

Non-Immersion Theorems for Lens Spaces. II

Dedicated to Professor Atuo Komatu on his 60th birthday

Teiichi KOBAYASHI

(Received September 19, 1968)

§1. Introduction

Throughout this note we assume that p is an odd prime. Let Z_p be the cyclic group of order p with generator γ . Let S^{2n+1} be the unit sphere in complex $(n+1)$ -space. Define an action of Z_p on S^{2n+1} by the formula:

$$\gamma(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda z_0, \lambda z_1, \dots, \lambda z_n), \quad \text{where } \lambda = e^{2\pi i/p},$$

for $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in S^{2n+1}$. The orbit space S^{2n+1}/Z_p is the lens space mod p and is written by $L^n(p)$. It is a compact, connected, orientable C^∞ -manifold of dimension $2n+1$ and has the structure of a CW -complex with one cell in each dimension $0, 1, \dots, 2n+1$. Let $L_0^n(p)$ be the $2n$ -skeleton of $L^n(p)$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove some results on the stable homotopy type of the stunted space $L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)$ ($n > m$) and on the non-immersibility of the lens space $L^n(p)$ in the Euclidean space.

After some preparations in §2, we determine the structure of the reduced Grothendieck ring $\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$ of complex vector bundles in §3. Using the Adams operation we shall prove the following result in §4.

THEOREM A. *Let $n > m$. If $L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)$ is stably homotopy equivalent to $L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p)$, then $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$.*

We notice that the following result is known by Theorem 3 of [4]: $L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)$ is stably homotopy equivalent to $L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p)$, if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lceil (n-m)/(p-1) \rceil}}$.

Together with Theorem 3 of [5], Theorem A can be used to give a condition for the immersibility of $L^n(p)$ in the Euclidean space $R^{2n+2m+1}$.

THEOREM B. *Let n and m be integers with $n > m > 0$. Assume that $n+m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$. If there is an immersion of $L^n(p)$ in $R^{2n+2m+1}$, then the Euler class of its normal bundle is zero.*

This will be proved in §5. From Theorem B we have the following result.

THEOREM C. *Let n and m be integers with $n > m > 0$. Assume that the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\binom{n+m}{m} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- (ii) $n+m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$.

Then $L^n(p)$ is not immersible in $R^{2n+2m+1}$.

This is a generalization of Theorems 4, 4', 5, 5' of [5]. By [8], $L^n(p)$ is immersible in $R^{2n+2\lceil n/2 \rceil+2}$. Theorem C shows that this is best possible for some n . In fact we have the following two results which follow directly from the above fact and Theorem C.

COROLLARY D. Let $n=2m$ and assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\binom{3m}{m} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- (ii) $m \geq p$; $3m \not\equiv 4p-1$; $3m \not\equiv 5p-1$; $m \not\equiv 16$ if $p=7$.

Then $L^n(p)$ is immersible in R^{3n+2} and not in R^{3n+1} .

COROLLARY E. Let $n=2m+1$ and assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\binom{3m+1}{m} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
- (ii) $m \geq p-1$; $3m \not\equiv 4p-2$; $3m \not\equiv 5p-2$.

Then $L^n(p)$ is immersible in R^{3n+1} and not in R^{3n} .

Corollary D (resp. E) is an improvement of Theorems 7 and 7' (resp. 8 and 8') of [6].

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor M. Sugawara for his available suggestions and discussions.

§2. Preliminaries

Let CP^n be the complex projective space of complex dimension n . Let $\xi \in K(CP^n)$ be (the equivalence class of) the canonical line bundle over CP^n and $1 \in K(CP^n)$ be (the equivalence class of) the complex 1-dimensional trivial bundle over CP^n . Put $\mu = \xi - 1 \in \tilde{K}(CP^n)$. Let $\pi: L^n(p) \rightarrow CP^n$ be the map defined by $\pi q(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ for $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in S^{2n+1}$, where $q: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow L^n(p)$ is the natural projection. Let $\pi_0: L_0^n(p) \rightarrow CP^n$ be the restriction of π to the $2n$ -skeleton $L_0^n(p)$. Then $\pi_0^*: \tilde{K}(CP^n) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p))$ is an epimor-

phism [3, (2.7)]. Define

$$\sigma = \pi_0^* \mu \in \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)).$$

The following result is proved in [3, Theorem 1].

