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#### Abstract

In the present paper static and dynamical one-dimensional models for elastic rods are constructed. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the corresponding boundary and initial boundary value problems are proved, the rate of approximation of the solutions to the original three-dimensional problems by vectorfunctions restored from the solutions of one-dimensional problems is estimated.


## 1. Introduction

Hierarchic modelling is widely used while constructing the lowerdimensional models in the theory of elasticity and mathematical physics ([1-3]). In the paper [4] I. Vekua proposed a new method of constructing the hierarchic two-dimensional models of elastic prismatic shells. In the static case the lowerdimensional model obtained in [4] first was investigated in the papers [5, 6]. More precisely, in [5] the estimate of accuracy was obtained in $C^{k}$ spaces and existence and uniqueness of solution to the reduced two-dimensional boundary value problem in Sobolev spaces were studied in [6]. Further, static and dynamical two-dimensional hierarchical models for prismatic shells constructed by I. Vekua's reduction method were investigated using variational approach and modelling error estimates in Sobolev spaces were obtained in the paper [7]. Various lower-dimensional hierarchical models in mathematical physics were constructed and investigated in [8-18].

Generalizing an idea of I. Vekua, one-dimensional models for linearly elastic rods were obtained in [19, 20]. In the paper [19], expanding fields of displaycements, strains and stresses of the three-dimensional elastic body into double Fourier-Legendre series, one-dimensional mathematical models of bars were constructed. Note that in [19] main relations were obtained in the spaces of classical regular functions. Different approach were used in [20], where a hierarchy of static one-dimensional models was obtained in Sobolev spaces

[^0]directly from the variational formulation of the three-dimensional problem and the results of investigation of the constructed hierarchy were announced.

In the present paper we extend the methodology developed in [7] for elastic rods with variable cross-sections. We construct the one-dimensional models of the static and dynamical problems for elastic rod, prove existence and uniqueness of solutions to the corresponding boundary and initial boundary value problems. Moreover, we establish convergence in suitable spaces of the sequences of approximate solutions to the exact solutions of the original threedimensional problems.

In order to simplify notations throughout the paper we assume that the indices $i, j, p, q$ take their values in the set $\{1,2,3\}$, while the indices $\alpha, \beta$ vary in the set $\{1,2\}$ and the repeated index convention is used in conjunction with these rules. The partial derivative with respect to the $p$-th argument $\partial / \partial x_{p}$ we denote by $\partial_{p}$. For any Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbf{R}^{s}, L^{2}(D)$ denotes the space of real-valued square-integrable functions in $D$ in the Lebesgue sense, $H^{m}(D)=W^{m, 2}(D)$ denotes the Sobolev space of order $m, H_{0}^{m}(D)$ is the closure of the set of infinitely differentiable functions $C_{0}^{\infty}(D)$ with compact support in $D$ in the space $H^{m}(D)$, and the spaces of vector-functions we denote by $\mathbf{H}^{m}(D)=\left[H^{m}(D)\right]^{3}, \mathbf{H}_{0}^{m}(D)=\left[H_{0}^{m}(D)\right]^{3}, \mathbf{L}^{2}(D)=\left[L^{2}(D)\right]^{3}, s, m \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let us consider an elastic rod with initial configuration $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} ; h_{\alpha}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right)<x_{\alpha}<h_{\alpha}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right), x_{3} \in I=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)\right\}, \\
& h_{1}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)>h_{1}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right), \quad h_{2}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)>h_{2}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right), \quad \forall x_{3} \in\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right], h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm} \in C^{1}\left(\left[d_{1}, d_{2}\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{1}<d_{2}, \Omega$ is a Lipschitz domain ([21]) and $\bar{\Omega}$ denotes the closure of the set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$. The upper surface of the $\operatorname{rod}\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega} ; x_{3}=d_{2}\right\}$ we denote by $\Gamma_{2}$ and the rest part of the boundary $\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{2}$ is denoted by $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

