

## *The Rank of the Incidence Matrix of Points and $d$ -Flats in Finite Geometries*

Noboru HAMADA

(Received September 26, 1968)

### 1. Introduction and Summary

The concept of majority decoding and, more generally, threshold decoding was introduced by Massey [3]. In order to obtain majority decodable codes such as (i) a  $d$ -th order Projective Geometry code (whose parity check matrix is the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$ ) and (ii) a  $d$ -th order Affine Geometry code (whose parity check matrix is the incidence matrix of points other than the origin and  $d$ -flats not passing through the origin in  $EG(t, p^n)$ ), it is necessary to investigate the rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$  and in  $EG(t, p^n)$  over  $GF(p^n)$ . An exact formula for the rank of the incidence matrix of points and hyperplanes ( $(t-1)$ -flats) has been obtained by Graham and MacWilliams [2] for the case  $t=2$  and has been independently obtained by Smith [5] and by Goethals and Delsarte [1] for general  $t$ . An exact formula for the rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in a special case  $n=1$  has been obtained by Smith [5]. For general  $n$ , although an upper bound for the rank has been obtained by Smith, an explicit formula for the rank has not yet been obtained.\*)

The purpose of this paper is to derive an explicit formula for the rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$  and in  $EG(t, p^n)$  for the general case, by extending the methods used by Smith.

The main results are as follows.

(i) In the case of  $PG(t, p^n)$ , we have the

**THEOREM 1.** *Over  $GF(p^n)$ , the rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$  is equal to*

$$R_d(t, p^n) = \sum_{s_0} \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{L(s_{j+1}, s_j)} (-1)^i \binom{t+1}{i} \binom{t+s_{j+1}p-s_j-i}{i} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $s_n = s_0$  and summations are taken over all integers  $s_j$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) such that

$$d+1 \leq s_j \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t+1)(p-1) \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*) This problem was suggested by Professor R. C. Bose during his visit to Hiroshima, May 1968.

and  $L(s_{j+1}, s_j)$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $(s_{j+1}p - s_j)/p$ , i.e.,

$$L(s_{j+1}, s_j) = \left\lfloor \frac{s_{j+1}p - s_j}{p} \right\rfloor. \tag{1.3}$$

(ii) In the case of  $EG(t, p^n)$ , we have the

**THEOREM 2.** *Over  $GF(p^n)$ , the rank of the incidence matrix of  $(p^n)^t - 1$  points other than the origin and  $d$ -flats not passing through the origin in  $EG(t, p^n)$  is equal to  $R_d(t, p^n) - R_d(t-1, p^n) - 1$ .*

The process of deriving our explicit formulas and our results given in [6] may be useful to obtain majority decodable codes such as  $d$ -th order Projective Geometry codes and  $d$ -th order Affine Geometry codes. In section 2 and section 3, we shall prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, respectively.

**2. Rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$ .**

In this section, we investigate the rank of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, p^n)$  and prove Theorem 1.

With the help of the Galois field  $GF(q)$ , where  $q$  is an integer of the form  $p^n$  ( $p$  being a prime), we can define a finite projective geometry  $PG(t, q)$  of  $t$  dimensions as a set of points satisfying the following conditions (a), (b) and (c):

- (a) A point in  $PG(t, q)$  is represented by  $(\nu)$  where  $\nu$  is a non-zero element of  $GF(q^{t+1})$ .
- (b) Two points  $(\nu)$  and  $(\mu)$  represent the same point when and only when there exists a non-zero element  $\sigma$  of  $GF(q)$  such that  $\mu = \sigma\nu$ .
- (c) A  $d$ -flat,  $0 \leq d \leq t$ , in  $PG(t, q)$  is defined as a set of points

$$\{(a_0\nu_0 + a_1\nu_1 + \dots + a_d\nu_d)\} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $a$ 's run independently over the elements of  $GF(q)$  and are not all simultaneously zero and  $(\nu_0), (\nu_1), \dots, (\nu_d)$  are linearly independent over the coefficient field  $GF(q)$ , in other words, they do not lie on a  $(d-1)$ -flat.

