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The problem of oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations has been the subject of numerous investigations. For nonoscillation results we refer to Headley [2], Headley and Swanson [3], Kreith [4], Kuks [5], Piepenbrink [6], Skorobogat'ko [7], Swanson [8] and Yoshida [9]. All of these papers deal with second order elliptic equations or systems, and the author knows of no nonoscillation criteria which are applicable to equations of higher order.

Our purpose here is to develop nonoscillation criteria for the fourth order elliptic equation with real coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
L u= & \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(\alpha_{i j}(x) \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u\right)+2 \beta(x) \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u  \tag{1}\\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) D_{i} u+c(x) u=0
\end{align*}
$$

defined in an unbounded domain $R$ of Euclidean $n$-space $E^{n}$. As usual, points in $E^{n}$ will be denoted by $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, differentiation with respect to $x_{i}$ by $D_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, and successive differentiation with respect to $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ by $D_{i j}, i, j=$ $1, \ldots, n$. The following assumptions will be made throughout:
(a) The coefficients $\alpha_{i j} \in C^{2}(R), \beta \in C(R), a_{i j} \in C^{1}(R), b_{i} \in C^{1}(R)$ and $c \in$ $C(R)$.
(b) The matrix $\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ is symmetric and positive definite in $R$.
(c) The matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is symmetric and negative semidefinite in $R$.

These assumptions will be placed without further mention on the coefficients of elliptic operators of the same form as $L$ which will be considered in the sequel.

The domain $\mathfrak{D}(L ; G)$ of $L$ relative to any subdomain $G$ of $R$ is defined as the set $C^{4}(G) \cap C^{2}(\bar{G})$. The notation

$$
R_{r}=R \cap\left\{x \in E^{n}:|x|>r\right\}, \quad 0<r<\infty,
$$

will be used throughout.
Definition 1. A bounded subdomain $G$ of $R$ is called a nodal domain of (1) if there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L ; G)$ of (1) such that $u=D_{i} u=0$
on $\partial G, i=1, \ldots, n$. Equation (1) is said to be nonoscillatory in $R$ if there is a number $r>0$ such that there are no nodal domains of (1) in $R_{r}$.

Associated with the operator $L$ in (1) is the following elliptic operator

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0} u= & \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(\alpha_{i j}(x) \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u\right)+2 \beta(x) \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u  \tag{2}\\
& +(c(x)-\nabla \cdot b(x)) u .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2. The elliptic operator $L_{1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} u=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j}(x) A_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u\right)+2 B(x) \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u+C(x) u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to belong to $\mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ for some $r>0$, if for every bounded subdomain $G$ of $R_{r}$ the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
V[u ; G]= & \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k l} D_{k l} u\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} u\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 u \sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(\beta \alpha_{k l}-B A_{k l}\right) D_{k l} u+(c-\nabla \cdot b-C) u^{2}\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

is nonnegative for all real-valued $u \in C^{2}(\bar{G})$ such that $u=D_{i} u=0$ on $\partial G, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Our first result is the following
Theorem 1. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in $R$ if for some $r>0$ there exist an elliptic operator $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{P}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ and a function $\phi \in C^{2}\left(R_{r}\right)$ such that
(i) $\phi>0[r e s p . \geqq 0]$ in $R_{r}$;
(ii) $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+\phi^{2}+2 B \phi+B^{2}-C \leqq 0[r e s p .<0]$ in $R_{r}$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that equation (1) is not nonoscillatory in $R$. Then, there are a bounded domain $G \subset R_{r}$ and a nontrivial function $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L$; $G$ ) such that $L u=0$ in $G$ and $u=D_{i} u=0$ on $\partial G, i=1, \ldots, n$. Applying Green's formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{G} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[D_{j}\left(2 u D_{i} u A_{i j} \phi\right)-D_{i}\left(u^{2} D_{j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)\right)\right] d x  \tag{4}\\
& =\int_{G} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[2 \phi u A_{i j} D_{i j} u-u^{2} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+2 \phi A_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} u\right] d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By the hypothesis $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ and Green's formula we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{G} u L u d x  \tag{5}\\
& =\int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 \beta u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} D_{i j} u-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} u\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+(c-\nabla \cdot b) u^{2}\right] d x \\
\geqq & \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+C u^{2}\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (4) it holds that
(6) $\int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+C u^{2}\right] d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2(B+\phi) u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+\left(C-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)\right) u^{2}\right] d x \\
& +2 \int_{G} \phi \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} u d x \equiv I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u=0$ on $\partial G$ and $u$ is nontrivial, we see that $\nabla u \neq 0$ in $G$. Hence, if $\phi>0$ in $R_{r}$, then the integral $I_{2}$ is positive. From the hypothesis (ii) the integral $I_{1}$ is nonnegative. This contradicts the inequality (5). If $\phi \geqq 0$ in $R_{r}$, then $I_{2}$ is nonnegative. The hypothesis (ii) implies that $I_{1}$ is positive. This again contradicts (5). Thus the proof is complete.

