## Nonhomogeneity of Picard dimensions on the half ball

## Hideo Imai

(Received June 21, 1994)

We denote by  $H^m$  the upper half space  $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : x_m > 0\}$  in the Euclidean *m*-space  $\mathbb{R}^m (m \ge 2)$  and by  $\widehat{H}^m$  the closure of  $H^m$  with respect to the one point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Setting  $\delta H^m = \widehat{H}^m \setminus H^m$ , we may view  $\{x \in \widehat{H}^m : x_m = 0\}$  as a subset of an ideal boundary  $\delta H^m$  of  $H^m$  and the origin x = 0 as an ideal boundary point of  $H^m$ . Take the upper half ball  $U_s^+ = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in H^m : |x| < s\}$  ( $0 < s \le 1$ ) which may be regarded as a relative neighbourhood of the ideal boundary point x = 0 of  $H^m$ . The set  $\Gamma_s^+ \equiv \{x \in H^m : |x| = s\}$  is a relative boundary of  $U_s^+$  and  $\gamma_s^+ \equiv$  $\{x \in \delta H^m : |x| \le s\}$  is an ideal boundary of  $U_s^+$ . Therefore the boundary  $\partial U_s^+$  of  $U_s^+$  and the closure  $\overline{U}_s^+$  of  $U_s^+$  in  $\widehat{H}^m$  are  $\Gamma_s^+ \cup \gamma_s^+$  and  $U_s^+ \cup \Gamma_s^+ \cup$  $\gamma_s^+$ , respectively. In particular we set  $U_1^+ = U^+$  and  $\Gamma_1^+ = \Gamma^+$ . By a *density* P(x) on  $U_s^+$  we mean a locally Hölder continuous function P(x) defined on  $\overline{U}_s^+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence P may have a singularity at the ideal boundary point x=0.

Consider the time independent Schrödinger equation

$$L_P u(x) \equiv -\bigtriangleup u(x) + P(x)u(x) = 0 \tag{1}$$

defined on  $\overline{U}_s^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\triangle$  is the Laplacian  $\triangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$ . We are interested in the class  $PP(U_s^+)$  of nonnegative solutions of (1) in  $U_s^+$  with vanishing boundary values on  $\partial U_s^+ \setminus \{0\}$ . The first P indicates the dependence of the class on the density P and the second P stands for the initial of the term positive (nonnegative) so that the class associated with another density Q is denoted by  $QP(U_s^+)$ . It is convenient to consider the subclass  $PP_1(U_s^+) \equiv \{u \in PP(U_s^+) : u(x_s) = 1\}$ , where  $x_s$  is an arbitrary point fixed in  $U_s^+$ . Since  $PP_1(U_s^+)$  is a compact and convex set with respect to almost uniform convergence on  $U_s^+$ , we can consider the set  $ex. PP_1(U_s^+)$  of extreme points of  $PP_1(U_s^+)$  and the cardinal number  $\#(ex. PP_1(U_s^+))$  of  $ex. PP_1(U_s^+)$  which will be referred to as the Picard dimension of  $(U_s^+, P)$ at x=0, dim $(U_s^+, P)$  in notation:

$$\dim(U_s^+, P) = \#(ex. PP_1(U_s^+)).$$

In particular we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for  $(U_s^+, P)$  at x=0

if dim $(U_s^+, P) = 1$ .

A density P(x) is said to be radial if it depends only upon |x|. T. Tada showed in [15] dim $(U^+, P)=1$  or c for any nonnegative radial density on  $U^+$  if m=2, where c is the cardinal number of a continuum. M. Murata [10] showed dim $(U_s^+, P)=1$  if P is locally Hölder continuous on the entire  $\overline{U}_s^+$  and if there exists the Green's function of (1) on  $U_s^+$ . Also Y. Pinchover [14] showed dim $(U_s^+, P)=1$  provided that there exists the Green's function of (1) on  $U_s^+$  and  $P(x)=O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $x \to 0$ .

If P is a density on  $U^+$ , then (1) is defined on  $\overline{U}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ . In this case we will show :

**Proposition** There exists  $a \ t \ in \ (0, 1]$  such that

 $\dim(U_s^+, P) = \dim(U_t^+, P)$ 

for any s in (0, t].

Hence we can define for a density P on  $U^+$  the *Picard dimension* of P at x=0, dim P in notation, by

 $\dim P = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \dim(U_s^+, P).$ 

In particular we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for a density P at x=0 if dim P=1.

