Hokkaido Mathematical Journal Vol. 39 (2010) p. 67-84

# On positive solutions for *p*-Laplacian systems with sign-changing nonlinearities

## Dang Dinh HAI

(Received December 2, 2008; Revised April 3, 2009)

Abstract. We consider the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to the quasilinear system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\Delta_{p_i}u_i = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2}\nabla u_i)$ ,  $p_i > 1$ ,  $\mu_i$  are positive parameters, and  $f_i$  are allowed to change sign.

Key words: p-Laplace, systems, sign-changing, positive solutions.

# 1. Introduction

Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(I)

where  $\Delta_{p_i} u_i = div(|\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2}\nabla u_i), p_i > 1, f_i : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}, \mu_i$  are positive constants,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ .

The *p*-Laplace operator arises in the theory of non-Newtonian fluids, reaction-diffusion problems, flow through porous media, and petroleum extraction (see [9]). The system (I) with  $f_i$  nonnegative has been studied extensively in recent years (see e.g., [3], [5], [13] and the references therein). In this paper, we are interested in the case when  $f_i$  may take negative values. We shall establish the existence of positive solutions for (I) for large  $\mu_i$  when  $f_i$  are positive near **0** or when  $f_i$  are eventually positive and *p*-sublinear at infinity. In particular, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below unify and extend results

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J55, 35J60.

in [11], [18] to systems. Our approach is based on the method of sub- and supersolutions.

We make the following assumptions:

- (A.1)  $a_i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), a_i \geq 0$  and  $a_i \not\equiv 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (A.2)  $f_i: [0,\infty] \times \cdots \times [0,\infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous for all *i*.
- (A.3) There exist  $r_1, \ldots, r_n > 0$  such that for all  $i, f_i(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = 0$  if  $u_i = r_i, u_j \in [0, r_j]$  for  $j \neq i$  and  $f_i(u_1, \ldots, u_n) > 0$  if  $u_i \in (0, r_i), u_j \in [0, r_j]$  for  $j \neq i$ .
- (A.4) There exist nonnegative numbers  $k, A, L, \gamma$  with  $A, L > 0, \gamma < 1/N$ , such that for all i,

$$f_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \ge -k$$

for all  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ , and

$$f_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \ge \frac{L}{u_i^{\gamma}}$$
 when  $u_i > A$ .

(A.5)  $\lim_{\|u\|\to\infty} \frac{f_i(u_1,\dots,u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i-1}} = 0$  for all i, where  $\|u\| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |u_i|, u = (u_1,\dots,u_n).$ 

By a positive solution of (I), we mean a function  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega) \times \cdots \times W_0^{1,p_n}(\Omega)$  that satisfies (I) in the weak sense i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla \xi dx = \int_{\Omega} \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \xi dx \ \forall \xi \in W_0^{1, p_i}(\Omega),$$

and  $u_i > 0$  in  $\Omega$  for all i.

**Theorem 1.1** Let (A.1)–(A.3) hold. Then there exists  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that problem (I) has a positive solution  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  when  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i > \mu_0$ . Furthermore

$$||u_i||_{\infty} \to r_i \text{ as } \min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i \to \infty$$

for i = 1, ..., n. If, in addition,  $\lim_{\|u\| \to 0} \frac{f_i(u_1, ..., u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i-1}} = 0$  for all i, then (I) has a second nontrivial nonnegative solution when  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i$  is large.

**Theorem 1.2** Let (A.1), (A.2), (A.4) and (A.5) hold. Then there exists

 $\mu_0 > 0$  such that problem (I) has a positive solution  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  when  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i > \mu_0$ . Furthermore

$$||u_i||_{\infty} \to \infty \ as \ \min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i \to \infty$$

for i = 1, ..., n. If, in addition,  $f_i \ge 0$  and  $\lim_{\|u\|\to 0} \frac{f_i(u_1,...,u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i-1}} = 0$  for all i, then (I) has a second nonnegative nontrivial solution when  $\min_{1\le i\le n} \mu_i$  is large.

**Theorem 1.3** Let (A.1)–(A.2) hold and suppose there exists C > 0 such that

$$f_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \le C \|u\|^{p_i-1}$$

for all u and i. Then there exists  $\tilde{\mu}_0 > 0$  such that (I) has no nonnegative nontrivial solutions when  $\max_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i < \tilde{\mu}_0$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\mu}_0 \leq \mu_0$ .

**Remark 1** Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 generalize and improve results in [11], [18]. In [18], the existence of at least two positive solutions to

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda f(u) \text{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{(*)}$$

was established for  $\lambda$  large for  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  satisfying

(F.1)  $f(0) = f(\beta) = 0, f > 0 \text{ on } (0,\beta), f < 0 \text{ on } (\beta,\infty), \lim_{u\to 0} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0,$ and  $(f(s)/s^{p-1})'' < 0 \text{ on } (0,\beta) \text{ if } p > 2,$ 

or

(F.2) f is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , f(0) = 0,  $\lim_{u\to 0} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0$ , and there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0, \mu \in (0, p-1)$  so that  $f(u) \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 u^{\mu}$  for  $u \geq 0$ .

