

## Whittaker functions on semisimple Lie groups

Michihiko HASHIZUME  
(Received December 2, 1981)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected, noncompact, semisimple Lie group with finite center. Let  $G=NAK$  be an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ . That is,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup,  $A$  is a maximal vector subgroup consisting of semisimple elements and  $N$  is a maximal simply connected nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ .

Our major concern in this article is a so-called (class one) Whittaker function on  $G$ , which is closely connected with the Whittaker models of a class one principal series representation of  $G$ . Such a function has been studied by many authors (see the reference) in the case when it is associated with a non-degenerate character of  $N$ .

In this paper, we do not assume the non-degeneracy of a character of  $N$ . We consider the Whittaker function on  $G$  from the viewpoint that it appears as a joint eigenfunction of the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on  $G/K$ . Our approach is similar to the one employed by Harish-Chandra for his celebrated work concerning the spherical functions on  $G$ .

In more detail, let  $\psi$  be an arbitrary character of  $N$ . We consider the space  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$  of smooth functions  $f$  on  $G$  satisfying  $f(n x k) = \psi(n) f(x)$  for  $n \in N$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . The space  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$  is stable under the action of the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on  $G/K$ , or equivalently, under the action of the algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\dagger}$  (cf. § 2). So we are allowed to introduce the space  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  of all joint eigenfunctions of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\dagger}$  in  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ . Here  $\chi_{\nu}$  is an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\dagger}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  which corresponds to an element  $\nu$  of the complex dual space  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  of the Lie algebra of  $A$  (see (2.2)).

We first study the structure of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  and obtain the following results.

(I) *Each element of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  is a real analytic function on  $G$  (Proposition 3.2).*

(II) *The dimension of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  is finite and does not exceed the order of the Weyl group  $W$  of  $G$  relative to  $A$  (Theorem 3.3).*

(III) *For those  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  in general position, we construct the functions  $V(x: s\nu, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) on  $G$  explicitly (cf. (4.1), (4.5) and (4.10)) and we prove that they form a basis of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  (Corollary 4.11 and Theorem 5.4).*

Next we define the class one Whittaker function  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  on  $G$  associated with  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and a character  $\psi$  of  $N$  by a certain integral formula (see (6.4)). The integral converges for those  $\nu$  in a certain connected open subset  $D$  and is holomorphic there (cf. Proposition 6.1). We have already shown in [4] that for a non-degenerate character  $\psi$ , the integral defining  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  can be extended to an entire function of  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . Here we prove the following.

(IV) *For an arbitrary character  $\psi$  of  $N$ , the integral defining  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  can be in general meromorphically continued as a function of  $\nu$  and moreover it belongs to  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  as a function on  $G$  (Theorem 6.6).*

(V) *When we write the Whittaker function  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  as a linear combination of the above constructed basis  $V(x: s\nu, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ), the coefficients are explicitly determined in terms of the Harish-Chandra's  $c$ -functions and the gamma factors appeared in the functional equations of the Whittaker functions (Theorem 7.8 and Theorem 7.12).*

We describe the main steps of the proofs of the above mentioned results. In view of the fact that each  $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$  can be completely determined by its restriction  $f_A$  to  $A$ , we construct in § 2 certain differential operator  $\delta(z)$  on  $A$  for each  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  by requiring that  $(zf)_A = (e^{\rho} \circ \delta(z) \circ e^{-\rho})f_A$  for  $f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ . Then if we define  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_{\nu})$  as the space of all  $\Phi \in C^{\infty}(A)$  satisfying  $\delta(z)\Phi = \chi_{\nu}(z)\Phi$  for  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ , we can deduce that  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  is isomorphic to  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_{\nu})$  under the correspondence  $f \mapsto e^{-\rho}f_A$  (see Proposition 3.1). Thus our problem of proving (I), (II) and (III) is reduced to showing the corresponding facts for the space  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_{\nu})$ . In this stage, the operator  $\delta(\omega)$  where  $\omega$  is the Casimir operator on  $G$  plays a key role. From the explicit form of  $\delta(\omega)$  given in Lemma 2.8, we conclude that it is an elliptic operator on  $A$  and hence (I) holds. The statement (II) is based on the fact that any differential operator on  $A$  with constant coefficients can be written as the compositions of certain  $w$  such operators and the elements of  $\delta(U(\mathfrak{g})^t)$  where  $w$  is the order of  $W$  (cf. Proposition 2.7). To establish (III), we introduce a series  $\Phi(h: \nu, \psi) = h^{\nu} \sum_{\lambda \in L} a_{\lambda}(\nu) h^{\lambda}$  on  $A$  where the coefficients  $a_{\lambda}(\nu)$  are given by the recursion formula (4.1). Applying the estimate for  $a_{\lambda}(\nu)$  given in Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that  $\Phi(h: \nu, \psi)$  is convergent uniformly on every compact subset in  $A$ . Moreover we can check directly that  $\Phi(h: \nu, \psi)$  is an eigenfunction of  $\delta(\omega)$  with eigenvalue  $\chi_{\nu}(\omega)$ . This fact plays an essential role in proving that  $\Phi(h: \nu, \psi)$  belongs to  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_{\nu})$  (see Theorem 4.10). Using this function, we can construct an element  $V(x: \nu, \psi)$  of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_{\nu})$  (cf. (4.10)).

The main technique of proving (IV) and (V) is as follows. For each character  $\psi$  of  $N$ , there corresponds a set of linear forms  $\eta_{\alpha}$  on the root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{g}}$  where  $\alpha$  runs through the set  $\Pi$  of simple roots of  $G$  relative to  $A$ . We denote by  $F$  the set of simple roots  $\alpha$  such that  $\eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$ . We note that  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character

if and only if  $F = \Pi$ . Put  $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$  where  $s_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ . We denote by  $P_{F_*} = N_{F_*}A_{F_*}M_{F_*}$  the Langlands decomposition of the parabolic subgroup  $P_{F_*}$  of  $G$  corresponding to the subset  $F_*$  of  $\Pi$ . Then the Whittaker function  $W(x: v, \psi)$  on  $G$  can be written as the product of a certain meromorphic function  $c^{F_*}(v)$  and the Whittaker function  $W(m_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  on  $M_{F_*}$  (see Corollary 6.9). The important fact is that  $\psi_{F_*}$  is the non-degenerate character of the maximal nilpotent subgroup  $N(F_*)$  of  $M_{F_*}$ . In this way, our problem is reduced to that of proving our assertions in the case of non-degenerate characters. As was already mentioned, in this case (IV) follows from Theorem 4.8 in [4]. To establish (V), we need the asymptotic behavior of  $W(x: v, \psi)$  (cf. Lemma 7.1). Applying it, we can determine the coefficient of  $V(x: s_0v, \psi)$ . The other coefficients are determined by using the functional equations of the Whittaker functions and the above result (cf. Lemma 7.7).

### § 1. Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a connected, noncompact, semisimple Lie group with finite center. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . We denote the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $B(X, Y)$  ( $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ) be the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  with respect to the Killing form. Let  $\theta$  be the corresponding Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  be a maximal abelian subspace in  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . For each non-zero element  $\alpha$  of the dual space  $\mathfrak{a}_0^*$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ , we set  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_0; \text{ad}(H)X = \alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}_0\}$ . We say that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_0^* - (0)$  is a root of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  if  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} \neq (0)$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ . We put  $m(\alpha) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Let  $\Sigma_+$  be a positive system of roots in  $\Sigma$  and let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  be the corresponding set of simple roots. Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of the root system  $\Sigma$ , that is, the group generated by the reflections  $s_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ). Then  $W$  is isomorphic to  $M^*/M$ , where  $M^*$  (resp.  $M$ ) denotes the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  in  $K$ . In what follows, we often write a representative in  $M^*$  of an element  $s$  of  $W$  by the same letter. Since the Killing form is positive definite on  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ , it induces an inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{a}_0^*$ , which is extended to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the complex dual  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ . For each  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , we define an element  $H_v$  of the complexification  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  by  $B(H, H_v) = v(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ . Then it holds that  $\langle \mu, v \rangle = B(H_\mu, H_v)$  for  $\mu, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ .

Let  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}_0$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ . For  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , we set  $h^v = \exp v(H)$  where  $h = \exp H \in A$ . Let  $\rho$  be the element of  $\mathfrak{a}_0^*$  such that

$$\rho = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} m(\alpha)\alpha.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ ) the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  given by

$$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}).$$

Let  $N = \exp \mathfrak{n}_0$  (resp.  $\bar{N} = \exp \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ ) be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  (resp.  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ ). Then we know that  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a direct sum of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . Moreover the map  $(n, h, k) \mapsto nhk$  is an analytic isomorphism of  $N \times A \times K$  onto  $G$  and hence  $G = NAK$ , which is called an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ .

Let  $N^*$  be the set of all characters, namely, all one dimensional unitary representations of  $N$ . For each  $\psi \in N^*$ , there exists a unique Lie algebra homomorphism  $\eta$  of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $\psi(n) = \exp(i\eta(X))$  where  $n = \exp X \in N$ . Since  $\eta$  is trivial on  $[\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0]$ , it induces a linear form on  $\mathfrak{n}_0/[\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0]$ . But since

$$\mathfrak{n}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha \oplus [\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_0],$$

it can be identified with a linear form on  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ . Let  $\eta_\alpha$  be the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ). We say that  $\eta$  is the Lie algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  corresponding to  $\psi$  and we often write  $\psi = \psi_\eta$ . If  $\psi$  is an element of  $N^*$  such that all  $\eta_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ) are nonzero linear forms on  $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ , it is called a non-degenerate character of  $N$ .

For later use, we shall extend the notion of the non-degenerate character of  $N$  to that of certain subgroups of  $N$ . Let  $F$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\Pi$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_+(F)$  the set of roots in  $\Sigma_+$  which are integral linear combinations of the elements of  $F$ . Then  $\Sigma_+(F)$  is a positive system of the root system  $\Sigma_+(F) \cup -\Sigma_+(F)$  and  $F$  is the set of simple roots of  $\Sigma_+(F)$ . We define a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  by  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$  and put  $N(F) = \exp \mathfrak{n}_0(F)$ . Then it is an analytic subgroup of  $N$ . We denote by  $\psi_F$  the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $N(F)$ . We say that  $\psi_F$  is a non-degenerate character of  $N(F)$  if  $\eta_\alpha \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in F$ .

Now we shall give a normalization of Haar measures of  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$ . Recall that  $-B(X, \theta Y)$  ( $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ ) defines an inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . It also induces an inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , with respect to which they are mutually orthogonal. Hence  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$  is an euclidean space with the inner product induced by  $-B(X, \theta Y)$ . Let  $dX$  be the corresponding euclidean measure on  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ . Since the exponential map of  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$  onto  $\bar{N}$  is an analytic isomorphism, there exists a unique Haar measure  $d\bar{n}$  on  $\bar{N}$  that corresponds to  $dX$ . Since  $N = \theta\bar{N}$ , we can normalize a Haar measure  $dn$  on  $N$  by  $dn = \theta(d\bar{n})$ .

Finally, for any subspace  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  we write its complexification by  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**§ 2. Differential operators on  $C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$**

Let  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which can be regarded as the algebra of left invariant differential operators on  $G$ . We denote the action of

$u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $f \in C^\infty(G)$  at  $x \in G$  by  $(uf)(x)$ , or equivalently by  $f(x; u)$ .

Let  $\{U_d(\mathfrak{g})\}_{d \geq 0}$  be the canonical filtration of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . An element  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  is said to be of degree  $d$  if  $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g}) - U_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ . If  $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$  we say that  $u$  is of degree  $\leq d$ . The adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is naturally extended to  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , which we denote by  $u^x$  with  $x \in G$  and  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Let  $U(\mathfrak{f})$ ,  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $U(\mathfrak{n})$  be the universal enveloping algebras of  $\mathfrak{f}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  respectively, regarded as canonically embedded in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

LEMMA 2.1 (Harish-Chandra [3]). *The following decomposition of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  holds;*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus (\mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}).$$

Namely, for each  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  there exists a unique element  $\pi(u) \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $u - \pi(u) \in \mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ .

Let  $p \mapsto p'$  be the unique automorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  which takes  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$  to  $H + \rho(H)$ . We define the map  $\gamma: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a})$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma(u) = \pi(u)' \quad \text{for } u \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian,  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  can be identified with the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{a})$  and hence with the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . Let  $J$  be the algebra of  $W$ -invariants in  $S(\mathfrak{a})$ , or equivalently in  $U(\mathfrak{a})$ . Let  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{f}$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  is known to have the following remarkable properties.

THEOREM 2.2 (Harish-Chandra [3]). *The map  $\gamma$  induces an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  into  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  with kernel  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$  and image  $J$ . The quotient  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger / U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$  and hence  $J$  can be viewed as the algebra of all left invariant differential operators on  $G/K$ .*

Let  $\psi \in N^*$  and let  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  be the space of smooth functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that  $f(ngk) = \psi(n)f(g)$  for  $n \in N$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . We shall consider the action of  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ . We notice that in general  $uf$  does not belong to  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  even if  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ , whereas if  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  and  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  then  $uf \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ . Because the action of  $u$  commutes with the right translation by elements of  $K$ . We further remark that since all elements of  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  are right  $K$ -invariant, each element of  $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$  acts trivially on  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ .

In the sequel, we often identify  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  with a polynomial function on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and denote the value of  $p$  at  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  by  $p(v)$ . For  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , we define

$$(2.2) \quad \chi_v(u) = \gamma(u)(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger.$$

Then Theorem 2.2 implies that  $\chi_v$  is an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  into

$\mathcal{C}$  which is trivial on  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{t}} \cap U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Moreover it holds that  $\chi_\mu = \chi_\nu$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  if and only if there exists  $s \in W$  such that  $\mu = s\nu$ .