In contrast with  $U_s^+$  we take a punctured ball  $U_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 < |x| < s\}$  $(0 < s \le 1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  and we may regard x=0 as an ideal boundary component of the space  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  so that the relative boundary of  $U_s$  is  $\Gamma_s \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x|=s\}$ . But in this case we denote by  $\overline{U}_s$  the relative closure  $U_s \cup \Gamma_s$ of  $U_s$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ . We set  $U_1 = U$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ . If  $\tilde{P}$  is a density defined on U, i.e. a locally Hölder continuous function defined on  $\overline{U}$ , then Schrödinger equation

$$L_{\tilde{P}}u(x) \equiv -\bigtriangleup u(x) + \tilde{P}(x)u(x) = 0$$

is defined on  $\overline{U}$ . We can consider the class  $\widetilde{P}P(U_s)$  of nonnegative solutions of  $L_{\widetilde{P}}u=0$  on  $U_s$  with vanishing boundary values on  $\Gamma_s$  for each s in (0, 1]. With an arbitrary fixed point  $\widetilde{x}_s$  in  $U_s$ ,  $\widetilde{P}P_1(U_s) \equiv \{u \in \widetilde{P}P(U_s) : u(\widetilde{x}_s)=1\}$  is a compact and convex set. The cardinal number of the set of extreme points of  $\widetilde{P}P_1(U_s)$  will be referred to as the *Picard dimension* of  $(U_s, \widetilde{P})$  at x=0, dim $(U_s, \widetilde{P})$  in notation (M. Nakai [11]). It was shown in M. Nakai [12], M. Murata [9] and M. Nakai and T. Tada [13] that there exists a t in (0, 1] such that

 $\dim(U_s, \tilde{P}) = \dim(U_t, \tilde{P})$ 

for each s in (0, t]. Hence by the same way as above the *Picard dimension* of the density  $\tilde{P}$  on U at x=0 and the *Picard principle* for the density  $\tilde{P}$  at x=0 are defined in [13] (also see M. Nakai [11] and [12]). We say that for a density  $\tilde{P}$  on U the homogeneity of Picard dimensions holds at x=0 if dim  $\tilde{P}=\dim c\tilde{P}$  for any constant c>0 ([11], [13]). In particular we say that for a density  $\tilde{P}$  on U the homogeneity of the Picard principle is valid at x=0 if dim  $\tilde{P}=\dim c\tilde{P}=1$  for any constant c>0 ([13]).

It was shown in M. Kawamura and M. Nakai [7] that for nonnegative radial densities on U the homogeneity of Picard dimensions is always valid at x=0. The nonhomogeneity of the Picard principle for negative radial densities at x=0 is studied in [4] and [5]. The nonhomogeneity of Picard dimensions for signed radial densities is also studied in T. Tada [16].

In anologous to the case of the punctured ball U in which x=0 is an isolated ideal boundary component, we say that for a density P on  $U^+$  the homogeneity of Picard dimensions holds at x=0 if dim cP=dim P for any c>0. In particular we say that for a density P on  $U^+$  the homogeneity of the Picard principle is valid at x=0 if dim cP=dim P=1 for any c>0.

Consider the negative densities Q and R on  $U^+$  given by

$$Q(x) \equiv -\frac{1}{4|x|^2} \left\{ m^2 + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|} \cdot \log\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} \right\}$$
(2)

and

$$R(x) = -\frac{1}{4|x|^2} \left\{ m^2 + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} + \frac{2}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|} \cdot \log\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} \right\},$$
(3)

where  $\eta$  is any fixed constant with  $\eta > e^e$ . The purpose of this paper is to show the following result which states that the homogeneity of the Picard principle does not necessarily hold at x=0 for negative densities on  $U^+$ .

**Theorem** The density Q given by (2) satisfies

$$\dim Q = 1 \qquad but \qquad \dim cQ = 0$$

for any c > 1. The density R given by (3) satisfies

 $\dim R = 0 \qquad but \qquad \dim cR = 1$ 

for any 0 < c < 1.

To show the theorem we will see that  $\dim(U_s^+, Q)=1$  and  $\dim(U_s^+, R)=0$  for any s in (0, 1] so that we can take 1 as the value of t in the proposition for densities Q and R. But in the latter half of the theorem we will see that, whenever we select a constant  $c \in (0, 1)$ , we merely can take a t in (0, 1) depending upon the constant c.