In [11], it was proved that (\*) has a positive solution for  $\lambda$  large for f satisfying  $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0$  and f(u) > L > 0 for u large. A second positive solution was established under the additional assumptions that  $f \ge 0$  and  $\lim_{u\to0} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} = 0$ .

**Examples 1** Let  $a_i$ , i = 1, 2, satisfy (A.1) and let  $p_1, p_2 > 1$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_1} u = \mu_1 a_1(x) \frac{(u+v)^{r_1}(1-u^2)}{1+uv} & \text{in } \Omega\\ -\Delta_{p_2} v = \mu_2 a_2(x)(u+v)^{r_2}(1-v)e^{-uv} & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}, \ u = v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$
(\*\*)

Then it follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 that

- a. For all  $r_1, r_2 \ge 0$ , (\*\*) has a positive solution when  $\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is large.
- b. For  $r_i > p_i 1$ , i = 1, 2, (\*\*) has at least two positive solutions when  $\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is large.
- c. For  $r_i = p_i 1$ , i = 1, 2, (\*\*) has no positive solutions when  $\max(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is small

**Examples 2** The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_1} u = \mu_1 a_1(x) \frac{(u+v)^{s_1} + A}{u^{\gamma_1} + 1} & \text{in } \Omega\\ -\Delta_{p_2} v = \mu_2 a_2(x) \frac{(u+v)^{s_2} + B}{v^{\gamma_2} + 1} & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}, \quad u = v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_i \in (0, 1/N)$ ,  $s_i \in (0, p_i - 1)$ , i = 1, 2, and A, B < 0 has a positive solution when  $\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is large and no positive solutions when  $\max(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is small.

**Examples 3** The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_1} u = \mu_1 a_1(x)(1 - e^{-u^{\gamma_1}}) e^{\frac{1}{1 + uv}} & \text{in } \Omega\\ -\Delta_{p_2} v = \mu_2 a_2(x)(1 - e^{-v^{\gamma_2}}) e^{\frac{1}{2 + uv}} & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}, \quad u = v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

where  $\gamma_i > p_i - 1$ , i = 1, 2, has at least two positive solutions when  $\min(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is large by Theorem 1.2.

### 2. Preliminary results

Let  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_n), \ \Psi = (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n (W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega))$ with  $\Phi \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$  i.e.,  $\Phi_i \leq \Psi_i$  in  $\Omega$  for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then we say that  $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$  forms a system of sub-supersolutions for (I) if for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ,  $\Phi_i \leq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega, \ \Psi_i \geq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Phi_i . \nabla \xi dx \le \int_{\Omega} \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx \quad \forall \xi \in W_0^{1, p_i}(\Omega), \ \xi \ge 0,$$
(2.1)

where  $\tilde{\Phi} = (\tilde{\Phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_n), \ \tilde{\Phi}_i = \Phi_i, \ \tilde{\Phi}_k \in [\Phi_k, \Psi_k]$  for  $k \neq i$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Psi_i . \nabla \xi dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Psi}) \xi dx \quad \forall \xi \in W_0^{1, p_i}(\Omega), \ \xi \ge 0,$$
(2.2)

where  $\tilde{\Psi} = (\tilde{\Psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Psi}_n)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_i = \Psi_i$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_k \in [\Phi_k, \Psi_k]$  for  $k \neq i$ . It is well known (see e.g., [4] or Appendix) that if such a system exists then (I) has a solution u with  $\Phi \leq u \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$ .

We shall denote the norms in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $L^r(\Omega)$  by  $|.|_{1,\alpha}$  and  $||.||_r$  respectively.

**Lemma 2.1** ([12]) Let  $f \in L^q(\Omega)$  for some q > N, and let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$-\Delta_p u = f \text{ in } \Omega,$$
  

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$
(2.3)

Then there exist  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and C > 0 independent of u and f such that  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$|u|_{1,\alpha} \le C ||f||_q^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$
(2.4)

Furthermore, the map  $K: L^q(\Omega) \to C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  defined by Kf = u is compact.

*Proof.* Suppose that p > N. Then, by the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem,  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \le C_1 \|\nabla u\|_p. \tag{2.5}$$

Multiplying the equation in (2.3) by u and integrating gives

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} = \int_{\Omega} f u dx \le \|f\|_{q} \|u\|_{\infty} |\Omega|^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$
 (2.6)

From (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \le C_2 \|f\|_q^{\frac{1}{p-1}},\tag{2.7}$$

where  $C_2$  is independent of u, f.

If  $p \leq N$  then (2.7) was established in [10, Lemma 1.3]. Let v be the solution of

$$-\Delta v = f$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  $v = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Then  $v \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$  and since q > N, there exists  $\beta \in (0,1)$  independent of v, f such that  $v \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$  and

$$|v|_{C^{1,\beta}} \le C_3 ||f||_q,$$

where  $C_3$  is a constant independent of v, f.

Let  $\tilde{u} = u \|f\|_q^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ ,  $\tilde{v} = v \|f\|_q^{-1}$ . Then  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2}\nabla \tilde{u} - \nabla \tilde{v}(x)) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Since  $\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq C_2$  and

$$|\nabla \tilde{v}(x) - \nabla \tilde{v}(y)| \le C_3 |x - y|^{\beta} \text{ for all } x, y \in \Omega,$$

it follows from Lieberman [14] that there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  independent of uand f such that  $|\tilde{u}|_{1,\alpha} \leq C$ .