It is well known that the number,  $v$ , of points in  $PG(t, q)$  is equal to

$$v = (q^{t+1} - 1)/(q - 1) \tag{2.2}$$

and the number,  $b$ , of  $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, q)$  is equal to

$$b = \phi(t, d, q) = \frac{(q^{t+1} - 1)(q^t - 1) \dots (q^{t-d+1} - 1)}{(q^{d+1} - 1)(q^d - 1) \dots (q - 1)}. \tag{2.3}$$

After numbering  $v$  points and  $b$   $d$ -flats in  $PG(t, q)$  in some way, we define

the incidence matrix of  $v$  points and  $b$   $d$ -flats in  $\text{PG}(t, q)$  to be the matrix

$$N = \|n_{ij}\|; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, b \quad \text{and} \quad j=1, 2, \dots, v \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } j\text{-th point is incident with the } i\text{-th } d\text{-flat,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In order to obtain an explicit formula for the rank of the incidence matrix  $N$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , we start with the following proposition summarizing the essential results due to Smith [5].

**PROPOSITION 1 (Smith).** *Over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , the rank of the incidence matrix  $N$  of  $v$  points and  $b$   $d$ -flats in  $\text{PG}(t, q)$  is equal to the number of integers  $m$  such that (i)  $1 \leq m \leq v$  and (ii) there exists a set of  $d+1$  positive integers  $m_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) which satisfies*

$$m = \sum_{k=0}^d m_k \quad \text{and} \quad D_p[m(q-1)] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[m_k(q-1)] \tag{2.5}$$

where  $D_p[M]$  is defined for a non-negative integer  $M$  having the  $p$ -adic representation

$$M = c_0 + c_1p + \dots + c_up^u \quad (0 \leq c_i < p, \text{ for all } i=0, 1, \dots, u) \tag{2.6}$$

by

$$D_p[M] = c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_u. \tag{2.7}$$

The following two theorems play an important role in proving Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $1 \leq m \leq v$  and let the  $p$ -adic representation of  $m(q-1)$  be*

$$m(q-1) = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij}p^{in+j} \tag{2.8}$$

where  $c_{ij}$ 's are non-negative integers less than  $p$ .

*If there exists a set of  $d+1$  positive integers  $m_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) which satisfies*

$$m = \sum_{k=0}^d m_k \quad \text{and} \quad D_p[m(q-1)] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[m_k(q-1)], \tag{2.9}$$

then there exists a unique set of  $n+1$  positive integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$s_n = s_0, \quad d+1 \leq s_j \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1}p - s_j \tag{2.10}$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Note that  $0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t+1)(p-1)$  must hold for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , since  $0 \leq c_{ij} \leq p-1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) be a set of  $n+1$  positive integers such that*

$$s_n = s_0, \quad d+1 \leq s_j \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t+1)(p-1) \quad (2.11)$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Let  $c_{ij}$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots, t, j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) be a set of non-negative integers less than  $p$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1}p - s_j \quad (2.12)$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then,

(i)  $\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j}$  is a multiple of  $p^n - 1$ , that is, there exists an integer  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq v$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j} = m(p^n - 1). \quad (2.13)$$

(ii) There exists a set of  $d+1$  positive integers  $m_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) which satisfies (2.9) for the integer  $m$ .

At first, we prove the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $1 \leq m \leq v$  and let the  $p$ -adic representation of  $m(q-1)$  be*

$$m(q-1) = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j}, \quad (2.13')$$

then there exists a unique set of  $n+1$  positive integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$s_n = s_0, \quad 1 \leq s_j \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1}p - s_j \quad (2.14)$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**PROOF.** Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^j = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j} - \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} (p^{in} - 1) p^j \quad (2.15)$$

and  $(p^{in} - 1)$  is a multiple of  $p^n - 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^j$  is a multiple of  $p^n - 1$  by assumption (2.13'), that is, there exists a positive integer  $r$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq t+1$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^j = r(p^n - 1). \tag{2.16}$$

The equation (2.16) can be expressed as

$$r + \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} c_{ij} p^j = r p^n - \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=j_0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^j \tag{2.17}$$

for any integer  $j_0$  ( $1 \leq j_0 \leq n-1$ ). Since the right hand side of equation (2.17) is a multiple of  $p^{j_0}$ , its left hand side must be a multiple of  $p^{j_0}$ , that is, there exist  $n-1$  positive integers  $s_{j_0}$ ,  $1 \leq s_{j_0} \leq t+1$ , ( $j_0=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) such that

$$r + \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{j_0-1} c_{ij} p^j = s_{j_0} p^{j_0} \tag{2.18}$$

for each  $j_0=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Solving  $n-1$  equations (2.18), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1} p - s_j \tag{2.19}$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$  where  $s_n=s_0$  and  $s_0=r$ .

The uniqueness of the set of integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) can be proved as follows.