1. We begin with some definitions. A function u is a solution of (1) in  $U_s^+$  if u is a  $C^2$  function on  $U_s^+$  which satisfies (1) in  $U_s^+$ . A lower semicontinuous, lower finite function v on  $U_s^+$  is a supersolution of (1) in  $U_s^+$  if  $v(x) \ge u(x)$  in B whenever  $v(x) \ge u(x)$  on the boundary  $\partial B$  of B for any ball B in  $U_s^+$  with  $\overline{B} \subset U_s^+$  and for any solution u(x) of (1) in B continuous in  $\overline{B}$ . If v(x) is a  $C^2$  function on  $U_s^+$ , then v(x) is a supersolution of (1) on  $U_s^+$  if and only if  $L_P v(x) \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . A potential p of (1) on  $U_s^+$  is a positive supersolution of (1) in  $U_s^+$  such that, if  $p \ge u$  holds on  $U_s^+$  for some solution u of (1) in  $U_s^+$ , then  $u \le 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . We take any point y fixed in  $U_s^+$ . By the *Green's function*  $G_s(x, y)$  of (1) on  $U_s^+$  (with its pole y in  $U_s^+$ ) we mean, if it exists, the potential of (1) on  $U_s^+$  is a Brelot's harmonic space. There exits a potential of (1) on  $U_s^+$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}_P$  of solutions of (1) on  $U_s^+$  is a Brelot's harmonic space.

Choose the negative radial densities  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  on U given by

$$\tilde{Q}(x) \equiv -\frac{1}{4|x|^2} \left\{ (m-2)^2 + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|} \cdot \log\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} \right\}$$
(4)

and

$$\tilde{R}(x) \equiv -\frac{1}{4|x|^2} \left\{ (m-2)^2 + \frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} + \frac{2}{\left(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|} \cdot \log\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\right)^2} \right\}.$$
 (5)

We set  $\log_2 |x| = \log \log |x|$  and  $\log_3 |x| = \log \log_2 |x|$ . Take the functions  $\tilde{p}(x)$  and  $\tilde{q}(x)$  given by

$$\tilde{p}(x) \equiv |x|^{-\frac{m-2}{2}} \left\{ \log \frac{\eta}{|x|} \log_2 \frac{\eta}{|x|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$\tilde{q}(x) \equiv \log_3 \frac{\eta}{|x|}.$$

Consider the Schrödinger equations

$$L_{\tilde{\varrho}}u(x) \equiv (-\triangle + \tilde{Q}(x))u(x) = 0$$
(6)

$$L_{\tilde{R}}u(x) \equiv (-\triangle + \tilde{R}(x))u(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

on  $\overline{U}_s$  with  $0 \le s \le 1$ .

**Lemma 1** ([5])  $\tilde{p}(x)$  and  $\tilde{p}(x)\tilde{q}(x)$  are linearly independent solutions of (6) on U.

Lemma 2 ([5]) dim $(U_s, \tilde{R})=0$  for any s in (0, 1].

We also use the following boundary Harnack principle ([1]):

**Lemma 3** Take any r in (0, s) and an arbitrary a in (0, r) with a+r < s. Let u and v be any positive solutions of (1) on  $U_{r+a}^+ \setminus \overline{U}_{r-a}^+$  which vanish continuously on  $\partial(U_{r+a}^+ \setminus U_{r-a}^+) \setminus (\Gamma_{r+a}^+ \cup \Gamma_{r-a}^+)$ . Then there exists a positive constant c > 1 such that

$$\frac{u(x)}{u(x')} \le c \frac{v(x)}{v(x')}$$

holds for any u, v, x and x' in  $\Gamma_r^+$ .

2. We denote by  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$  the coordinates of the unit sphere  $\Gamma$  so that the spherical coordinates of a point  $x \neq 0$  can be expressed as  $r\omega$  with r = |x| and  $\omega = x/|x|$ . The Laplacian  $\triangle = \triangle_x = \triangle_{r\omega}$  is decomposed into the form

$$\triangle_x = \triangle_r + r^{-2} \triangle_\omega$$

where  $\triangle_r = \partial^2/\partial r^2 + (m-1)r^{-1}\partial/\partial r$  and  $\triangle_{\omega}$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\Gamma$  with respect to the natural Riemannian metric on  $\Gamma$  induced by the Euclidean metric on  $R^m$ . Since the coordinate function  $\omega_m$  is a spherical harmonic of order one, we have  $\triangle_{\omega}\omega_m = -(m-1)\omega_m$  on  $\Gamma(cf., e.g. [8])$ . We consider the function p(x) on  $U^+$  given by