Next, we verify that K is compact. In view of (2.4), we only need to show that K is continuous. Let  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  and  $u_n = K f_n$ . Then, by (2.4),  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Multiplying the equation

$$-(\Delta_p u_n - \Delta_p u) = f_n - f \text{ in } \Omega$$

by  $u_n - u$  and integrating, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot (u_n - u) dx = \int_{\Omega} (f_n - f)(u_n - u) dx.$$

From this, (2.4), and the inequality (see [16])

$$(|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y).(x-y) \ge \frac{c|x-y|^{\max(p,2)}}{(|x|+|y|)^{2-\min(p,2)}}$$
(2.8)

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where c is a positive constant depending only on p, we obtain

$$\|\nabla (u_n - u)\|_r^r \le C \|f_n - f\|_q,$$

where  $r = \max(p, 2)$  and C depends only on  $\Omega, f, p, q$ . Thus  $u_n \to u$  in  $W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$  and since  $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  is compactly imbedded in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega}), u_n \to u$  in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2** Let p > 1, M > 0, q > N and f,  $g \in L^q(\Omega)$  with  $||f||_q$ ,  $||g||_q < M$ . Let u, v satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= f \ in \ \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \ on \ \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}, \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v &= g \ in \ \Omega, \\ v &= 0 \ on \ \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $|u - v|_{1,0} \to 0$  as  $||f - g||_1 \to 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, there exist constants  $\alpha$ ,  $C_M > 0$  such that  $u, v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $|u|_{1,\alpha}, |v|_{1,\alpha} < C_M$ .

Multiplying the equation

$$-\Delta_p u - (-\Delta_p v) = f - g \text{ in } \Omega$$

by u - v and integrating gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla (u-v) dx = \int_{\Omega} (f-g)(u-v) dx.$$

Hence it follows from (2.8) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u-v)|^r dx \le c_1 ||f-g||_1 ||u-v||_{\infty} \le c_2 ||f-g||_1$$

where  $r = \max(p, 2)$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are constants depending only on p, M.

Thus  $\|\nabla(u-v)\|_r \to 0$  as  $\|f-g\|_1 \to 0$ . Since  $|u-v|_{1,\alpha} < 2C_M$  and  $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  is compactly imbedded in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , Lemma 2.2 follows.

For each *i*, let  $\lambda_{1,i}$  be the first eigenvalue of

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u = \lambda a_i(x) |u|^{p_i - 2} u \text{ in } \Omega,$$
$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

and let  $\phi_{1,i}$  be the corresponding positive eigenfunction with  $\|\phi_{1,i}\|_{\infty} = 1$ . It is well known that  $\lambda_{1,i} > 0$  and  $\phi_{1,i} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  (see e.g., [2]). Let  $\psi_i$  satisfy

$$-\Delta_{p_i}\psi_i = a_i(x)$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  $\psi_i = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Then  $\psi_i > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial n} < 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , where *n* denotes the outer unit normal vector.

For  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in C(\overline{\Omega})^n$ , we say that  $u \ll v$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $u_i + \varepsilon \phi_{1,i} \leq v_i$  in  $\Omega$  for all *i*.

We are now ready to give the proofs of the main results.

## 3. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $x_i \in \Omega$  be such that  $\phi_{1,i}(x_i) = \|\phi_{1,i}\|_{\infty} = 1$ and D be such that  $\overline{D} \subset \Omega$  and  $x_i \in D$  for all i.

Let  $0 < 2\varepsilon < \min_{1 \le i \le n} r_i$ ,  $d_i \equiv r_i - \varepsilon < c_i < r_i$ , and let  $\Phi_i$  be the solution of

$$-\Delta_{p_i}\Phi_i = \begin{cases} c_i^{p_i-1}\lambda_{1,i}a_i(x)\phi_{1,i}^{p_i-1} & \text{in } D\\ 0 & \text{in } \Omega\backslash\bar{D}, \end{cases}, \ \Phi_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Since

$$-\Delta_{p_i}(c_i\phi_{1,i}) = c_i^{p_i-1}\lambda_{1,i}a_i(x)\phi_{1,i}^{p_i-1} \quad \text{in }\Omega,$$

it follows from the weak comparison principle [15] and the strong maximum principle [17] that  $0 < \Phi_i \leq c_i \phi_{1,i}$  in  $\Omega$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $|\Phi_i - c_i \phi_{1,i}|_{C^1} \to 0$ as  $|\Omega \setminus \overline{D}| \to 0$ , where  $|\Omega \setminus \overline{D}|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ . Thus we can choose D so that

$$(c_i - \varepsilon)\phi_{1,i} \le \Phi_i \le c_i\phi_{1,i}$$
 in  $\Omega$ 

for all *i*. Let  $\Phi \equiv \Phi_D = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n)$  and  $\Psi = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ . We shall verify that  $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$  forms a system of sub-supersolutions for (I). Let  $\tilde{\Phi} = (\tilde{\Phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_n)$ , where  $\tilde{\Phi}_i = \Phi_i$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_k \in [\Phi_k, r_k]$  for  $k \neq i$ . By (A.3),  $f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \geq 0$ in  $\Omega$  and  $f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \geq m_i$  in D, where

$$m_{i} = \min\left\{f_{i}(x) : (c_{i} - \varepsilon) \min_{\bar{D}} \phi_{1,i} \le x_{i} \le c_{i}, \ 0 \le x_{j} \le r_{j}, \ j \ne i\right\} > 0.$$