Let  $s_l^*$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) be another set of  $n+1$  positive integers such that

$$s_n^* = s_0^* \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* \tag{2.20}$$

for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then, from (2.19) and (2.20), we have  $s_{j+1} p - s_j = s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^*$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) and  $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} p^j = s_0(p^n - 1) = s_0^*(p^n - 1)$ . This implies that  $s_l^* = s_l$  for all  $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ . This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $M_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) be positive integers and let the  $p$ -adic representations of  $M$  and  $M_k$  be*

$$M = \sum_{l=0}^u c_l p^l \quad \text{and} \quad M_k = \sum_{l=0}^u c_l^{(k)} p^l. \tag{2.21}$$

*Then,  $M = \sum_{k=0}^d M_k$  and  $D_p[M] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[M_k]$  if and only if  $c_l = \sum_{k=0}^d c_l^{(k)}$  for each  $l=0, 1, \dots, u$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $M = \sum_{k=0}^d M_k$  and  $D_p[M] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[M_k]$ , then,

$$\sum_{l=0}^u c_l p^l = \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{l=0}^u c_l^{(k)} p^l \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^u c_l = \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{l=0}^u c_l^{(k)}. \tag{2.22'}$$

Since  $c_l$ 's are non-negative integers less than  $p$ , it follows from (2.22) that  $c_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, u$ ) must be expressed as

$$c_l = \sum_{k=0}^d c_l^{(k)} + \alpha_{l-1} - \alpha_l p \tag{2.23}$$

for some non-negative integers  $\alpha_l$  ( $l=-1, 0, \dots, u$ ) where  $\alpha_{-1} = \alpha_u = 0$ . Taking summation of (2.23) over  $l$ , we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^u c_l = \sum_{l=0}^u \sum_{k=0}^d c_l^{(k)} - (p-1) \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} \alpha_l. \tag{2.24}$$

The equations (2.22') and (2.24) show that  $(p-1) \sum_{l=0}^{u-1} \alpha_l = 0$ . This implies that all integers  $\alpha_l$  must be zero since they are non-negative integers and  $p \geq 2$ . Thus we have  $c_l = \sum_{k=0}^d c_l^{(k)}$  for each  $l=0, 1, \dots, u$ .

The converse is obvious.

(Proof of Theorem 2.1) Let the  $p$ -adic representation of  $m_k(q-1)$  be

$$m_k(q-1) = \sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij}^{(k)} p^{in+j} \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, d), \tag{2.25}$$

then from lemma 2.2, we have

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^d c_{ij}^{(k)} \tag{2.26}$$

for all  $i=0, 1, \dots, t$  and  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $m_k$  is a positive integer such that  $1 \leq m_k \leq v$ , it follows from lemma 2.1 that for each  $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ , there exists a unique set of  $n+1$  positive integers  $s_l^{(k)}$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$s_n^{(k)} = s_0^{(k)}, 1 \leq s_j^{(k)} \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij}^{(k)} = s_{j+1}^{(k)} p - s_j^{(k)} \tag{2.27}$$

for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . From (2.26) and (2.27), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^d s_{j+1}^{(k)} \right) p - \left( \sum_{k=0}^d s_j^{(k)} \right). \tag{2.28}$$

Let  $s_l = \sum_{k=0}^d s_l^{(k)}$  for each  $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ , then it holds that

$$s_n = s_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1} p - s_j \tag{2.29}$$

for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since the set of integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) for  $m$  is unique and all  $s_l^{(k)}$ 's are positive, it follows that  $d+1 \leq s_j \leq t+1$  for each  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . This completes the proof.

For the proof of Theorem 2.2, we shall prove the following three lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. For any set of  $n + 1$  positive integers  $s_l$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) which satisfies the conditions:

$$s_n = s_0, \quad 1 \leq s_j \leq t + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t + 1)(p - 1) \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , there exists a set of non-negative integers  $c_{ij}$ ,  $0 \leq c_{ij} \leq p - 1$ , ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, t, j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) satisfying

$$\sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = s_{j+1}p - s_j \quad (2.31)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , and  $\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j}$  is a multiple of  $p^n - 1$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j} = m(p^n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq m \leq v. \quad (2.32)$$

PROOF. The existence of non-negative integers  $c_{ij}$  less than  $p$  is obvious since  $0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t + 1)(p - 1)$ .

From (2.31), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} p^j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (s_{j+1}p - s_j) p^j = s_n p^n - s_0 = s_0(p^n - 1). \quad (2.33)$$

Thus, we get the required result from (2.15) and (2.33).