(2.1) *Let $n = s(p-1) + r$ ($0 \leq r < p-1$). Then*

$$\tilde{K}(L^n(p)) \cong \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)) \cong (Z_{p^{s+1}})^r + (Z_{p^s})^{p-r-1}$$

and σ, \dots, σ^r generate additively the first r factors and $\sigma^{r+1}, \dots, \sigma^{p-1}$ the last $p-r-1$ factors. Moreover, its ring structure is given by

$$\sigma^p = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} \sigma^i, \quad \sigma^{n+1} = 0.$$

In the above statement, $(Z_m)^t$ denotes the direct sum of t -copies of the cyclic group Z_m of order m .

Suppose that $n > m$. Let $i: L_0^n(p) \rightarrow L_0^m(p)$ be the inclusion and $j: L_0^n(p) \rightarrow L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)$ be the projection.

(2.2) *We have the exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \xrightarrow{j^*} \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)) \xrightarrow{i^*} \tilde{K}(L_0^m(p)) \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Let μ' and σ' be the generators of $\tilde{K}(CP^m)$ and $\tilde{K}(L_0^m(p))$ respectively. As is well-known, $k^* \mu = \mu'$, where k^* is induced by the inclusion $k: CP^m \rightarrow CP^n$. So we have $i^* \sigma = \sigma'$. Thus i^* is an epimorphism. Since $\tilde{K}^{-1}(L_0^m(p)) = 0$ [3, (2.4)], the result follows from the Puppe exact sequence.

q.e.d.

Let $\#A$ denote the number of the elements of a finite set A .

$$(2.3) \quad \# \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) = p^{n-m}.$$

PROOF. By (2.1), $\# \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)) = p^n$ and $\# \tilde{K}(L_0^m(p)) = p^m$. Thus we have the desired result from the exact sequence in (2.2).

q.e.d.

§3. The structure of $\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$

If $n > m$, $\sigma^{m+1}, \dots, \sigma^n$ belong to the kernel of i^* (= the image of j^* , by (2.2)), because $\sigma'^{m+1} = 0$ and $i^* \sigma = \sigma'$. We define, for $t > m$,

$$\sigma^{(t)} = j^{*-1} \sigma^t \in \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)).$$

We are ready to determine the structure of $\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$.

THEOREM (3.1) *Let p be an odd prime, and assume that $n > m$. Then*

$$\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} G_i \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

where each G_i is the cyclic group of order $p^{1+\lceil (n-m-i)/(p-1) \rceil}$ generated by $\sigma^{(m+i)}$ ($1 \leq i < p$).

PROOF. First, we show that $\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$ is generated by $\sigma^{(m+1)}, \dots, \sigma^{(m+p-1)}$. Let $n = s(p-1) + r$ and $m = s'(p-1) + r'$ ($0 \leq r, r' < p-1$). Since $i^*\sigma = \sigma'$, the kernel of i^* in (2.2) is additively generated by $p^{s'+1}\sigma, \dots, p^{s'+1}\sigma^{r'}, p^{s'}\sigma^{r'+1}, \dots, p^{s'}\sigma^{p-1}$. On the other hand, the first relation in (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} p\sigma &= -\frac{p}{2} \binom{p-1}{1} \sigma^2 - \dots - \frac{p}{r} \binom{p-1}{r-1} \sigma^r - \dots - p\sigma^{p-1} - \sigma^p \\ &= pa_1\sigma^2 + \dots + pa_{p-2}\sigma^{p-1} + a_{p-1}\sigma^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i, p) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Repeated application of this equality shows that $p\sigma$ is expressed as a linear combination $\sigma^p, \sigma^{p+1}, \dots, \sigma^{2p-2}$. By induction, $p^t\sigma^i$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\sigma^{t(p-1)+i}, \sigma^{t(p-1)+i+1}, \dots, \sigma^{t(p-1)+i+p-2}$. Since the minimum of the set of integers $\{(s'+1)(p-1)+1, \dots, (s'+1)(p-1)+r', s'(p-1)+r'+1, \dots, s'(p-1)+p-1\}$ is $s'(p-1)+r'+1 = m+1$, the kernel of i^* is generated by $\sigma^{m+1}, \dots, \sigma^{m+p-1}$. Therefore, by (2.2), $\tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$ is generated by $\sigma^{(m+1)}, \dots, \sigma^{(m+p-1)}$.