Let  $\chi$  be an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{t}}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi)$  be the space of all joint eigenfunctions in  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ :

$$C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi) = \{f \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K); zf = \chi(z)f \text{ for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{t}}\}.$$

Using the above results on the action of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{t}}$  on  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ , we may assume that  $\chi$  is of the form  $\chi_\nu$  for some  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary element of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$ . Then  $f(nhk) = \psi(n)f(h)$  for  $n \in N, h \in A$ , and  $k \in K$ . Hence  $f$  is completely determined by its restriction  $f_A$  to  $A$ . In fact the map  $f \mapsto f_A$  is a linear isomorphism of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$  onto  $C^{\infty}(A)$ .

For studying the structure of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_\nu)$ , we shall replace the differential equations on  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K)$  by those on  $C^{\infty}(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}^+$  be the ring of analytic functions of  $A$  generated (without 1) by the functions  $h^\alpha (\alpha \in \Pi)$  where  $\Pi$  is the set of simple roots in  $\Sigma^+$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then we can select a finite set of elements  $g_j \in \mathcal{R}^+, w_j \in U(\mathfrak{n})$  and  $p_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq r$ ) such that*

- (i)  $\deg(p_j) \leq d-1$  and  $\deg(w_j) + \deg(p_j) \leq d$ ,
- (ii) for all  $h \in A$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad u \equiv \pi(u) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} g_j(h)w_j^{-1}p_j \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}.$$

PROOF. We shall proceed the proof by induction on  $d = \deg(u)$ . The case  $d=0$  is trivial. Let  $d=1$  and  $u = X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$  or  $\mathfrak{k}$ , the lemma is clear. Suppose  $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ , we have only to show the lemma when  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ . But then  $X = h^\alpha X h^{-1} (h \in A)$ . Since  $h^\alpha (\alpha \in \Sigma_+)$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}^+$ , the lemma holds. Now let  $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $u_1 \in \mathfrak{n}U(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $u \equiv \pi(u) + u_1 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}$ . By choosing suitable elements  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  and  $u_\alpha \in U_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ ), we can write

$$u_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} X_\alpha u_\alpha.$$

Consequently it follows that

$$u \equiv \pi(u) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^\alpha X_\alpha h^{-1} u_\alpha \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{k}}}.$$

Applying the induction hypothesis on  $u_\alpha$ , we can obtain the lemma.

Using Lemma 2.3, we shall introduce a differential operator  $\delta_0(u)$  on  $A$  for  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  with coefficients in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  of analytic functions on  $A$  generated by 1 and  $\mathcal{R}^+$ . First we note that the differential of  $\psi$  induces an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{n})$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ , which we denote again by the same letter  $\psi$ . Retaining the notations in Lemma 2.3, we define for  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ , a differential operator on  $A$ , by

$$(2.4) \quad \delta_0(u) = \pi(u) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \psi(w_j) g_j(h) p_j.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. For  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $f \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad (uf)(h) = (\delta_0(u)f_A)(h) \quad (h \in A).$$

Moreover if  $z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^!$  and  $f \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad (z_1 z_2 f)(h) = (\delta_0(z_1) \delta_0(z_2) f_A)(h) \quad (h \in A).$$

PROOF. Since  $f$  is right  $K$ -invariant, (2.3) implies that

$$(uf)(h) = f(h; \pi(u)) + \sum g_j(h) f(h; w_j^{-1} p_j).$$

But if  $X \in \mathfrak{n}_0$ , then for  $f \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$ ,

$$f(h; Xh^{-1}) = (d/dt) f(h \exp(tXh^{-1}))|_{t=0} = (d/dt) f(\exp(tX)h)|_{t=0} = \psi(X) f(h).$$

This implies that

$$f(h; w_j^{-1} p_j) = \psi(w_j) f(h; p_j).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(uf)(h) = f(h; \pi(u)) + \sum \psi(w_j) g_j(h) f(h; p_j).$$

From (2.4) it follows that the right hand side is clearly equal to  $\delta_0(u) f_A(h)$ . If  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^!$  and  $f \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$ , then we know that  $zf \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K)$ . Thus the assertion (2.6) is a simple consequence of (2.5).

DEFINITION 2.5. The differential operator  $\delta_0(u)$  is called *the radial part of  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$* .

We denote the composition of differential operators  $D_1, D_2$  on  $A$  with analytic coefficients by  $D_1 \circ D_2$ . The multiplication by an analytic function may be regarded as a differential operator on  $A$ . Let  $e^\rho$  (resp.  $e^{-\rho}$ ) be the analytic function on  $A$  defined by  $e^\rho(h) = h^\rho$  (resp.  $e^{-\rho}(h) = h^{-\rho}$ ). For each differential operator  $D$  on  $A$ , we introduce a new differential operator  $D'$  by  $D' = e^{-\rho} \circ D \circ e^\rho$ . Then for  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ , viewed as a differential operator on  $A$ , we see easily that  $p' = e^{-\rho} \circ p \circ e^\rho$  is equal to the image of  $p$  under the automorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  defined earlier.

We define a differential operator  $\delta(u)$  for  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $\delta(u) = \delta_0(u)'$ . Then  $\delta(u)$  is again a differential operator on  $A$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $u \in U_d(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then we can choose a finite set of elements  $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $q_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  of degree  $\leq d-1$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \delta(u) = \gamma(u) + \sum f_j q_j.$$

PROOF. If we recall that  $\gamma(u) = \pi(u)'$ , then the lemma follows immediately from (2.4).

It is well known (cf. Harish-Chandra [3]) that  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  is a free  $J$ -module of rank  $w$  where  $w$  is the order of  $W$ . Furthermore there exist homogeneous elements  $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_w$  in  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $U(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq w} \omega_j J$ . Since  $\gamma(U(\mathfrak{g})^t) = J$ , there exist  $z_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w$ ) such that every  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  can be written as  $p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} \omega_i \gamma(z_i)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  and select  $z_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w$ ) such that  $p = \sum \omega_i \gamma(z_i)$ . Then there exist a finite number of elements  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $z_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w, 1 \leq j \leq r$ ) such that

$$(2.8) \quad p = \sum \omega_i \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij} \omega_i \delta(z_{ij})$$

where the index  $i$  (resp.  $j$ ) runs through  $\{1, \dots, w\}$  (resp.  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ ).

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that there exist a finite number of elements  $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $q_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  for each  $i$  such that  $\gamma(z_i) = \delta(z_i) + \sum f_{ij} q_{ij}$ . Hence we have

$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} \omega_i (\delta(z_i) + \sum f_{ij} q_{ij}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}^+$  is stable under the differentiation by elements of  $U(\mathfrak{a})$ , we may write

$$p = \sum \omega_i \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij} \omega_i p_{ij}$$

for some choice of  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ . Note that  $\deg(\omega_i p_{ij}) \leq \deg(p) - 1$ . Applying the induction hypothesis on  $\omega_i p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ , we obtain the proposition.

For later use, we shall give the explicit formulas of  $\delta_0(\omega)$  and  $\delta(\omega)$  for the Casimir operator  $\omega$  on  $G$ . The Casimir operator  $\omega$  is an element of the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and hence  $\omega \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ , which is defined as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_0$ . Then it is well known that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0$  where  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0 = \theta \mathfrak{n}_0$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_l$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  with respect to the Killing form and set

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} H_i^2.$$

Let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  such that  $B(U_i, U_j) = -\delta_{ij}$  and set

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{m}} = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_i^2.$$

For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ , let  $X_{\alpha,i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m(\alpha)$ ) be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$  satisfying  $B(X_{\alpha,i}, \theta X_{\alpha,j}) = -\delta_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq m(\alpha)$ ). Using the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  chosen above, we define

$$\omega = \omega_{\mathfrak{a}} + \omega_{\mathfrak{m}} - \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m(\alpha)} (X_{\alpha,i} \theta X_{\alpha,i} + \theta X_{\alpha,i} X_{\alpha,i}).$$

We remark that the definition of  $\omega$  is independent of the choice of a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the Lie algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  into  $\mathbf{R}$ , which corresponds to  $\psi \in N^*$ . Then we have  $\psi(X_{\alpha,j}) = i\eta(X_{\alpha,j})$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$ . We remark that  $\eta(X_{\alpha,j}) = 0$  unless  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , we set

$$(2.10) \quad |\eta_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} \eta(X_{\alpha,j})^2.$$

Then  $|\eta_\alpha|$  can be regarded as the length of the restriction  $\eta_\alpha$  of  $\eta$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha^0$ .

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $\omega$  be the Casimir operator on  $G$ . Then the radial part  $\delta_0(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  is given by*

$$(2.11) \quad \delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}$$

where  $\pi(\omega) = \omega_\alpha - 2H_\rho$  and hence  $\delta(\omega)$  is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \delta(\omega) = \gamma(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}$$

where  $\gamma(\omega) = \omega_\alpha - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ .

PROOF. Since  $[\theta X_{\alpha,j}, X_{\alpha,j}] = H_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$ , we can deduce from the expression of  $\omega$  given above,

$$\omega = \omega_\alpha - 2H_\rho + \omega_m - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} X_{\alpha,j} \theta X_{\alpha,j}.$$

Put  $Y_{\alpha,j} = X_{\alpha,j} + \theta X_{\alpha,j}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$ . Then  $Y_{\alpha,j} \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ . Replacing  $\theta X_{\alpha,j}$  by  $Y_{\alpha,j} - X_{\alpha,j}$  and using the fact that  $\omega_m, X_{\alpha,j} Y_{\alpha,j} \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ , we have

$$\omega \equiv \omega_\alpha - 2H_\rho + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} X_{\alpha,j}^2 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\omega \equiv \omega_\alpha - 2H_\rho + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^{2\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} (X_{\alpha,j}^{h^{-1}})^2 \pmod{U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}}.$$

From (2.3), we can deduce that

$$\pi(\omega) = \omega_\alpha - 2H_\rho$$

and

$$\delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} h^{2\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)} \psi(X_{\alpha,j})^2.$$

Since  $\psi(X_{\alpha,j}) = i\eta(X_{\alpha,j})$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m(\alpha)$ ) and moreover  $\eta(X_{\alpha,j}) = 0$  unless  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , we have

$$\delta_0(\omega) = \pi(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}.$$

Since  $\gamma(\omega) = \pi(\omega)'$  and  $\delta(\omega) = \delta_0(\omega)'$ , it follows that

$$\gamma(\omega) = \omega_\alpha - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$$

and

$$\delta(\omega) = \gamma(\omega) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha}.$$

### § 3. Eigenfunctions for $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ in $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$

In this section we shall study the system of differential equations on  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ :

$$(3.1) \quad zf = \chi_v(z)f \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t.$$

Here  $\chi_v (v \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$  is an algebra homomorphism of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  given by (2.2). As in § 2 we denote the space of all solutions of (3.1) by  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ .

We shall reduce the differential equations (3.1) to a system of differential equations on  $A$  by using the results in § 2. Let  $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$  be the space of all solutions of the system of differential equations on  $A$  given by

$$(3.2) \quad \delta(z)\Phi = \chi_v(z)\Phi \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *The map  $f \mapsto e^{-\rho} f_A$  gives a linear isomorphism of  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$  onto  $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ .*

**PROOF.** The restriction  $f_A$  of  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  to  $A$  belongs to  $C^\infty(A)$ . Conversely for  $F \in C^\infty(A)$ , if we define the function  $f$  on  $G$  by  $f(nhk) = \psi(n)F(h)$  ( $n \in N$ ,  $h \in A$ ,  $k \in K$ ), then  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  and  $f_A = F$ . This implies that the map  $f \mapsto f_A$  gives a linear isomorphism of  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$  onto  $C^\infty(A)$ . Moreover from Proposition 2.4 we know that  $(zf)_A = \delta_0(z)f_A$  ( $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K)$ ,  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ ). This means that if  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$  then  $f_A$  satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_0(z)f_A = \chi_v(z)f_A \quad \text{for } z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$$

and conversely. Since  $\delta(z) = e^{-\rho} \circ \delta_0(z) \circ e^\rho$ , the function  $\Phi = e^{-\rho} f_A$  ( $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ ) clearly belongs to  $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ . Conversely if  $\Phi \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ , then  $e^\rho \Phi$  satisfies (3.3). But then there exists a unique  $f \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$  such that  $f_A = e^\rho \Phi$ . Thus we obtain the proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Every element of  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$  is a real analytic function on  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the function  $e^\rho$  is real analytic on  $A$  and the character  $\psi$  of  $N$  is also real analytic, we have only to show that every  $\Phi \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$  is real analytic. Whereas  $\Phi$  satisfies the differential equation  $\delta(\omega)\Phi = \chi_v(\omega)\Phi$  where  $\omega$  is the Casimir operator on  $G$ . From Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad (\omega_\alpha - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 h^{2\alpha})\Phi = \langle v, v \rangle \Phi.$$

Here we used the fact that  $\chi_v(\omega) = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ . Since the Killing form is positive definite on  $\mathfrak{a}_0$ , the differential operator  $\omega_a$  defined in (2.9) is an elliptic operator. By the regularity theorem of elliptic operators, we see that the solution of (3.4) is real analytic.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *The space  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(G/K, \chi_v)$  is finite dimensional and its dimension does not exceed the order  $w$  of the Weyl group  $W$ .*

**PROOF.** In view of Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show  $\dim C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v) \leq w$ . Take an arbitrary  $h \in A$  and fix it. Define a linear map  $\varepsilon$  of  $C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$  into  $\mathbf{C}^w$  by  $\varepsilon(\Phi) = (\Phi(h; \omega_1), \dots, \Phi(h; \omega_w))$  where  $\omega_1 = 1, \dots, \omega_w$  are homogeneous generators of  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  over  $J$  introduced in § 2. We will show that  $\varepsilon$  is injective. From Proposition 2.7, it follows that each  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  can be written, by taking a finite set of elements  $z_i, z_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$  and  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^+$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w, 1 \leq j \leq r$ ),

$$p = \sum \omega_i \circ \delta(z_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij}(h) \omega_i \circ \delta(z_{ij}).$$

Consequently if  $\Phi \in C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h; p) &= \sum \chi_v(z_i) \Phi(h; \omega_i) + \sum \sum g_{ij}(h) \chi_v(z_{ij}) \Phi(h; \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} (\chi_v(z_i) + \sum_j g_{ij}(h) \chi_v(z_{ij})) \Phi(h; \omega_i). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if  $\Phi(h; \omega_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq w$ , then  $\Phi(h; p) = 0$  for all  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $\Phi$  is real analytic, we can conclude that  $\Phi = 0$  in a neighborhood of an arbitrary  $h \in A$ . But since  $A$  is connected, this means that  $\Phi = 0$  on  $A$ . Hence  $\varepsilon$  is injective and  $\dim C_{\psi}^{\infty}(A, \chi_v) \leq w$ .