$$p(x) = p(r\omega) \equiv \tilde{p}(r)\omega_m.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\triangle p(x) = (\triangle_r + \frac{1}{r^2} \triangle_{\omega}) \tilde{p}(r) \omega_m = (\triangle_r \tilde{p}(r)) \omega_m + \frac{1}{r^2} \tilde{p}(r) \triangle_{\omega} \omega_m$$

on  $U^+$ . Since  $\tilde{Q}(x) - (m-1)/|x|^2 = Q(x)$  on  $\overline{U}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , the function p(x) is a solution of  $L_{\varrho}u = 0$  on  $U^+$  where Q(x) is the density given by (2). Since

 $\tilde{p}(x)\tilde{q}(x)$  is also a solution of (6) on U, by the same computation  $\tilde{q}(x)p(x)$  is also a solution of  $L_{Q}u=0$  on  $U^{+}$ .

Choose any s in (0, 1] and take an arbitrary t fixed in (0, s). We set

$$h(x) = \frac{\tilde{q}(x) - \tilde{q}(s)}{\tilde{q}(t) - \tilde{q}(s)} p(x)$$

which is a solution of  $L_{\varrho}u=0$  on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_t^+$  which coincides with p(x) on  $\Gamma_t^+$ and 0 on  $\Gamma_s^+$ . Observe that

$$\frac{\tilde{q}(x) - \tilde{q}(s)}{\tilde{q}(t) - \tilde{q}(s)} > 1 \quad (<1, \text{resp.})$$

for |x| < t (>t, resp.). In view of this we see that

$$h(x) > p(x)$$
  $(h(x) < p(x), \text{ resp.})$ 

for |x| < t(>t, resp.). Consider the function v(x) given by h(x) on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_t^+$ and p(x) on  $\overline{U}_t^+$ . Since

$$v(x) = \min(h(x), p(x)) \quad (x \in U_s^+),$$

v(x) is a positive supersolution of  $L_{\varrho}u=0$  on  $U_s^+$ . The unicity theorem assures that v(x) is not a solution of  $L_{\varrho}u=0$  on  $U_s^+$  by virtue of the fact that  $h(x) \neq p(x)$  on  $U_s^+$ . Hence by the Riesz decomposition theorem (cf., e.g. [2], [6]) there exists a potential and thus the Green's function of  $L_{\varrho}u=0$ on  $U_s^+$ . Observe that  $Q(x)=O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $x \to 0$ . Theorem 7.1 in [14] shows that dim $(U_s^+, P)=1$  if there exists the Green's function of (1) on  $U_s^+$ and  $P(x)=O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $x \to 0$ . Therefore dim $(U_s^+, Q)=1$  for any s in (0, 1]. We have shown:

Assertion Let Q be the density on  $U^+$  given by (2). Then dim $(U_s^+, Q)=1$  for any s in (0, 1] and hence dimQ=1.

**3.** Proof of Theorem. For the density Q given by (2) suppose that there exists a positive solution h in  $cQP(U_s^+)$  for some constant c > 1 and some s in (0, 1]. Consider the function  $h^*(x)$  given by

$$h^*(x) = \int_{\Gamma^*} h(r\omega) \omega_m d\omega.$$

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m)$  we denote  $x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}$  by x' so that x can be expressed as  $(x', x_m)$ . We also take the function  $\tilde{h}(x)$  given by

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = \begin{cases} h(x', x_m) & \text{if } x_m > 0\\ -h(x', -x_m) & \text{if } x_m \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Since the density cQ is radial, we may regard cQ as a density on  $U_s$  so that  $\Delta \tilde{h}(x) = cQ(x)\tilde{h}(x)$  holds on  $U_s$ . Since  $\tilde{h}(x',x_m)\omega_m = \tilde{h}(x',-x_m)(-\omega_m)$  for any  $x = (x',x_m)$  in  $U_s$ , we have  $2h^*(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{h}(r\omega)\omega_m d\omega$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is compact, the Green's formula yields that

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\bigtriangleup_{\omega} \tilde{h}(r\omega) \omega_m - \tilde{h}(r\omega) \bigtriangleup_{\omega} \omega_m) d\omega = 0.$$