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $s_l$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) be  $n + 1$  positive integers which satisfies the conditions:

$$s_n = s_0, \quad d + 1 \leq s_j \leq t + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq (t + 1)(p - 1) \quad (2.34)$$

for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , then there exist  $d + 1$  sets of  $n + 1$  positive integers  $s_l^{(k)}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, d, l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^d s_l^{(k)} = s_l \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (2.35)$$

$$s_n^{(k)} = s_0^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq s_j^{(k)} \leq t + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}^{(k)}p - s_j^{(k)} \leq (t + 1)(p - 1) \quad (2.36)$$

for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$ .

PROOF. The case  $d = 0$  is trivial. We, therefore, assume that  $1 \leq d \leq t$  and give a step by step method of constructing a series of positive integers  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}, s_{j_0}^{(k)}, \dots, s_0^{(k)} = s_n^{(k)}, s_{n-1}^{(k)}, \dots, s_{j_0+2}^{(k)}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$ ) having required properties by starting with the decomposition of  $s_{j_0+1}$  into  $d + 1$  positive integers  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$ , where  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  is one of the least integers among  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ .

(i) Construction of  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$ )

Since  $s_{j_0+1} \geq d + 1$ , we can define  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, d$ ) satisfying the follow-

ing conditions:

$$1 \leq s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^d s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} = s_{j_0+1}. \tag{2.37}$$

(ii) Construction of  $s_{j_0}^{(k)}$  by using  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ )

Since  $s_{j_0} \geq s_{j_0+1}$ , there exist a positive integer  $Q_{j_0}$  and a non-negative integer  $R_{j_0}$  less than  $s_{j_0+1}$  such that

$$s_{j_0} = Q_{j_0}s_{j_0+1} + R_{j_0} \tag{2.38}$$

Thus if we define  $s_{j_0}^{(k)}$  by the sum of  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}Q_{j_0}$  and a non-negative integer  $\alpha_k s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  not greater than  $s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$ , i.e.,

$$s_{j_0}^{(k)} = s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}Q_{j_0} + \alpha_k s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} \quad (0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1) \tag{2.39}$$

such that  $\sum_{k=0}^d \alpha_k s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} = R_{j_0}$ , then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^d s_{j_0}^{(k)} = s_{j_0} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} \leq s_{j_0}^{(k)} \leq t+1. \tag{2.40}$$

Since  $s_{j_0+1}p - s_{j_0} \geq 0$ , we have  $Q_{j_0} \leq p$ . Whenever  $s_{j_0}$  is not a multiple of  $s_{j_0+1}$ , the equality does not hold, i.e.,  $Q_{j_0} < p$ . When  $s_{j_0}$  is a multiple of  $s_{j_0+1}$ , the equality may hold but we have  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_d = 0$ . Anyway, we have

$$s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}p - s_{j_0}^{(k)} = s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}(p - Q_{j_0} - \alpha_k) \geq 0. \tag{2.41}$$

Combining the results with  $s_{j_0+1}p - s_{j_0} \leq (t+1)(p-1)$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^d s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} = s_{j_0+1}$  and (2.40), we have

$$s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}p - s_{j_0}^{(k)} \leq (t+1)(p-1). \tag{2.41'}$$

(iii) Construction of  $s_l^{(k)}$  by using  $s_{l+1}^{(k)}$  (general case)

In general, two cases can occur, i.e., (a)  $s_l < s_{l+1}$  and (b)  $s_l \geq s_{l+1}$ .

(a) The case  $s_l < s_{l+1}$

In this case, we can easily decompose  $s_l$  into  $d+1$  positive integers  $s_l^{(k)}$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^d s_l^{(k)} = s_l, \quad 1 \leq s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} \leq s_l^{(k)} \leq s_{l+1}^{(k)} \leq t+1 \tag{2.42}$$

and we can easily show that

$$0 \leq s_{l+1}^{(k)}p - s_l^{(k)} \leq (t+1)(p-1). \tag{2.43}$$

(b) The case  $s_l \geq s_{l+1}$

In this case, we can apply the same method described in (ii), for the construction of  $s_l^{(k)}$  having required properties by using  $s_{l+1}^{(k)}$ .

