

## On $H$ -separable extensions of two sided simple rings II

Dedicated to Professor Hisao Tominaga on his 60th birthday

Kozo SUGANO

(Received December 10, 1985, Revised March 22, 1986)

1. The aim of this paper is to give an improvement of Theorem 2 in the author's previous paper [8]. Let  $A$  be a two sided simple ring with its center  $C$ ,  $B$  a two sided simple subring of  $A$  such that  $B = V_A(V_A(B))$  and  $V_A(B)$  is a finite dimensional simple  $C$ -algebra. This condition is equivalent to the condition that  $B$  is a two sided simple ring and  $A$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$  and left  $B$ -finitely generated projective (See Theorem 1 [8]). Denote the class of simple  $C$ -subalgebras of  $V_A(B)$  by  $\mathfrak{T}$ , and the class of two sided simple subrings of  $A$  which are left relatively separable extensions of  $B$  in  $A$  by  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  (See [8] for the definition of relatively separable extension). Theorem 2 [8] shows that we can obtain mutually inverse one to one correspondences between  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  by letting each member of  $\mathfrak{T}$  or  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  correspond with its centralizer in  $A$ . In this paper we will show that a two sided simple subring  $S$  of  $A$  which contains  $B$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  if and only if  $A$  is left  $S$ -finitely generated projective.

All notations and symbols in this paper are same as those in [8] and [10].

2. Throughout this paper  $A$  will be a ring with 1,  $B$  a subring of  $A$  containing 1. We will denote the center of  $A$  by  $C$ , and  $V_A(B)$ , the centralizer of  $B$  in  $A$ , by  $D$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $B$  be a two sided simple ring, and  $A$  an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$  such that  $A$  is left  $B$ -finitely generated projective. Denote the class of simple  $C$ -subalgebras of  $D$  by  $\mathfrak{T}$ , and the class of two sided simple subrings  $S$  of  $A$  containing  $B$  such that  $A$  is left  $S$ -finitely generated projective by  $\mathfrak{S}_l$ , respectively. Then for each  $S$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_l$ , we have  $V_A(S) \in \mathfrak{T}$  and  $V_A(V_A(S)) = S$ , while for each  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{T}$ , we have  $V_A(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $V_A(V_A(T)) = T$ .*

**PROOF** Since  $A$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$ , there exists a ring isomorphism  $\eta_l$  of  $D \otimes_C A^\circ$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_B A, {}_B A)$  such that  $\eta_l(d \otimes a^\circ)(x) = dxa$ , for each  $a, x \in A, d \in D$ . Here  $A^\circ$  is the opposite ring of  $A$ . Now let  $S \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ . Since  $A^\circ$  is central two sided simple over  $C$  and  $\eta_l^{-1}(\text{Hom}({}_S A, {}_S A)) \supseteq A^\circ$ , we have  $\eta_l^{-1}(\text{Hom}({}_S A, {}_S A)) = M \otimes_C A^\circ$ , for some  $C$ -subspace  $M$  of  $D$  by

Noether-Krosch Theorem (See e. g., Lemma 4.1 [10]). Then since  $A$  is left  $S$ -finitely generated projective, we have the following isomorphisms as  $A$ - $A$ -module ;

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes_S A &\cong \text{Hom}(A_A, A_A) \otimes_S A \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}({}_S A, {}_S A)_A, A_A) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(M \otimes_{{}_C A_A}, A_A) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}((A \oplus A \oplus \cdots \oplus A)_A, A_A) \cong A \oplus A \oplus \cdots \oplus A \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $S$ . Then by Theorem 1 [8] we have that  $V_A(S)$  is simple and  $S = V_A(V_A(S))$ . The rest of the proof has been shown in Proposition 2 [8]. That  $T = V_A(V_A(T))$  for each  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{T}$  is due to Theorem 3.7 [2].

Of course  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  coincides with the class of two sided simple subrings  $S$  of  $A$  containing  $B$  such that  $A$  is right  $S$ -finitely generated projective. Furthermore combining Theorem 2 [8] and Theorem 1, we have

PROPOSITION 1 (Proposition 2 (3) [9]) *Under the same assumption as Theorem 1, if we assume furthermore that  $A$  contains a minimal  $A$ - $B$ -submodule, then a two sided simple subring  $S$  of  $A$  which contains  $B$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  if and only if  $A$  is  $A$ - $S$ -completely reducible..*

PROOF. See Proposition 2 [9].

