

Nonoscillation of Elliptic Differential Equations of Second Order

Norio YOSHIDA

(Received November 22, 1973)

Nonoscillation criteria for second order elliptic equations have been obtained by Headley [4], Headley and Swanson [5], Kreith [6], Kuks [7] and Swanson [10]. The purpose of this paper is to establish nonoscillation criteria for the non-self-adjoint elliptic equation

$$(1) \quad Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(a_{ij}(x)D_ju) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x)D_iu + c(x)u = 0.$$

Nonoscillation criteria for (1) due to Swanson [10] will be derived from our main theorem.

Let R be an unbounded domain in n -dimensional Euclidean space E^n with piecewise smooth boundary ∂R . A generic point of E^n is denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Partial differentiation with respect to x_i is denoted by D_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is assumed that the coefficients a_{ij} , b_i and c of L are real-valued and continuous on \bar{R} , that the b_i are differentiable in R and that the matrix (a_{ij}) is symmetric and positive definite in R . The domain of L relative to R , $\mathfrak{D}(L; R)$, is the set of continuous functions on \bar{R} which have uniformly continuous first derivatives in R and for which all derivatives involved in L exist and are continuous in R . A solution of equation (1) is a function $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L; R)$ which satisfies (1) at every point of R .

DEFINITION 1. A bounded domain G with $\bar{G} \subset R$ is a *nodal domain* of a nontrivial solution u of (1) iff $u = 0$ on ∂G . The partial differential equation (1) is said to be *strongly oscillatory* in R iff for arbitrary $r > 0$ there exists a nontrivial solution u_r of (1) with a nodal domain contained in R_r , where

$$R_r = R \cap \{x \in E^n : |x| > r\}.$$

Equation (1) is said to be *nonoscillatory* in R iff it is not strongly oscillatory in R , i.e. iff there exists a number $s > 0$ such that no nontrivial solution of (1) has a nodal domain contained in R_s .

DEFINITION 2. Consider the two self-adjoint operators

$$(2) \quad L_0u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(\alpha_{ij}(x)D_ju) + \gamma(x)u,$$

$$(3) \quad L_1 v = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(A_{ij}(x)D_j v) + C(x)v,$$

where α_{ij} , γ , A_{ij} , C are real-valued and continuous on \bar{R} and the matrices (α_{ij}) , (A_{ij}) are symmetric and positive definite in R . We say that L_1 belongs to $\mathfrak{M}[L_0; R_s]$ for some $s > 0$ iff for every bounded domain G with $\bar{G} \subset R_s$ the functional

$$(4) \quad V[u; G] = \int_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\alpha_{ij} - A_{ij}) D_i u D_j u + (C - \gamma) u^2 \right] dx$$

is nonnegative for all real-valued piecewise C^1 functions u on \bar{G} vanishing on ∂G . The functional $V[u; G]$ in (4) is called the *variation*, relative to G , of L_1 from L_0 . For example, $L_1 \in \mathfrak{M}[L_0; R_s]$ if the matrix $(\alpha_{ij} - A_{ij})$ is positive semi-definite in R_s and $C - \gamma$ is nonnegative in R_s .

Our main result is stated in the following

THEOREM. *Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in R if for some number $s > 0$ there exist a self-adjoint elliptic operator $L_1 \in \mathfrak{M}\left[\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*); R_s\right]$, L^* being the formal adjoint of L , and a function $w \in \mathfrak{D}(L_1; R_s)$ with the property that*

- (i) $w > 0$ in \bar{R}_s ;
- (ii) $L_1 w \leq 0$ in R_s .

To prove the theorem we require the following three lemmas that provide useful information regarding bounds for eigenvalues of self-adjoint and non-self-adjoint elliptic operators.

Let G be a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary ∂G and such that $\bar{G} \subset R$. By an *eigenvalue* λ of L relative to G we mean a number λ with the property that there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L; G)$ of the problem

$$Lu + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{in } G, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G.$$

The solution u is called an *eigenfunction* associated with the eigenvalue λ .