**§ 4. The functions  $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$  and  $V(x; v, \psi)$**

Let  $\psi \in N^*$  and  $\eta$  be the Lie algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  corresponding to  $\psi$ . Let  $L$  denote the set of all linear functions  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  of the form  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_{\alpha} \alpha$  where  $n_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ) are all non-negative integers. For  $\lambda = \sum n_{\alpha} \alpha \in L$ , we put  $n(\lambda) = \sum n_{\alpha}$ . Let  $L' = L - (0)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  are identified by means of the Killing form, we can identify the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$  with the algebra of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ , so that  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  is a linear function on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  by the rule  $v \mapsto \langle \lambda, v \rangle$  ( $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ ). Let  $Q(\mathfrak{a}^*)$  be the field of rational functions on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ .

For each  $\lambda \in L$ , we shall define  $a_{\lambda} \in Q(\mathfrak{a}^*)$  by induction on  $n(\lambda)$  as follows. Let  $a_0 = 1$  and for  $\lambda \in L'$

$$(4.1) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda) a_{\lambda} = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_{\alpha}|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we put  $a_{\lambda} = 0$  if  $\lambda \notin L$ . Let  $\sigma_{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \in L'$ ) be the hyperplane in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  consisting of  $v$  such that  $2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = 0$ . We denote by  $'\mathfrak{a}^*$  the complement in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  of the union of all hyperplanes  $\sigma_{\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \in L'$ ). Then  $'\mathfrak{a}^*$  is an open,

connected, dense subset in  $\alpha^*$ . It is obvious that the rational functions  $a_\lambda (\lambda \in L)$  take a well defined value at any point  $v \in \alpha^*$ . We remark that any compact subset of  $\alpha^*$  meets  $\sigma_\lambda$  for only a finite number of  $\lambda \in L'$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$  such that at least one  $n_\alpha$  is odd, then  $a_\lambda = 0$ .*

PROOF. We shall prove the lemma by induction on  $n(\lambda)$ . From the recursion formula (4.1), it follows that  $a_\alpha = 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . Thus the lemma holds when  $n(\lambda) = 1$ . Let  $\lambda = \sum n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$  such that  $n_\beta$  is odd for  $\beta \in \Pi$ . Then all of  $\lambda - 2\alpha (\alpha \in \Pi)$  have an odd integer coefficient. Thus by induction hypothesis  $a_{\lambda - 2\alpha} = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . Hence by (4.1),  $a_\lambda = 0$ .

In view of the lemma, we have only to consider those  $\lambda \in L$  with even integral coefficients. The following lemma is an improvement of Lemma 4.1. Let  $F$  be the subset of  $\Pi$  given by  $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ . Then (4.1) can be written as

$$(4.2) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda) a_\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha} \quad (\lambda \in L').$$

LEMMA 4.2. *If  $\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'$  such that  $n_\beta \neq 0$  for some  $\beta \in \Pi - F$ , then  $a_\lambda = 0$ .*

PROOF. For each non-negative integer  $n$ , we set

$$L_{F,n} = \{ \lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L'; n_\beta \neq 0 \text{ for some } \beta \in \Pi - F \text{ and } \sum_{\alpha \in F} n_\alpha = n \}.$$

It suffices to show that if  $\lambda \in L_{F,n}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) then  $a_\lambda = 0$ . We shall prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 0$ . Then  $\lambda \in L_{F,0}$  is of the form  $2 \sum_{\beta \in \Pi - F} n_\beta \beta$  and hence  $\lambda - 2\alpha \notin L$  for all  $\alpha \in F$ . Consequently the right hand side of (4.2) vanishes. But the coefficients  $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\lambda$  are not identically zero for  $\lambda \in L_{F,0}$ . Thus  $a_\lambda = 0$ . If we notice that when  $\lambda \in L_{F,n}$  then  $\lambda - 2\alpha \in L_{F,n-1}$  for all  $\alpha \in F$ , our lemma is an immediate consequence of the induction argument.

REMARK 4.3. If  $\psi$  is the trivial character and hence  $\eta = 0$ , then clearly  $a_\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in L'$ .

In what follows we assume that  $\psi$  is a fixed non-trivial character unless otherwise stated.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let  $\psi = \psi_\eta \in N^*$  such that  $F = \{\alpha\}$ , that is,  $|\eta_\beta| = 0$  for  $\beta \in \Pi - \{\alpha\}$ . Then  $a_\lambda = 0$  unless  $\lambda = 2n\alpha$  and  $a_{2n\alpha}$  is given by*

$$(4.3) \quad a_{2n\alpha}(v) = \left( \frac{|\eta_\alpha|^2}{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \right)^n \frac{\Gamma(v_\alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(v_\alpha + n + 1)}$$

where  $v_\alpha = \langle v, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the classical gamma function.

PROOF. The first assertion is obvious from Lemma 4.2. If  $F = \{\alpha\}$  and  $\lambda = 2n\alpha$ , then it follows from (4.2) that for  $n \geq 1$

$$(4n^2 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle + 4n \langle \alpha, v \rangle) a_{2n\alpha}(v) = 2 |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{2(n-1)\alpha}(v)$$

and hence

$$a_{2n\alpha}(v) = (|\eta_\alpha|^2 / 2 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle) (1/n(v_\alpha + n)) a_{2(n-1)\alpha}(v).$$

This implies (4.3).

For each non-negative integer  $n$ , we set  $L_n = \{\lambda = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha \in L; \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha = n\}$ . The following estimate on  $a_\lambda$  is important to construct a certain solution of (3.2).

LEMMA 4.5. *Let  $U$  be an arbitrary compact subset in  $'\mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $n$  an arbitrary non-negative integer. Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $U$  such that for  $v \in U$  and  $\lambda \in L_n$*

$$(4.4) \quad |a_\lambda(v)| \leq c^n / (n!)^2.$$

PROOF. The case  $n=0$  is obvious. So we may assume  $n \geq 1$ . It is known (cf. [3]) that we can select a positive constant  $c_1$  depending only on  $U$  such that  $|\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle| \geq c_1 n^2$  for all  $\lambda \in L_n$  and  $v \in U$ . If we put  $c_2 = \max\{2|\eta_\alpha|^2; \alpha \in \Pi\}$ , then it follows from (4.1) that

$$|a_\lambda(v)| \leq c_2 (c_1 n^2)^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v)|.$$

For  $v \in U$ , set  $A_n(v) = \max\{|a_\lambda(v)|; \lambda \in L_n\}$ . Then the above inequality implies that there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that  $A_n(v) \leq cn^{-2} A_{n-1}(v)$ . We define  $B_n(v)$  by the recursion formula  $B_0(v) = 1$  and  $B_n(v) = cn^{-2} B_{n-1}(v)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then it is obvious that  $B_n(v) = c^n / (n!)^2$ . On the other hand it holds by induction that  $A_n(v) \leq B_n(v)$  for all  $n$ . Hence we obtain  $A_n(v) \leq c^n / (n!)^2$  for  $n \geq 0$ . This immediately shows (4.4).

Fix  $\psi = \psi_\eta \in N^*$  and consider the series

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{\lambda \in L} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda$$

where  $v \in '\mathfrak{a}^*$ ,  $h \in A$  and  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in L$ ) are defined by (4.1). We remark that when  $\psi = \psi_0$  (the trivial character) it follows from Remark 4.3 that

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi_0) = h^v \quad \text{for } h \in A \text{ and } v \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

In what follows we again assume that  $\psi = \psi_\eta$  is a non-trivial character of  $N$ .

LEMMA 4.6. *The series  $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $h \in A$  and  $v \in 'a^*$ . It defines an analytic function of  $(h, v) \in A \times 'a^*$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show that the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on  $A \times 'a^*$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be any relatively compact open subsets in  $'a^*$  and  $A$  respectively. From Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that for  $v \in U$ ,

$$|\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} c^n / (n!)^2 \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} h^\lambda.$$

Let  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l\}$  be the basis of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  which is dual to  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ . If we write  $h = \exp(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} t_i H_i)$ , then  $h^\lambda = \exp(2 \sum n_i t_i)$  for  $\lambda = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} n_i \alpha_i \in L_n$ . Put

$$r = \sup \{e^{t_i}; h = \exp(\sum t_i H_i) \in V, 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Then  $r < +\infty$  and for any  $(h, v) \in V \times U$

$$(4.7) \quad |\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in L_n} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} |L_n| (cr^2)^n / (n!)^2$$

where  $|L_n|$  denotes the number of elements of  $L_n$ . Note that  $|L_n| = (n+l-1)! / (l-1)!n!$ , which is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $l$ . Hence the right hand side of (4.7) converges. This proves the lemma immediately.

COROLLARY 4.7. *Under the same assumption as in Corollary 4.4, we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \Phi(h; v, \psi) = \Gamma(v_\alpha + 1) (|\eta_\alpha| / \sqrt{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle})^{-v_\alpha} h^{v - v_\alpha \alpha} I_{v_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / \sqrt{2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}),$$

where  $I_{v_\alpha}(\cdot)$  denotes the modified Bessel function of first kind and order  $v_\alpha$ .

PROOF. In view of Corollary 4.4, we have  $\Phi(h; v, \psi) = h^v \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{2n\alpha}(v) h^{2n\alpha}$ , and by (4.3)

$$\Phi(h; v, \psi) = \Gamma(v_\alpha + 1) h^v \sum_{n \geq 0} (|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2n} / n! \Gamma(v_\alpha + n + 1).$$

Since  $I_s(z) = (z/2)^s \sum_{n \geq 0} (z/2)^{2n} / n! \Gamma(s + n + 1)$ , we can easily obtain the corollary.

Our next aim is to show that as a function of  $h$ ,  $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$  belongs to  $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ . We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let  $\omega$  be the Casimir operator on  $G$ . Then for  $h \in A$  and  $v \in 'a^*$ ,*

$$\Phi(h; \delta(\omega): v, \psi) = \chi_v(\omega) \Phi(h; v, \psi).$$

PROOF. If we apply the formula (2.12) of  $\delta(\omega)$  to  $\Phi(h; v, \psi)$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h; \delta(\omega): v, \psi) &= h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} (\langle v + \lambda, v + \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda \\ &\quad - h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} (2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v)) h^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi_\nu(\omega) = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(h; \delta(\omega): v, \psi) &= \chi_\nu(\omega) \Phi(h: v, \psi) \\ &\quad + h^\nu \sum \{ (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_\lambda(v) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v) \} h^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

However  $a_\lambda(v)$  is defined by (4.1) and hence the second term vanishes. So we have the lemma.

To show that  $\Phi(h: v, \psi) \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_\nu)$ , we shall need some preparations. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all mappings  $b: \lambda \rightarrow b_\lambda$  of  $L$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  such that the series  $\sum_{\lambda \in L} b_\lambda h^\lambda$  gives an analytic function on  $A$ . For  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define an analytic function on  $A$  by  $\phi_\nu(h) = h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} b_\lambda h^\lambda$ . We shall compute  $\phi_\nu(h; \delta(u))$  where  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ . From Lemma 2.6 we know that there exist a finite number of elements  $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$  and  $q_j \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $\delta(u) = \gamma(u) + \sum f_j q_j$  for  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ . We remark that each  $f \in \mathcal{R}^+$  can be written as  $f(h) = \sum d_\mu h^\mu$  where  $\mu$  runs through a finite subset of  $L'$ . Moreover for each  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$  it holds that

$$(4.9) \quad \phi_\nu(h; p) = h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} p(v + \lambda) b_\lambda h^\lambda.$$

Combining these facts, we can deduce that  $\phi_\nu(h; \delta(u))$  is again of the form  $\phi_\nu(h; \delta(u)) = h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} c_\lambda h^\lambda$  for a suitable choice of  $c \in \mathcal{B}$ . To make clear the dependence of  $c$  on  $v, u$  and  $b$ , we will write  $c(v, u, b)$  instead of  $c$ .

LEMMA 4.9. *Keeping the notations above, we have*

(i) *for fixed  $u$  and  $b$ ,  $c_\lambda(v, u, b)$  is a polynomial function of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  for all  $\lambda \in L$ ,*

(ii)  $c_0(v, u, b) = \gamma(u)(v) b_0$ ,

(iii)  $c_\lambda(v, \omega, b) = (\chi_\nu(\omega) + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) b_\lambda - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 b_{\lambda - 2\alpha}$

for  $\lambda \in L'$  where  $\omega$  is the Casimir operator on  $G$  and finally

(iv)  $c_\lambda(v, z_1 z_2, b) = c_\lambda(v, z_1, c(v, z_2, b))$  for  $z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  and  $\lambda \in L$ .