We suppose that the material constituting the rod is linearly elastic, homogeneous and isotropic with Lamé constants $\lambda, \mu$. The rod is clamped along the upper surface $\Gamma_{2}$. The density of the applied body forces acting on the rod we denote by $\boldsymbol{f}=\left(f_{i}\right): \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ and applied surface force density is denoted by $g=\left(g_{i}\right): \tilde{\Gamma} \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$. The linear three-dimensional model of the rod has the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left\{\lambda e_{p p}(\boldsymbol{u}) \delta_{i j}+2 \mu e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right\}=f_{i}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega_{T},  \tag{1.1}\\
\boldsymbol{u}(x, 0)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x), \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}(x, 0)=\boldsymbol{\psi}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
\boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \times[0, T],
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\lambda e_{p p}(\boldsymbol{u}) \delta_{i j}+2 \mu e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right) v_{j}=g_{i}, \quad \text { on } \tilde{\Gamma} \times[0, T] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), \boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{i}\right): \bar{\Omega}_{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ is the unknown displacement vector function, $\varphi, \boldsymbol{\psi}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ are the initial displacement and velocity vector fields of the rod, $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{j}\right)$ denotes the outward unit normal to the boundary $\tilde{\Gamma}, \delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta and $\boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{u})=\left\{e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right\}$ is the deformation tensor

$$
e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right), \quad i, j=1,2,3 .
$$

In Section 2 we consider the static case of problem (1.1)-(1.3), construct one-dimensional model of the rod and investigate convergence of the sequence of vector functions restored from the solutions of the corresponding boundary value problems to the solution of the original three-dimensional problem. Section 3 is devoted to study of dynamical problem (1.1)-(1.3), where we construct and investigate a hierarchy of dynamical one-dimensional models for the elastic rod.

## 2. Static boundary value problem

As we referred in the introduction in this section, we study the static case of problem (1.1)-(1.3), which admits the following variational formulation: find a vector function $\boldsymbol{u} \in V(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega) ; \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0}\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{2}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=L^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda e_{p p}(\boldsymbol{u}) e_{q q}(\boldsymbol{v})+2 \mu e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u}) e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{v})\right) d x, \\
L^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v})=\int_{\Omega} f_{i} v_{i} d x+\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} g_{i} v_{i} d \tilde{\Gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

The variational method of investigation of static problem (2.1) in the theory of linear elasticity is based on Korn's inequality first proved in [22]. Later on, many interesting papers were devoted to proof of Korn's type inequalities in various domains ([23-26]). Note that Korn's inequlaity directly follows from lemma of J.-L. Lions, which was proved for Lipschitz domains in [27, 28]. According to Korn's inequality, there exists a positive constant $c=$ const $>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{\Omega} v_{i} v_{i} d x+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \int_{\Omega} e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{v}) e_{i j}(\boldsymbol{v}) d x \geq c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Applying this inequality, it can be proved that for Lamé constants $\lambda, \mu$ satisfying conditions $\mu>0,2 \mu+3 \lambda>0$, the bilinear form $B^{\Omega}(.,$.$) is co-$ ercive in $V(\Omega)$, i.e. $B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}) \geq c_{\Omega}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}, c_{\Omega}=$ const $>0$, for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega)$. Consequently, from Lax-Milgram theorem ([29]) it follows that threedimensional problem (2.1) has a unique solution if $\mu>0,2 \mu+3 \lambda>0$, $f \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega), g \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})$, which is also a unique solution of the following minimization problem: find $\boldsymbol{u} \in V(\Omega)$ such that

$$
J^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u})=\inf _{v \in V(\Omega)} J^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}), \quad J^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v})=\frac{1}{2} B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})-L^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega)
$$