Let  $\mu_0 > 0$  be such that  $\mu_0 m_i > \lambda_{1,i} c_i^{p_i-1}$  for all *i* and suppose  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i > \mu_0$ . For  $\xi \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega), \xi \ge 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Phi_i \cdot \nabla \xi dx = \int_{D} c_i^{p_i - 1} \lambda_{1,i} a_i(x) \phi_{1,i}^{p_i - 1} \xi dx \le \mu_0 m_i \int_{D} a_i(x) \xi dx$$
$$\le \mu_i \int_{D} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx \le \mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx,$$

i.e.,  $\Phi$  satisfies (2.1). Also  $\Psi$  satisfies (2.2) because of (A.3), which proves the claim. Hence (I) has a solution  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  with

$$(c_i - \varepsilon)\phi_{1,i} \le \Phi_i \le u_i \le r_i \text{ in } \Omega.$$

In particular,  $r_i - 2\varepsilon \leq ||u_i||_{\infty} \leq r_i$ . Replacing  $2\varepsilon$  by  $\frac{2\varepsilon}{m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain an increasing sequence  $(\mu_{0,m})$  of positive numbers with  $\mu_{0,1} = \mu_0$  such that (I) has a positive solution  $u_m = (u_{m,1}, \ldots, u_{m,n})$  with

$$r_i - \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} \le ||u_{m,i}||_\infty \le r_i$$

for all *i* when  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i > \mu_{0,m}$ . Define  $u = u_m$  if  $\mu_{0,m} < \min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i \le \mu_{0,m+1}$ . Then clearly  $||u_i||_{\infty} \to r_i$  as  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i \to \infty$ .

Suppose next that  $\lim_{\|u\|\to 0} \frac{f_i(u_1,...,u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i-1}} = 0$  for all *i*. Define  $\overline{f}_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = f_i(\overline{u}_1,\ldots,\overline{u}_n)$ , where  $\overline{u}_j = \min(u_j^+,r_j)$ ,  $u_j^+ = \max(u_j,0)$ ,  $j = 1,\ldots,n$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\Phi_0 = (-\varepsilon,\ldots,-\varepsilon)$ ,  $\Psi_0 = (\varepsilon\psi_1,\ldots,\varepsilon\psi_n)$ ,  $\Psi_1 = (2r_1,\ldots,2r_n)$ . Then, if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small,  $\Phi_0 \ll \Psi_0 \ll \Phi \ll \Psi_1$  in  $\Omega$ . We shall verify that  $\{\Phi_0,\Psi_0\}$  forms a system of sub-supersolutions for the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) \bar{f}_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(I\*)

if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Choose  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\mu_i \delta(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \|\psi_j\|_{\infty})^{p_i - 1} < (1/2)^{p_i - 1}$  for all *i*. Since  $\lim_{\|u\| \to 0} \frac{f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i - 1}} = 0$  for all *i*, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) \le \delta \|z\|^{p_i - 1} \text{ for all } i$$
(3.1)

whenever  $||z|| < \varepsilon_0$ ,  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough so that  $\varepsilon \max_{1 \le j \le n} ||\psi_j||_{\infty} < \min_{1 \le j \le n} (\varepsilon_0, r_j)$ . Let  $\xi \in W_0^{1, p_i}(\Omega)$ ,  $\xi \ge 0$ ,  $v_i = \varepsilon \psi_i$ ,  $v_k \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon \psi_k]$  for  $k \ne i$ . Then we have

$$\mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \bar{f}_i(v_1, \dots, v_n) \xi dx = \mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) f_i(\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n) \xi dx$$
  
$$\leq \mu_i \delta \|\bar{v}\|^{p_i - 1} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \xi dx \leq \mu_i \delta \left( \varepsilon \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\psi_j\|_{\infty} \right)^{p_i - 1} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \xi dx$$
  
$$\leq \varepsilon^{p_i - 1} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \xi dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\varepsilon \psi_i)|^{p_i - 2} \nabla(\varepsilon \psi_i) \cdot \nabla \xi dx.$$

On the other hand, if  $w_i = -\varepsilon$ ,  $w_k \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon \psi_k]$  for  $k \neq i$ , we have

$$\mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \bar{f}_i(w_1, \dots, w_n) \xi dx \ge 0 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla w_i \cdot \nabla \xi dx.$$

Thus  $\{\Phi_0, \Psi_0\}$  is a system of sub-supersolutions of (I\*). Similarly, it can be verified that  $\{\Phi, \Psi_1\}$ ,  $\{\Phi_0, \Psi_1\}$  are systems of sub-supersolutions of (I\*).