PROOF. The assertion (i) is clear from (4.9). We consider the term  $\sum f_j(h) \phi_\nu(h; q_j)$  in  $\phi_\nu(h; \delta(u))$ . Since each  $f_j \in \mathcal{R}^+$ , the term corresponding to  $\lambda = 0$  does not appear. This implies (ii). The proof of the assertion (iii) is quite analogous to that of Lemma 4.8. From Proposition 2.4 it follows that  $\delta(z_1 z_2) = \delta(z_1) \delta(z_2)$  for  $z_1, z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$  and hence  $\phi_\nu(h; \delta(z_1 z_2)) = \phi_\nu(h; \delta(z_1) \delta(z_2))$ . This implies (iv).

THEOREM 4.10. *Let  $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$  be the analytic function on  $A \times \mathfrak{a}^*$  defined by (4.5). Then it satisfies for all  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$ ,  $\Phi(h; \delta(z): v, \psi) = \chi_\nu(z) \Phi(h: v, \psi)$ .*

PROOF. For fixed  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , we denote by  $a(v)$  the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto a_\lambda(v)$  of  $L$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by the recursion formula (4.1). Since  $\Phi(h: v, \psi) = h^\nu \sum a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda$ , Lemma 4.6 implies that  $a(v) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Remembering that the Casimir operator  $\omega$  lies in the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and hence  $\omega z = z\omega$  for all  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ , we can deduce from (iv) of Lemma 4.9 that

$$c(v, \omega, c(v, z, a(v))) = c(v, z, c(v, \omega, a(v))).$$

However, we have already seen that  $\Phi(h; \delta(\omega): v, \psi) = \chi_\nu(\omega)\Phi(h: v, \psi)$  and hence  $c(v, \omega, a(v)) = \chi_\nu(\omega)a(v)$ . Thus we get

$$c(v, \omega, c(v, z, a(v))) = \chi_\nu(\omega)c(v, z, a(v)).$$

Applying (iii) in Lemma 4.9, we obtain for  $\lambda \in L'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\nu(\omega)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) &= (\chi_\nu(\omega) + \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, \nu \rangle)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 c_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v, z, a(v)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, \nu \rangle)c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} |\eta_\alpha|^2 c_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v, z, a(v)).$$

Therefore  $c_\lambda(v, z, a(v))$  ( $\lambda \in L'$ ) satisfies the same recursion formula as that of  $a_\lambda(v)$ . The only difference lies in the initial terms. Combining these facts with (ii) in Lemma 4.9, we obtain  $c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) = \chi_\nu(z)a_\lambda(v)$ . Since

$$\Phi(h; \delta(z): v, \psi) = h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L} c_\lambda(v, z, a(v)) h^\lambda,$$

it follows that  $\Phi(h; \delta(z): v, \psi) = \chi_\nu(z)\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ .

COROLLARY 4.11. Let  $\psi \in N^*$  and define a function  $V(x: v, \psi)$  on  $G \times \mathfrak{a}^*$  by

$$(4.10) \quad V(x: v, \psi) = \psi(n(x))h(x)^\rho \Phi(h(x): v, \psi)$$

where  $x = n(x)h(x)k(x)$  is the Iwasawa decomposition of  $x \in G$ . Then  $V(x: v, \psi) \in C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_\nu)$ .

PROOF. The corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.1 and the above theorem.

Before ending this section, we will study the dependence of  $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$  and hence  $V(x: v, \psi)$  on  $\psi \in N^*$  more closely. Let  $\psi = \psi_\eta \in N^*$  and let  $F = F(\psi)$  be the subset of  $\Pi$  such that  $F = F(\psi) = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$  where  $|\eta_\alpha|$  is defined in (2.10). We remark that  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character if and only if  $F = \Pi$  and  $\psi$  is the trivial character if and only if  $F = \emptyset$ .

Let  $L(F) = \{\lambda \in L; \lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in F} n_\alpha \alpha\}$  and  $L(F)' = L(F) - (0)$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{a}_F^\#$

the complement in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  of the union of all hyperplanes  $\sigma_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in L(F)'$ ). Clearly  $'\mathfrak{a}_F^*$  contains  $'\mathfrak{a}^*$ . From Lemma 4.2, it follows that  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in L(F)$ ) are well defined on  $'\mathfrak{a}_F^*$  and moreover  $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$  can be written

$$\Phi(h: v, \psi) = h^\nu \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} a_\lambda(v) h^\lambda.$$

Without any essential change of the proof of Lemma 4.5, we can deduce that  $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$  converges in fact for  $(h, v) \in A \times '\mathfrak{a}_F^*$ .

Let  $P_F$  be the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to the subset  $F = F(\psi)$  of  $\Pi$ . We denote the Langlands decomposition of  $P_F$  by  $P_F = N_F A_F M_F$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_{0,F}$  of  $A_F$  is given by  $\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_0; \alpha(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in F\}$ . Let  $\Sigma_+(F)$  be the subset of  $\Sigma_+$  consisting of roots which are integral linear combinations of elements of  $F$ . Then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F}$  of  $N_F$  is given by  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F) = \sum_{\alpha \in F} \mathbf{R}H_\alpha$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_{0,F} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0(F)$ . If we denote by  $A(F)$  the analytic subgroup of  $A$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$ , then any  $h \in A$  can be written uniquely as  $h = h_1 h_2$  where  $h_1 \in A_F$  and  $h_2 \in A(F)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F)$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  given by  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $N(F)$  the corresponding analytic subgroup of  $N$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}_0 = \mathfrak{n}_{0,F} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_0(F)$  and the map  $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto n_1 n_2$  of  $N_F \times N(F)$  into  $N$  is an analytic isomorphism of varieties. By definition,  $\psi(n_1) = 1$  for all  $n_1 \in N_F$  and the restriction  $\psi_F$  of  $\psi$  to  $N(F)$  induces a non-degenerate character of  $N(F)$ . We further remark that  $N(F) = N \cap M_F$ ,  $A(F) = A \cap M_F$  and if we put  $K(F) = K \cap M_F$ , then  $M_F = N(F)A(F)K(F)$  is an Iwasawa decomposition of  $M_F$  compatible with that of  $G$ .

Using these facts, we proceed the study of  $\Phi(h: v, \psi)$ . Since  $h_1^\alpha = 1$  for all  $h_1 \in A_F$  and  $\alpha \in F$ , we can easily obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \Phi(h_1 h_2: v, \psi) = h_1^\nu \Phi(h_2: v, \psi) \quad (h_1 \in A_F, h_2 \in A(F)).$$

Furthermore, we can deduce from the recursion formula (4.2) that  $a_\lambda(v)$  ( $\lambda \in L(F)$ ) depend only on the restriction  $\nu_F$  of  $\nu$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F)$  and the restriction  $\psi_F$  of  $\psi$  to  $N(F)$ .

In view of the above results, we conclude that the function  $\Phi(h_2: v, \psi)$  ( $h_2 \in A(F)$ ) is nothing but the one constructed, by replacing the role of  $G$  by that of  $M_F$ , for the character  $\psi_F$  of  $N(F)$  and  $\nu_F \in \mathfrak{a}(F)^*$ . Henceforth we may write  $\Phi(h_2: v, \psi) = \Phi_F(h_2: \nu_F, \psi_F)$  if we emphasize its dependence on  $M_F$ .

Finally, we consider the function  $V(x: v, \psi)$  introduced in (4.10). Recall that  $V(nhk: v, \psi) = \psi(n)h^\rho \Phi(h: v, \psi)$  where  $n \in N$ ,  $h \in A$  and  $k \in K$ . If we write  $n = n_1 n_2$  ( $n_1 \in N_F$ ,  $n_2 \in N(F)$ ) and  $h = h_1 h_2$  ( $h_1 \in A_F$ ,  $h_2 \in A(F)$ ), then

$$V(nhk: v, \psi) = \psi(n_2) h_1^{\nu+\rho} h_2^\rho \Phi(h_2: v, \psi).$$

If we define  $\rho(F) = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} m(\alpha)\alpha$  and  $\rho_F = \rho - \rho(F)$ , then we can easily check that  $h_1^\rho = h_1^{\rho_F}$  and  $h_2^\rho = h_2^{\rho(F)}$ . Hence

$$V(nhk : v, \psi) = h_1^{\gamma + \rho_F} \psi_F(n_2) h_2^{\rho(F)} \Phi_F(h_2 : v_F, \psi_F).$$

At this point, we define a function  $V_F(m : v_F, \psi_F)$  on  $M_F$  by

$$(4.12) \quad V_F(n_2 h_2 k_2 : v_F, \psi_F) = \psi_F(n_2) h_2^{\rho(F)} \Phi_F(h_2 : v_F, \psi_F),$$

where  $n_2 \in N(F)$ ,  $h_2 \in A(F)$  and  $k_2 \in K(F)$ . Using the decomposition  $G = P_F K = N_F A_F M_F K$ , we can conclude  $V(n_1 h_1 m k : v, \psi) = h_1^{\gamma + \rho_F} V_F(m : v_F, \psi_F)$  for  $n_1 \in N_F$ ,  $h_1 \in A_F$ ,  $m \in M_F$  and  $k \in K$ . Thus the essential properties of  $V(x : v, \psi)$  are reduced to those of  $V_F(m : v_F, \psi_F)$ , which is defined on the subgroup  $M_F$  of lower rank with a non-degenerate character  $\psi_F$ .

We summarize the above results in the following:

**PROPOSITION 4.12.** *Let  $\psi \in N^*$  and set  $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ . If we write  $x \in G$  as  $x = n_1 h_1 m k$  according to the decomposition  $G = N_F A_F M_F K$ , then we have*

$$V(x : v, \psi) = h_1^{\gamma + \rho_F} V_F(m : v_F, \psi_F)$$

where  $V_F(m : v_F, \psi_F)$  is given by (4.12).

**§ 5. The fundamental solutions**

Using the results in the preceding sections, we shall construct  $w$  linearly independent elements of  $C_\psi^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$  for certain values  $v \in \alpha^*$ . Here  $w$  is the order of  $W$ . The method is quite similar to the one developed by Harish-Chandra in [3].

Let  $v \in \alpha^*$  and define the subgroup  $W_v$  of  $W$  by  $W_v = \{s \in W; sv = v\}$ . Let  $J_v$  be the algebra of all  $W_v$ -invariants in  $S(\alpha)$ . Then  $J_v$  contains  $J$ . For  $\mu \in \alpha^*$ , let  $S(\mu)$  be the maximal ideal of  $S(\alpha)$  such that  $S(\mu) = \{p \in S(\alpha); p(\mu) = 0\}$  and set  $J_v(\mu) = J_v \cap S(\mu)$ .

For any open subset  $U$  in  $\alpha^*$ , we denote the algebra of holomorphic functions on  $U$  by  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ . Clearly  $S(\alpha)$  is regarded as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ . For each  $\mu \in \alpha^*$ , let  $\partial(\mu)$  be the derivation of  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  defined by  $f(v; \partial(\mu)) = (d/dt) f(v + t\mu)|_{t=0}$  for  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  and  $v \in \alpha^*$ . It is obvious that the map  $\mu \rightarrow \partial(\mu)$  can be uniquely extended to an algebra isomorphism of the symmetric algebra  $S(\alpha^*)$  into the algebra of holomorphic differential operators on  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ .

For  $v \in \alpha^*$ , let  $\mathcal{H}(v)$  be the subspace of  $S(\alpha^*)$  given by

$$\mathcal{H}(v) = \{v \in S(\alpha^*); p(v; \partial(v)) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in S(\alpha)J_v(v)\}.$$

Then it is well known (cf. [3]) that  $S(\alpha^*) = \mathcal{H}(v) \oplus S(\alpha^*)J_v^\dagger$  where  $J_v^\dagger$  is an ideal of  $J_v$  of elements of positive degree and moreover  $\dim \mathcal{H}(v) = w(v)$ . Here  $w(v)$  is the order of  $W_v$ .

Now fix  $\psi \in N^*$  and let  $F = F(\psi)$  be the subset of  $\Pi$  introduced in § 4.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $v \in \mathfrak{a}_F^*$ . For  $v \in \mathcal{H}(v)$ , we define a function  $A$  by  $\Phi_v(h) = \Phi(h; v; \partial(v), \psi)$ . Then  $\Phi_v \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ .*

PROOF. We know from Theorem 4.10 that  $\Phi(h; \delta(z); v, \psi) = \gamma(z)(v)\Phi(h; v, \psi)$  for  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ . Since  $\partial(v)$  commutes with  $\delta(z)$ , we have

$$\Phi_v(h; \delta(z)) = \Phi(h; v; \partial(v) \circ \gamma(z), \psi).$$

For each  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ , let  $D_z$  be a differential operator on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  defined by  $D_z = \partial(v) \circ \gamma(z) - \gamma(z)(v)\partial(v)$ . Then for all  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ , it holds that

$$\Phi_v(h; \delta(z)) - \gamma(z)(v)\Phi_v(h) = \Phi(h; v; D_z, \psi).$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove  $D_z = 0$  for all  $z$ . Suppose  $D_z \neq 0$  for some  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^t$ . Then we can select  $p_1 \in S(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $p_1(v; D_z) \neq 0$ . Put  $p_2 = (\gamma(z) - \gamma(z)(v))p_1$ . Then it is clear that  $p_1(v; D_z) = p_2(v; \partial(v))$ . On the other hand we know  $\gamma(z) \in J$  and hence  $\gamma(z) \in J_v$ . From the definition of  $p_2$ , we have  $p_2 \in S(\mathfrak{a})J_v(v)$ . But since  $v \in \mathcal{H}(v)$ , it follows that  $p_2(v; \partial(v)) = 0$  and consequently  $p_1(v; D_z) = 0$ . This contradicts the choice of  $p_1$ .

