Oscillation Criteria for a Second Order Differential Equation with a Damping Term

Manabu NAITO (Received December 10, 1973)

1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the oscillatory behavior of the second order differential equation with a damping term

(1)
$$x'' + q(t)x' + p(t)f(x) = 0$$

where the following assumptions are assumed to hold:

- (a) $p, q \in C(R^+), R^+ = (0, \infty);$
- (b) $f \in C(R)$, $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, and xf(x) > 0 for all $x \in R \{0\}$;

(c) $f \in C^1(R - \{0\})$, and there is a constant k > 0 such that $f'(x) \ge k$ for all $x \in R - \{0\}$.

We restrict our attention to solutions x(t) of (1) which exist on some halfline $[T_x, \infty)$ and are nontrivial for all large t. A solution x(t) of (1) is said to be oscillatory if x(t) has an unbounded set of zeros $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$; otherwise, a solution is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory (or nonoscillatory) if all solutions of (1) are oscillatory (or nonoscillatory).

As a special case of (1) we have

(2)
$$x'' + p(t)f(x) = 0,$$

which has been the subject of intensive investigations since the pioneering work of Atkinson [1]. For results regarding oscillation of (2) with the assumption $p(t) \ge 0$ we refer in particular to Wong [14]. Oscillation criteria for (2) with no sign assumption on p(t) have been given by Waltman [12], Bhatia [3], Kiguradze [9], Kamenev [7], Staikos and Sficas [11] and others. Recently an attempt has been made by Erbe [6] to extend to (1) some of the known results for (2).

It is the object of this paper to present oscillation criteria for equation (1) with no explicit sign assumptions on p(t) and q(t). Our results do not overlap with those of Erbe.

Results for (1) with nonlinear damping have been obtained by Bobisud ([4],

Manabu NAITO

[5]) and Baker [2]. (We note that extensions of the results of Bobisud and Baker to higher order equations have been given by Kartsatos and Onose [8] and Naito [10].)

2. Oscillation theorems

THEOREM 1. In addition to (a), (b), (c) assume that

(3) $tq(t) \leq 1$ for all sufficiently large t;

(4)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} tq^{2}(t)dt < \infty;$$

(5)
$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)} < \infty, \qquad \int_{-a}^{-\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } a > 0;$$

(6)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t p(t) dt = \infty .$$

Then equation (1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). There is no loss of generality in assuming that x(t)>0 for $t \ge t_0$, since a similar argument holds when x(t)<0 for $t\ge t_0$. Multiplying (1) by t/f(x(t)) and integrating on $[t_0, t]$ we obtain

(7)
$$\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{sq(s)x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + \int_{t_0}^t sp(s) ds = \frac{t_0x'(t_0)}{f(x(t_0))}.$$

By Schwarz's inequality

(8)
$$\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{sq(s)x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right\}^2 \leq K^2 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds,$$

where $K^2 = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} tq^2(t)dt$ (K>0). Using (c) and (8) in (7), we get for $t \ge t_0$

(9)
$$\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + k \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds \\ - K \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds \right\}^{1/2} + \int_{t_0}^t sp(s) ds \leq \frac{t_0 x'(t_0)}{f(x(t_0))} .$$

Observing that

$$k \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds - K \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} \right\}^{1/2}$$

286

remains bounded below as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and taking (5), (6) into account, we see from (9) that

$$\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} \to -\infty \qquad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \ .$$

Hence, there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

(10) x'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_1$.

Rewriting (7) as

$$\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds$$

= $\frac{t_0 x'(t_0)}{f(x(t_0))} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{(1 - sq(s))x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(1 - sq(s))x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds$
- $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds - \int_{t_0}^t sp(s) ds$,

and using (3), (6) and (10), we find a $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that

$$\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} \, ds \leq -1 \qquad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_2 \,,$$

and consequently

(11)
$$-\frac{tx'(t)}{f(x(t))} \ge 1 + \int_{t_2}^t \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_2.$$

The rest of the proof is based on the method due to Kiguradze [9] and Kamenev [7]. Multiplying (11) by

$$-\frac{f'(x(t))x'(t)}{f(x(t))}\left\{1+\int_{t_2}^t\frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2}ds\right\}^{-1}>0$$

and integrating from t_2 to t, we obtain

$$\log\left\{1 + \int_{t_2}^t \frac{sf'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds\right\} \ge \log\frac{f(x(t_2))}{f(x(t))} \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_2$$

This combined with (11) yields

(12)
$$x'(t) \leq -\frac{f(x(t_2))}{t} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Integrating (12) from t_2 to t and taking the limit as $t \to \infty$, we conclude that $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = -\infty$, which contradicts the hypothesis that x(t) > 0 for all large t. This com-

pletes the proof of the theorem.

From the proof of Theorem 1 it is easy to see that when $q(t) \equiv 0$ the assumption (c) can be replaced by the following weaker one:

(c') $f \in C^1(R - \{0\})$, and $f'(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in R - \{0\}$.

We state this fact in the following

COROLLARY 1. (Kamenev [7]) Under the assumptions (a), (b), (c'), (5) and (6), equation (2) is oscillatory.