Using these methods described in (i), (ii) and (iii), we can construct integers  $s_l^{(k)}$  step by step until  $s_{j_0+2}^{(k)}$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) have been constructed. Now, we have to verify that the inequalities

$$0 \leq s_{j_0+2}^{(k)}p - s_{j_0+1}^{(k)} \leq (t+1)(p-1) \tag{2.44}$$

hold for all  $k$ . Since the construction process shows that  $s_l^{(k)} \geq s_{j_0+1}^{(k)}$  holds for each  $l=0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ , we can see that the inequalities (2.44) hold. This completes the proof.

The following lemma seems to be not so trivial. But we can construct a set of non-negative integers satisfying the required conditions by an elementary method.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $u_\alpha$  ( $\alpha=0, 1, \dots, t$ ) and  $w_\beta$  ( $\beta=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) be non-negative integers such that  $\sum_{\alpha=0}^t u_\alpha = \sum_{\beta=0}^d w_\beta$ ,*

$$0 \leq u_\alpha \leq p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq w_\beta \leq (t+1)(p-1), \tag{2.45}$$

*then there exists a set  $\{x_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha=0, 1, \dots, t, \beta=0, 1, \dots, d\}$  of non-negative integers less than  $p$  which satisfies the conditions:*

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^d x_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha \quad (\text{for } \alpha=0, 1, \dots, t) \tag{2.46}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^t x_{\alpha\beta} = w_\beta \quad (\text{for } \beta=0, 1, \dots, d). \tag{2.46'}$$

Using the above three lemmas, we now prove Theorem 2.2.

(Proof of Theorem 2.2) Lemma 2.3 shows that (i) holds.

Lemma 2.4 shows that each  $s_l$  ( $0 \leq l \leq n$ ) can be decomposed into  $d+1$  positive integers  $s_l^{(k)}$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) such that  $\sum_{k=0}^d s_l^{(k)} = s_l$  and that

$$s_n^{(k)} = s_0^{(k)}, 1 \leq s_j^{(k)} \leq t+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}^{(k)}p - s_j^{(k)} \leq (t+1)(p-1) \tag{2.47}$$

for all  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ .

Since for each  $j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ ),  $c_{\alpha j}$  ( $\alpha=0, 1, \dots, t$ ) and  $(s_{j+1}^{(\beta)}p - s_j^{(\beta)})$  ( $\beta=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.5, there exists a set  $\{c_{\alpha j}^{(\beta)} : \alpha=0, 1, \dots, t, \beta=0, 1, \dots, d\}$  of non-negative integers less than  $p$  which satisfy the conditions:

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^t c_{\alpha j}^{(\beta)} = s_{j+1}^{(\beta)}p - s_j^{(\beta)} \quad (\text{for } \beta=0, 1, \dots, d) \tag{2.48}$$

and

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^d c_{\alpha j}^{(\beta)} = c_{\alpha j} \quad (\text{for } \alpha=0, 1, \dots, t). \tag{2.48'}$$

For each  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq d$ ), since  $c_{ij}^{(k)}$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots, t, j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) satisfy the conditions of lemma 2.3, there exists a positive integer  $m_k, 1 \leq m_k \leq v$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij}^{(k)} p^{in+j} = m_k (p^n - 1). \tag{2.49}$$

From (2.49), (2.48') and the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j} = m(p^n - 1), \tag{2.50}$$

we have

$$m = \sum_{k=0}^d m_k \quad \text{and} \quad D_p[m(p^n - 1)] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[m_k(p^n - 1)]. \tag{2.51}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.1 shows that for each  $m$  satisfying the requirement (2.9), there exists a unique set of  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying (2.10). On the other hand, Theorem 2.2 shows that for each set of  $s_l$  satisfying (2.10), there exist a number of integers  $m$  satisfying the requirement (2.9).

In order to enumerate the number of  $m$  for each set of  $s_l$ , we introduce the following notation. For a set of non-negative integers  $u_j$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ), we denote by  $N_t(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$  the number of ordered sets or vectors  $\underline{c}(t, n-1) = (c_{00}, c_{10}, \dots, c_{t0}; \dots; c_{0n-1}, c_{1n-1}, \dots, c_{tn-1})$  of non-negative integers less than  $p$  which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^t c_{ij} = u_j \tag{2.52}$$

for all  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . It can easily be seen that, for  $0 \leq u_j \leq (t+1)(p-1)$ , there exists at least one set  $\underline{c}(t, n-1)$  and, otherwise, there does not exist such an ordered set.