For  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  we put  $r(v) = [W: W_v]$  and select a set of complete representatives  $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_{r(v)}$  of  $W/W_v$ . Then the elements  $v_i = s_i v$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ ) are all distinct. Moreover each  $W_{v_i}$  is isomorphic to  $W_v$  and hence  $w(v_i) = w(v)$  and  $r(v_i) = r(v)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ .

Let  $\Omega_F$  be the set of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}_F^*$  such that

- (i)  $v_i \in \mathfrak{a}_F^*$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$  and
- (ii)  $v_i - v_j \notin L(F)^\sim$  for any pair of indices  $i \neq j$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq r(v)$ ), where  $L(F)^\sim = \sum_{\alpha \in F} Z\alpha$ .

Then  $\Omega_F$  is again a connected, open, dense subset of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . For simplicity, put  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}(v_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ ). Then  $\dim \mathcal{H}_i = w(v)$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\{v_{ij}; 1 \leq j \leq w(v)\}$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . We define  $w$  functions  $\Phi_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq w(v)$ ) on  $A$  by  $\Phi_{ij}(h) = \Phi(h; v_i; \partial(v_{ij}), \psi)$ .

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $v \in \Omega_F$ . Then the above defined  $w$  functions  $\Phi_{ij}$  form a basis of  $C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ .*

PROOF. From Lemma 5.1, it follows that  $\Phi_{ij} \in C_\psi^\infty(A, \chi_v)$ . So we have only to show the following fact; if we choose non-zero elements  $v_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ ), then the functions  $\Phi_{v_i}(h) = \Phi(h; v_i; \partial(v_i), \psi)$  are linearly independent. For simplicity, we put  $\xi_\lambda(h; v) = a_\lambda(v)h^{v+\lambda}$  for  $\lambda \in L(F)$ . Then we may write  $\Phi(h; v, \psi) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} \xi_\lambda(h; v)$ . It can be easily checked that there exists a certain polynomial function  $p_{\lambda, v}$  of  $\log h \in \mathfrak{a}_0$  for  $\lambda \in L(F)$  and  $v \in S(\mathfrak{a}^*)$  such that

$$\xi_\lambda(h; v; \partial(v)) = p_{\lambda, v}(\log h) h^{v+\lambda}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Phi_{v_i}(h) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} \xi_{\lambda}(h; v_i; \partial(v_i)) = \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} p_{\lambda, v_i}(\log h) h^{v_i + \lambda}.$$

Now suppose that  $c_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$ ) are complex numbers such that  $\sum c_i \Phi_{v_i} = 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r(v)} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} c_i p_{\lambda, v_i}(\log h) h^{v_i + \lambda} = 0.$$

Since  $v_i - v_j \notin L(F)^\sim (i \neq j)$ , the exponents  $v_i + \lambda$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r(v), \lambda \in L(F)$ ) are all distinct. By the above fact and Lemma 4.6, we can apply the corollary to Lemma 57 in [3]. The result is  $c_i p_{\lambda, v_i} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r(v)$  and  $\lambda \in L(F)$ . On the other hand, it is evident that  $p_{0, v_i}(\log h) = v_i(\log h)$  for all  $i$ . Since  $v_i \neq 0$ , it follows that  $p_{0, v_i} \neq 0$  and so  $c_i = 0$ .

We say that  $v$  is a regular element of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  if  $\langle v, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . If  $v$  is a regular element, then  $W_v = (1)$ , all  $sv$  ( $s \in W$ ) are distinct and  $\mathcal{H}(v) = (0)$ .

Let  $\Omega'_F$  be the set of regular elements  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  satisfying

- (i)  $sv \in \mathfrak{a}^*_F$  for all  $s \in W$  and
- (ii)  $sv - tv \notin L(F)^\sim$  for any pair  $(s, t) \in W \times W$  such that  $s \neq t$ .

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *Let  $v \in \Omega'_F$ . Then  $w$  functions  $\Phi(h: sv, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) form a basis of  $C^\infty_\psi(A, \chi_v)$ .*

In view of Proposition 3.1 and the above corollary, we establish the following result.

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $v \in \Omega'_F$ . Then the functions  $V(x: sv, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) form a basis of  $C^\infty_\psi(G/K, \chi_v)$ .*

**§ 6. The Whittaker function  $W(x: v, \psi)$**

In this section, we introduce a joint eigenfunction  $W(x: v, \psi)$  in  $C^\infty_\psi(G/K, \chi_v)$ , which is closely related to the Whittaker model of a class one principal series representation of  $G$ .

Let  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . We denote by  $X^\infty_v$  the space of all smooth functions  $\varphi$  on  $G$  satisfying  $\varphi(nhmg) = h^{v+\rho} \varphi(g)$  for  $n \in N, h \in A, m \in M$  and  $g \in G$ . Let  $\pi_v$  be the representation of  $G$  on  $X^\infty_v$  defined by  $\pi_v(g)\varphi(x) = \varphi(xg)$  for  $g, x \in G$  and  $\varphi \in X^\infty_v$ . The representation  $\pi_v$  is called a class one principal series representation of  $G$ . We denote by  $X_v$  the subspace of all  $K$ -finite elements in  $X^\infty_v$ .

We define a function  $1_v$  on  $G$  by

$$(6.1) \quad 1_v(x) = h(x)^{v+\rho} \quad (x \in G)$$

where we write the Iwasawa decomposition of  $x$  as  $x = n(x)h(x)k(x)$  with  $n(x) \in N,$

$h(x) \in A$  and  $k(x) \in K$ . It can be easily checked that

$$(6.2) \quad 1_\nu(nhmxk) = h^{\nu+\rho} 1_\nu(x)$$

for  $n \in N$ ,  $h \in A$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . This means that the function  $1_\nu$  is a  $K$ -fixed element of  $X_\nu$ . We remark that  $1_\nu$  satisfies

$$(6.3) \quad 1_\nu(x; z) = \chi_\nu(z) 1_\nu(x) \quad (x \in G)$$

for all  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$ . This follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that the space of  $K$ -fixed elements in  $X_\nu$  is one dimensional and stable under  $U(\mathfrak{g})^\dagger$ .

Let  $\psi = \psi_n \in N^*$  and  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . We introduce an integral  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  by

$$(6.4) \quad W(x: \nu, \psi) = \int_N 1_\nu(s_0^{-1}nx) \psi^{-1}(n) dn \quad (x \in G).$$

Here  $dn$  is the Haar measure on  $N$  normalized in § 1 and  $s_0$  is a representative in  $K$  of the unique element, denoted by the same letter  $s_0$ , in  $W$  such that  $s_0 \Sigma_+ = -\Sigma_+$ . Note that (6.4) does not depend on the choice of the representatives of  $s_0 \in W$ . When  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character, the above integral was already studied in [2], [4], [6] and [10].

Before considering the convergence of (6.4), we shall examine the formally consistent properties of the integral  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$ . It follows from (6.2) that

$$(6.5) \quad W(nxk: \nu, \psi) = \psi(n) W(x: \nu, \psi)$$

for  $n \in N$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $k \in K$ . Since  $A$  normalizes  $N$  and it holds that  $d(hnh^{-1}) = h^{2\rho} dn$ , we can deduce

$$W(h: \nu, \psi) = h^{s_0\nu+\rho} \int_N 1_\nu(s_0^{-1}n) \psi^h(n)^{-1} dn \quad (h \in A)$$

where  $\psi^h$  is a character of  $N$  given by

$$\psi^h(n) = \psi(hnh^{-1}) \quad (h \in A, n \in N).$$

When  $x=e$  (the identity element of  $G$ ), we denote the value  $W(e: \nu, \psi)$  simply by  $W(\nu, \psi)$ , that is,

$$(6.6) \quad W(\nu, \psi) = \int_N 1_\nu(s_0^{-1}n) \psi^{-1}(n) dn.$$

Then we can write

$$(6.7) \quad W(h: \nu, \psi) = h^{s_0\nu+\rho} W(\nu, \psi^h) \quad (h \in A).$$

Hence we conclude from (6.5) and (6.7) that if  $x = nhk$  (the Iwasawa decomposition of  $x$ ),

$$(6.8) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = \psi(n)h^{s_0v+\rho}W(v, \psi^h).$$

Thus the study of (6.4) can be reduced to that of  $W(v, \psi)$ . We shall rewrite it in a more convenient form. Recall that the map  $\bar{n} \mapsto s_0\bar{n}s_0^{-1}$  is an analytic isomorphism of  $\bar{N}$  onto  $N$  and it holds that  $d(s_0\bar{n}s_0^{-1}) = d\bar{n}$  where  $d\bar{n}$  is the Haar measure on  $\bar{N}$  introduced in § 1. Since  $1_v$  is right  $K$ -invariant, it follows from (6.6)

$$(6.9) \quad W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}} 1_v(\bar{n})\psi_*(\bar{n})^{-1}d\bar{n}$$

where  $\psi_*$  is a character of  $\bar{N}$  defined by

$$\psi_*(\bar{n}) = \psi(s_0\bar{n}s_0^{-1}) \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N}).$$

Let  $D$  be the subset of  $\alpha^*$  given by

$$D = \{v \in \alpha^*; \operatorname{Re}(v_\alpha) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma_+\}$$

where  $v_\alpha = \langle v, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(v_\alpha)$  denotes the real part of  $v_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.1.** *Let  $\psi \in N^*$ . Then the integral  $W(x: v, \psi)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $(x, v) \in G \times D$ . It gives a smooth function of  $x \in G$ , which is holomorphic in  $v \in D$ .*

**PROOF.** First we consider the case when  $\psi = \psi_0$  (the trivial character of  $N$ ). Since  $\psi_0^h = \psi_0$  for  $h \in A$ , it follows from (6.8) and (6.9) that  $W(x: v, \psi) = h^{s_0v+\rho} W(v, \psi_0)$  ( $x = nhk$ ) and

$$W(v, \psi_0) = \int_{\bar{N}} 1_v(\bar{n})d\bar{n}.$$

But this integral is well known to be uniformly convergent for  $v \in D$ , which is usually called Harish-Chandra's  $c$ -function and denoted by  $c(v)$  (cf. [5]). Thus we obtain the proposition when  $\psi = \psi_0$  and moreover

$$(6.10) \quad W(x: v, \psi_0) = c(v)h^{s_0v+\rho} \quad (x = nhk).$$

Next we consider the general  $\psi \in N^*$ . Since  $|\psi^h_*(\bar{n})| = 1$  for  $h \in A$  and  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ , we conclude from (6.9) that

$$|W(v, \psi^h)| \leq \int_{\bar{N}} |1_v(\bar{n})|d\bar{n}.$$

But since the right hand side is convergent for  $v \in D$ ,  $W(v, \psi^h)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $(h, v) \in A \times D$ . From this and (6.8), we get the proposition.

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *Let  $\psi \in N^*$  and  $v \in D$ . Then  $W(x: v, \psi) \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K, \chi_v)$ .*

PROOF. The corollary is a direct consequence of (6.3) and the above proposition.

REMARK 6.3. We have already shown in [4] that if  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character then  $W(x: v, \psi)$  can be extended to an entire function of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ .

Our next aim is to prove that for general  $\psi \in N^*$ , the integral  $W(x: v, \psi)$  can be continued to a meromorphic function of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . For that purpose, we first write down the explicit formula of  $c(v)$ . Let  $\Sigma_+^\circ$  be the set of  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  such that  $\alpha/2$  is not a root. For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+^\circ$ , we set

$$(6.11) \quad c_\alpha(v) = d_\alpha \frac{\Gamma(v_\alpha)\Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2))}{\Gamma(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2)\Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha)))}$$

where  $d_\alpha$  is the constant given by

$$d_\alpha = 2^{(m(\alpha)-m(2\alpha))/2} (\pi/\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{(m(\alpha)+m(2\alpha))/2}.$$

Then it is well known (cf. [10]) that under the normalization of a Haar measure on  $\bar{N}$  introduced in § 1, the  $c$ -function is given by

$$(6.12) \quad c(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+^\circ} c_\alpha(v).$$

This implies that  $c(v)$  and hence  $W(x: v, \psi_0)$  are in fact meromorphic functions of  $v$ .

To proceed further, we shall need some preparations. Let  $F = F(\psi)$  be the subset of  $\Pi$  such that  $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$ . To begin with, we shall consider the map  $\alpha \mapsto -s_0^{-1}\alpha$  of  $\Sigma$  into itself. Since  $s_0^{-1} = s_0$  in  $W$  and  $s_0\Sigma_+ = -\Sigma_+$ , we have  $-s_0^{-1}\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_+$  and hence  $-s_0^{-1}\Pi \subset \Sigma_+$ . But  $-s_0^{-1}\Pi$  is a simple root system and consequently  $-s_0^{-1}\Pi = \Pi$ . If we set  $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F = \{-s_0^{-1}\alpha; \alpha \in F\}$ , then  $F_*$  is again a subset of  $\Pi$  and it holds that  $-s_0F_* = F$ .

