

A Note on Graded Gorenstein Modules

Michinori SAKAGUCHI

(Received December 19, 1973)

Recently the following conjecture was proposed by M. Nagata in [6]. Let $A = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n$ be a commutative Noetherian graded ring. If A_m is Cohen-Macaulay for every maximal ideal m with $m \supset \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n$, then A is Cohen-Macaulay. This conjecture was solved affirmatively by J. Matijevic and P. Roberts in [5]. The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem which generalizes the assertion in [5].

THEOREM. *Let $A = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ be a commutative Noetherian graded ring and $M = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a non-zero, finite graded A -module. If M_p is a Gorenstein A_p -module (resp. a Cohen-Macaulay A_p -module) for every homogeneous prime ideal $p \in \text{Supp}(M)$, then M is Gorenstein (resp. Cohen-Macaulay).*

1. We denote by $\mu^i(p, M)$ the dimension of the A_p/pA_p -vector space $\text{Ext}_{A_p}^i(A_p/pA_p, M_p)$ (cf. [1]) and by $ht_M p$ the Krull dimension of the local ring $A_p/Ann(M)A_p$ (cf. [7]), where $Ann(M)$ is the annihilator of M and $p \in \text{Supp}(M)$. The following lemma, due to Bass and Sharp, plays an important role in our discussion.

LEMMA 1 (Bass [1, (3.7)] and Sharp [7, (3.11)]). *Let M be a finite A -module.*

(i) *M is a Cohen-Macaulay module if and only if, for each $p \in \text{Supp}(M)$, $\mu^i(p, M) = 0$ whenever $i < ht_M p$.*

(ii) *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *M is a Gorenstein module.*

(2) *For each $p \in \text{Supp}(M)$, $\mu^i(p, M) = 0$ if and only if $i \cong ht_M p$.*

For an ideal a of the graded ring A we let a^* denote the homogeneous ideal generated by homogeneous elements of a .

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a graded A -module and p a prime ideal of A . Then $p \in \text{Supp}(M)$ if and only if $p^* \in \text{Supp}(M)$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $M_p = 0$; then, for each homogeneous element m in M , there is a homogeneous component of s with $sm = 0$, say s_u , which is not contained in p . Clearly $s_u m = 0$ and this implies $M_{p^*} = 0$. The converse is obvious. q. e. d.

2. Proof of the theorem. Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-homogeneous prime ideal of $\text{Supp}(M)$ and S be the multiplicative set of homogeneous elements in $A - \mathfrak{p}$. Then A_S becomes naturally a graded ring and M_S a non-zero, finite graded A_S -module (Bourbaki [2, Chap. 2, § 2, n°9]). Since, for $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap S = \emptyset$, $ht_M \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mu^i(\mathfrak{q}, M)$ are invariant by localization, we may assume that $A = A_S$ and $M = M_S$. It follows from Lemme 4 of Bourbaki [3, Chap. 5, § 1, n°8] that $A/\mathfrak{p}^* = k[X, 1/X]$ where k is a field and $\deg X > 0$. Therefore there exists an element x of \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}^*, x)$. The element x can be written uniquely as a sum of homogeneous constituents: $x = x_s + x_{s+1} + \cdots + x_t$, $\deg x_s < \deg x_{s+1} < \cdots < \deg x_t$. We may assume that the leading term x_s does not belong to \mathfrak{p}^* . However any homogeneous element of A which is not contained in \mathfrak{p}^* is a unit. Therefore replacing x by x/x_s , if necessary, we may suppose that $x = 1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_t$.

Now we consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{p}^* \xrightarrow{x} A/\mathfrak{p}^* \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where x means the multiplication by x . From this we can obtain the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M) \longrightarrow.$$

Since A/\mathfrak{p}^* is a finite graded A -module, $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M)$ is a graded A -module (see p. 14 of Eichler [4]). Since x is of the form $x = 1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_t$, the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M)$$

is exact. Therefore we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

for every $i \geq 0$ and we have $\text{Ext}_A^0(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$. Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat over A ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a exact sequence for every $i \geq 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^0(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Using Nakayama's lemma we can conclude that, for $i \geq 0$, $\mu^{i+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}^*, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

It follows from Lemma 2 that, for $i \geq 0$, $\mu^{i+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ if and only if $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}^*, M) = 0$. On the other hand we see that $ht_M \mathfrak{p} = ht_M \mathfrak{p}^* + 1$ from Lemma 1 of Matijevic and Roberts [5]. Combining these facts with Lemma 1 we can complete the proof. q.e.d.

References

- [1] H. Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z., **82** (1963), 8–28.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative*, Chapitre 1–2, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Algèbre commutative*, Chapitre 5–6, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
- [4] M. Eichler, *Projective varieties and modular forms*, Lecture note in math., 210, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [5] J. Matijevic and P. Roberts *A conjecture of Nagata on graded Cohen-Macaulay rings*, to appear in J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1973.
- [6] M. Nagata, *Some questions on Cohen-Macaulay rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **13** (1973), 123–128.
- [7] R. Y. Sharp, *Gorenstein modules*, Math. Z., **115** (1970), 111–139.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University.*