In order to reduce three-dimensional problem (2.1) to one-dimensional problem, let us consider equation (2.1) on the subspace of $V(\Omega)$, which consists of polynomials of degree $N_{1}, N_{2}$ with respect to the variables $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{v}^{k_{1}}\left(a_{1} x_{1}-b_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(a_{2} x_{2}-b_{2}\right)
$$

where ${ }^{k_{1} k_{2}}=\binom{k_{1} k_{2}}{v_{i}} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(I), k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}, a_{\alpha}=\frac{2}{h_{\alpha}^{+}-h_{\alpha}^{-}}, b_{\alpha}=\frac{h_{\alpha}^{+}+h_{\alpha}^{-}}{h_{\alpha}^{+}-h_{\alpha}^{-}}$, $\alpha=1,2$, and $P_{k}$ is the Legendre polynomial of order $k \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ ([30]). Hence we obtain the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
& k_{1} k_{2} \\
&\left.\boldsymbol{v}_{2} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(I),{ }^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0} \text { for } x_{3}=d_{2}, k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$\omega_{\alpha}=a_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}-b_{\alpha}, \alpha=1,2$. In problem (2.2) the unknown is the vector function $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$,

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore we have to find the vector function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{0}, \ldots, N_{\boldsymbol{N}_{1} N_{2}}^{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)= & \left\{\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{00}{\boldsymbol{v}}, \ldots, \stackrel{N}{1}_{N_{2}}^{\boldsymbol{v}}\right) ; \stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(I), \stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathbf{0}\right. \\
& \text { for } \left.x_{3}=d_{2}, k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}, L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}$ are the forms $B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)$ and $L^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)$, which are written in terms of the components ${\underset{w}{w}}_{\substack{k_{2} \\ k_{2}}}$ and $\stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{v}_{2}}$ of $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ and $\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$.

Thus, three-dimensional problem (2.1) have been reduced to onedimensional problem, for which the following theorem is true.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that Lamé constants satisfy conditions $\mu>0$, $2 \mu+3 \lambda>0$ and $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega), \boldsymbol{g} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})$, then reduced one-dimensional problem (2.3) has a unique solution, which is also a unique solution of the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), \quad J_{N_{1} N_{2}}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=\inf _{\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)} J_{N_{1} N_{2}}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \\
J_{N_{1} N_{2}}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)-L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. In order to prove the theorem first let us show that $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ is a closed subset of $V(\Omega)$. Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{(l)}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in the space $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{(l)}-\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{(m)}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } l, m \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{(l)}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the space $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ and the orthogonality of the Legendre polynomials imply that $\left\{\begin{array}{c}k_{1} k_{2}(l)\end{array}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}, 0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}$, $0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}$, are Cauchy sequences in the space $\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \| \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
k_{1} k_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}
\end{array} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right. \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \partial_{3}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}}\right\|_{\boldsymbol{v}_{2}}^{2} \|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \quad+\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the argument. Applying the last inequality, we obtain that $\left\{\binom{k_{1} k_{2}(l)}{\boldsymbol{v}^{(l)}}^{\prime}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences in the space $\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\left(0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}, 0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}\right)$.

Therefore $\left\{\left\{_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{v}_{1} k_{2}(l)}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}\right.$ are Cauchy sequences in the space $\mathbf{H}^{1}(I)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}^{k_{1} k_{2}}{ }^{(l)} \rightarrow \underset{\mathbf{z}}{k_{1} k_{2}} & \text { in } \mathbf{H}^{1}(I), \text { as } l \rightarrow \infty, \\
k_{1} k_{\mathbf{v}}^{(l)} & =\mathbf{0},
\end{array} \quad \text { for } x_{3}=d_{2}, 0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}, 0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}, ~ l
$$

from which it immediately follows that

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{(l)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{z}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \quad \text { in } V(\Omega), \text { as } l \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $z_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
z_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) .
$$

So, the space $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ is closed and taking into account that $V(\Omega)$ is complete, we obtain that $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ and $\vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)$ are Hilbert spaces.

Since $B^{\Omega}$ is coercive in $V(\Omega)$, we have that it is coercive in the subspace $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega) \subset V(\Omega)$. Hence the bilinear form $B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}(.,$.$) is coercive in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)$ and applying Lax-Milgram theorem we obtain that problem (2.3) has a unique solution, which is a unique solution of energy functional $J_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ minimization problem.

So, we have investigated the well-posedness of the obtained onedimensional problems. Now, let us prove the following approximation theorem.