It follows from the maximum principle that if u is a solution of (I<sup>\*</sup>) then  $0 \leq u_i \leq r_i$  in  $\Omega$ , and hence u is a nonnegative solution of (I) with  $\Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi_1$ . We shall show next that any solution of (I<sup>\*</sup>) with  $\Phi_0 \leq u \leq \Psi_0$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi_0$ . Clearly  $\Phi_0 \ll u$  since  $u \geq 0$ . Let u be a solution of (I<sup>\*</sup>) with  $\Phi_0 \leq u \leq \Psi_0$  in  $\Omega$ . By (3.1),

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) \bar{f}_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \le \mu_i a_i(x) \delta \|\bar{u}\|^{p_i - 1}$$
$$\le \mu_i \delta \left( \varepsilon \max_{1 \le j \le n} \|\psi_j\|_{\infty} \right)^{p_i - 1} a_i(x),$$

which implies

$$u_i \le (\mu_i \delta)^{\frac{1}{p_i - 1}} \Big( \max_{1 \le j \le n} \|\psi_j\|_{\infty} \Big) \varepsilon \psi_i \le (1/2) \varepsilon \psi_i \text{ in } \Omega,$$

i.e.,  $u \ll \Psi_0$ . Using the strong comparison principle [6], [7], we have  $\Phi_D \ll \Phi_{D_1}$  if  $\overline{D} \subset D_1$ , and therefore can assume that there exists a solution u of (I<sup>\*</sup>) with  $\Phi \ll u \ll \Psi_1$ .

Define  $S_i = \{u_i \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } |u_i| \leq c\phi_{1,i} \text{ in } \Omega\}$ . Then  $S_i$ 

is a Banach space with norm  $||u_i||_{\phi_{1,i}} = \inf\{c > 0 : |u_i| \le c\phi_{1,i} \text{ in } \Omega\}$ . Let  $S = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i$  and define the following open sets in S:

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ u \in S : \Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi_1 \},$$
$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \{ u \in S : \Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi_0 \},$$
$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \{ u \in S : \Phi \ll u \ll \Psi_1 \}.$$

If every solution v of  $(I^*)$  with  $\Phi \leq v \leq \Psi_1$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $v \in \mathcal{O}_2$  then it follows from Amann's three-solution Theorem (see [1], [8] or Appendix) that  $(I^*)$  has a solution  $u_1 \in \mathcal{O} \setminus (\overline{\mathcal{O}}_1 \cup \overline{\mathcal{O}}_2)$ . In particular,  $u_1 \neq 0$ ,  $u_1 \neq u$ . On the other hand, if there exists a solution v of  $(I^*)$  with  $\Phi \leq v \leq \Psi_1$  in  $\Omega$  but  $v \notin \mathcal{O}_2$  then v is a second positive solution of (I). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let  $k \ge 0$  be given by (A.4). By Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution  $v_i \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  of

$$-\Delta_{p_i}v_i = \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)}$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  $v_i = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

where d(x) denotes the distance from x to  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $c_0, c_1 > 0$  be such that  $c_0 d(x) \leq v_i(x) \leq c_1 d(x)$  for all i and  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\phi_i$  be the solution of

$$-\Delta_{p_i}\phi_i = \begin{cases} \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} & \text{in } D_i \\ -\frac{ma_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} & in \; \Omega \backslash \bar{D}_i \end{cases}, \quad \phi_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_i$$

where  $D_i = \left\{ x \in \Omega : d(x) > \frac{4A}{c_0 \mu_i^{\beta_i/(p_i-1)}} \right\}$ ,  $\beta_i = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{p_i-1}\right)^{-1}$ , and  $m = k \left(\frac{4A}{c_0}\right)^{\gamma}$ . Then  $\phi_i \leq v_i$  in  $\Omega$  by the comparison principle. Since

$$\mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \tilde{\mu}_i a_i(x) F_i(u_1, \dots, u_n),$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu_i L}{2c_1^{\gamma}}$ ,  $F_i(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \frac{2c_1^{\gamma}}{L} f_i(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ , we can assume that  $L > c_1^{\gamma}$ .

By Lemma 2.2,  $|\phi_i - v_i|_{C^1} \to 0$  as  $\mu_i \to \infty$ . Since  $v_i > 0$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial n} < 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , there exists  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that

$$\phi_i \ge \frac{1}{2} v_i \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for all *i* provided that  $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i > \mu_0$ , which we shall assume for the rest of the proof.

Define  $\tilde{f}_i(z_1, ..., z_n) = \sup_{0 \le x_i \le z_i} f_i(x_1, ..., x_n)$ . By (A.5),

$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}_i(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i - 1}} = 0$$

for all i, and hence there exists M > 0 such that

$$\frac{\tilde{f}_i(M,\dots,M)}{M^{p_i-1}} < \frac{\|\psi_i\|_{\infty}^{1-p_i}\mu_i^{-1}}{2^{p_i-1}}$$

for all *i*. Let  $\Phi_i = \mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i}{p_i-1}} \phi_i$ ,  $\Psi_i = M_i \psi_i$ , where  $M_i = M \|\psi_i\|_{\infty}^{-1}$  for all *i*. Then  $\Phi \ll \Psi$  if *M* is large enough. We claim that  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_n)$  and  $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n)$  form a system of sub-supersolutions for (I). Indeed, for  $\xi \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega), \xi \ge 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Phi_i . \nabla \xi dx &= \mu_i^{\beta_i} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta_{p_i} \phi_i) \xi dx \\ &= \mu_i^{\beta_i} \int_{D_i} \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} \xi dx - \mu_i^{\beta_i} m \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}_i} \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} \xi dx. \end{split}$$
(3.2)