Before stating the second result we prove a lemma regarding the positivity of the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q[\xi]=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j}(x) \xi_{i}(x) \xi_{j}(x)+2 \xi_{n+1}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(x) \xi_{i}(x)+\psi(x) \xi_{n+1}^{2}(x), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $A_{i j}(x), \phi_{i}(x), \psi(x), \xi_{i}(x)$ are all continuous in a subdomain $G$ of $R$ and the matrix $\left(A_{i j}(x)\right)$ is positive definite in $G$.

Lemma. If we have

$$
\psi-\phi A^{-1} \phi^{*} \geqq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G,
$$

then $Q[\xi]$ is nonnegative in $G$ for each $\xi$ and positive at some point of $G$ for each $\xi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{\prime}+\xi_{n+1} \phi A^{-1} \neq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right), A=\left(A_{i j}\right), \xi=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n+1}\right), \xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ and $\phi^{*}$ denotes the transpose of $\phi$.

Proof. The matrix $Q$ associated with $Q[\xi]$ has the block form

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & \phi^{*} \\
\phi & \psi
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & 0 \\
\phi A^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & \psi-\phi A^{-1} \phi^{*}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{n} & A^{-1} \phi^{*} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Letting $P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{n} & 0 \\ \phi A^{-1} & 1\end{array}\right]$ and $\eta=\xi^{\prime}+\xi_{n+1}$ $\phi A^{-1}$, we have $\xi P=\left(\eta, \xi_{n+1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
Q[\xi] & =\xi P\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & \psi-\phi A^{-1} \phi^{*}
\end{array}\right] P^{*} \xi^{*}  \tag{9}\\
& =\eta A \eta^{*}+\left(\psi-\phi A^{-1} \phi^{*}\right) \xi_{n+1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From the hypothesis (8) we see that $\eta \neq 0$ in $G$, hence $Q[\xi]$ is positive at some point of $G$. This completes the proof.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.
ThEOREM 2. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in $R$ if for some $r>0$ there exist an elliptic operator $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ and functions $\phi, \psi \in C^{2}\left(R_{r}\right)$ such that
(i) $\phi>0$ [resp. $\geqq 0]$ in $R_{r}$;
(ii) $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right) D_{j} \psi-\phi^{2}+2 B \phi-C+B^{2} \leqq 0$ [resp. < 0] in $R_{r}$;
(iii) $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} \psi+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} \psi D_{j} \psi+\phi \leqq 0 \quad$ in $\quad R_{r}$;
(iv) For any bounded subdomain $G$ of $R_{r}$ the condition

$$
\nabla u-u \nabla \psi \neq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G
$$

holds for all nontrivial $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L ; G)$ such that $u=D_{i} u=0$ on $\partial G$, $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that equation (1) is not nonoscillatory in $R$. Then, there are a bounded domain $G \subset R_{r}$ and a nontrivial function $u \in \mathfrak{D}(L$; $G)$ such that $L u=0$ in $G$ and $u=D_{i} u=0$ on $\partial G, i=1, \ldots, n$. Applying Green's formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =2 \int_{G} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(\phi u^{2} A_{i j} D_{j} \psi\right) d x  \tag{10}\\
& =\int_{G} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[2 u^{2} D_{i}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right) D_{j} \psi+2 \phi u^{2} A_{i j} D_{i j} \psi+4 \phi u A_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} \psi\right] d x
\end{align*}
$$

By the hypothesis $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ and Green's formula we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{G} u L u d x  \tag{11}\\
& \geqq \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+C u^{2}\right] d x
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (4) and (10) it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+C u^{2}\right] d x \\
= & \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2(B+\phi) u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right) D_{j} \psi-2 \phi^{2}-C\right) u^{2}\right] d x \\
& +\int_{G} 2 \phi\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} u-2 u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} \psi D_{j} u-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} \psi+\phi\right) u^{2}\right] d x \\
\equiv & I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\phi>0$ in $R_{r}$, then the hypotheses (iii), (iv) and Lemma imply that the integral $I_{2}$ is positive. From the hypothesis (ii) the integral $I_{1}$ is nonnegative. If $\phi \geqq 0$ in $R_{r}$, then $I_{2}$ is nonnegative. Since $u$ is nontrivial, the hypothesis (ii) implies that $I_{1}$ is positive. This contradicts (11) and completes the proof.