Theorem 2.2. If conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold, then the vector function $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} \boldsymbol{w}^{k_{2}}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ corresponding to the solution $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{00}, \ldots,{ }^{N_{1} N_{2}} \boldsymbol{w}^{2}\right)$ of reduced problem (2.3) tends to the solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of three-dimensional problem (2.1) $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}$ in the space $\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{s, s, 1}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega) ; \partial_{\alpha}^{k} \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega), 0 \leq\right.$ $k \leq s-1, \alpha=1,2\}, s \geq 2$, then the following estimate is valid

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \delta_{1}\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{1}\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, if $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{s, s, 1}(\Omega)}^{2}$ $=\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left\|\partial_{\alpha}^{k} \boldsymbol{u}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq c$, where $c$ is independent of $h_{1}=\max _{x_{3} \in \bar{I}}\left(h_{1}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)-h_{1}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$, $h_{2}=\max _{x_{3} \in \bar{I}}\left(h_{2}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)-h_{2}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$, then the following estimate holds

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \delta_{2}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{2}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty,\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2}=B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega)$.
Proof. From Theorem 2.1 we have that $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ is a solution of the minimization problem of energy functional $J_{N_{1} N_{2}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N_{1} N_{2}}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \leq J_{N_{1} N_{2}}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) & =B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), \\
L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) & =L^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{v}^{2} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$, then applying
(2.5), we have

$$
B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \leq B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u})-2 L^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) .
$$

From the last inequality we obtain, that for all $\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \leq B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the trace theorems for Sobolev spaces ([21]), for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega), \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0}$ on $\Gamma_{2}$, there exists continuation $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ of the vector function $\boldsymbol{v}$, where $\Omega_{1} \supset \Omega, \partial \Omega_{1} \supset \Gamma_{2}$. From the density of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, we obtain that the set of infinitely differentiable functions in $\Omega$, which are equal to zero on $\Gamma_{2}$, is dense in $V(\Omega)$. The relations, which we obtain below to prove the estimates of the theorem, imply that $\bigcup_{N_{1}, N_{2} \geq 0} V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ is dense in $V(\Omega)$ and thus $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}$ in the space $\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us estimate the rate of approximation of $\boldsymbol{u}$ by $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$, if $\partial_{\alpha}^{k} \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega), 0 \leq k \leq s-1, s \geq 2$. Denote by

$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\boldsymbol{u}-\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right),
$$

where ${ }^{k_{1} k^{2}} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}=\int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, 0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}, 0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}$.
Applying the following recurrence relations for the Legendre polynomials ([30])

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{r}(t)=\frac{1}{2 r+1}\left(P_{r+1}^{\prime}(t)-P_{r-1}^{\prime}(t)\right), & r \geq 1  \tag{2.7}\\
t P_{r}^{\prime}(t)=P_{r+1}^{\prime}(t)-(r+1) P_{r}(t), & r \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

we infer, that for almost all $x_{3} \in I$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{k_{1} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{u}^{2}}=\frac{\tilde{h}_{1}}{2 k_{1}+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
k_{1}-1, k_{2} \\
\partial_{1} \boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}-\stackrel{k_{1}+1, k_{2}}{\partial_{1} \boldsymbol{u}}\right)=\frac{\tilde{h}_{2}}{2 k_{2}+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
k_{1}, k_{2}-1 \\
\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}-\stackrel{k_{1}, k_{2}+1}{\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{u}}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\left(\bar{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \partial_{2}^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{u}+\left(\tilde{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{\tilde{h}_{2}}{ }^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{k _ { 2 }}+{ }^{k_{1}, k_{2}+1} \hat{\partial}_{2} \boldsymbol{u}\right)\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad \tilde{h}_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\alpha}^{+}-h_{\alpha}^{-}\right), \quad \bar{h}_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\alpha}^{+}+h_{\alpha}^{-}\right), \quad \alpha=1,2$. Applying the formulas (2.7)-(2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{1}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\partial_{1}}\right)_{1} \boldsymbol{u}_{2} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& -\sum_{k_{1}=N_{1}}^{N_{1}+1} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{2 \tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{1} \boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}-1} \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\hat{l}_{2} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& \left.-\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=N_{2}}^{N_{2}+1} \frac{1}{2 \tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)_{\partial_{2}}^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_{k_{2}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{3}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \partial_{3}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}{ }^{k_{1} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& -\sum_{k_{1}=1}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{u}^{2}}\left(\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{1}}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\tilde{h}_{1}\right)^{\prime}\left(k_{1} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)+P_{k_{1}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right)\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& -\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=1}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{u}_{2}}\left(\left(\bar{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\tilde{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(k_{2} P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)+P_{k_{2}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right)\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{3} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \frac{\left(\bar{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime}}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(N_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right){ }^{\frac{k_{1} N}{} N_{2}} \hat{\partial}_{2} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{N_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right)^{\prime}}{\tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(N_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{N_{1} k_{2}} \partial_{1} \boldsymbol{u} P_{N_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k_{1}=N_{1}}^{N_{1}+1} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{2 \tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1}} \partial_{1} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}\left(\left(\bar{h}_{1}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{1}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\left(\tilde{h}_{1}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{1}}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{k_{2}=N_{2}}^{N_{2}+1} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \frac{1}{2 \tilde{h}_{1} \tilde{h}_{2}}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{\partial}_{2} \boldsymbol{u}\left(\left(\bar{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{2}-1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)+\left(\tilde{h}_{2}\right)^{\prime} P_{k_{2}}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, taking into account the expressions for derivatives of the Legendre polynomials