Let  $P_{F_*}$  be the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to the subset  $F_*$  of  $\Pi$ . We denote the Langlands decomposition of  $P_{F_*}$  by  $P_{F_*} = N_{F_*}A_{F_*}M_{F_*}$ . Let  $\Sigma_+(F_*)$  be the subset of  $\Sigma_+$  of integral linear combinations of the roots of  $F_*$ . Then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_{0,F_*}$  of  $A_{F_*}$  is given by  $\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_0; \alpha(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in F_*\}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F_*}$  of  $N_{F_*}$  is of the form  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ . Put  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathbf{R}H_\alpha$  and let  $A(F_*)$  be the analytic subgroup of  $A$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$ . Moreover set  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  and denote by  $N(F_*)$  the analytic subgroup of  $N$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*)$ . Then  $A(F_*) = A \cap M_{F_*}$  and  $N(F_*) = N \cap M_{F_*}$ . Furthermore if we put  $K(F_*) = K \cap M_{F_*}$ , then it holds that  $M_{F_*} = N(F_*)A(F_*)K(F_*)$  and it is an Iwasawa decomposition of  $M_{F_*}$  compatible with that of  $G$ . Finally we define subalgebras  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0(F_*)$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{0,F_*}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$  respectively by

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0(F_*) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_{0,F_*} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+ - \Sigma_+(F_*)} \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\alpha}.$$

Let  $\bar{N}(F_*)$  and  $\bar{N}_{F_*}$  be the analytic subgroup of  $\bar{N}$  with Lie algebras  $\bar{n}_0(F_*)$  and  $\bar{n}_{0,F_*}$  respectively. Then the map  $(\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2) \mapsto \bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2$  is an analytic isomorphism of  $\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)$  onto  $\bar{N}$ .

LEMMA 6.4. For  $v \in D$ , the integral  $W(v, \psi)$  can be reduced to

$$(6.13) \quad W(v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2$$

where  $c^{F_*}(v)$  is given by

$$(6.14) \quad c^{F_*}(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+^{\circ} - \Sigma_+(F_*)} c_{\alpha}(v).$$

PROOF. From (6.9) it follows that

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_1)^{-1} \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

We remark that since  $-s_0 F_* = F$  and consequently  $s_0 \bar{N}(F_*) s_0^{-1} = N(F)$ , it follows that  $\psi_*(\bar{n}_1) = 1$  for all  $\bar{n}_1 \in \bar{N}_{F_*}$  and the restriction of  $\psi_*$  to  $\bar{N}(F_*)$  is a non-degenerate character of  $\bar{N}(F_*)$ . Hence we have

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

Let  $\bar{n}_2 = n_2 h_2 k_2$  be the Iwasawa decomposition of  $\bar{n}_2$ . Then  $n_2 \in N(F_*)$ ,  $h_2 \in A(F_*)$  and  $k_2 \in K(F_*)$ . Since the function  $1_v$  is right  $K$ -invariant, it holds that  $1_v(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2) = 1_v(\bar{n}_1 n_2 h_2)$ . Moreover since  $n_2 h_2 \in M_{F_*}$ , it follows that  $v_1 = (n_2 h_2) \bar{n}_1 (n_2 h_2)^{-1} \in \bar{N}_{F_*}$  and  $dv_1 = d\bar{n}_1$ . Using these facts, we obtain

$$W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*} \times \bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_1 d\bar{n}_2.$$

But by (6.2), we know that  $1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) = h_2^{v_1 + \rho} 1_v(\bar{n}_1)$  and hence  $1_v(n_2 h_2 \bar{n}_1) = 1_v(\bar{n}_1) 1_v(\bar{n}_2)$ . Therefore the above integral can be decomposed into

$$(6.15) \quad W(v, \psi) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2.$$

The first integral is evaluated as follows. We note that  $c(v) = W(v, \psi_0)$  can be written, as in the same manner,

$$c(v) = \int_{\bar{N}_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) d\bar{n}_2.$$

The second integral can be viewed as the  $c$ -function for  $M_{F_*}$  and hence its value is given by  $\prod_{\Sigma_+^{\circ}(F_*)} c_{\alpha}(v)$  where  $\Sigma_+^{\circ}(F_*) = \Sigma_+^{\circ} \cap \Sigma_+(F_*)$ . Consequently we can deduce from (6.12) that

$$\int_{N_{F_*}} 1_v(\bar{n}_1) d\bar{n}_1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+ - \Sigma_+(F_*)} c_\alpha(v).$$

Let  $W_{F_*}$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by the reflections  $s_\alpha (\alpha \in F_*)$ . We denote the longest element in  $W_{F_*}$  by  $s_1$ . Then  $s_1^{-1} = s_1$  and  $s_1 \Sigma_+(F_*) = -\Sigma_+(F_*)$ . Let  $s_*$  be the element of  $W$  such that  $s_* = s_0 s_1^{-1}$ . Then  $F = -s_0(F_*) = s_*(F_*)$ . Recall that we denote by  $P_F$  the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $F \subset \Pi$  and we write the Langlands decomposition of  $P_F$  as  $P_F = N_F A_F M_F$ . Furthermore we remember that  $M_F = N(F)A(F)K(F)$  is an Iwasawa decomposition of  $M_F$ , which was constructed in §4. Since  $s_*(F_*) = F$ , it holds that  $s_* P_{F_*} s_*^{-1} = P_F$ ,  $s_* M_{F_*} s_*^{-1} = M_F$ ,  $s_* A(F_*) s_*^{-1} = A(F)$  and  $s_* N(F_*) s_*^{-1} = N(F)$ .

Let  $\psi_{F_*}$  be a character of  $N(F_*)$  defined by  $\psi_{F_*}(n_2) = \psi(s_* n_2 s_*^{-1})$  for  $n_2 \in N(F_*)$ . Since the restriction  $\psi_F$  of  $\psi$  to  $N(F)$  is a non-degenerate character, the character  $\psi_{F_*}$  of  $N(F_*)$  is also non-degenerate. In what follows, we denote the restriction of  $v$  to  $\mathfrak{a}_0(F_*)$  by  $v_{F_*}$  if necessary.

We now introduce an integral  $W_{F_*}(m_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  with  $m_* \in M_{F_*}$  by

$$(6.16) \quad W_{F_*}(m_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = \int_{N(F_*)} 1_v(s_1^{-1} n_2 m_*) \psi_{F_*}(n_2)^{-1} dn_2.$$

Then the value  $W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  at  $e$  of (6.16) can be written, by using the facts that  $s_1^{-1} N(F_*) s_1 = \bar{N}(F_*)$  and  $\psi_{F_*}(s_1 \bar{n}_2 s_1^{-1}) = \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)$  for  $\bar{n}_2 \in \bar{N}(F_*)$ ,

$$(6.17) \quad W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}_2) \psi_*(\bar{n}_2)^{-1} d\bar{n}_2.$$

**COROLLARY 6.5.** *For  $v \in D$ , the integral  $W(v, \psi)$  can be written as*

$$(6.18) \quad W(v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}).$$

*Moreover it can be continued to a meromorphic function of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ .*

**PROOF.** The first assertion follows from Lemma 6.4 and (6.17). We can deduce from (6.14) that  $c^{F_*}(v)$  is in fact a meromorphic function of  $v$ . On the other hand, the integral (6.16) is exactly the same as the Whittaker integral for  $M_{F_*}$  with  $v_{F_*} \in \mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$  and the non-degenerate character  $\psi_{F_*}$  of  $N(F_*)$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 4.8 in [4] that the integral (6.16) can be extended to an entire function on  $\mathfrak{a}(F_*)^*$ . Consequently we obtain the corollary.

We summarize the above results in the following;

**THEOREM 6.6.** *For any  $\psi \in N^*$ , the integral  $W(x : v, \psi)$  ( $x \in G$ ) can be continued to a meromorphic function of  $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ , which remains to be an element of  $C_{\psi}^\infty(G/K, \chi_v)$ .*

**DEFINITION 6.7.** We say that  $W(x : v, \psi)$  is the *class one Whittaker function*

on  $G$  of type  $(\nu, \psi)$ , or simply the Whittaker function on  $G$ .

In what follows, we shall relate the Whittaker function  $W(x: \nu, \psi)$  on  $G$  with the Whittaker function  $W_{F_*}(m_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  on  $M_{F_*}$ . We recall that  $s_*^{-1}P_{F_*}s_* = P_{F_*}$  and  $s_*^{-1}M_{F_*}s_* = M_{F_*}$ .

LEMMA 6.8. *Keeping the above notations, we have*

$$(6.19) \quad W(m: \nu, \psi) = c^{F^*}(\nu) W_{F_*}(m_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$$

where  $m \in M_F$  and  $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_* \in M_{F_*}$ .

PROOF. To begin with, we shall show the lemma when  $h \in A(F)$ . Remember that  $W(h: \nu, \psi) = h^{s_0\nu+\rho}W(\nu, \psi^h)$  and moreover it holds from Corollary 6.5 that  $W(\nu, \psi^h) = c^{F^*}(\nu)W_{F_*}(\nu_{F_*}, (\psi^h)_{F_*})$ . By definition, we have

$$(\psi^h)_{F_*}(n_2) = \psi^h(s_*n_2s_*^{-1}) = \psi(s_*h_*n_2h_*^{-1}s_*^{-1})$$

where  $n_2 \in N(F_*)$  and  $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_*$ . Since  $h \in A(F)$  and hence  $h_* \in A(F_*)$ , we can conclude that  $(\psi^h)_{F_*} = (\psi_{F_*})^{h_*}$ . Consequently,

$$W(\nu, \psi^h) = c^{F^*}(\nu)W_{F_*}(\nu_{F_*}, (\psi_{F_*})^{h_*}) \quad (h \in A(F)).$$

On the other hand, we can easily obtain, as in (6.7),

$$W_{F_*}(h_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = h_*^{s_1\nu+\rho(F_*)}W_{F_*}(\nu_{F_*}, (\psi_{F_*})^{h_*})$$

where  $\rho(F_*) = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F_*)} m(\alpha)\alpha$ . Since

$$h_*^{s_1\nu+\rho(F_*)} = h^{s_*(s_1\nu+\rho(F_*))} = h^{s_0\nu+\rho(F)}$$

where  $\rho(F) = 2^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+(F)} m(\alpha)\alpha$  and moreover  $h^{\rho(F)} = h^\rho$  for  $h \in A(F)$ , we have

$$(6.20) \quad W(h: \nu, \psi) = h^{s_0\nu+\rho}W(\nu, \psi^h) = c^{F^*}(\nu)W_{F_*}(h_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$$

where  $h \in A(F)$  and  $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_*$ . This proves the lemma when  $m = h \in A(F)$ . Let  $m = nhk$  be the Iwasawa decomposition of  $m \in M_F$ . Then  $n \in N(F)$ ,  $h \in A(F)$  and  $k \in K(F)$ . Correspondingly, the Iwasawa decomposition of  $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_* \in M_{F_*}$  is given by  $m_* = n_*h_*k_*$  where  $n_* = s_*^{-1}ns_* \in N(F_*)$ ,  $h_* = s_*^{-1}hs_* \in A(F_*)$  and  $k_* = s_*^{-1}ks_* \in K(F_*)$ . From (6.8), we know that  $W(m: \nu, \psi) = \psi(n)W(h: \nu, \psi)$ . On the other hand, we can easily obtain  $W_{F_*}(m_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = \psi_{F_*}(n_*)W_{F_*}(h_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$ . Since  $n_* = s_*^{-1}ns_*$ , we have  $\psi_{F_*}(n_*) = \psi(n)$ . Combining these facts with (6.20), we obtain the lemma.

COROLLARY 6.9. *Retain the above notations. If we write  $x \in G$  as  $x = n_1h_1mk$  according to the decomposition  $G = N_F A_F M_F K$ , we obtain*

$$W(x: \nu, \psi) = c^{F^*}(\nu)h_1^{s_0\nu+\rho_F}W_{F_*}(m_*: \nu_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$$

where  $m_* = s_*^{-1} m s_*$ .

§ 7. The connection between  $W(x: v, \psi)$  and  $V(x: v, \psi)$

We have already seen in Theorem 5.4 that for  $v \in \Omega'_F$ , the functions  $V(x: sv, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) form a basis of  $C^\infty_\psi(G/K, \chi_v)$ . On the other hand, we have shown in Theorem 6.6 that  $W(x: v, \psi) \in C^\infty_\psi(G/K, \chi_v)$ . Hence there exist complex numbers  $b_s(v, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) depending on  $v$  and  $\psi$  such that for  $v \in \Omega'_F, \psi \in N^*$  and  $x \in G$ ,

$$(7.1) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} b_s(v, \psi) V(x: sv, \psi).$$

Our aim is to decide  $b_s(v, \psi)$  for  $s \in W$ . We start with the following lemmas. Let  $\alpha_0^+$  (resp.  $\alpha_0^-$ ) be the set of  $H \in \alpha_0$  such that  $\alpha(H) > 0$  (resp.  $\alpha(H) < 0$ ) for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ .

LEMMA 7.1. Put  $h_t = \exp(tH)$  where  $t > 0$  and  $H \in \alpha_0^-$ . Then for  $v \in D$  and  $\psi \in N^*$ , we have

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t^{-s_0 v - \rho} W(h_t: v, \psi) = c(v)$$

where  $c(v)$  denotes Harish-Chandra's  $c$ -function.

PROOF. It follows from (6.7) that for  $v \in D$ ,

$$h_t^{-(s_0 v + \rho)} W(h_t: v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1} n) \psi^{h_t}(n)^{-1} dn.$$

If we assume that  $\psi = \psi_\eta$  and  $n = \exp(\sum X_\alpha)$  where  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^0$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ ), then  $\psi^{h_t}(n) = \exp(i\eta(\sum h_t^\alpha X_\alpha))$ . Since  $h_t \in \exp(\alpha_0^-)$ , it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$  and hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^{h_t}(n) = 1$  for all  $n \in N$ . Thus we conclude from Proposition 6.1 that for  $v \in D$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{-(s_0 v + \rho)} W(h_t: v, \psi) = \int_N 1_v(s_0^{-1} n) dn.$$

But the right hand side is clearly equal to  $c(v)$ .