Corollary 1. Equation (1) is nonoscillatory in $R$ if for some $r>0$ there exist an elliptic operator $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ and a function $w \in \mathfrak{D}\left(L_{1} ; R_{r}\right)$ such that
(i) $w>0$ in $\bar{R}_{r}$;
(ii) $\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w<0[r e s p . \leqq 0]$ in $R_{r}$;
(iii) $L_{1} w \geqq B^{2} w\left[r e s p .>B^{2} w\right]$ in $R_{r}$.

Proof. Define the functions $\phi$ and $\psi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=-\frac{1}{w} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w, \quad \psi=\log w \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right) D_{j} \psi-\phi^{2}+2 B \phi-C+B^{2}  \tag{13}\\
=-\frac{1}{w} L_{1} w+B^{2} ; \\
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} \psi+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} \psi D_{j} \psi+\phi=0 ;  \tag{14}\\
\nabla u-u \nabla \psi=w \nabla\left(\frac{u}{w}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.
Let us consider the following special case of (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv \Delta(a(x) \Delta u)+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) D_{i} u+c(x) u=0, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(x)$ is a positive function of class $C^{2}(R)$. Define the functions $\alpha$ and $g$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(r)=\min _{x \in S_{r}} a(x), \\
g(r)=\min _{x \in S_{r}}[c(x)-\nabla \cdot b(x)],
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S_{r}=\bar{R} \cap\left\{x \in E^{n}:|x|=r\right\}, 0<r<\infty$. In this case the elliptic operator defined by (2) is

$$
L_{0} u \equiv \Delta(a(x) \Delta u)+(c(x)-\nabla \cdot b(x)) u .
$$

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha(r) \equiv \alpha>0$ and assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} g(r)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2,3,4,  \tag{17}\\
\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{4} g(r)>-\frac{n^{2}(n-4)^{2}}{16} \alpha \quad \text { for } n \geqq 5 . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, equation (16) is nonoscillatory in $R$.
Proof. As an elliptic operator defined by (3) we take

$$
L_{1} u \equiv \alpha \Delta^{2} u+g(|x|) u
$$

It is clear that $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ for some $r>0$. The function $w=|x|^{m}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta w=m(m+n-2)|x|^{m-2}, \\
L_{1} w=\left[\alpha f(m)+|x|^{4} g(|x|)\right]|x|^{m-4},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f(m)=m(m-2)(m+n-2)(m+n-4)$. Observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max \{f(m): 0 \leqq m \leqq 2-n\}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \\
& \max \{f(m): 2-n \leqq m \leqq 0\}=0 \quad \text { for } n=3,4, \\
& \max \{f(m): 2-n \leqq m \leqq 0\}=f\left(\frac{4-n}{2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}(n-4)^{2}}{16} \quad \text { for } n \leqq 5,
\end{aligned}
$$

and using (17) and (18), we see that there is a number $r>0$ such that $\Delta w<0$ [resp. $\leqq 0$ ] and $L_{1} w \geqq 0$ [resp. >0] in $R_{r}$. Now the conclusion follows from Corollary 1.

Corollary 3. Assume there exists a positive function $\gamma(r) \in C^{2}\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\gamma(r) \alpha(r) \geqq 1 & \text { for } & r \geqq r_{0} \\
\gamma(r)+g(r) \geqq 0 & \text { for } & r \geqq r_{0}
\end{array}
$$

If the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{n-1} \frac{d w}{d r}\right)+r^{n-1} \gamma(r) w=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nonoscillatory at $r=+\infty$, then equation (16) is nonoscillatory in $R$.
Proof. Let

$$
L_{1} u \equiv \Delta\left(\gamma^{-1}(|x|) \Delta u\right)+g(|x|) u .
$$

Clearly, $L_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}\left[L_{0} ; R_{r}\right]$ for any $r>r_{0}$. The nonoscillation of (19) ensures the existence of a positive function $w=w(|x|)$ such that for some $r>r_{0}$

$$
\Delta w+\gamma(|x|) w=0 \quad \text { in } \quad R_{r}
$$

which implies that $\Delta w<0$ in $R_{r}$. Moreover, we find

$$
L_{1} w=(\gamma(|x|)+g(|x|)) w \geqq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad R_{r} .
$$