$$
P_{r}^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{r+k}\right) P_{k}(t), \quad r \geq 1
$$

and $\int_{-1}^{1}\left|P_{r}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-(-1)^{k+r}\right)^{2}=r(r+1), r \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}= & \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K_{N_{1}+1, N_{2}+1}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|^{k_{1} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3}, \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}= & \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K_{N_{1}, N_{2}+1}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|\partial_{1} \boldsymbol{l _ { 1 }}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3} \\
& +\sum_{k_{1}=N_{1}}^{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{1}+1} \int_{I}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{k_{1}}{4}\left(k_{1}-1\right)\left\|\partial_{1} \boldsymbol{u}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3}, \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}= & \sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K_{N_{1}+1, N_{2}}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\partial _ { 1 }}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3} \\
& +\sum_{k_{2}=N_{2}}^{N_{2}+1} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{k_{2}}{4}\left(k_{2}-1\right)\| \|_{2} k_{2} \boldsymbol{u} \|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq 5\left(\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K_{N_{1}+1, N_{2}+1}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{u}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{k_{1} k_{2}} d x_{3}\right. \\
&+\sum_{k_{1}=N_{1}}^{N_{1}+1} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{N_{1}+1}{4}\left(\left(2 k_{1}-N_{1}\right)\left(\tilde{h}_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(3 N_{1}-2 k_{1}+2\right)\left(\bar{h}_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\left\|\partial_{1} \boldsymbol{u}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{k_{1} k_{2}} d x_{3} \\
&+\sum_{k_{2}=N_{2}}^{N_{2}+1} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \int_{I} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{N_{2}+1}{4}\left(\left(2 k_{2}-N_{2}\right)\left(\tilde{h}_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(3 N_{2}-2 k_{2}+2\right)\left(\bar{h}_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)\left\|\left\|_{2} \boldsymbol{z}_{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}\right\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}^{2} d x_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{N_{1}, N_{2}}=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} ; k_{1} \geq N_{1}\right.$ or $\left.k_{2} \geq N_{2}\right\},\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{R}^{3}}$ denotes the norm in Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{3}$.

Therefore applying (2.8), we infer that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \delta\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \delta\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=1,2,3, \delta\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.
From (2.6) and coerciveness of the bilinear form $B^{\Omega}(.,$.$) we obtain$

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \delta_{1}\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{1}\left(h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.
In addition, if $\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left\|\partial_{\alpha}^{k} \boldsymbol{u}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq c$, where $c$ is independent of $h_{1}, h_{2}$, then from (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2 s}}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2 s}}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \bar{\delta}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \\
\left\|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{N_{1} N_{2}}}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \bar{\delta}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $i=\overline{1,3}, \bar{\delta}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. From the latter inequalities, taking into account (2.6), we obtain the second estimate of the theorem

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{1}^{2 s-3}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2(s-1)}}{N_{2}^{2 s-3}}\right) \delta_{2}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{2}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty,\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{E(\Omega)}=\sqrt{B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})}$.