Using the notation, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The number of integers  $m$  such that (i)  $1 \leq m \leq v$  and (ii)  $m$  can be decomposed into  $d+1$  positive integers  $m_k$  ( $k=0, 1, \dots, d$ ) satisfying the following conditions:*

$$m = \sum_{k=0}^d m_k \quad \text{and} \quad D_p[m(q-1)] = \sum_{k=0}^d D_p[m_k(q-1)] \tag{2.53}$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{s_0=d+1}^{t+1} \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}=d+1}^{t+1} N_t(s_1 p - s_0, \dots, s_n p - s_{n-1}) \tag{2.54}$$

where  $s_n = s_0$ .

The following well known lemma is useful in the determination of  $N_i(u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $u$  be a non-negative integer such that  $0 \leq u \leq (t+1)(p-1)$ . Then the number,  $B_u(t, p)$ , of ordered sets  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t)$  of  $t+1$  non-negative integers  $x_i$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots, t$ ) such that  $0 \leq x_i \leq p-1$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^t x_i = u$ , is equal to*

$$B_u(t, p) = \sum_{i=0}^{L(u)} (-1)^i \binom{t+1}{i} \binom{t+u-i p}{i} \tag{2.55}$$

where  $L(u)$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $u/p$ , i.e.  $L(u) = \left\lfloor \frac{u}{p} \right\rfloor$ .

(Proof of Theorem 1) We can easily see that

$$N_i(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} B_{u_j}(t, p). \tag{2.56}$$

Applying (2.56) and lemma 2.6 to Theorem 2.3, we get Theorem 1.

When  $d \leq \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right\rfloor$ , the following identity may be useful, i.e.,

$$R_d(t, p^n) = v - R_d^*(t, p^n) \tag{2.57}$$

where

$$R_d^*(t, p^n) = \sum_{s_0^*} \dots \sum_{s_{n-1}^*} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{L(s_{j+1}^*, s_j^*)} (-1)^i \binom{t+1}{i} \binom{t+s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* - i p}{i}, \tag{2.58}$$

$s_n^* = s_0^*$  and summations are taken over all integers  $s_j^*$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) such that

$$1 \leq s_j^* \leq d \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* \leq (t+1)(p-1). \tag{2.59}$$

COROLLARY 2.1. *In the special case  $q=p$ , i.e.,  $n=1$ , the rank of the incidence matrix  $N$  of  $v$  points and  $b$   $d$ -flats in  $\text{PG}(t, p)$  is equal to*

$$R_d(t, p) = \sum_{s=d+1}^{t+1} \sum_{i=0}^{L(s, s)} (-1)^i \binom{t+1}{i} \binom{t+s(p-1)-i p}{i} \tag{2.60}$$

$$= v - \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{i=0}^{L(s, s)} (-1)^i \binom{t+1}{i} \binom{t+s(p-1)-i p}{i} \tag{2.60'}$$

where  $L(s, s) = \left\lfloor \frac{s(p-1)}{p} \right\rfloor$ .

This result has been obtained by Smith [5].

COROLLARY 2.2. *In the special case  $d=t-1$ , the rank of the incidence*

matrix  $N$  of  $v$  points and  $v$  hyperplanes ( $(t-1)$ -flats) in  $PG(t, q)$  is equal to

$$R_{t-1}(t, p^n) = (t + p - 1)^n + 1. \tag{2.61}$$

In the case  $t=2$ , this result has been obtained by Graham and MacWilliams [2] and, for general  $t$ , was conjectured by Rudolph [4] to be true and has been independently obtained by Smith [5] and by Goethals and Delsarte [1].

### 3. Rank of the incidence matrix of points and $d$ -flats in $EG(t, p^n)$

We consider the affine case.

The affine geometry of  $t$ -dimensions, denoted by  $EG(t, q)$ , is a set of points which satisfy the following two conditions:

(a) A point is represented by  $(\nu)$  where  $\nu$  is an element of  $GF(q^t)$  and each element represents a unique point.

(b) A  $d$ -flat is defined as a set of points

$$\{(a_0\nu_0 + a_1\nu_1 + \dots + a_d\nu_d)\} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $(\nu_0), (\nu_1), \dots, (\nu_d)$  are linearly independent over the coefficient field  $GF(q)$  and  $a$ 's run over the elements of  $GF(q)$  subject to the restriction  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = 1$ .

Because of difficulties arising in constructing an analytical expression for the incidence relation between the origin and  $d$ -flats in  $EG(t, q)$ , we shall analyze separately the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats passing through the origin and the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats not passing through the origin.

