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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider the nonautonomous discrete logistic model $$
\begin{equation*} x_{n+1}=x_{n} \exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \in N \tag{1.1} \end{equation*}
$$ where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers. We obtain some sufficient conditions for an arbitrary solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the initial condition $$
\begin{equation*} x_{0}=a>0 \tag{1.2} \end{equation*}
$$ to converge to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Under appropriate hypotheses, the necessary and sufficient conditions for any solution of (1.1) with (1.2) tending to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ have also been obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the discrete nonautonomous logistic model

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n} \exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \in N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers. It is easy to see that, for any given initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=a>0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (1.1) has an unique solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which is positive for all $n \in N$ and satisfies (1.2). In [1], it was proved that every solution of (1.1) with (1.2) tends to 1 if $r_{n} \leq 3 / 2$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n}=\infty$.

When $r_{n} \equiv r>0$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n} \exp \left[r\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \in N, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which has been studied in the literature in its own right as a discrete population model of a single species with non-overlapping generations. It was shown in $[2,3]$ that for some values of the parameter $r$, solutions of Eq. (1.3) are "chaotic". It was also proved in [4] that any solution of Eq. (1.3) with (1.2) converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $r \leq 2$.

In this paper, we discuss Eq. (1.1) and obtain the following results.
Theorem 1.1 If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_{n}=\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n} \leq 2 . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Eq. (1.1) with (1.2) converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 1.2 Assume that $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}>2, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every nontrivial solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E q$. (1.1) with (1.2) cannot converge to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain the following necessary and sufficient conditions, that is

Corollary 1.1 Assume that (1.4) holds and the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}$ exists. Then any solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of Eq. (1.1) with (1.2) converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n} \leq 2 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2

First, we establish the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1 Assume that $r$ is a nonnegative constant, let

$$
f(x)=x(\exp [r(1-x)]+1)-2 .
$$

If there exists a constant $x^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x^{*}-1\right)<0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}<\frac{3}{2}(r-2) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $f(1)=0, f(x) \leq-2$ for $x \leq 0$, and $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \geq 2$. Since (2.1) holds, we see that either $x^{*} \in(0,1)$ or $x^{*} \in(1,2)$.

If $x^{*} \in(0,1)$, by (2.1), we know that $f\left(x^{*}\right)>0$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & >\frac{1}{1-x^{*}} \ln \frac{2-x^{*}}{x^{*}} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-x^{*}} \ln \frac{1+\left(1-x^{*}\right)}{1-\left(1-x^{*}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-x^{*}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \frac{\left(1-x^{*}\right)^{k}}{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-x^{*}\right)^{k}}{k}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{2}{1-x^{*}}\left(\left(1-x^{*}\right)+\frac{\left(1-x^{*}\right)^{3}}{3}\right) \\
& =2+\frac{2}{3}\left(1-x^{*}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to (2.2).
If $x^{*} \in(1,2)$, by (2.1), we know that $f\left(x^{*}\right)<0$, this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & >\frac{1}{x^{*}-1} \ln \frac{x^{*}}{2-x^{*}} \\
& =\frac{1}{x^{*}-1} \ln \frac{1+\left(x^{*}-1\right)}{1-\left(x^{*}-1\right)} \\
& \geq 2+\frac{2}{3}\left(x^{*}-1\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, (2.2) holds.
The proof of Lemma 1.1 is now complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1) with (1.2). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(n)=\left(x_{n}-1\right)^{2}, \quad n \in N \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta V(n) & =\left(x_{n+1}-1\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n}-1\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}-2\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =x_{n}\left(\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]-1\right)\left(x_{n}\left(\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]+1\right)-2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

here $\triangle$ denotes the forward difference operator defined by $\triangle V(n)=V(n+$ 1) $-V(n)$.

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]-1\right)\left(x_{n}-1\right) \leq 0, \quad n \in N \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that, for any $m \in N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle V(m)>0 \quad \text { implies } \quad V(m)<\frac{3}{2}\left(r_{m}-2\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $\triangle V(m)>0$, by (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
\left(x_{m}\left(\exp \left[r_{m}\left(1-x_{m}\right)\right]+1\right)-2\right)\left(x_{m}-1\right)<0
$$

this leads to, by Lemma 1.1, that

$$
\left(x_{m}-1\right)^{2}<\frac{3}{2}\left(r_{m}-2\right)
$$

So (2.6) holds.
We consider three possible cases.
Case 1: There is a $n^{*} \in N$, such that $\triangle V(n)>0$ for $n \geq n^{*}$.
In this case, by (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(n)<\frac{3}{2}\left(r_{n}-2\right) \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq n^{*} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this and (1.5), we know that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} V(n) \leq 0$. Since $V(n) \geq 0$ for $n \in N$, we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V(n)=0$, which is equivalent to $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1$.