In  $D_i$ , we have

$$\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i}{p_i-1}}\phi_i \ge \frac{\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i}{p_i-1}}v_i}{2} \ge \frac{\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i}{p_i-1}}c_0d(x)}{2} > 2A,$$

and hence it follows from (A.4) that

$$f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \ge \frac{L}{\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i\gamma}{p_i-1}} \phi_i^{\gamma}} \ge \frac{L}{\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i\gamma}{p_i-1}} v_i^{\gamma}} \ge \frac{L}{\mu_i^{\frac{\beta_i\gamma}{p_i-1}} c_1^{\gamma} d^{\gamma}(x)} \text{ in } D_i,$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}_i = \Phi_i$ ,  $\tilde{\Phi}_k \ge \Phi_k$  for  $k \ne i$ . This implies

On positive solutions for p-Laplacian systems

$$\mu_i \int_{D_i} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx \ge \frac{\mu_i^{1-\frac{\beta_i\gamma}{p_i-1}}L}{c_1^{\gamma}} \int_{D_i} \frac{a_i(x)\xi}{d^{\gamma}(x)} dx$$
$$= \frac{\mu_i^{\beta_i}L}{c_1^{\gamma}} \int_{D_i} \frac{a_i(x)\xi}{d^{\gamma}(x)} dx \ge \mu_i^{\beta_i} \int_{D_i} \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} \xi dx.$$
(3.3)

In  $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}_i$ , we have

$$\frac{\mu_i^{\beta_i}m}{d^{\gamma}(x)} \geq \frac{mc_0^{\gamma}}{(4A)^{\gamma}}\mu_i^{\beta_i + \frac{\beta_i\gamma}{p_i - 1}} = \frac{mc_0^{\gamma}\mu_i}{(4A)^{\gamma}} = k\mu_i,$$

which implies

$$-\mu_i^{\beta_i} m \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}_i} \frac{a_i(x)}{d^{\gamma}(x)} \xi dx \le -\mu_i k \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}_i} a_i(x) \xi dx \le \mu_i \int_{\Omega \setminus \bar{D}_i} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx.$$
(3.4)

Combining (3.2)–(3.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Phi_i \cdot \nabla \xi dx \le \mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Phi}) \xi dx,$$

i.e.,  $\Phi$  satisfies (2.1). Next,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_i|^{p_i - 2} \nabla \Psi_i . \nabla \xi dx = M_i^{p_i - 1} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta_{p_i} \psi_i) \xi dx \\ &= M^{p_i - 1} \|\psi_i\|_{\infty}^{1 - p_i} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \xi dx \ge \mu_i \tilde{f}_i(M, \dots, M) \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \xi dx \\ &\ge \mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) \tilde{f}_i(\tilde{\Psi}) \xi dx \ge \mu_i \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) f_i(\tilde{\Psi}) \xi dx, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{\Psi}_i = \Psi_i$  and  $0 \leq \tilde{\Psi}_k \leq \Psi_k$  for  $k \neq i$ . Thus  $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$  forms a system of sub-supersolutions of (I), as claimed. Hence (I) has a solution u with  $\Phi \leq u \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$ . Clearly,  $\|u_i\|_{\infty} \to \infty$  as  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i \to \infty$ . We claim that any solution u of (I) with  $0 \leq u \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $u \ll \Psi$ . Indeed, let u be a solution of (I) with  $0 \leq u \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$ . Then we have  $0 \leq u_i \leq M_i \|\psi_i\|_{\infty} = M$ for all i. Hence

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \le \mu_i a_i(x) \tilde{f}_i(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$
$$\le \mu_i a_i(x) \tilde{f}_i(M, \dots, M) \le \frac{\|\psi_i\|_{\infty}^{1-p_i}}{2^{p_i - 1}} M^{p_i - 1} a_i(x) \text{ in } \Omega$$

which implies

$$u_i \leq (1/2)M_i\psi_i$$
 in  $\Omega$  for all  $i$ .

Thus  $u \ll \Psi$ , as claimed. Next, suppose that  $f_i \ge 0$  and  $\lim_{\|u\|\to 0} \frac{f_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n)}{\|u\|^{p_i-1}}$ = 0 for all *i*. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Phi_0 = (-\varepsilon, \ldots, -\varepsilon)$ ,  $\Psi_0 = (\varepsilon\psi_1, \ldots, \varepsilon\psi_n)$ . Then, if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small,  $\Phi_0 \ll \Psi_0 \ll \Phi \ll \Psi$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we deduce that  $\{\Phi_0, \Psi_0\}$  is a system of sub-supersolutions for the system

$$-\Delta_{p_i}u_i = \mu_i a_i(x) f_i(u_1^+, \dots, u_n^+) \text{ in } \Omega, \ u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\mathbf{I}')$$

and any solution u of (I') with  $\Phi_0 \leq u \leq \Psi_0$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi_0$ . By modifying the proof for  $\{\Phi, \Psi\}$ , we see that  $\{\Phi_0, \Psi\}$  is also a system of sub-supersolutions of (I') and any solution u of (I') with  $\Phi_0 \leq u \leq \Psi$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\Phi_0 \ll u \ll \Psi$ . Also, by replacing A by A/2 in the above proof and using the strong comparison principle, we can assume that there exists a solution u of (I') with  $\Phi \ll u \ll \Psi$ . Hence we obtain, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, a second nontrivial nonnegative solution  $u_1$  of (I). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let u be a nonnegative solution of (I). Then