(I) The case of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats passing through the origin

We define the incidence matrix of  $q^t$  points and  $b_0 = \phi(t-1, d-1, q)$   $d$ -flats passing through the origin to be the matrix

$$N_0 = \|n_{ij}\| ; i=1, 2, \dots, b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad j=0, 1, 2, \dots, q^t-1. \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } j\text{-th point is incident with the } i\text{-th } d\text{-flat,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and define the incidence matrix of  $v^* = q^t - 1$  points other than the origin and  $b_0$   $d$ -flats passing through the origin to be the matrix

$$N_0^* = \|n_{ij}^*\| ; i=1, 2, \dots, b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad j=1, 2, \dots, q^t-1. \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $n_{i0} = 1$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{q^t-1} n_{ij} = q^d - 1$  for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, b_0$ , the rank of  $N_0$  is

equal to the rank of  $N_0^*$ . It is known [6] that the structure of the matrix  $N_0^*$  is the same as the incidence matrix  $N$  of points and  $(d-1)$ -flats in  $\text{PG}(t-1, q)$  except for  $(q-1)$  times) duplications of each column of  $N_0^*$ . The rank of the matrix  $N_0^*$ , therefore, is equal to the rank of the incidence matrix  $N$ .

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , the rank of the incidence matrices  $N_0$  and  $N_0^*$  of points and  $d$ -flats passing through the origin in  $\text{EG}(t, q)$  is equal to  $R_{d-1}(t-1, p^n)$  where  $R_d(t, p^n)$  is given by equation (1.1).*

(II) The case of the incidence matrix of points and  $d$ -flats not passing through the origin

We define the incidence matrix of  $v^* = q^t - 1$  points other than the origin and  $b_1$   $d$ -flats not passing through the origin in  $\text{EG}(t, q)$  to be the matrix  $N_1$  where  $b_1$  is the number of  $d$ -flats not passing through the origin, i.e.,

$$b_1 = \phi(t, d, q) - \phi(t-1, d, q) - \phi(t-1, d-1, q). \tag{3.4}$$

By the similar methods used in  $\text{PG}(t, q)$ , Smith [5] showed the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2 (Smith).** *Over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , the rank,  $r_d(t, p^n)$ , of the incidence matrix  $N_1$  is equal to the number of integers  $m$  such that (i)  $1 \leq m \leq v^* - 1$  and (ii) there exists a set of one non-negative integer  $m_0$  and  $d$  positive integers  $m_k(q-1)$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, d$ ) which satisfies the following conditions:*

$$m = m_0 + \sum_{k=1}^d m_k(q-1) \quad \text{and} \quad D_p[m] = D_p[m_0] + \sum_{k=1}^d D_p[m_k(q-1)] \tag{3.5}$$

where  $0 \leq m_0 \leq m$  and  $0 < m_k(q-1) < m$  for any  $k=1, 2, \dots, d$ .

Since in the special case  $m = v^*$  ( $v^* = q^t - 1$ ),  $m$  satisfies the condition (3.5), the rank of the incidence matrix  $N_1$  is equal to

$$r_d(t, p^n) = r_d^*(t, p^n) - 1 \tag{3.6}$$

where  $r_d^*(t, p^n)$  is the number of integers  $m$  such that (i)'  $1 \leq m \leq v^*$  and (ii) there exists a set of one non-negative integer  $m_0$  and  $d$  positive integers  $m_k(q-1)$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, d$ ) satisfying the condition (3.5).

From Proposition 2, lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for an integer  $m$  such that  $1 \leq m \leq v^*$  to be decomposed into one non-negative integer  $m_0$  and  $d$  positive integers  $m_k(q-1)$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, d$ ) satisfying the condition (3.5) is that there exist  $n+1$  positive integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(i) \quad s_n = s_0, \quad d \leq s_j \leq t, \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}p - s_j \leq t(p-1) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} \geq s_{j+1}p - s_j \tag{3.7'}$$

for all  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$  where  $c_{ij}$ 's ( $0 \leq c_{ij} < p$ ) are coefficients of  $p^{in+j}$  of the  $p$ -adic representation for  $m$ , i.e.,

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} p^{in+j}. \tag{3.8}$$

We prove the following lemmas, which will be used in the proof of theorem 2.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $u_j$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) be a set of non-negative integers such that  $0 \leq u_j \leq (t-1)(p-1)$ . Then the number of ordered sets or vectors  $\underline{c}(t-1, n-1) = (c_{00}, c_{10}, \dots, c_{t-10}; \dots; c_{0n-1}, c_{1n-1}, \dots, c_{t-1n-1})$  of  $tn$  non-negative integers  $c_{ij}$  less than  $p$  such that*

$$u_j \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} \leq u_j + (p-1) \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, n-1) \tag{3.9}$$

and that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} < u_j + (p-1) \tag{3.9'}$$

for some  $j$ , is equal to

$$N_t(u_0 + (p-1), \dots, u_{n-1} + (p-1)) - N_{t-1}(u_0 + (p-1), \dots, u_{n-1} + (p-1)).$$