LEMMA 7.2. Let  $h_t$  be as in Lemma 7.1. Then for  $v \in D \cap \Omega'_F, \psi \in N^*$  and  $s \in W$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{-(s_0 v + \rho)} V(h_t: sv, \psi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = s_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \neq s_0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We note that

$$h^{-(s_0 v + \rho)} V(h: sv, \psi) = h^{s v - s_0 v} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F)} a_\lambda(sv) h^\lambda$$

where the right hand side is convergent absolutely and uniformly for  $(h, v) \in A \times \Omega'_F$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^\lambda = 0$  for  $\lambda \in L(F)'$ , to prove the lemma we have only to show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{sv-s_0v} = 0$  if  $s \neq s_0$ . Note that  $(sv - s_0v)(H) = (s_0^{-1}sv - v)(s_0^{-1}H)$  for  $H \in \alpha_0$  and if  $H \in \alpha_0^-$  then  $s_0^{-1}H \in \alpha_0^+$ . Since  $v \in D$ , that is,  $\text{Re}(\langle v, \alpha \rangle) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma_+$ , we can deduce from Lemma 3.3.2.1 in [14] that  $\text{Re}(v(s_0^{-1}H)) > \text{Re}(s_0^{-1}sv(s_0^{-1}H))$  for  $H \in \alpha_0^-$  and  $s \neq s_0$ . This means that  $\text{Re}((sv - s_0v)(H)) < 0$  for  $H \in \alpha_0^-$  and  $s \neq s_0$ . Hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h_t^{sv-s_0v} = 0$ .

Applying Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 to (7.1), we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.3. For  $v \in D \cap \Omega'_F$  and  $\psi \in N^*$  we have

$$(7.3) \quad b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v).$$

To proceed further, we first assume that  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character and hence  $F = \Pi$ . In this case we simply write  $\Omega' = \Omega'_\Pi$ . If we set

$$\Psi(h; v, \psi) = h^{-\rho} W(h; v, \psi) \quad \text{for } h \in A,$$

then it follows from (7.1) that

$$(7.4) \quad \Psi(h; v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} b_s(v, \psi) \Phi(h; sv, \psi).$$

LEMMA 7.4. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_w$  be the homogeneous generators of  $S(\mathfrak{a})$  over  $J$  introduced in § 2. Then  $w \times w$  matrix

$$(\Phi(h_0; \omega_i; sv, \psi))_{1 \leq i \leq w, s \in W}$$

is non-singular for any  $h_0 \in A$  and  $v \in \Omega'$ .

PROOF. For otherwise, we can choose complex numbers  $a_s$  ( $s \in W$ ), not all zero, such that  $\sum_{s \in W} a_s \Phi(h_0; \omega_i; sv, \psi) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w$ ). Put  $f(h) = \sum_{s \in W} a_s \Phi(h; sv, \psi)$  for  $h \in A$ . Then  $f \in C^\infty_\psi(A, \chi_v)$ . Since  $f(h_0; \omega_i) = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq w$ ), we conclude from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that  $f(h_0; p) = 0$  for all  $p \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ . But since  $f$  is analytic and  $A$  is connected, this implies  $f = 0$  on  $A$ . On the other hand,  $\Phi(h; sv, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) are linearly independent and hence  $a_s = 0$  for all  $s \in W$ . This contradicts our choice of  $a_s$ .

LEMMA 7.5. The coefficients  $b_s(v, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) are holomorphic functions on  $\Omega'$ .

PROOF. Fix  $h \in A$ . From the above lemma, there exist holomorphic functions  $a_{si}(v)$  on  $\Omega'$  ( $s \in W, 1 \leq i \leq w$ ) such that  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} a_{si}(v) \Phi(h; \omega_i; tv, \psi) = 1$  or 0 according as  $t = s$  or not. Hence from (7.4) we conclude

$$b_s(v, \psi) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq w} a_{si}(v) \Psi(h; \omega_i; v, \psi).$$

Since  $\psi$  is a non-degenerate character,  $W(h; v, \psi)$  is an entire function of  $v$  and

hence  $\Psi(h; \omega_i; v, \psi)$  are also entire functions of  $v$ . Thus we establish the lemma.

We have shown in [4] that for a non-degenerate character  $\psi$ , the Whittaker function  $W(x: v, \psi)$  satisfies the functional equations

$$(7.5) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = M(s, v, \psi)W(x: sv, \psi)$$

for each  $s \in W$ . Here  $M(s, v, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) are meromorphic functions of  $v$ , which are determined recursively as follows. If  $s = s_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ), then

$$(7.6) \quad M(s_\alpha, v, \psi) = e_\alpha(v)e_\alpha(-v)^{-1}(|\eta_\alpha|/2(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2v_\alpha}$$

where  $e_\alpha(v)$  is given by

$$e_\alpha(v)^{-1} = \Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + 1))\Gamma(2^{-1}(v_\alpha + m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha))).$$

If  $s \in W$  and  $\alpha \in \Pi$  such that  $l(s_\alpha s) = l(s) + 1$ , then

$$(7.7) \quad M(s_\alpha s, v, \psi) = M(s, v, \psi)M(s_\alpha, sv, \psi).$$

Here  $l(s)$  denotes the length of  $s \in W$ .

LEMMA 7.7. For  $s \in W$ , we have

$$b_s(v, \psi) = M(s_0 s, v, \psi)b_{s_0}(s_0 s v, \psi).$$

PROOF. Combining (7.5) with (7.1), we can easily obtain that

$$b_s(v, \psi) = b_{st^{-1}}(tv, \psi)M(t, v, \psi)$$

for  $s, t \in W$ . In particular, if we take  $t = s_0^{-1}s = s_0 s$ , we have the lemma.

THEOREM 7.8. Let  $\psi$  be a non-degenerate character of  $N$ . Then  $b_s(v, \psi)$  ( $s \in W$ ) are holomorphic functions on  $\Omega'$  and they are given by

$$(7.8) \quad b_s(v, \psi) = M(s_0 s, v, \psi)c(s_0 s v)$$

and consequently it holds that

$$(7.9) \quad W(x: v, \psi) = \sum_{s \in W} M(s_0 s, v, \psi)c(s_0 s v)V(x: sv, \psi).$$

PROOF. In view of Lemma 7.7, it is enough to show that  $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$  for  $v \in \Omega'$ . But from Lemma 7.3, it follows that  $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$  for  $v \in D \cap \Omega'$ . Since  $\Omega'$  is connected and both  $b_{s_0}(v, \psi)$  and  $c(v)$  are holomorphic on  $\Omega'$ , we conclude that  $b_{s_0}(v, \psi) = c(v)$  on  $\Omega'$ .

Now we shall consider the case when  $\psi$  is not necessarily a non-degenerate character. We set  $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$  and  $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$ . Let  $m_*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{k}_*$ ) be

the complexification of the Lie algebra of  $M_{F_*}$  (resp.  $K(F_*)$ ) and let  $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}$  be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{k}_*$  in the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)$  of  $\mathfrak{m}_*$ . For  $v_* \in \alpha(F_*)^*$  (the complex dual space of  $\alpha_0(F_*)$ ), we define, as in (2.2), an algebra homomorphism  $\chi_{v_*}$  of  $U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\psi_*$  be a character of  $N(F_*)$ . We denote by  $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$  the space of  $f \in C^\infty(M_{F_*})$  such that

- (I)  $f(n_* m_* k_*) = \psi_*(n_*) f(m_*)$  ( $n_* \in N(F_*)$ ,  $m_* \in M_{F_*}$ ,  $k_* \in K(F_*)$ ),  
 (II)  $z f = \chi_{v_*}(z) f$  for all  $z \in U(\mathfrak{m}_*)^{t*}$ .

As in §4, we shall construct a basis of  $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$ . Let  $L(F_*)$  be the set of all linear forms on  $\alpha_0(F_*)$  which are linear combinations of elements of  $F_*$  with nonnegative integer coefficients. We consider a series

$$(7.10) \quad \Phi_{F_*}(h_* : v_*, \psi_*) = h_*^{v_*} \sum_{\lambda \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v_*) h_*^\lambda$$

where  $h_* \in A(F_*)$  and  $a_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in L(F_*)$ ) are defined by the recursion formula:  $a_0 = 1$  and

$$(7.11) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2\langle \lambda, v_* \rangle) a_\lambda(v_*) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_\alpha^*|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v_*)$$

for  $\lambda \in L(F_*) - (0)$ . Here  $\eta^*$  denotes the Lie algebra homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}_0(F_*)$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  that corresponds to  $\psi_*$ . Then, as in Lemma 4.6, it defines a smooth function on  $A(F_*)$ , which is holomorphic in  $v_* \in \alpha(F_*)^*$ . Here  $\alpha(F_*)^*$  denotes the complement in  $\alpha(F_*)^*$  of all hyperplanes  $\sigma_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in L(F_*) - (0)$ ). Moreover if we set

$$(7.12) \quad V_{F_*}(m_* : v_*, \psi_*) = \psi_*(n_*) h_*^{\rho(F_*)} \Phi_{F_*}(h_* : v_*, \psi_*)$$

where  $m_* = n_* h_* k_*$  is the Iwasawa decomposition of  $m_* \in M_{F_*}$ , then we can deduce from Corollary 4.11 that  $V_{F_*}(m_* : v_*, \psi_*)$  belongs to  $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$ . Let  $\Omega(F_*)'$  be the set of regular elements  $v_*$  in  $\alpha(F_*)^*$  such that  $sv_* \in \alpha(F_*)^*$  for all  $s \in W_{F_*}$  and  $sv_* - tv_* \notin L(F_*)'$  for any pair  $(s, t) \in W_{F_*} \times W_{F_*}$  with  $s \neq t$ . Then as in Theorem 5.4, we see that for  $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$  the functions  $V_{F_*}(m_* : sv_*, \psi_*)$  ( $s \in W_{F_*}$ ) form a basis of  $C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*), \chi_{v_*})$ .

In the following, we assume that  $v_* = v_{F_*}$  and  $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$ . We remark that since  $v_*$  is the restriction of  $v \in \alpha^*$  to  $\alpha_0(F_*)$  it holds that  $(v_*)_\alpha = v_\alpha$  where  $(v_*)_\alpha = \langle v_*, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$  for  $\alpha \in F_*$ . Moreover we remark that  $\psi_*$  is a non-degenerate character of  $N(F_*)$  and it follows from the definition of  $\psi_{F_*}$  that  $\eta_\alpha^* = \eta_{s_*\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in F_*$ .

LEMMA 7.9. *Let  $v_* = v_{F_*}$  and  $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$ . Then it holds that*

$$\Phi_{F_*}(h_* : v_*, \psi_*) = \Phi(h : s_* v, \psi)$$

where  $h \in A(F)$ ,  $h_* = s_*^{-1} h s_* \in A(F_*)$ .

PROOF. We recall that  $\Phi(h : s_* v, \psi)$  is defined by

$$\Phi(h : s_* v, \psi) = h^{s_* v} \sum_{\mu \in L(F)} a_\mu(s_* v) h^\mu$$

where  $a_\mu$  ( $\mu \in L(F)$ ) are given by  $a_0 = 1$  and

$$(7.13) \quad (\langle \mu, \mu \rangle + 2 \langle \mu, s_* v \rangle) a_\mu(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\beta \in F} |\eta_\beta|^2 a_{\mu - 2\beta}(s_* v)$$

for  $\mu \in L(F)'$ . Since  $s_* F_* = F$  and the map  $\lambda \mapsto s_* \lambda$  is a bijection of  $L(F_*)$  onto  $L(F)$ , we can rewrite (7.13) as

$$(\langle s_* \lambda, s_* \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle s_* \lambda, s_* v \rangle) a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{s_* (\lambda - 2\alpha)}(s_* v)$$

where  $\lambda \in L(F_*)'$ . Since  $s_*$  preserves  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we have

$$(7.14) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{s_* (\lambda - 2\alpha)}(s_* v).$$

On the other hand, the recursion formula of  $a_\lambda(v_*)$  in (7.11) can be written as

$$(7.15) \quad (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda, v \rangle) a_\lambda(v) = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in F_*} |\eta_{s_* \alpha}|^2 a_{\lambda - 2\alpha}(v),$$

since  $v_* = v_{F_*}$  and  $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$ . Comparing (7.14) with (7.15), we can conclude that  $a_{s_* \lambda}(s_* v) = a_\lambda(v)$  for all  $\lambda \in L(F_*)$ . Hence

$$\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi) = h^{s_* v} \sum_{\alpha \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v) h^{s_* \alpha} = h_*^v \sum_{\alpha \in L(F_*)} a_\lambda(v) h_*^\alpha,$$

which implies the lemma.

**COROLLARY 7.10.** *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 7.9, we have*

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = V(m: s_* v, \psi)$$

where  $m \in M_F$  and  $m_* = s_*^{-1} m s_* \in M_{F_*}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $m = nhk$  be the Iwasawa decomposition of  $m$ . Then the Iwasawa decomposition of  $m_*$  is given by  $m_* = n_* h_* k_*$  where  $n_* = s_*^{-1} n s_*$ ,  $h_* = s_*^{-1} h s_*$  and  $k_* = s_*^{-1} k s_*$ . By definition, we have

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \psi_*(n_*) h_*^{\rho(F_*)} \Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*).$$

Since  $\psi_*(n_*) = \psi(n)$ ,  $h_*^{\rho(F_*)} = h^{\rho(F)}$  and  $\Phi_{F_*}(h_*: v_*, \psi_*)$  is equal to  $\Phi(h: s_* v, \psi)$ , we get

$$V_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \psi(n) h^{\rho(F)} \Phi(h: s_* v, \psi).$$

But the right hand side is clearly equal to  $V(m: s_* v, \psi)$ .