Also we observe that

$$2\triangle_{r}h^{*}(x)=\int_{\Gamma}\triangle_{r}\tilde{h}(r\omega)\omega_{m}d\omega=\int_{\Gamma}\{(\triangle-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\triangle_{\omega})\tilde{h}(r\omega)\}\omega_{m}d\omega.$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \bigtriangleup \tilde{h}(r\omega) \omega_m d\omega = cQ(x) \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{h}(r\omega) \omega_m d\omega = 2cQ(x)h^*(x)$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{\Gamma} \bigtriangleup_{\omega}\tilde{h}(r\omega)\omega_m d\omega = -\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{\Gamma}\tilde{h}(r\omega)\bigtriangleup_{\omega}\omega_m d\omega$$
$$= \frac{m-1}{|x|^2}\int_{\Gamma}\tilde{h}(r\omega)\omega_m d\omega = 2\frac{m-1}{|x|^2}h^*(x).$$

It follows from these identities that

$$\triangle_r h^*(x) = (cQ(x) + \frac{m-1}{|x|^2})h^*(x)$$

on  $U_s$ . For any densities  $\tilde{S}(x)$  and  $\tilde{T}(x)$  on U we write  $\tilde{S}(x) < \tilde{T}(x)$  if there exists an s in (0, 1] such that  $\tilde{S}(x) < \tilde{T}(x)$  on  $U_s$ . Observe that the relation

$$4|x|^{2}(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\log_{2}\frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2}(\tilde{R}(x)-cQ(x)-\frac{m-1}{|x|^{2}})$$
  
=  $(c-1)\{m^{2}(\log\frac{\eta}{|x|}\log_{2}\frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2}+(\log_{2}\frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2}+1\}-1>0$ 

is valid for any constant c > 1 where  $\tilde{R}$  is the density given by (5). Therefore we have  $cQ(x)+(m-1)/|x|^2 < \tilde{R}$  for any c > 1. Since

$$L_{\tilde{R}}h^*(x) = (-\triangle + cQ(x) + \frac{m-1}{|x|^2})h^*(x) + (\tilde{R}(x) - cQ(x) - \frac{m-1}{|x|^2})h^*(x)$$
  
=  $(\tilde{R}(x) - cQ(x) - \frac{m-1}{|x|^2})h^*(x) > 0,$ 

there exists a t in (0, 1] such that  $L_{\tilde{R}}h^*(x) > 0$  on  $U_s$  for any s in (0, t) so

that  $h^*$  is a positive supersolution of (7) on  $U_s$  but not a solution of (7). Therefore there exists the Green's function of (7) on  $U_s$ . It is known ([3]) that dim $(U_s, \tilde{P})=1$  whenever  $\tilde{P}(x)=O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $x \to 0$  and there exists the Green's function of  $L_{\tilde{P}}u=0$  on  $U_s$ . Hence dim $(U_s, \tilde{R})=1$ . But this contradicts Lemma 2. Thus dim $(U_s^+, cQ)=0$  for any c>1 and every s in (0, 1] and a fortiori dim cQ=0 for any c>1. From this and the above assertion the first part of the theorem follows.

We next consider the density R on  $U^+$  given by (3) and suppose that there exists a positive solution u in  $RP(U_s^+)$  for some s in (0, 1]. We set

$$u^*(x) = \int_{\Gamma^+} u(r\omega) \omega_m d\omega$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x',x_m) & \text{if } x_m > 0\\ -u(x',-x_m) & \text{if } x_m \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$2\triangle_{r}u^{*}(x)=\int_{\Gamma}\{(\triangle-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\triangle_{\omega})\tilde{u}(r\omega)\}\omega_{m}d\omega.$$

The density R(x) is radial so that we may consider R(x) as a radial density on U. Then by the same method as in the proof of the first part of Theorem we deduce that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \bigtriangleup \tilde{u}(r\omega) \omega_m d\omega = 2R(x)u^*(x)$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{\Gamma} \bigtriangleup_{\omega}\tilde{u}(r\omega)\omega_m d\omega = -\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{\Gamma}\tilde{u}(r\omega)\bigtriangleup_{\omega}\omega_m d\omega = 2\frac{m-1}{|x|^2}u^*(x).$$

Since  $u^*(x)$  is radial, we may regard  $u^*(x)$  as a positive radial function on  $U_s$ . Therefore we have  $\triangle_r u^*(x) = (R(x) + (m-1)/|x|^2)u^*(x)$  on  $U_s$ . Since  $\tilde{R}(x) = R(x) + (m-1)/|x|^2$  on  $U_s$ ,  $u^*(x)$  is a positive radial solution of (7) on  $U_s$ . This contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore dim $(U_s^+, R) = 0$  for any s in (0, 1].