## 3. Dynamical initial boundary value problem

In the present section we construct a hierarchy of dynamical onedimensional models of elastic rod and investigate the corresponding initial boundary value problems. In addition, we prove, that the sequence of vector functions restored from the solutions of the reduced problems converges to the solution of the original three-dimensional problem.

Let us consider initial boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3), the weak formulation of which is of the following form: Find the unknown vector function $\boldsymbol{u} \in C^{0}([0, T] ; V(\Omega)), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, which satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{v}\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})=L^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$ together with the following initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \quad \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(0)=\boldsymbol{\psi} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in V(\Omega), \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $C^{0}([0, T] ; H)$ is a space of continuous vector functions from $[0, T]$ to a Banach space $H$. Note that each $\zeta \in C^{0}([0, T] ; H)$ can be identified with distribution in $(0, T)$ with values in $H$ and its generalized derivative we denote by $\zeta^{\prime}$.

The formulated three-dimensional dynamical problem (3.1), (3.2) has a unique solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ if $2 \mu+3 \lambda>0, \quad \mu>0, \quad \boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T)), \quad \boldsymbol{g}, \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial t} \in$ $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma} \times(0, T))$, which satisfies the following energy equality: for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))=(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\psi})_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u})(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u})(t)= & 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\boldsymbol{f}(\tau), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau+2(\boldsymbol{g}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})} \\
& -2(\boldsymbol{g}(0), \boldsymbol{u}(0))_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial t}(\tau), \boldsymbol{u}(\tau)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})} d \tau, \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case of static problem, to reduce three-dimensional problem (3.1), (3.2) to a hierarchy of one-dimensional problems, let us consider equation (3.1)
on the subspace $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)\left(V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)\right.$ is defined in Section 2) and take $\varphi, \boldsymbol{\psi}$ from the subspaces $V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ and $H_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)= & \left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{v}_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(I), \omega_{\alpha}=a_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}-b_{\alpha}, \alpha=1,2, k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain the following problem: Find $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in C^{0}([0, T]$; $\left.V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)\right), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; H_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)\right)$, which satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=L^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$, in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$, together with the following initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)=\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega), \psi_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in H_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$.
Note, that problem (3.3), (3.4) is equivalent to the following one: Find a vector function $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\underset{\boldsymbol{w}}{00}, \ldots,{ }^{N_{1} N_{2}} \boldsymbol{w}^{2}\right) \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)\right), \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime} \in C^{0}([0, T] ;$ $\left.\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}\right)$, which satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(\mathbf{M} \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]}\right]^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}+B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\quad=L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I),
\end{gather*}
$$

in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$, together with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)=\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \quad \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)=\vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{00}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \ldots,{\stackrel{N}{1} N_{2}}_{\varphi}^{\varphi}\right) \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), \quad \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{00}{\boldsymbol{\psi}}, \ldots,,^{N_{1} N_{2}} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right) \in\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}, \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right){ }^{k_{1} k_{2}} P^{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \\
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathbf{M} \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(M_{00}{ }^{00}, \ldots, M_{N_{1} N_{2}}{ }^{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad M_{k_{1} k_{2}}=a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right),
$$

$k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}$ and $B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{Q}, L_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{Q}$ are defined in Section 2.
So, we have obtained a hierarchy of dynamical one-dimensional models of the rod. In order to investigate initial boundary value problem (3.5), (3.6) let us consider more general variational problem and formulate theorem on the existence and uniqueness of its solution, from which we obtain the corresponding result for reduced problem (3.5), (3.6).

