such that  $L^D + q$  is intrinsic ultracontractive. Also assume that q admits a decomposition  $q = q_1 + q_2$  with  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  satisfying (5.2). Then Theorem 5.2 remains true by exactly the same argument. Assume further that

$$\left\{ \frac{G_D(x,\cdot)|q(\cdot)|G_D(\cdot,y)}{G_D(x,y)} \colon \ x,y \in D \right\}$$
 (5.9)

is uniformly integrable on D. Then (1)–(5) of Theorems 5.3 hold by the same proofs as those for Theorems 5.3–5.7 in [12]. In this case, (3) and (4) of Theorem 5.4 hold as well. When D is a bounded Lipschitz domain, the above conditions are satisfied due to 3G Theorem 2.3.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M. Aizenman and B. Simon, Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 209-273.
- D. Bakry, "L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroups" in Ecole d'été de Saint Flour 1992, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1581, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

- R. Bañuelos, Intrinsic ultracontractivity and eigenfunction estimates for Schrödinger operators, J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991), 181-206.
- R. F. Bass and K. Burdzy, Lifetimes of conditional diffusions, Probab. Theory Related Fields 91 (1992), 405-444.
- 5. R. F. Bass and M. Cranston, Exit times for symmetric stable processes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Ann. Prob. 11 (1983), 578-588.
- R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Getoor, Some theorems on stable processes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 263-273.
- 7. R. M. Blumenthal, R. K. Getoor and D. B. Ray, On the distribution of first hits for the symmetric stable processes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1961), 540-554.
- K. Bogdan, The boundary Harnack principle for the fractional Laplacian, Studia Math. 123 (1997), 43–80.
- 9. K. Bogdan, Representation of α-harmonic functions in Lipschitz domains, preprint.
- 10. \_\_\_\_\_, oral communication.
- 11. Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song, Estimates on Green functions and Poisson kernels of symmetric stable processes, Math. Ann. 312 (1998), 465-501.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_, Intrinsic ultracontractivity and conditional gauge for symmetric stable processes, J. Funct. Anal. 150 (1997), 204–239.
- 13. \_\_\_\_\_, Martin boundary and integral representation for harmonic functions of symmetric stable processes, J. Funct. Anal. 159 (1998), 267–294.
- 14. K. L. Chung, Greenian bounds for Markov processes, Potential Analysis 1 (1992), 83-92.
- 15. K. L. Chung and K. M. Rao, "Feynman-Kac functional and the Schrödinger equation" in *Seminar on stochastic processes*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1981, pp. 1-29.
- K. L. Chung and K. M. Rao. General gauge theorem for multiplicative functionals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 306 (1988), 819–836.
- 17. K. L. Chung and Z. Zhao, From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- 18. M. Cranston, Lifetime of conditioned Brownian motion in Lipschtiz domains, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 70(1985), 335-340.
- 19. M. Cranston, E. Fabes and Z. Zhao, Conditional gauge and potential theory for the Schrödinger operator, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 307 (1988), 174-194.
- M. Cranston, T. R. McConnell, The lifetime of conditioned Brownian motion, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 65(1985), 1–11.
- 21. E. B. Davies, Heat kernels and spectral theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 22. E. B. Davies and B. Simon, Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians, J. Funct. Anal. 59 (1984), 335-395.
- 23. J. L. Doob, Conditioned Brownian motion and boundary limits of harmonic functions, Bull. Math. Soc. France 85 (1957), 431-458.
- 24. E. B. Dynkin, Markov Processes and Related Problems of Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- 25. N. Falkner, Feynman-Kac functional and positive solutions of  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta u + qu = 0$ , Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 65 (1983), 19-34.
- M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda, Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994
- 27. L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 97 (1975), 1061-1083.
- 28. \_\_\_\_\_, "Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups" in *Dirichet Forms*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. **1563**, Springer, Berlin, 1993, 54–88.
- 29. N. S. Landkof, Foundations of modern potential theory, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- 30. B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 447-536.
- 31. R. G. Smits, Spectral gaps and rates to equilibrium for diffusions in convex domains, Mich. Math. J. 43 (1996), 141–157.
- 32. W. Smith and D. Stegenga, Hölder domains and Poincare domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), 67-100.
- 33. R. Song, Feynman-Kac semigroup with discontinuous additive functionals, J. Theoret. Probab. 8 (1995), 727-762.

- 34. E. Stein, Singular integrals and differential properties of functions. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- 35. Z. Zhao, Uniform boundedness of conditional gauge and Schrödinger equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 93 (1984), 19–31.
- 36. \_\_\_\_\_, Green function for Schrödinger operator and conditioned Feynman-Kac gauge, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116 (1986), 309–334.
- 37. \_\_\_\_\_, A probabilistic principle and generalized Schrödinger perturbation, J. Funct. Anal. 101 (1991), 162-176.

Zhen-Qing Chen, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

zchen@math.washington.edu

Renming Song, Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801 rsong@math.uiuc.edu