To complete the proof of Theorem we only have to show that  $\dim(cR) = 1$  for any c in (0, 1). For any densities S(x) and T(x) on  $U^+$  we also write S(x) < T(x) if there exists an s in (0, 1] such that S(x) < T(x) on  $U_s^+$ . We observe that the following relation is valid for any c in (0, 1):

$$4|x|^{2}(\log \frac{\eta}{|x|} \log_{2} \frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2}(cR(x) - Q(x))$$
  
=  $(1-c)\{m^{2}(\log \frac{\eta}{|x|} \log_{2} \frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2} + (\log_{2} \frac{\eta}{|x|})^{2} + 1\} - c > 0.$ 

Therefore we have Q(x) < cR(x) for any c in (0, 1). By the Assertion there exists a positive solution u(x) in  $QP(U^+)$ . Since we have

$$L_{cR}u(x) = L_{Q}u(x) + (cR(x) - Q(x))u(x) = (cR(x) - Q(x))u(x) > 0,$$

there exists a  $t \in (0, 1)$  such that  $L_{cR}u(x) > 0$  on  $U_s^+$  for any  $s \in (0, t)$  so that u(x) is a positive supersolution but not a solution of  $L_{cR}u=0$  in  $U_s^+$ . Also  $cR(x)=O(|x|^{-2})$  as  $x \to 0$ . Therefore Theorem 7.1 in [14] yields that  $\dim(U_s^+, cR)=1$  for any s in (0, t) and a fortiori dim cR=1 for any c in (0, 1). The proof of Theorem is herewith complete.

4. Proof of Proposition. The proposition will be shown by the minor modification of the method in [12] and [13] where it was shown that the existence of a t such that there exists a bijective positive linear mapping of  $\tilde{P}P(U_t)$  onto  $\tilde{P}P(U_s)$  for any s in (0, t).

We denote by  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_t^+)$  the space of all continuous functions  $\varphi$  on the closure  $\overline{\Gamma}_t^+$  of  $\Gamma_t^+$  with  $\varphi(x', 0)=0$  and for each  $\varphi$  in  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_t^+)$  we set

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x'\!,\!x_m) & \text{if } x_m > 0 \\ -\varphi(x'\!,\!-x_m) & \text{if } x_m \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is in the space  $\hat{C}(\Gamma_t)$  of all continuous functions  $\psi$  on  $\Gamma_t$  which satisfy  $\psi(x', -x_m) = -\psi(x', x_m)$  for each  $x = (x', x_m) \in \Gamma_t$ . Conversely if  $\psi$  is in  $\hat{C}(\Gamma_t)$ , then  $\psi|_{\bar{\Gamma}_t^+}$  is in  $\hat{C}(\bar{\Gamma}_t^+)$ . Therefore  $\hat{C}(\bar{\Gamma}_t^+)$  is the restriction of  $\hat{C}(\Gamma_t)$ to  $\bar{\Gamma}_t^+$ . The space  $\hat{C}(\Gamma_t)$  is a closed subspace of the Banach space  $C(\Gamma_t)$ of all continuous functions on  $\Gamma_t$  equipped with the sup-norm on  $\Gamma_t$ . Therefore  $\hat{C}(\bar{\Gamma}_t^+)$  may be regarded as a Banach space for any t in (0, 1].

If  $PP(U_t^+)=\{0\}$  for any 0 < t < 1, then the proposition trivially holds. If  $PP(U_{t_0}^+)\neq\{0\}$  for some  $t_0$  in (0, 1], then there exists a positive solution h in  $PP(U_{t_0}^+)$ . Take any t in  $(0, t_0)$ . Then we have h > 0 on  $U_t^+ \cup \Gamma_t^+$ . We choose any s fixed in (0, t) and any r in (0, s). Denote by  $D_{s,r}h$  the solution of (1) on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$  with boundary values h on  $\Gamma_s^+$  and zero on  $\partial(U_s^+ \setminus U_r^+) \setminus \Gamma_s^+$ . Then the minimum principle yields that  $h \ge D_{s,r}h$  on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$  for every r in (0, s). Hence we have  $h \ge D_s h \equiv \lim_{r \to 0} D_{s,r}h$  on  $U_s^+$ . We also denote by  $K_s h$  the solution of (1) on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_s^+$  with boundary values h on  $\Gamma_s^+$  and zero on  $\partial(U_t^+ \setminus U_s^+) \setminus \Gamma_s^+$ . Then  $K_s h < h$  on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_s^+$ . Setting  $v(x) = D_s h$  on  $U_s^+$  and  $v(x) = K_s h$  on  $U_t^+ \setminus U_s^+$ , v(x) is a positive supersolution of (1) but not a solution of (1) on  $U_t^+$ . Therefore there exists the Green's function of (1) on  $U_t^+$  for any t in (0,  $t_0$ ). We fix any such t in (0,  $t_0$ ) and take any s in (0, t).