3. A subring  $S$  of  $A$  is said to be a left relatively separable extension of  $B$  in  $A$  if  $B \subset S \subset A$  and the map  $\pi$  of  $S \otimes_B A$  to  $A$  such that  $\pi(s \otimes a) = sa$ , for  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in A$ , splits as  $S$ - $A$ -map. This is the case if and only if there exists  $\sum s_i \otimes a_i$  in  $S \otimes_B A$  such that  $\sum ss_i \otimes a_i = \sum s_i \otimes a_i s$  for each  $s \in S$  and  $\sum s_i a_i = 1$ . We will denote  $\{\sum s_i \otimes a_i \in S \otimes_B A \mid \sum ss_i \otimes a_i = \sum s_i \otimes a_i s \text{ for each } s \in S\} = (S \otimes_B A)^S$ . Right relatively separable extensions are similarly defined.

On the other hand,  $A$  is said to be a Frobenius extension of  $B$  if  $A$  is right  $B$ -finitely generated projective and  $A$  is  $B$ - $A$ -isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(A_B, B_B)$ . This is the case if and only if there exist  $h \in \text{Hom}({}_B A_B, {}_B B_B)$  and finite  $x_i, y_i \in A$  such that  $x = \sum h(xx_i)y_i = \sum x_i h(y_i x)$  holds for each  $x \in A$ . We will call  $\{h, x_i, y_i\}$  a Frobenius system of  $A$  over  $B$ . In this case the map  $\theta$  of  $A$  to  $\text{Hom}(A_B, B_B)$  such that  $\theta(x) = h \circ x$ , for each  $x \in A$ , gives the  $B$ - $A$ -isomorphism (See [6]). Concerning with the relation between relatively separable extensions and Frobenius extensions we have an extension of Proposition 2.18 [3] as follows.

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume that a subring  $S$  of  $A$  is a Frobenius extension of  $B$ , and let  $\{h, s_i, t_i\}$  be a Frobenius system of  $S$  over  $B$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent ;*

- (i)  $S$  is a left relatively separable extension of  $B$  in  $A$
- (ii)  $S$  is a right relatively separable extension of  $B$  in  $A$
- (iii)  $\sum s_i D t_i = V_A(S)$  (See Proposition 2.4 [4])

PROOF. Since  $S$  is right  $B$ -finitely generated projective, there exists an  $S$ - $A$ -isomorphism  $\psi$  of  $S \otimes_B A$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_B \text{Hom}(S_B, B_B), {}_B A)$  such that  $\psi(s \otimes a)(f) = f(s)a$ , for  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(S_B, B_B)$ . On the other hand the isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $S$  to  $\text{Hom}(S_B, B_B)$  such that  $\theta(t) = h \cdot t$ , for each  $t \in S$ , yields an  $S$ - $A$ -isomorphism  $\theta^* = \text{Hom}(\theta, A)$  of  $\text{Hom}({}_B \text{Hom}(S_B, B_B), {}_B A)$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_B S, {}_B A)$ . Then the inverse map of  $\theta^* \circ \psi$  is given by  $(\theta^* \circ \psi)^{-1}(g) = \sum s_i \otimes g(t_i)$ , for  $g \in \text{Hom}({}_B S, {}_B A)$ .  $\theta^* \circ \psi$  induces an isomorphism of  $(S \otimes_B A)^S$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_B S_S, {}_B A_S) \cong V_A(B)$ . Therefore, there exists  $\sum r_i \otimes s_i \in (S \otimes_B A)^S$  such that  $\sum r_i s_i = 1$  if and only if there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $\sum s_i d t_i = 1$ . It is already known that  $\sum s_i \otimes t_i \in (S \otimes_B S)^S$ . In fact, in  $S \otimes_B S$  we have  $\sum s s_i \otimes t_i = \sum_i \sum_j s_j h(t_j s s_i) \otimes t_i = \sum_j s_j \otimes \sum_i h(t_j s s_i) t_i = \sum_j s_j \otimes t_j s$  for each  $s \in S$  (See [6]). Then we see that  $\sum s_i D t_i$  is an ideal of  $V_A(S)$ . Thus we have shown (i)  $\iff$  (iii). Similarly we can show (ii)  $\iff$  (iii).

THEOREM 2. Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$  such that  $A$  is flat as left  $B$ -module. If a subring  $S$  of  $A$  is a Frobenius extension of  $B$  and  $V_A(S)$  is a two sided simple ring, then  $S$  is a left and right relatively separable extension of  $B$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{h, s_i, t_i\}$  be a Frobenius system of  $S$  over  $B$ . Since  $A$  is an  $H$ -separable extension of  $B$ , there exists an  $A$ - $A$ -isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $A \otimes_B A$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_C D, {}_C A)$  such that  $\eta(x \otimes y)(d) = x d y$ , for  $x, y \in A, d \in D$ . Now suppose that  $\sum s_i D t_i = 0$ . Then  $\sum s_i \otimes t_i = 0$  in  $A \otimes_B A$ . But we have  $S \otimes_B S \subset A \otimes_B A$  by assumption. Hence we have  $\sum s_i \otimes t_i = 0$  in  $S \otimes_B S$ . Then we have  $\sum s_i \otimes h(t_i) = 0$ , and  $1 = \sum s_i h(t_i) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus we see  $\sum s_i D t_i$  is a non zero ideal of a two sided simple ring  $V_A(S)$ , and have  $\sum s_i D t_i = V_A(S)$ .