Therefore, the conclusion follows from Corollary 1.
Appendix. One of the main tools in the study of comparison and oscillation theory of elliptic partial differential equations is the identity of Picone type. A Picone identity for fourth order elliptic operators was obtained by Dunninger [1]. Here, we present an extension of the identity due to Dunninger to a class of formally non-self-adjoint fourth order elliptic operators. Our derivation is based on some of the relations that were used above to produce nonoscillation criteria.

Consider the following two elliptic operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1} u=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j}(x) A_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u\right)+2 B(x) \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l}(x) D_{k l} u+C(x) u, \\
& L_{2} v=\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(\alpha_{i j}(x) \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} v\right)+2 \beta(x) \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k l}(x) D_{k l} v+c(x) v
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4) and (10) we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+\left(C+B^{2}\right) u^{2}\right] d x  \tag{20}\\
& =\int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2(\phi+B) u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)+2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right) D_{j} \psi-2 \phi^{2}-C-B^{2}\right) u^{2}\right] d x \\
+ & \int_{G} 2 \phi\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} u D_{j} u-2 u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i} \psi D_{j} u-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} \psi+\phi\right) u^{2}\right] d x \\
- & \int_{G} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[D_{j}\left(D_{i}\left(u^{2}\right) A_{i j} \phi\right)-D_{i}\left(u^{2} D_{j}\left(A_{i j} \phi\right)\right)\right] d x \\
+ & 2 \int_{G} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(\phi u^{2} A_{i j} D_{j} \psi\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\phi=-\frac{1}{w} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w$ and $\psi=\log w(w>0$ on $\bar{G})$ in (20). In view of (9),
(13), (14), (15) we obtain the integral identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 B u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u+\left(C+B^{2}\right) u^{2}\right] d x  \tag{21}\\
& =\int_{G}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j}\left(D_{i j} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{i j} w\right)+B u\right]^{2} d x+\int_{G} \frac{L_{1} w}{w} u^{2} d x \\
& \quad-\int_{G} \frac{2}{w} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j}\left(D_{i} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{i} w\right)\left(D_{j} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{j} w\right) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\partial G} \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} \frac{u^{2}}{w} D_{j}\left(A_{i j} A_{k l} D_{k l} w\right) n_{i} d s \\
& \quad+\int_{\partial G} \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w A_{i j} D_{j}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{w}\right) n_{i} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=\left(n_{i}\right)$ is the unit exterior normal to the boundary $\partial G$. Applying Green's formula, we easily obtain the identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial G}\left[u \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{j}\left(\alpha_{i j} \alpha_{k l} D_{k l} u\right) n_{i}-\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} \alpha_{k l} D_{k l} u D_{i} u n_{j}\right] d s  \tag{22}\\
& =\int_{G}\left[-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}-2 \beta u \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} D_{i j} u-c u^{2}\right] d x+\int_{G} u L_{2} u d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From (21) and (22) we get the following Picone identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\partial G} \frac{u}{w}\left[w \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{j}\left(\alpha_{i j} \alpha_{k l} D_{k l} u\right) n_{i}-u \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} D_{j}\left(A_{i j} A_{k l} D_{k l} w\right) n_{i}\right] d s \\
& +\int_{\partial G}\left[\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w A_{i j} D_{j}\left(\frac{u^{2}}{w}\right) n_{i}\right] d s-\int_{\partial G}\left[\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} \alpha_{k l} D_{k l} u D_{j} u n_{i}\right] d s \\
= & \int_{G}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} D_{i j} u\right)^{2}+2 u u_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(B A_{i j}-\beta \alpha_{i j}\right) D_{i j} u\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.+\left(C+B^{2}-c\right) u^{2}\right] d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{G} \frac{2}{w} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} A_{k l} D_{k l} w \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j}\left(D_{i} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{i} w\right)\left(D_{j} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{j} w\right) d x \\
& -\int_{G}\left[\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} A_{i j}\left(D_{i j} u-\frac{u}{w} D_{i j} w\right)+B u\right]^{2} d x+\int_{G} \frac{u}{w}\left[w L_{2} u-u L_{1} w\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$
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