For any u in  $PP(U_t^+)$ , we set

$$\tau u \equiv u - D_s u. \tag{8}$$

Then we have  $u - D_s u \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . The mapping  $\tau$  given by (8) is a positive, homogeneous and additive operator of  $PP(U_t^+)$  into  $PP(U_s^+)$ .

We now show that  $\tau$  is injective, i.e. if  $\tau u = \tau v$  on  $U_s^+$  fo some u, v in  $PP(U_t^+)$ , then  $w \equiv u - v = 0$  on  $U_t^+$ . For this it sufficies to show that w = 0 on  $\Gamma_s^+$  by the minimum principle. Suppose that  $w \neq 0$  on  $\Gamma_s^+$ . Considering -w instead of w if necessary, we assume that  $\sup_{\Gamma_s^+} w > 0$ . Then there exists a point  $x_s^0$  in  $\Gamma_s^+$  with  $w(x_s^0) > 0$ . We set  $c \equiv \inf\{\lambda \in R : \lambda h \ge w$  on  $\Gamma_s^+\}$ . Since u + v > w on  $\Gamma_s^+$ , c is a positive finite constant by Lemma 3. Also since  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $\partial(U_t^+ \setminus U_s^+)$ , the minimum principle yields that ch - w > 0 on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_s^+$ . Owing to the identity  $w = D_{s,r}w$  on  $\Gamma_s^+$ ,  $ch - D_{s,r}w \ge 0$  is valid on  $\partial(U_s^+ \setminus U_r^+)$  and hence on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$ . As  $r \to 0$  we obtain that  $ch - D_s w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Also, since  $\tau u = \tau v$  on  $U_s^+$ , the identity  $w = D_s w$  on  $U_s^+$  implies that  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Therefore  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_t^+$ . The minimum principle yields that  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Therefore  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_t^+$ . The minimum principle yields that  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Therefore  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_t^+$ . The minimum principle yields that  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Therefore  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_t^+$ . The minimum principle yields that  $ch - w \ge 0$  on  $U_s^+$ . Hence

$$w \le c(1 - \frac{1}{c_1}(1 - \frac{w(x_s^0)}{ch(x_s^0)}))h$$

on  $\Gamma_s^+$ . But this contradicts the definition of c. Thus we have w(x)=0 on  $\Gamma_s^+$  and a fortiori  $\tau$  is injective.

We next show that  $\tau$  is surjective. We show that there exists a function u in  $PP(U_t^+)$  with  $\tau u = v$  for any v in  $PP(U_s^+)$ . Take an r in (0, s)and for a given  $\varphi$  in  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_r^+)$  consider the solution  $K\varphi$  of (1) on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$ with boundary values  $\varphi$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  and zero on  $\partial(U_t^+ \setminus U_r^+) \setminus \Gamma_r^+$ . Then K is a linear and order-preserving mapping of  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_r^+)$  into the class of solutions of (1) on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$  with boundary values zero on  $\partial(U_t^+ \setminus U_r^+) \setminus \Gamma_r^+$ .

For any  $\varphi$  in  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_r^+)$  we consider the operator T given by

$$T\varphi = D_s(K\varphi|_{\Gamma_s^*}).$$

Then T is a linear operator of  $\hat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_r^+)$  into itself which is also orderpreserving. We fist suppose that the equation

$$\varphi - T\varphi = v \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_r^+$$
(9)

is solved by a function  $\varphi$  in  $\widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma}_r^+)$  with  $\varphi \ge 0$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  for a given v in  $PP(U_s^+)$ . We set

$$u = \begin{cases} K\varphi & \text{on} & U_t^+ \setminus U_r^+ \\ D_s K\varphi + v & \text{on} & \overline{U}_s^+. \end{cases}$$

We observe that  $K\varphi - (D_s K\varphi + v)$  is equal to  $\varphi - (T\varphi + v) = 0$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  in view of (9) and is equal to  $K\varphi - (K\varphi + 0) = 0$  on  $\Gamma_s^+$ . Therefore  $K\varphi - (D_s K\varphi + v)$ is a solution of (1) on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$  with boundary values zero on  $\partial (U_s^+ \setminus U_r^+)$ . Hence  $K\varphi = D_s K\varphi + v$  on  $U_s^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$ . Therefore u is a well defined solution of (1) on  $U_t^+$ . Since  $K\varphi = u$  on  $\Gamma_s^+$ ,  $D_s K\varphi = D_s u$  on  $U_s^+$ . Thus we have  $u - D_s u = v$ , i.e.  $\tau u = v$  on  $U_s^+$ . Hence  $\tau$  is surjective.