LEMMA 1. (Allegretto [1]) *Let L be the elliptic operator defined by (1). Then, no eigenvalue of L relative to G can be less than the smallest eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*)$ relative to G .*

LEMMA 2. (Swanson [8]) *Let L_0 and L_1 be the elliptic operators defined by (2) and (3), respectively. If there exists an eigenvalue λ of L_1 relative to G with an associated eigenfunction u satisfying $V[u; G] \geq 0$, then λ cannot be less than the smallest eigenvalue of L_0 relative to G .*

LEMMA 3. *Let μ_0 be the smallest eigenvalue of the self-adjoint elliptic operator L_1 relative to G . Then, for any $v \in \mathfrak{D}(L_1; G)$ such that $v > 0$ in \bar{G} ,*

$$\mu_0 > \inf_{x \in G} \frac{-L_1 v}{v}.$$

PROOF. Our method is essentially that used by Swanson [9]. If u is an eigenfunction of L_1 associated with μ_0 , then the following identity holds (see [2]):

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} D_i u D_j u - C u^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} X^i X^j + \sum_{i=1}^n D_i (u^2 Y^i) - \frac{u^2}{v} L_1 v,$$

where

$$X^i = v D_i \left(\frac{u}{v} \right), \quad Y^i = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} D_j v, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $u = 0$ on ∂G and $v > 0$ on \bar{G} , u/v is nonconstant in G and hence we have $\int_G \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} X^i X^j dx > 0$. By integrating (5) over G and applying Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} D_i u D_j u - C u^2 \right] dx &= \int_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} X^i X^j - \frac{u^2}{v} L_1 v \right] dx \\ &> - \int_G \frac{u^2}{v} L_1 v dx \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in G} \frac{-L_1 v}{v} \int_G u^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which the desired conclusion immediately follows with the use of Courant's Minimum Principle [3, p. 399].

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose to the contrary that equation (1) is strongly oscillatory. Then, there exists a nontrivial solution u of (1) with a nodal domain G contained in R_s . By Lemma 1, the smallest eigenvalue λ_0 of $\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*)$ relative to G is nonpositive.

By hypothesis, there exists a self-adjoint elliptic operator $L_1 \in \mathfrak{R} \left[\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*); R_s \right]$. Since the variation, relative to G , of L_1 from $\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*)$ is nonnegative, we can apply Lemma 2 to conclude that the smallest eigenvalue μ_0 of L_1 relative to G does not exceed λ_0 , i.e. $\mu_0 \leq 0$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3 that μ_0 is greater than $\inf_{x \in G} [-L_1 w/w]$ which is nonnegative on account of (i) and (ii), i.e. $\mu_0 > 0$. The contradiction proves our theorem.

REMARK 1. The above theorem is an extension of the sufficiency part of Kuks' nonoscillation theorem [7, Theorem 3] for self-adjoint elliptic equations.

Our method is different from the one used by Kuks.

COROLLARY 1. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in R if for some $s > 0$ there exists a function $h \in \mathfrak{D}(L; R_s)$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n [D_i(a_{ij}(x)D_jh) + a_{ij}(x)D_ihD_jh] + c(x) - \operatorname{div} b(x) \leq 0$$

in R_s , where $b(x) = (b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x))$.

PROOF. This corollary follows from the observation that the function $w = \exp[h(x)]$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{w} \frac{Lw + L^*w}{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n [D_i(a_{ij}D_jh) + a_{ij}D_ihD_jh] + c - \operatorname{div} b.$$

Let $\lambda(x)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $(a_{ij}(x))$, $x \in R$, and let f be an arbitrary positive-valued function of class $C^1(0, \infty)$ such that

$$f(r) \leq \min_{x \in S_r} \lambda(x), \quad 0 < r < \infty,$$

where $S_r = \{x \in \bar{R} : |x| = r\}$. We define the function g by

$$g(r) = \max_{x \in S_r} [c(x) - \operatorname{div} b(x)], \quad 0 < r < \infty.$$

Let us consider the self-adjoint elliptic operator

$$(6) \quad L_2 v = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i(f(|x|)D_i v) + g(|x|)v.$$