EXAMPLE 1. The following equation is oscillatory:

$$x'' + \frac{\sin t}{t^2} x' + \frac{\sin t}{t(2 - \sin t)} (x + x^3) = 0$$

A close look at the proof of Theorem 1 enables us to obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. In addition to (a), (b), (c) and (3) assume that

(13)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(sq(s)-1)^2}{s} ds < \infty$$

If (6) holds, then equation (1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 1, if there exists a nonoscillatory solution x(t) of (1), then x(t) satisfies (7). Combining the first and the third integrals in the left hand side of (7) and using Schwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(sq(s)-1)x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right\}^2 \leq K^2 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds$$

where $K^2 = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} (sq(s) - 1)^2 / s \, ds$. Hence the inequality (9) without the first integral

holds for $t \ge t_0$. The rest of the proof proceeds exactly as in that of Theorem 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Willett [13] has shown that the equation

$$x^{\prime\prime} + \frac{\lambda + \mu t \sin \nu t}{t^2} x = 0 \qquad (\lambda, \, \mu, \, \nu \neq 0 \text{ constants})$$

is oscillatory if

$$\lambda > \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{\nu}\right)^2,$$

and nonoscillatory if

288

Oscillation Criteria for a Second Order Differential Equation

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu}{\nu}\right)^2.$$

Theorem 2 implies that the equation

$$x^{\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{t}x^{\prime} + \frac{\lambda + \mu t \sin \nu t}{t^2}x = 0$$

is oscillatory for any $\lambda > 0$. This shows that the oscillatory behavior of an original equation may or may not be affected by adding a damping term.

THEOREM 3. In addition to (a), (b), (c) assume that there is a constant α , $0 \le \alpha < 1$, such that

(14)
$$tq(t) \leq \alpha$$
 for all sufficiently large t;

(15)
$$\int^{\infty} t^{\alpha} q^{2}(t) dt < \infty;$$

(16)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha} p(t) dt = \infty .$$

Then equation (1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We may assume without loss of generality that x(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_0$. Multiplying (1) by $t^{\alpha}/f(x(t))$ and integrating from t_0 to t, we have

(17)
$$\frac{t^{\alpha}x'(t)}{f(x(t))} - \alpha \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha-1}x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha}f'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha}q(s)x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds + \int_{t_0}^t s^{\alpha}p(s)ds = \frac{t_0^{\alpha}x'(t_0)}{f(x(t_0))} .$$

With the use of Schwarz's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha-1}x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right\}^2 \leq K_1^2 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha} [x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds, \\ \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha} q(s)x'(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right\}^2 \leq K_2^2 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{\alpha} [x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds, \end{cases}$$

where $K_1^2 = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-2} ds$, $K_2^2 = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{\alpha} q^2(s) ds$ ($K_1 > 0$, $K_2 > 0$). Using these inequalities in (17), we obtain

(18)
$$\frac{t^{\alpha}x'(t)}{f(x(t))} - (K_{1}\alpha + K_{2}) \left\{ \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{s^{\alpha}[x'(s)]^{2}}{[f(x(s))]^{2}} ds \right\}^{1/2} + k \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{s^{\alpha}[x'(s)]^{2}}{[f(x(s))]^{2}} ds + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} s^{\alpha}p(s) ds \leq \frac{t^{\alpha}_{0}x'(t_{0})}{f(x(t_{0}))}$$

289

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can see that x'(t) is eventually negative and that there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that

(19)
$$-\frac{t^{\alpha}x'(t)}{f(x(t))} \ge 1 + \int_{t_2}^{t} \frac{s^{\alpha}f'(x(s))[x'(s)]^2}{[f(x(s))]^2} ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_2$$

It is easy to derive from (19) the desired contradiction $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = -\infty$. The proof is thus complete.

The following corollaries follow immediately from Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. Let (a), (b) and (c) be satisfied. If

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha} p(t) dt = \infty \qquad \text{for some } \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

then equation (2) is oscillatory.

COROLLARY 3. (Bhatia [3]) Let (a), (b) and (c') be satisfied. If

$$\int^{\infty} p(t)dt = \infty ,$$

then equation (2) is oscillatory.

EXAMPLE 3. The following equation is oscillatory:

$$x'' + \frac{\alpha \sin t}{t} x' + \frac{\sin t}{t^{\alpha} (2 - \sin t)} x [\log (2 + |x|)]^{\beta} = 0,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank Professors T. Kusano and H. Onose for their interest in this work and many helpful suggestions.

References

- F. V. Atkinson, On second-order nonlinear oscillation, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 643-647.
- [2] J.W. Baker, Oscillation theorems for a second order damped nonlinear differential equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 25 (1973), 37-40.
- [3] N.P. Bhatia, Some oscillation theorems for second order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 15 (1966), 442-446.
- [4] L.E. Bobisud, Oscillation of nonlinear differential equations with small nonlinear damping, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18 (1970), 74-76.
- [5] L.E. Bobisud, Oscillation of solutions of damped nonlinear equations, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18 (1970), 601-606.
- [6] L. Erbe, Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear differential equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 94 (1972), 257–268.

- [7] I.V. Kamenev, On oscillation of solutions of a nonlinear equation of second order, Moskov. Inst. Elektron. Mašinostroenija-Trudy MIEM, 5 (1969), 125–136. (Russian)
- [8] A.G. Kartsatos and H. Onose, On the maintenance of oscillations under the effect of a small nonlinear damping, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Ser. A. Math. 4 (1972), 3-11.
- [9] I.T. Kiguradze, A note on the oscillation of solutions of the equation $u'' + a(t) |u|^n \operatorname{sgn} u$ =0, Časopis Pešt. Mat. **92** (1967), 343-350. (Russian)
- [10] M. Naito, Oscillation theorems for a damped nonlinear differential equation, Proc. Japan Acad. 50 (1974), 104-108.
- [11] V.A. Staikos and Y.G. Sficas, Oscillations for forced second order nonlinear differential equations, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (to appear)
- [12] P. Waltman, An oscillation criterion for a nonlinear second order equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 10 (1965), 439-441.
- [13] D. Willett, On the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of second order linear differential equations, Ann. Polon. Math. 21 (1969), 175–194.
- [14] J.S.W. Wong, On second order nonlinear oscillation, Funkcial. Ekvac. 11 (1969), 207-234.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University