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This note deals with the same subject as a recent paper by Rademacher. ${ }^{1}$
Let $H$ denote the upper half-plane, $\Gamma$ the modular group. The theorem in question may be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be a subgroup of finite index of $\Gamma$. Let $f$ be regular and bounded in $H$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(T^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}\right)=f(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every transformation $T^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and every point $\tau$ of $H$. Then $f$ is constant in $H$.
This will be proved in two stages: First the particular case $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma$ will be deduced from well-known properties of regular functions, then the general result from the particular case. ${ }^{2}$

Theorem 2. Let $S$ be a closed bounded set of points, contained in the domain D. Let $g$ be regular in $D$, and suppose that every value assumed by $|g(z)|$ in $D$ is alread\} assumed in $S$. Then $g$ is constant in $D$.

Proof. The set of the values assumed by $|g(z)|$ in $S$ has a greatest member, but the set of the values assumed by $|g(z)|$ in $D$ has no greatest member unless $g$ is constant in $D$. By hypothesis, these two sets are the same. Hence the result.

Theorem 3. Let $\phi$ be regular and bounded in $H$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(T \tau)=\phi(\tau) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every transformation $T$ of $\Gamma$ and every point $\tau$ of $H$. Then $\phi$ is constant in $H$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\phi\{(\log z) /(2 \pi i)\} \quad(0<|z|<1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Then, since we may take $T \tau=\tau+1$, it follows from the hypotheses that $g(z)$ is regular and bounded for $0<|z|<1$. Hence $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} g(z)$ exists, and, defining $g(0)$ as this limit, we have $g$ regular also at 0 . Thus, defining $D$ as the unit disc, we have $g$ regular in $D$. Now let $S$ consist of 0 and those points $z$ for which $0<|z|<1$ and $|\log z| \geqq 2 \pi$, the logarithm having its principal value. Then $S$ is closed and bounded and contained in $D$. Thus all the hypotheses of Theorem 2, other than the last, are satisfied. So is the last one if, for every point $z^{\prime}$ of $D$, there is a point $z^{\prime \prime}$ of $S$ such that $g\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)=g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. If $z^{\prime}=0$, take $z^{\prime \prime}=0$. For any other point $z^{\prime}$ of $D$, we have $\left(\log z^{\prime}\right) /(2 \pi i) \in H$. Hence there is a modular transformation $T$ such that, putting

$$
T\left\{\left(\log z^{\prime}\right) /(2 \pi i)\right\}=\tau
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau \epsilon H  \tag{4}\\
-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{re} \tau \leqq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{5}\\
|\tau| \geqq 1 \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, by (2) and (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\tau)=\phi\left\{\left(\log z^{\prime}\right) /(2 \pi i)\right\}=g\left(z^{\prime}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $z^{\prime \prime}=e^{2 \pi i \tau}$. Then, by (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left|z^{\prime \prime}\right|<1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $(5),-\pi<\operatorname{im}(2 \pi i \tau) \leqq \pi$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log z^{\prime \prime}=2 \pi i \tau \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, by (6), $\left|\log z^{\prime \prime}\right| \geqq 2 \pi$. This shows that $z^{\prime \prime} \in S$. Also, by (3), (8), (9), and (7), $g\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)=g\left(z^{\prime}\right)$. Thus the last hypothesis of Theorem 2 is also satisfied, and, by that theorem, $g$ is constant in $D$, from which it easily follows that $\phi$ is constant in $H$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $f$ is continuous in $H$, it is sufficient to prove that $f$ cannot assume infinitely many values in $H$.

Let the index of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be $k$, and let the right cosets of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \cdots, \Gamma_{k}$, where $\Gamma_{1}=\Gamma^{\prime}$. For $m=1,2, \cdots, k$, choose $T_{m}$ from $\Gamma_{m}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m}(\tau)=f\left(T_{m} \tau\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1), $f_{m}(\tau)$ is independent of the choice of $T_{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(\tau)=f(\tau) \quad(\tau \in H) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for any member $T$ of $\Gamma$, there is a permutation $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}$ of the numbers $1,2, \cdots, k$, such that $T_{m} T \in \Gamma_{n_{m}}(m=1,2, \cdots, k)$. The formula $T_{m} T \in \Gamma_{n_{m}}$ means that there is a member $T^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ such that $T_{m} T=T^{\prime} T_{n_{m}}$.

From this and (10) and (1) it follows that

$$
f_{m}(T \tau)=f\left(T_{m} T \tau\right)=f\left(T^{\prime} T_{n_{m}} \tau\right)=f\left(T_{n_{m}} \tau\right)=f_{n_{m}}(\tau)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m}(T \boldsymbol{\tau})=f_{n_{m}}(\tau) \quad(m=1,2, \cdots, k ; \tau \in H) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $w$ be any point of $H$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\tau)=\prod_{m=1}^{k}\left\{f_{m}(\tau)-f(w)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (12),
$\phi(T \boldsymbol{\tau})=\prod_{m=1}^{k}\left\{f_{n_{m}}(\tau)-f(w)\right\}=\prod_{m=1}^{k}\left\{f_{m}(\tau)-f(w)\right\}=\phi(\tau) \quad(\tau \in H)$.
Thus (2) holds for every transformation $T$ of $\Gamma$ and every point $\tau$ of $H$, and it is trivial that $\phi$ is regular and bounded in $H$. Hence, by Theorem 3, $\phi$ is constant in $H$. In particular, $\phi(w)=\phi(i)$. Now, by (13) and (11), $\phi(w)=0$. Hence $\phi(i)=0$. From this and (13) it follows that

$$
\prod_{m=1}^{k}\left\{f_{m}(i)-f(w)\right\}=0
$$

which means that $f(w)$ cannot have any value other than $f_{1}(i), f_{2}(i), \cdots$, $f_{k}(i)$. Since this holds for every point $w$ of $H$, the theorem is proved.
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