Since σ^i is of order $p^{1+\lceil (n-i)/(p-1) \rceil}$ [3, (2.10)], $\sigma^{(i)}$ is also of order $p^{1+\lceil (n-i)/(p-1) \rceil}$ by (2.2). We see easily that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} p^{1+\lceil (n-m-i)/(p-1) \rceil} = p^{n-m}.$$

Combining this with (2.3), we have the desired result. q.e.d.

REMARK. In the similar way to the proof of (3.1) we can determine the structure of $\tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$ by making use of [3, Theorem 2]. Let $r: \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{KO}(X)$ be a group-homomorphism induced by the standard injection $r_n: GL(n, C) \rightarrow GL(2n, R)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma} &= r\sigma \in \tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)), \\ \bar{\sigma}^{(t)} &= j^{*-1}\bar{\sigma}^t \in \tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)), \quad \text{for } t > \lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

where $j^*: \tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \rightarrow \tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p))$ is induced by the projection. Then we have the following result.

(3.2) Let p be an odd prime, $q = (p-1)/2$, and assume that $n > m$. Then

$$\tilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \cong \sum_{i=1}^q G_i \quad (\text{direct sum}),$$

where each G_i is the cyclic group of order $p^{1+\lceil (n-2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 2i)/(p-1) \rceil}$ generated by

$$\bar{\sigma}^{(\lceil m/2 \rceil + i)} (1 \leq i \leq q).$$

§4. Proof of Theorem A

Let p be an odd prime, and m be a positive integer. Let $v(m)$ denote the maximum power of p which divides m , that is, $m = up^{v(m)}$ for some integer u such that $(u, p) = 1$.

(4.1) *Let t be a positive integer. Then*

$$v((p \pm 1)^t - (\pm 1)^t) = v(t) + 1.$$

PROOF. Let f be a positive integer. If x and y are integers such that $x - y \equiv p^f \pmod{p^{f+1}}$, then obviously

$$(1) \quad x^p - y^p \equiv y^{p-1}p^{f+1} \pmod{p^{f+2}},$$

$$(2) \quad x^n - y^n \equiv n y^{n-1}p^f \pmod{p^{f+1}}, \text{ for any integer } n > 0.$$

Since $(p \pm 1) - (\pm 1) = p$, repeated application of (1) shows that

$$(p \pm 1)^{p^f} - (\pm 1)^{p^f} \equiv p^{f+1} \pmod{p^{f+2}}.$$

Then, by (2), for any integer $u > 0$ we have

$$(p \pm 1)^{u p^f} - (\pm 1)^{u p^f} \equiv (-1)^{u-1} u p^{f+1} \pmod{p^{f+2}}.$$

The result follows if we suppose that $(u, p) = 1$.

q.e.d.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Suppose that there is a homotopy equivalence $g: S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \rightarrow S^r(L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p))$ for some integers r and t . g induces an isomorphism of \tilde{K} -groups. We may assume that r is even.