Let $V$ and $H$ be separable real Hilbert spaces, $V$ is dense in $H$ and is continuously imbedded in it. The dual space of $V$ we denote by $V^{\prime}$ and $H$ is identified with its dual with respect to the scalar product in $H$, then $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V^{\prime}$ with continuous and dense imbeddings. The duality relation between the spaces $V^{\prime}$ and $V$ we denote by $\langle.,$.$\rangle .$

Assume that $A, B, L$ are linear continuous operators, such that

$$
B=B_{1}+B_{2}, \quad B_{1} \in \mathfrak{L}\left(V ; V^{\prime}\right), B_{2} \in \mathfrak{Q}(V ; H) \cap \mathfrak{Q}\left(H ; V^{\prime}\right), A, L \in \mathfrak{L}(H ; H),
$$

$B_{1}$ is self-adjoint and $B_{1}+\beta_{1} I$ is coercive for some real number $\beta_{1}, A$ is selfadjoint and coercive, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}(u, v)=b_{1}(v, u), \quad\left|b_{1}(u, v)\right| \leq c_{b_{1}}\|u\|_{V}\|v\|_{V}, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \\
& b_{1}(u, u) \geq \beta\|u\|_{V}^{2}-\beta_{1}\|u\|_{H}^{2}, \quad \beta>0, \\
& \left|b_{2}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\right| \leq \begin{cases}c_{b_{2}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{V}\|\tilde{v}\|_{H}, & \forall \tilde{u} \in V, \tilde{v} \in H, \\
c_{b_{2}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{H}\|\tilde{v}\|_{V}, & \forall \tilde{u} \in H, \tilde{v} \in V,\end{cases}  \tag{3.7}\\
& a\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=a\left(v_{1}, u_{1}\right), \quad a\left(u_{1}, u_{1}\right) \geq \alpha\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H}^{2}, \quad \alpha>0, \\
& \left|a\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right| \leq c_{a}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H}\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{H}, \quad\left|l\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right| \leq c_{l}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H}\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{H}, \quad \forall u_{1}, v_{1} \in H,
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{1}(u, v)=\left\langle B_{1} u, v\right\rangle, b_{2}(u, v)=\left\langle B_{2} u, v\right\rangle, l\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=\left(L u_{1}, v_{1}\right)_{H}, a\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=$ $\left(A u_{1}, v_{1}\right)_{H}, b(u, v)=b_{1}(u, v)+b_{2}(u, v)$, for all $u, v \in V, u_{1}, v_{1} \in H$.

Let us consider the following variational problem: Find a vector function $z \in C^{0}([0, T] ; V), z^{\prime} \in C^{0}([0, T] ; H)$, which satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} a\left(z^{\prime}, v\right)+b(z, v)+l\left(z^{\prime}, v\right)=(F, v)_{H}+\langle\tilde{F}, v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in V \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of distributions in $(0, T)$, together with the following initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0)=z_{0}, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=z_{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0} \in V, z_{1} \in H, F \in L^{2}(0, T ; H), \tilde{F}, \tilde{F}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$.

For the formulated problem the following theorem is true.
Theorem 3.1. If conditions (3.7) are satisfied, then problem (3.8), (3.9) possesses a unique solution, which satisfies the energy equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(z^{\prime}(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right)+b_{1}(z(t), z(t))+2 \int_{0}^{t} b_{2}\left(z(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau+2 \int_{0}^{t} l\left(z^{\prime}(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau \\
&= a\left(z_{1}, z_{1}\right)+b_{1}\left(z_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(F(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right)_{H} d \tau+2\langle\tilde{F}(t), z(t)\rangle \\
&-2\left\langle\tilde{F}(0), z_{0}\right\rangle-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\tilde{F}^{\prime}(\tau), z(\tau)\right\rangle d \tau, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The existence result of Theorem 3.1 can be proved in a standard way applying Faedo-Galerkin's method (Chap. 18, sect. 5 of [31]), while the energy equality can be obtained through the usual regularization and limiting procedure.