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i \le \mu_i Ca_i(x) \|u\|_{\infty}^{p_i - 1}$$

for all i, and the comparison principle implies

$$u_i \le (\mu_i C)^{\frac{1}{p_i - 1}} \|u\|_{\infty} \psi_i \le (\mu_i C)^{\frac{1}{p - 1}} \|u\|_{\infty} \|\psi_i\|_{\infty} \text{ in } \Omega$$

for all *i*, where  $p = \min_{1 \le i \le n} p_i$ . Hence,

$$||u||_{\infty} \le (\mu C)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \max_{1 \le i \le n} ||\psi_i||_{\infty} ||u||_{\infty},$$

where  $\mu = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i$ . Thus, if  $(\mu C)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \max_{1 \le i \le n} \|\psi_i\|_{\infty} < 1$  then u = 0,

which completes the proof.

# Appendix

Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_i} u_i = g_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$
(II)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $p_i > 1$ , and  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

For each *i*, let  $\lambda_{1,i}$  be the first eigenvalue of

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u = \lambda a_i(x) |u|^{p_i - 2} u \text{ in } \Omega,$$
$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

and let  $\phi_{1,i}$  be the corresponding positive eigenfunction with  $\|\phi_{1,i}\|_{\infty} = 1$ .

For  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in C(\overline{\Omega})^n$ , we say that  $u \ll v$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $u_i + \varepsilon \phi_{1,i} \leq v_i$  in  $\Omega$  for all *i*.

Define  $S_i = \{u_i \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } |u_i| \leq c\phi_{1,i} \text{ in } \Omega\}$ . Then  $S_i$  is a Banach space with norm  $||u_i||_{\phi_{1,i}} = \inf\{c > 0 : |u_i| \leq c\phi_{1,i} \text{ in } \Omega\}$ . Let  $S = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i$  with norm  $||u||_S = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} ||u_i||_{\phi_{1,i}}$  and  $B_R$  denote the open ball centered at 0 with radius R in S.

For each  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in C(\overline{\Omega})^n$ , let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) = Tv$  be the solution of the system

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i = g_i(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  $u_i = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem A** i) Let  $\{\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}\}$  be a system of sub-supersolutions for (II). Then (II) has a solution u with  $\hat{\Phi} \leq u \leq \hat{\Psi}$  in  $\Omega$ . If, in addition,  $\hat{\Phi} \ll \hat{\Psi}$  and every solution v of (II) with  $\hat{\Phi} \leq v \leq \hat{\Psi}$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\hat{\Phi} \ll v \ll \hat{\Psi}$  then there exists R > 0 such that

$$\deg(I-T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}, 0) = 1,$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{ u \in S : \hat{\Phi} \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi} \}.$ 

ii) Let  $\{\hat{\Phi}_0, \hat{\Psi}_0\}$ ,  $\{\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi}\}$ ,  $\{\hat{\Phi}_0, \hat{\Psi}\}$  be systems of sub-supersolutions for (II). Suppose  $\hat{\Phi}_0 \ll \hat{\Psi}_0 \ll \hat{\Phi} \ll \hat{\Psi}$  and every solution u of (II) with  $\hat{\Phi}_0 \leq$ 

 $u \leq \hat{\Psi}_0 \text{ (resp. } \hat{\Phi} \leq u \leq \hat{\Psi}, \ \hat{\Phi}_0 \leq u \leq \hat{\Psi} \text{) in } \Omega \text{ satisfies } \hat{\Phi}_0 \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi}_0 \text{ (resp. } \hat{\Phi} \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi}, \ \hat{\Phi}_0 \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi} \text{). Then (I) has at least three solutions } u_1, u_2, u_3 \text{ with } u_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0, u_2 \in \mathcal{A}_1, u_3 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{A}}_1), \text{ where }$ 

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ u \in S : \hat{\Phi}_0 \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi}_0 \right\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \left\{ u \in S : \hat{\Phi} \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi} \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \left\{ u \in S : \hat{\Phi}_0 \ll u \ll \hat{\Psi} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* i) Let  $\hat{\Phi} = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)$ ,  $\hat{\Psi} = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)$ . Define  $\hat{g}_i(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = g_i(\hat{u}_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_n)$ , where  $\hat{u}_i = \min(\max(u_i, \phi_i), \psi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Consider the system

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \hat{g}_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(II\*)

For each  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in C(\overline{\Omega})^n$ , let  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) = \hat{T}v$  be the solution of

$$-\Delta_{p_i} u_i = \hat{g}_i(v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then  $\hat{T}: S \to S$  is a bounded compact operator and therefore there exists R > 0 such that  $\deg(I - \hat{T}, B_R, 0) = 1$ .