It remains to solve the integral equation (9) for a given  $v \in PP(U_s^+)$ . We set  $c = \inf\{c_0 > 0: c_0h \ge v \text{ on } \Gamma_r^+\}$  which is finite and positive by Lemma 3. Then  $ch \ge v$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$ . Since h > 0 on  $\Gamma_t^+$ , h > Kh on  $U_t^+ \setminus \overline{U}_r^+$  in view of the minimum principle. In particular we have h > Kh on  $\Gamma_s^+$ . This inequality yields that  $h \ge D_s h > D_s Kh$  on  $U_s^+$ . Again applying Lemma 3 to solutions  $h - D_s Kh$  and h, there exists a constant  $c_1 > 1$  such that  $h \le c_1(h - Th)$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$ . Therefore  $Th \le (1 - 1/c_1)h$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  and a fortiori we have

$$q \equiv \sup_{\Gamma_r^*} \frac{Th(x)}{h(x)} < 1.$$

From this it follows that  $q^n h \ge T^n h$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  for any positive integer n. Also T is order-preserving so that the inequality  $ch \ge v$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$  implies that  $cTh \ge Tv$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$ . Therefore the inequalities  $q^n c ||h|| \ge q^n ch \ge cT^n h \ge T^n v$  are valid where  $||\cdot||$  is the sup-norm on  $\Gamma_r^+$ . This implies that  $||T^n v|| \le c ||h|| q^n$ . Therefore  $\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n v$  has  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c ||h|| q^n$  as its majorant series and a fortiori  $\varphi \in \widehat{C}(\overline{\Gamma_r^+})$  with  $\varphi \ge 0$  on  $\Gamma_r^+$ .

## References

- [1] A. ANCONA, Principe de Harnack à la frontière et théorème de Fatou pour un opérateur elliptique dans un domaine lipschitzien, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 28(1978), 169-213.
- [2] C. CONSTANTINESCU and A. CORNEA, Potential Theory on Harmonic Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [3] H. IMAI, *Picard principle for linear elliptic differential operators*, Hiroshima Math. J., **14**(1985), 527-535.

## H. Imai

- [4] H. IMAI, On Picard dimensions of nonpositive densities in Schrödinger equations, Complex Variables, (to appear).
- [5] H. IMAI, Nonhomogeneity of Picard dimensions for negative radial densities, Hiroshima Math. J., (to appear).
- [6] F.-Y. MAEDA, *Dirichlet Integral on Harmonic Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., 803, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [7] M. KAWAMURA and M. NAKAI, A test for Picard principle for rotation free densities, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 14(1976), 323-341.
- [8] C. MÜLLER, Spherical Harmonics, Lecture Notes in Math., 17, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [9] M. MURATA, Isolated singularities and positive solutions of elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Preprint Series, 14(1986/1987), 1-39. Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet.
- [10] M. MURATA, On construction of Martin boundaries for second order elliptic equations, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 26(1990), 585-627.
- [11] M. NAKAI, A test for Picard principle, Nagoya Math. J., 56(1974), 105-119.
- [12] M. NAKAI, Picard principle and Riemann theorem, Tôhoku Math. J., 28(1976), 277-292.
- [13] M. NAKAI and T. TADA, Monotoneity and homogeneity of Picard dimensions for signed radial densities, NIT Sem. Rep. Math., 99(1993), 1-51.
- [14] Y. PINCHOVER, On positive Liouville theorems and asymptotic behavior of solutions of Fuchsian type elliptic operators, Preprint.
- [15] T. TADA, The Martin boundary of the half disk with rotation free densities. Hiroshima Math. J., 16(1986), 315-325.
- [16] T. TADA, Nonhomogeneity of Picard dimensions of rotation free hyperbolic densities, Hiroshima Math J., (to appear).

Department of Mathematics Daido Institute of Technology Takiharu, Minami Nagoya 457, Japan