Keeping the assumption  $v_* = v_{F_*}$  and  $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$ , we shall consider the Whittaker function  $W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*)$  on  $M_{F_*}$  introduced in (6.16). Following the same line of the proof of Theorem 6.6, we can conclude that  $W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) \in C_{\psi_*}^\infty(M_{F_*}/K(F_*, \chi_{v_*}))$ . Hence it can be written as

$$(7.16) \quad W_{F_*}(m_*: v_*, \psi_*) = \sum_{s \in W_{F_*}} b_s(v_*, \psi_*) V_{F_*}(m_*: s v_*, \psi_*)$$

for suitable constants  $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$  ( $s \in W_{F_*}$ ). Since  $\psi_*$  is a non-degenerate character of  $N(F_*)$ ,  $W_{F_*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*)$  is an entire function of  $v_* \in \alpha(F_*)^*$  and satisfies the functional equations

$$W_{F_*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*) = M(s, v_*, \psi_*)W_{F_*}(m_*; sv, \psi_*)$$

for all  $s \in W_{F_*}$ . Here  $M(s, v_*, \psi_*)$  ( $s \in W_{F_*}$ ) are defined recursively, by replacing  $v$  and  $\eta$  by  $v_*$  and  $\eta_*$  respectively in (7.6) and (7.7). We remark that since  $(v_*)_\alpha = v_\alpha$  and  $\eta_\alpha^* = \eta_{s_*\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in F_*$  we may write  $e_\alpha(v_*) = e_\alpha(v)$  and

$$(7.17) \quad M(s_\alpha, v_*, \psi_*) = e_\alpha(v)e_\alpha(-v)^{-1}(\eta_{s_*\alpha}/2(2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{2v_\alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \in F_*$ . Furthermore we can deduce, as in Theorem 7.8, that the coefficients  $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$  are holomorphic in  $\Omega(F_*)'$  and they are given by

$$(7.18) \quad b_s(v_*, \psi_*) = M(s_1s, v_*, \psi_*)c_{F_*}(s_1sv)$$

where  $s_1$  is the longest element of  $W_{F_*}$  and  $c_{F_*}$  is the  $c$ -function of  $M_{F_*}$ , which is given by

$$(7.19) \quad c_{F_*}(v) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+(F_*)} c_\alpha(v).$$

LEMMA 7.11. *Let  $v_* = v_{F_*}$  and  $\psi_* = \psi_{F_*}$ . Then we have*

$$(7.20) \quad W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F_*}} c_{F_*}(s_1sv) M(s_1s, v_*, \psi_*) V(m; s_*sv, \psi)$$

for  $m \in M_F$  and  $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$ .

PROOF. We have already seen in Lemma 6.8 that  $W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v)W_{F_*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*)$  where  $m_* = s_*^{-1}ms_*$ . On the other hand, from Corollary 7.10 it follows that  $V_{F_*}(m_*; v_*, \psi_*) = V(m; s_*v, \psi)$ . Hence by (7.16), we have

$$W(m; v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F_*}} b_s(v_*, \psi_*) V(m; s_*sv, \psi).$$

Since  $b_s(v_*, \psi_*)$  ( $s \in W_{F_*}$ ) are given by (7.18), the lemma follows.

THEOREM 7.12. *Let  $\psi$  be a character of  $N$  and define  $F$  and  $F_*$  by  $F = \{\alpha \in \Pi; |\eta_\alpha| \neq 0\}$  and  $F_* = -s_0^{-1}F$ . Let  $v_*$  be the restriction of  $v \in \alpha^*$  to  $\alpha_0(F_*)$  and let  $\psi_*$  be the character of  $N(F_*)$  defined by  $\psi_*(n_*) = \psi(s_*n_*s_*^{-1})$  for  $n_* \in N(F_*)$ . Then the Whittaker function  $W(x; v, \psi)$  on  $G$  can be expressed for  $v_* \in \Omega(F_*)'$  as follows;*

$$W(x; v, \psi) = c^{F_*}(v) \sum_{s \in W_{F_*}} c_{F_*}(s_1sv) M(s_1s, v_*, \psi_*) V(x; s_*sv, \psi).$$

Here the functions  $c^{F_*}(v)$  and  $c_{F_*}(v)$  are meromorphic functions on  $\alpha^*$  given by (6.14) and (7.19) respectively. Moreover  $M(s, v_*, \psi_*)$  ( $s \in W_{F_*}$ ) are meromorphic functions of  $v_*$ , which are determined recursively as follows; if  $s = s_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in F_*$ ),

then  $M(s_\alpha, v_*, \psi_*)$  is given by (7.17) and if  $s \in W_{F_*}$  and  $\alpha \in F_*$  such that  $l(s_\alpha s) = l(s) + 1$ , then  $M(s_\alpha s, v_*, \psi_*) = M(s, v_*, \psi_*)M(s_\alpha, sv_*, \psi_*)$ . Finally the function  $V(x: v, \psi)$  on  $G$  is already introduced in (4.10).

PROOF. If we write  $x = n_1 h_1 m k$  following the decomposition  $G = N_F A_F M_F K$ , we can easily obtain

$$W(x: v, \psi) = h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_F} W(m: v, \psi)$$

and

$$V(x: s_* s v, \psi) = h_1^{s_* s v + \rho_F} V(m: s_* s v, \psi).$$

But since  $h_1^{s_* s v} = (h_1)_{s_*}^{s v}$  and  $(h_1)_{s_*} = s_*^{-1} h_1 s_* \in A_{F_*}$ , it holds that  $h_1^{s_* s v + \rho_F} = h_1^{s_* v + \rho_F}$  for all  $s \in W_{F_*}$ . Similarly since  $s_0 = s_* s_1$ , we have  $h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_F} = h_1^{s_* v + \rho_F}$ . Consequently, by Lemma 7.11 we can obtain the theorem immediately.

### § 8. An example

In this section we consider the case when  $\psi \in N^*$  such that the corresponding subset  $F(\psi)$  of  $\Pi$  consists of only one element  $\alpha$ . We will show that in this case the Whittaker function  $W(x: v, \psi)$  can be written in terms of the modified Bessel function of second kind. In what follows, we set  $F = F(\psi) = \{\alpha\}$ ,  $\beta = -s_0^{-1}\alpha$  and hence  $F_* = \{\beta\}$ .

THEOREM 8.1. Let  $\psi \in N^*$  satisfying the above condition. If we write  $h \in A$  as  $h = h_1 h_2$  where  $h_1 \in A_F$  and  $h_2 \in A(F)$ , we have

$$(8.1) \quad W(h: v, \psi) = c(v) \Gamma(-(s_0 v)_\alpha)^{-1} h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_\alpha} K(h_2: v, \psi)$$

and

$$(8.2) \quad K(h_2: v, \psi) = 2(|\eta_\alpha| / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{-(s_0 v)_\alpha} h_2^{\rho(\alpha)} K_{(s_0 v)_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h_2^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})$$

where  $\rho(\alpha) = (m(\alpha)/2 + m(2\alpha))\alpha$ ,  $\rho_\alpha = \rho - \rho(\alpha)$  and  $K_{(s_0 v)_\alpha}$  is the modified Bessel function of second kind and order  $(s_0 v)_\alpha$ .

In particular when  $G$  is of real rank one and  $F(\psi) = \Pi = \{\alpha\}$ , then for  $h \in A$  we get

$$(8.3) \quad W(h: v, \psi) = 2c_\alpha(v) \Gamma(v_\alpha)^{-1} (|\eta_\alpha| / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2})^{v_\alpha} h^\rho K_{v_\alpha}(2|\eta_\alpha| h^\alpha / (2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)^{1/2}).$$

PROOF. Put  $s_* = s_0 s_\beta^{-1}$ . Then we have already seen in Corollary 6.9 that for  $h_1 \in A_F$  and  $h_2 \in A(F)$ ,

$$(8.4) \quad W(h_1 h_2: v, \psi) = c(v) c_\beta(v)^{-1} h_1^{s_0 v + \rho_\alpha} W_{F_*}((h_2)_*: v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$$

where  $(h_2)_* = s_*^{-1} h_2 s_*$ . Here we used the facts that  $\rho_F = \rho_\alpha$  and  $c^{F_*}(v) =$

$c(v)c_\beta(v)^{-1}$ . In the following we compute  $W_{F_*}(h_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  for  $h \in A(F)$  explicitly. In the proof of Lemma 6.8 we have shown that

$$W_{F_*}(h_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*}) = h^{s_0 v + \rho(\alpha)} W_{F_*}(v_{F_*}, (\psi^h)_{F_*}),$$

which can be given by the integral

$$h^{s_0 v + \rho(\alpha)} \int_{\bar{N}(F_*)} 1_v(\bar{n}) \psi^h(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1})^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

(cf. (6.17)). Since  $F_* = \{\beta\}$ , we have  $\bar{n}_0(F_*) = \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\beta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^{-2\beta}$  and hence each  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(F_*)$  can be written uniquely as  $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$  where  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{-\beta}$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0^{-2\beta}$ . But since  $-s_0\beta = \alpha$  and hence  $\text{Ad}(s_0)\mathfrak{g}_0^{-\beta} = \mathfrak{g}_0^\alpha$ , we conclude that if  $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$ ,

$$\psi^h(s_0 \bar{n} s_0^{-1}) = \exp\{ih^\alpha \eta_\alpha(\text{Ad}(s_0)Y)\}.$$

For simplicity, we introduce a linear form  $\zeta_\beta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_0^{-\beta}$  by  $\zeta_\beta(Y) = \eta_\alpha(\text{Ad}(s_0)Y)$ . Then it is clear that  $|\zeta_\beta| = |\eta_\alpha|$ . On the other hand, G. Schiffmann showed in [10] that if  $\bar{n} = \exp(Y + Z)$ ,

$$1_v(\bar{n}) = \{(1 + 2^{-1}\langle\beta, \beta\rangle|Y|^2)^2 + 2\langle\beta, \beta\rangle|Z|^2\}^{-\mu}$$

where  $|Y|^2 = -B(Y, \theta Y)$ ,  $|Z|^2 = -B(Z, \theta Z)$  and  $\mu = (v_\beta + m(\beta)/2 + m(2\beta))/2$ . Consequently  $W_{F_*}(h_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  is given by

$$h^{s_0 v + \rho(\alpha)} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_0^{-\beta} \times \mathfrak{g}_0^{-2\beta}} \{(1 + 2^{-1}\langle\beta, \beta\rangle|Y|^2)^2 + 2\langle\beta, \beta\rangle|Z|^2\}^{-\mu} \exp\{-ih^\alpha \zeta_\beta(Y)\} dY dZ.$$

The above integral can be explicitly calculated (cf. [4]) and the result is

$$2c_\beta(v)\Gamma(v_\beta)^{-1}(|\zeta_\beta|/(2\langle\beta, \beta\rangle)^{1/2})^{v_\beta} h^{s_0 v + \rho(\alpha) + v_\beta \alpha} K_{-v_\beta}(2|\zeta_\beta|h^\alpha/(2\langle\beta, \beta\rangle)^{1/2}).$$

Since  $\beta = -s_0^{-1}\alpha$ , it follows that  $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle = \langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle$ ,  $v_\beta = -(s_0 v)_\alpha$  and hence  $h^{s_0 v + v_\beta \alpha} = 1$  for  $h \in A(F)$ . In view of the fact that  $|\zeta_\beta| = |\eta_\alpha|$ , we can deduce that  $W_{F_*}(h_* : v_{F_*}, \psi_{F_*})$  is equal to

$$c_\beta(v)\Gamma(-(s_0 v)_\alpha)^{-1}K(h : v, \psi).$$

Combining this with (8.4), we obtain (8.1). If  $G$  is of real rank one, then  $s_0 = s_\alpha$  and  $-s_0 v = v$ . Moreover since  $F = \Pi$ , it holds that  $A(F) = A$ . If we note that  $c(v) = c_\alpha(v)$  and the modified Bessel function satisfies  $K_{-v_\alpha} = K_{v_\alpha}$ , we conclude that (8.3) is a direct consequence of (8.1).

## References

- [1] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, The unramified principal series of  $p$ -adic groups II; The Whittaker function, *Compositio Math.*, **41** (1980), 387–406.
- [2] R. Goodman and N. Wallach, Whittaker vectors and conical vectors, *J. Functional Analysis*, **39** (1980), 199–279.
- [3] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semi-simple Lie group I, *Amer. J. Math.*, **80** (1958), 241–310, II, *ibid.*, 553–613.
- [4] M. Hashizume, Whittaker models for real reductive groups, *Japan. J. Math.*, **5** (1979), 349–401.
- [5] S. Helgason, A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, *Advances in Math.*, **5** (1970), 1–154.
- [6] H. Jacquet, Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley, *Eull. Soc. Math. France*, **95** (1967), 243–309.
- [7] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands, Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$ , *Lecture Notes in Math.* No. 114, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [8] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika, Automorphic forms on  $GL(3)$  I, *Ann. of Math.*, **109** (1979), 169–212, II, *ibid.*, 213–258.
- [9] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, *Inventiones Math.*, **48** (1978), 101–184.
- [10] G. Schiffmann, Intégrales d'entrelacement et fonctions de Whittaker, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **99** (1971), 3–72.
- [11] F. Shahidi, Whittaker models for real groups, *Duke Math. J.*, **47** (1980), 99–125.
- [12] J. Shalika, The multiplicity one theorem for  $GL(n)$ , *Ann. of Math.*, **100** (1974), 171–193.
- [13] T. Shintani, On an explicit formula for class 1 Whittaker functions on  $GL_n$  over  $p$ -adic fields, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **52** (1976), 180–182.
- [14] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups Vols. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*