PROOF. For any set  $\{c_{\alpha j}: \alpha=0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$  of  $t$  non-negative integers  $c_{\alpha j}$  less than  $p$  such that  $u_j \leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} c_{\alpha j} \leq u_j + (p-1)$ , there exists a non-negative integer  $c_{tj}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ ) less than  $p$  such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{t-1} c_{\alpha j} + c_{tj} = u_j + (p-1). \tag{3.10}$$

The number of ordered sets  $\underline{c}(t-1, n-1)$  of  $tn$  non-negative integers  $c_{ij}$  less than  $p$  satisfying the conditions (3.9) is, therefore, equal to the number of ordered sets  $\underline{c}(t, n-1)$  of  $(t+1)n$  non-negative integers less than  $p$  satisfying the equations (3.10). Thus we have lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any set  $\{c_{ij}: i=0, 1, \dots, t-1, j=0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  of non-negative integers less than  $p$  such that there exists a set of integers  $s_l$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying the condition (3.7) and (3.7'), there exists a unique set of integers  $s_l^*$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying the following condition:*

$$s_n^* = s_0^*, \quad d \leq s_j^* \leq t, \quad 0 \leq s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* \leq t(p-1) \tag{3.11}$$

for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and that

$$s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* \leq s_{j+1}^* p - s_j^* \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} \leq (s_{j+1}^* + 1)p - (s_j^* + 1) \tag{3.11'}$$

for all  $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} < (s_{j+1}^* + 1)p - (s_j^* + 1) \tag{3.11''}$$

for some  $j$ .

PROOF. From  $s_n^* = s_0^*$  and inequalities (3.11') and (3.11''), we have

$$s_n^* = s_0^* = \left[ \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ij} p^j}{p^n - 1} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad s_{k+1}^* = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} c_{ik} + s_k^*}{p} \right] \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n-2)$$

and we can show that these  $s_l^*$  ( $l=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfy the condition (3.11).

(Proof of Theorem 2). From Theorem 3.2, lemma 3.1 and lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_d^*(t, p^n) &= \sum_{s_0=d+1}^t \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}=d+1}^t N_t(s_1 p - s_0, \dots, s_n p - s_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s_0=d+1}^{t-1} \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}=d+1}^{t-1} N_{t-1}(s_1 p - s_0, \dots, s_n p - s_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{s_0=d+1}^{t+1} \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}=d+1}^{t+1} N_t(s_1 p - s_0, \dots, s_n p - s_{n-1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s_0=d+1}^t \cdots \sum_{s_{n-1}=d+1}^t N_{t-1}(s_1 p - s_0, \dots, s_n p - s_{n-1}) \\ &= R_d(t, p^n) - R_d(t-1, p^n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.12) with (3.6), we have Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3.1. In the special case  $d=t-1$ , the rank of the incidence matrix  $N_1$  is equal to  $({}^{t+p-1}n) - 1$ .

This result has been independently obtained by Smith [5] and by Goethals and Delsarte [1].

**Acknowledgement.** The author expresses his thanks to Prof. S. Yamamoto, Hiroshima University for his valuable advices and helpful discussions.

### References

- [1] Goethals, J. M. and Delsarte, P. (1967). On a class of majority logic decodable cyclic codes. presented at *the San Remo International Symposium on Information Theory, September, 1967*.
- [2] Graham, R. L. and MacWilliams, J. (1966). On the number of information symbols in difference-set cyclic codes. *Bell System Technical Journal* **45** 1057-1070.
- [3] Massey, J. C. (1963). *Threshold decoding*. The M. I. T. Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- [4] Rudolph, L. D. (1967). A class of majority logic decodable codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **IT-13** 305-307.
- [5] Smith, K. J. C. (1967). Majority decodable codes derived from finite geometries. *Inst. Statist. mimeo. series* **561**, Chapel Hill, N. C.
- [6] Yamamoto, S., Fukuda, T. and Hamada, N. (1966). On finite geometries and cyclically generated incomplete block designs. *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I* **30** 137-149.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science  
Hiroshima University*