Since, for all $x \in R$ and all $\xi \in E^n$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda(x)|\xi|^2 \geq f(|x|)|\xi|^2,$$

the operator $L_2 \in \mathfrak{M}\left[\frac{1}{2}(L + L^*); R\right]$, moreover, if $v \in \mathfrak{D}(L_2; R)$ depends only on $r = |x|$, then

$$L_2 v = r^{1-n} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{n-1} f(r) \frac{dv}{dr} \right) + g(r)v.$$

COROLLARY 2. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in R if

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[r^2 g(r) - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} f(r) - \frac{n-2}{2} r f'(r) \right] < 0.$$

PROOF. Observe that the function $w = r^{(2-n)/2}$, $r = |x|$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{n-1}L_2w &= \frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{n-1}f(r)\frac{dw}{dr}\right) + r^{n-1}g(r)w \\
 &= r^{(n-4)/2}\left[r^2g(r) - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}f(r) - \frac{n-2}{2}rf'(r)\right].
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2. If L is uniformly elliptic in R with ellipticity constant κ , then we can take $f(r) \equiv \kappa$, and in this case condition (6) reduces to

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2g(r) < \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\kappa,$$

which is a nonoscillation criterion of Swanson [10, Theorem 2].

The following corollary was first obtained by Swanson [10, Theorem 1].

COROLLARY 3. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in R if the ordinary differential equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{n-1}f(r)\frac{dy}{dr}\right) + r^{n-1}g(r)y = 0$$

is nonoscillatory at $r = +\infty$.

PROOF. Since (8) is nonoscillatory at $r = +\infty$, there exists a solution $y(r)$ which does not vanish on some half-line $[s, +\infty)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y(r) > 0$ on $[s, +\infty)$. Define the function w in R by $w(x) = y(r)$, $r = |x|$. Then w satisfies the elliptic equation $L_2w = 0$ in R_s , where L_2 is the operator defined in (6). Now the conclusion follows from the main theorem.

Our final result is an extension of that of Kuks [7, Corollary 1].

COROLLARY 4. Let the operator L be defined in $R = \prod_{i=1}^n I_i$, where $I_i = [s_i, +\infty)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and uniformly elliptic in R with ellipticity constant κ . Assume that each of the ordinary differential equations

$$(9) \quad \kappa \frac{d^2y}{dx_i^2} + c_i(x_i)y = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

is nonoscillatory in I_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(x_i) \geq c(x) - \operatorname{div} b(x) \quad \text{in } R,$$

then equation (1) is nonoscillatory in R .

PROOF. By hypothesis there exist solutions $y_i = y_i(x_i)$ of (9) such that $y_i(x_i) > 0$ in $I'_i = [s'_i, +\infty) \subset I_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now the conclusion follows from the main theorem by taking

$$L_1 = \kappa \Delta + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad w = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i(x_i).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Professor T. Kusano for his helpful suggestions concerning this paper.

References

- [1] W. Allegretto, Eigenvalue comparison and oscillation criteria for elliptic operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 571–575.
- [2] C. Clark and C. A. Swanson, Comparison theorems for elliptic differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 886–890.
- [3] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. I*, Wiley (Interscience), New York, 1953.
- [4] V. B. Headley, Some oscillation properties of selfadjoint elliptic equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 824–829.
- [5] V. B. Headley and C. A. Swanson, Oscillation criteria for elliptic equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **27** (1968), 501–506.
- [6] K. Kreith, A Picone identity for strongly elliptic systems, *Duke Math. J.* **38** (1971), 473–481.
- [7] L. M. Kuks, Sturm's theorem and oscillation of solutions of strongly elliptic systems, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **3** (1962), 24–27.
- [8] C. A. Swanson, A generalization of Sturm's comparison theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **15** (1966), 512–519.
- [9] C. A. Swanson, An identity for elliptic equations with applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (1968), 325–333.
- [10] C. A. Swanson, Nonoscillation criteria for elliptic equations, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **12** (1969), 275–280.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*