Let $\Psi^k: \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p))$ be the Adams operation. Since $1 + \sigma (= 1 + \pi_0^* \mu = \pi_0^* \xi)$ is a complex line bundle over $L_0^n(p)$, we have $\Psi^k(1 + \sigma) = (1 + \sigma)^k$ [1, Theorem 5.1]. Therefore $\Psi^k \sigma = (1 + \sigma)^k - 1$. The relation $(1 + \sigma)^p = 1$ [3, (2.8)] shows that Ψ^{p+1} is the identity. By (2.2) we see that $\Psi^{p+1}: \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))$ is also the identity.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) & \xrightarrow{I^{(2t+r)/2}} & \tilde{K}(S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))) \\ \Psi^{p+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi^{p+1} \\ \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) & \xrightarrow{I^{(2t+r)/2}} & \tilde{K}(S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))) \end{array}$$

where I denotes the isomorphism defined by the Bott periodicity [2, Theorem 1]. By [1, Corollary 5.3], we have

$$\Psi^{p+1} I^{(2t+r)/2} = (p+1)^{(2t+r)/2} I^{(2t+r)/2} \Psi^{p+1} = (p+1)^{(2t+r)/2} I^{(2t+r)/2},$$

and so the right-hand operation Ψ^{p+1} in the diagram is given by $\Psi^{p+1} = (p+1)^{(2t+r)/2}$. Similarly, the operation

$$\Psi^{p+1}: \tilde{K}(S^r(L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p))) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(S^r(L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p)))$$

is given by $\Psi^{p+1} = (p+1)^{r/2}$.

Now, from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}(S^r(L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p))) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}^*} & \tilde{K}(S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))) \\ \Psi^{p+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi^{p+1} \\ \tilde{K}(S^r(L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t}(p))) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}^*} & \tilde{K}(S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))) \end{array}$$

we have $(p+1)^{(2t+r)/2} \mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}^*(p+1)^{r/2} = (p+1)^{r/2} \mathcal{G}^*$. The Bott periodicity and Theorem (3.1) imply that

$$\tilde{K}(S^{2t+r}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p))) \cong \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^m(p)) \cong Z_{p^{1+\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}} + \cdots$$

Therefore $(p+1)^{(2t+r)/2} \equiv (p+1)^{r/2} \pmod{p^{1+\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$, and so $(p+1)^t - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{1+\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$. Thus (4.1) shows that $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\lceil (n-m-1)/(p-1) \rceil}}$. **q.e.d.**

REMARK. The Adams operation $\Psi_R^k: \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p))$ is determined by the equation:

$$c\Psi_R^k(\bar{\sigma}) = (1+\sigma)^k + (1+\sigma)^{-k} - 2$$

where $c: \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(L_0^n(p))$ is the complexification. Using this, we can prove the following.

(4.2) *Let p be an odd prime, $q = (p-1)/2$, and k be any integer. The Adams operation $\Psi_R^k: \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p)) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p))$ is given by*

- i) $\Psi_R^{p \pm k} = \Psi_R^k$
- ii) $\Psi_R^k(\bar{\sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{k}{i} \binom{k+i-1}{2i-1} \bar{\sigma}^i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq q,$

where $\bar{\sigma} \in \widetilde{KO}(L_0^n(p))$ is the generator.

§5. Proof of Theorem B

The following result is Theorem 3 of [5].

(5.1) *Let n and m be integers with $n > m$ and let $n = s(p-1) + r$ ($0 \leq r < p-1$). Assume that a is a positive integer such that $2ap^v > 4n + 3$ where $v = s$ or $s+1$ according as $\lceil r/2 \rceil = 0$ or $\lceil r/2 \rceil \geq 1$. Put $t = ap^v - n - m - 1$. If $L^n(p)$ is im-*

mersed in $R^{2n+2m+1}$ with a normal bundle whose Euler class is non-zero, then there is a map

$$g: S^{2t}(L^n(p)/L^{m-1}(p)) \rightarrow L^{n+t}(p)/L^{m+t-1}(p)$$

which induces isomorphisms of all cohomology groups with Z_p coefficients.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Suppose that $L^n(p)$ is immersed in $R^{2n+2m+1}$ with a normal bundle whose Euler class is non-zero. Let a be an integer such that $2ap^v > 4n+3$, and put $t = ap^v - n - m - 1$. Then by (5.1) there exists a map

$$g: S^{2t}(L^n(p)/L^{m-1}(p)) \rightarrow L^{n+t}(p)/L^{m+t-1}(p)$$

which induces isomorphisms of all cohomology groups with Z_p coefficients. We may assume that g is a cellular map. Then clearly g defines a map