Thus  $\hat{T}$  has a fixed point u in B(0, R). We shall show that  $\hat{\Phi} \leq u \leq \hat{\Psi}$ in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\xi = (\phi_i - u_i)^+$  and suppose  $\xi \not\equiv 0$ . Then  $\xi \in W_0^{1,p_i}(\Omega), \xi \geq 0$ , and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\{x:u_i(x)<\phi_i(x)\}} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla u_i . \nabla \xi dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla u_i . \nabla \xi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}_i(u_1,\ldots,u_n) \xi dx = \int_{\{x:u_i(x)<\phi_i(x)\}} g_i(\tilde{u}_1,\ldots,\tilde{u}_n) \xi dx \\ &\geq \int_{\{x:u_i(x)<\phi_i(x)\}} |\nabla \phi_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla \phi_i . \nabla \xi dx, \end{split}$$

where  $\tilde{u}_i = \phi_i$ ,  $\tilde{u}_k \in [\phi_k, \psi_k]$  for  $k \neq i$ . Hence

$$\int_{\{x:u_i(x)<\phi_i(x)\}} \left( |\nabla u_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla u_i - |\nabla \phi_i|^{p_i-2} \nabla \phi_i \right) \cdot \nabla (u_i - \phi_i) dx \le 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $\xi \equiv 0$  i.e.,  $u_i \ge \phi_i$  in  $\Omega$ . Similarly, we have  $u_i \le \psi_i$  in  $\Omega$ . Thus u is a solution of (II) with  $\hat{\Phi} \le u \le \hat{\Psi}$  in  $\Omega$ . If  $\hat{\Phi} \ll \hat{\Psi}$  and every

solution v of (II) with  $\hat{\Phi} \leq v \leq \hat{\Psi}$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\hat{\Phi} \ll v \ll \hat{\Psi}$  then we deduce from the excision property of the degree that

$$1 = \deg(I - \hat{T}, B_R, 0) = \deg(I - \hat{T}, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}, 0) = \deg(I - T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}, 0).$$

ii) By (i), there exists R > 0 such that

$$\deg(I - T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}_k, 0) = 1, \ k = 0, 1, 2.$$

Consequently, (II) has solutions  $u_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0, u_2 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . Since

$$\deg(I - T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}_2, 0) = \deg(I - T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}_0, 0) + \deg(I - T, B_R \cap \mathcal{A}_1, 0)$$
$$+ \deg(I - T, B_R \cap (\mathcal{A}_2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{A}}_1)),$$

it follows that

$$\deg\left(I-T, B_R \cap \left(\mathcal{A}_2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{A}}_1)\right) = -1,\right.$$

and the existence of a third solution  $u_3 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{A}}_0 \cup \bar{\mathcal{A}}_1)$  follows.

**Acknowledgement** The author thanks Professor Klaus Schmitt for pointing out an error in the original manuscript.

#### References

- Amann H., Fixed point equations and nonlinear eigenvalue problems in ordered Banach spaces. Siam Rev. 18 (1976), 620–709.
- [2] Anane A., simplicite et isolation de la premier valeur propre du p-Laplacien avec poids. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 305 (1987), 725–728.
- [3] Azizieh C., Clement Ph. and Mitidieri E., Existence and a priori estimates for positive solutions of p-Laplace systems. J. Differential Equations 184 (2002), 422–442.
- [4] Canada A., Drabek P. and Gamez J. L., Existence of positive solutions for some problems with nonlinear diffusion. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 4231–4249.
- [5] Clement Ph., Fleckinger J., Mitidieri E. and de Thelin F., Existence of positive solutions for a nonvariational quasilinear elliptic system. J. Differential Equations 166 (2000), 455–477.
- [6] Cuesta M. and Takáč P., A strong comparison principle for the Dirichlet

*p-Laplacian*, in "Proceedings of the Conference on Reaction-Diffusion Equations", G. Caristi and E. Mitidieri, eds., Trieste, Italy, October 1995. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 194, pp. 79–87. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York–Basel, 1998.

- [7] Cuesta M. and Takáč P., A strong comparison principle for positive solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. Differential Integral Equations 13 (2000), no. 4–6, 721–746.
- [8] Decoster C. and Nicaise S., Lower and upper solutions for elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. J. Differential Equations 244 (2008), 599–629.
- [9] Diaz, J. I, Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries, Vol. I, vol. 106 of Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [10] Guedda M. and Veron L., Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. Nonlinear Anal. 13 (1989), 879–902.
- [11] Hai D. D., On a class of sublinear quasilinear elliptic problems. Proc. Amer. math. Soc. 131 (2003), 2409–2414.
- [12] Hai D. D., Singular boundary value problems for the p-Laplacian. (submitted).
- [13] Hai D. D. and Wang H., Nontrivial solutions for p-Laplacian systems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007), no. 1, 186–194.
- [14] Lieberman G. M., Boundary regularity for solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. Nonlinear Anal. 12 (1988), 1203–1219.
- [15] Sakaguchi S., Concavity properties of solutions to some degenerate quasilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 14 (1987), 403–421.
- [16] Oden T., Qualitative methods in nonlinear mechanics. Prentice-Hall, Inc, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1986.
- [17] Vazquez J. L., A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (1984), 191–202.
- [18] Guo Z. M., Some existence and multiplicity results for a class of quasilinear eigenvalue problems. Nonlinear Anal. 18 (1992), 957–971.

Department of Mathematics Mississippi State University Mississippi State, MS 39762