Case 2: There is a $n^{*} \in N$, such that $\triangle V(n) \leq 0$ for $n \geq n^{*}$.
In this case, $\{V(n)\}$ is nonincreasing for $n \geq n^{*}$. Since $V(n) \geq 0$, we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V(n)$ exists. Let

$$
\alpha=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V(n)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-1\right|=\sqrt{\alpha} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\beta=\sqrt{\alpha}$, we shall prove that $\beta=0$.
In fact, if $\beta>0$, we consider three subcases.
Subcase a: $\quad x_{n}-1>0$ for large $n$.
In this subcase, by (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1+\beta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a sufficient large integer $m_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}-1 \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq m_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1), we get

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n} \exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right] \leq x_{n} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} r_{n}\right] \text { for } n \geq m_{1}
$$

this leads to, for $p \in N$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m_{1}+p+1} \leq x_{m_{1}} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=m_{1}}^{m_{1}+p} r_{i}\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11), and noting (1.4), we get

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_{1}+p+1}=0
$$

This contradicts (2.9), so subcase a is impossible.
Subcase b: $\quad x_{n}-1<0$ for large $n$.
In this case, by (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1-\beta \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a sufficient large integer $m_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-x_{n} \geq \frac{\beta}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq m_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1), we get

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n} \exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right] \geq x_{n} \exp \left[\frac{\beta}{2} r_{n}\right] \text { for } n \geq m_{2}
$$

So, for $p \in N$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m_{2}+p+1} \geq x_{m_{2}} \exp \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=m_{2}}^{m_{2}+p} r_{i}\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14), and noting (1.4), we are led to

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_{2}+p+1}=\infty
$$

which contradicts (2.12). So subcase b is impossible.
Subcase c: There is a sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of positive integers, such that

$$
x_{n_{i}}-1<0, \quad x_{n_{i}+1}-1>0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{i}}=1-\beta, \quad \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{i}+1}=1+\beta \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
x_{n_{i}+1}=x_{n_{i}} \exp \left[r_{n_{i}}\left(1-x_{n_{i}}\right)\right]
$$

by (2.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_{i}} & =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1-x_{n_{i}}} \ln \frac{x_{n_{i}+1}}{x_{n_{i}}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \frac{\beta^{k}}{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{k}}{k}\right) \\
& =2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{2 k}}{2 k+1} \\
& >2
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (1.5), and subcase c is impossible.
According to the above discussions, we know that $\beta=0$ and so $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1$ for case 2 .

Case 3: There exists a sequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\}$ of integers, such that

$$
\Delta V\left(n_{1}\right) \leq 0, \quad \Delta V(n)>0 \text { for } n_{2 k-1}+1 \leq n \leq n_{2 k}
$$

$$
\triangle V(n) \leq 0 \text { for } n_{2 k}+1 \leq n \leq n_{2 k+1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

In this case, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(n) \leq V\left(n_{2 k}+1\right) \text { for } n_{2 k-1}+1 \leq n \leq n_{2 k+1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\triangle V\left(n_{2 k}\right)>0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$, by (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(n_{2 k}\right)<\frac{3}{2}\left(r_{n_{2 k}}-2\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.5), this implies that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} V\left(n_{2 k}\right)=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{2 k}}=1 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1),

$$
x_{n_{2 k}+1}=x_{n_{2 k}} \exp \left[r_{n_{2 k}}\left(1-x_{n_{2 k}}\right)\right]
$$

so

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_{2 k}+1}=1 \text { and } \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} V\left(n_{2 k}+1\right)=0
$$

Noting (2.16), it is obvious that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V(n)=0, \text { i.e. } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1
$$

In view of the above three cases, we know that Theorem 1.1 holds. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=1$.

By (1.1), we have

$$
x_{n+1}-1=\left(x_{n}-1\right) \exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]+\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]-1
$$

so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|x_{n+1}-1\right|}{\left|x_{n}-1\right|} \geq \frac{\left|\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right]-1\right|}{\left|r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right|} r_{n}-\exp \left[r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we know $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right)=0$. By (2.19) and (1.6), we get

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|x_{n+1}-1\right|}{\left|x_{n}-1\right|} \geq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}-1>1
$$

which leads to

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-1\right|=\infty
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 holds, the proof is complete.
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