$$g_0: S^{2t}(L_0^n(p)/L_0^{m-1}(p)) \rightarrow L_0^{n+t}(p)/L_0^{m+t-1}(p)$$

which induces isomorphisms of all cohomology groups with Z_p coefficients. Since the mod p reduction $Z \rightarrow Z_p$ induces an isomorphism $H^i(L_0^n(p)/L_0^{m-1}(p); Z) \cong H^i(L_0^n(p)/L_0^{m-1}(p); Z_p) (\cong Z_p \text{ for } 2m < i \leq 2n, i \text{ even; } \cong 0 \text{ for other } i > 0)$, and since the spaces are simply connected, g_0 is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, by Theorem A, we have $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{[(n-m-1)/(p-1)]}}$. As we may take a such that $ap^v \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{[(n-m-1)/(p-1)]}}$, we see that $n+m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{[(n-m-1)/(p-1)]}}$. But this is inconsistent with the assumption. Consequently, if there is an immersion of $L^n(p)$ in $R^{2n+2m+1}$, then the Euler class of its normal bundle is zero. q.e.d.

REMARK. If there is an embedding of $L^n(p)$ in $R^{2n+2m+1}$, the Euler class of its normal bundle is zero (cf. [7, Theorem 14]).

§6. Proof of Theorem C

We shall apply the previous results to the problem of finding the least integer k such that $L^n(p)$ can be immersed in R^{2n+1+k} (cf. [5], [6] and [8]). First, we recall the Pontrjagin class of $L^n(p)$ (cf. [9, Corollary 3.2]).

(6.1) *The mod p Pontrjagin class p_i and the mod p dual Pontrjagin class \bar{p}_i are given by the equations:*

$$p = p(L^n(p)) = (1 + x^2)^{n+1}$$

$$\bar{p} = \bar{p}(L^n(p)) = (1 + x^2)^{-n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{[n/2]} (-1)^i \binom{n+i}{i} x^{2i}$$

where x is a generator of $H^2(L^n(p); Z_p) (\cong Z_p)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM C. Suppose that $n+m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{[(n-m-1)/(p-1)]}}$. According to Theorem B, if there is an immersion $L^n(p)$ in $R^{2n+2m+1}$, then the mod p Euler class x of its $2m$ -dimensional normal bundle is zero. Since $x^2 = \bar{p}_m$ (cf. [7, Theorem 31]), $\bar{p}_m = 0$. Thus, by (6.1), we have $\binom{n+m}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This is inconsistent with the assumption (i). Therefore, $L^n(p)$ is not immersible in $R^{2n+2m+1}$. q.e.d.

REMARK. As is well-known, if an m -dimensional manifold M is immersible in R^{m+k} ($k > 0$), then $\bar{p}_i(M) = 0$ except 2-torsions for any $i > [k/2]$. Hence we have the following.

(6.2) *Let n and m be integers with $n > m > 0$. If*

$$\binom{n+m}{m} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

then $L^n(p)$ is not immersible in R^{2n+2m} .

References

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math., **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [2] R. Bott, *Quelques remarques sur les théorèmes de périodicité*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **87** (1959), 293–310.
- [3] T. Kambe, *The structure of K_A -rings of the lens space and their applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **18** (1966), 135–146.
- [4] T. Kambe, H. Matsunaga and H. Toda, *A note on stunted lens space*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **5** (1966), 143–149.
- [5] T. Kobayashi, *Non-immersion theorems for lens spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **6** (1966), 91–108.
- [6] T. Kobayashi, *On the immersion problems for certain manifolds*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I, **31** (1967), 161–171.
- [7] J. Milnor, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, (mimeographed notes), Princeton Univ., 1957.
- [8] D. Sjerve, *Geometric dimension of vector bundles over lens spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [9] H. Szczarba, *On tangent bundles of fibre spaces and quotient spaces*, Amer. J. Math., **86** (1964), 685–697.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science
Hiroshima University*