Now we can apply the above results to  $H$ -separable extensions of two sided simple rings, and obtain

THEOREM 3. Let  $A, B, \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  be as in Theorem 1. Then all subrings of  $A$  which belong to  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  are Frobenius extensions of  $B$ . Conversely, if  $S$  is a two sided simple subring of  $A$  such that  $S$  is a Frobenius extension of  $B$  and  $V_A(S)$  is simple, then  $S$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{S}_l$ .

PROOF. Let  $S \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $T = V_A(S)$ .  $D \otimes_Z T^\circ$  is simple artinian, where  $Z$  is the center of  $D$ , and both  $\text{Hom}({}_T D, {}_T T)$  and  $D$  are direct sum of copies

of a simple left  $D \otimes_c T^\circ$ -module  $I$ . But they are free right  $T$ -modules of the same finite rank, since  $[D : C] < \infty$  and  $T$  is a simple artinian subring of  $D$ . Hence they are direct sum of the same number of copies of  $I$ . This means that  $\text{Hom}({}_T D, {}_T T)$  and  $D$  are left  $D \otimes_z T^\circ$ -isomorphic. Thus  $D$  is a Frobenius extension of  $T$ . Let  $\{\tilde{h}, d_i, e_i\}$  be a Frobenius system of  $D$  over  $T$ . Now there exists an  $A$ - $A$ -isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $A \otimes_B A$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_c D, {}_c A)$  as is defined in Theorem 2.  $\eta$  induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{\eta}$  of  $S \otimes_B S$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_T D_T, {}_T A_T)$ , since both  $S_B$  and  ${}_B A$  are finitely generated projective (See Proposition 2.1 [7]). Now we can use the same method as in [5] to show that  $S$  is a Frobenius extension of  $B$ . Put  $\tilde{\eta}^{-1}(\tilde{h}) = \sum s_j \otimes t_j$ , and  $h(s) = \sum d_i s e_i$ , for each  $s \in S$ . Then  $h$  is a  $B$ - $B$ -homomorphism of  $S$  to  $B$ , since  $\sum d_i \otimes e_i \in (D \otimes {}_T D)^D$ , and we have  $\sum s_j \otimes t_j \in (S \otimes_B S)^S$  by virtue of  $\tilde{h}(D) \subset V_A(S)$ . Now we have  $\sum h(ss_j)t_j = \sum d_i s s_j e_i t_j = \sum d_i s_j e_i t_j s = \sum d_i \tilde{h}(e_i)s = s$ , and similarly,  $\sum s_j h(t_j s) = s$ . Thus we see that  $\{h, s_j, t_j\}$  is a Frobenius system of  $S$  over  $B$ . The converse is Theorem 2 [8] and Theorem 2.

After submitting this paper, the author was informed by Professor H. Tominaga that Proposition 2 is essentially included in Proposition 2.4 [4]. The author gives him hearty thanks for the kind information.

### References

- [1] E. ARTIN and G. WHAPLES: The theory of simple rings, Amer. J. Math., 65 (1943), 87–107.
- [2] G. AZUMAYA: Separable rings, J. Algebra, 63 (1980), 1–14.
- [3] K. HIRATA and K. SUGANO: On semisimple extensions and separable extensions over non commutative rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 18 (1966), 360–373.
- [4] Y. MIYASHITA: On Galois extensions and crossed products, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., 21 (1970), 97–121.
- [5] T. NAKAMOTO: On QF-extensions in an H-separable extension, Proc. Japan Acad., 50 (1974), 440–443.
- [6] T. ONODERA: Some studies on projective Frobenius extensions, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., 18 (1964), 89–107.
- [7] K. SUGANO: On projective H-separable extensions, Hokkaido Math. J., 5 (1976), 44–54.
- [8] K. SUGANO: On H-separable extensions of two sided simple rings, Hokkaido Math. J., 11 (1982), 246–252.
- [9] K. SUGANO: H-separable extensions of simple rings, Proc. 16th Sympo. on Ring Theory, Okayama Lecture Notes, 1983, 13–20.
- [10] H. TOMINAGA and T. NAGAHARA: Galois extensions Theory of simple rings, Okayama Lecture notes, 1970.

Hokkaido University