Applying Theorem 3.1 for one-dimensional problem (3.5), (3.6), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that Lamé constants satisfy conditions $2 \mu+3 \lambda>0$, $\mu>0$ and $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T)), \boldsymbol{g}, \partial \boldsymbol{g} / \partial t \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma} \times(0, T)), \vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ $\in\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}$, then problem (3.5), (3.6) has a unique solution $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ and the following energy equality is valid

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)}+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)(t), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The formulated theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, it suffices to take $V=\vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I), H=\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
z(t)=\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \quad v=\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \quad z_{0}=\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \quad z_{1}=\vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \\
b_{1}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad b_{2} \equiv 0, \quad l \equiv 0, \\
a\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=\left(\mathbf{M} \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]{ }^{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)},} \quad F=\left({ }_{1}^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{F}\right), \\
\stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{F}}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \boldsymbol{f} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \\
\left\langle\tilde{\boldsymbol{F}}, \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\rangle=\left(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}, \quad \forall \vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that since the norm $\left.\|\cdot\|_{\left[\mathbf{H}^{1}(I)\right]}\right]_{\left.N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}$ in the space $\vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{*},\left\|\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{*}=\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}$, where $\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$
corresponds to $\vec{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in \vec{V}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(I)$, then all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Therefore problem (3.5), (3.6) has a unique solution, $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ satisfies the energy equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathbf{M} \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t), \vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]}\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right) \\
&=\left(\mathbf{M} \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{\psi}_{\left.N_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{2}}^{\Omega}\right)_{\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}(I)\right]}\left(\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \vec{w}_{\left.N_{1} N_{2}+1\right)\left(N_{2}+1\right)}+B_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\Omega}\left(\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)\right. \\
&+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)} d \tau+2\left(\boldsymbol{g}(t), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}-2\left(\boldsymbol{g}(0), \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})} \\
& \quad-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial t}(\tau), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\tau)\right)_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})} d \tau, \quad \forall t \in[0, T],
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to equality (3.10).
Thus, we have reduced three-dimensional problem (3.1), (3.2) to onedimensional problem (3.5), (3.6) and have proved the existence and uniqueness of its solution. Now we estimate the rate of approximation of the exact solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the three-dimensional problem by the vector functions $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ restored from the solutions $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ of the reduced problems. For simplicity of notes we denote by $\|$.$\| and |$.$| norms in the spaces V(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, respectively, and the scalar product in $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ we denote by $(.,$.$) .$

Theorem 3.3. If conditions of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ corresponding to $\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ tend to $\varphi, \psi$ in the spaces $V(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, respectively, then the vector function $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ corresponding to the solution $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)=\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{00}(t), \ldots,{ }^{N_{1} \boldsymbol{w}^{2}}(t)\right)$ of reduced problem (3.5), (3.6) tends to the solution $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ of three-dimensional problem (3.1), (3.2) in the space $V(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}(t) & \text { strongly in } V(\Omega), \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t) & \text { strongly in } \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega),
\end{array} \quad \text { as } \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty, \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

Moreover, if components of $\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ are moments of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}$ with respect to the Legendre polynomials, i.e. $\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{00}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \ldots,{ }_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\varphi}\right), \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left({ }_{\boldsymbol{\psi}}^{\boldsymbol{\psi}}, \ldots,{ }^{N_{1} N_{2}} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)$,

$$
{ }^{k_{1} k_{2}} \varphi^{2}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \varphi P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \quad k_{1} k_{2}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \psi P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2},
$$

$k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}$, and $\boldsymbol{u}$ satisfies additional regularity properties with respect to the spatial variables $\boldsymbol{u} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{0}, s_{0}, 1}(\Omega)\right)$, $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{1}, s_{1}, 1}(\Omega)\right)$, $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{2}, s_{2}, 1}(\Omega)\right), s_{0} \geq s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq 1, s_{1} \geq 2$, then the following estimate is valid: $\quad s=\min \left\{s_{2}, s_{1}-3 / 2\right\}$,

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \eta\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
$$

where $\eta\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. If additionally the following conditions are fulfilled $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}_{0}^{s_{0}, s_{0}, 1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq \tilde{c}$, $\left\|\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{1}, s_{1}, 1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq \tilde{c}$, $\left\|\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{2}, s_{2}, 1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq \tilde{c}$, where $\tilde{c}$ is independent of $h_{1}=\max _{x_{3} \in \bar{I}}\left(h_{1}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)-h_{1}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$ and $h_{2}=\max _{x_{3} \in \bar{I}}\left(h_{2}^{+}\left(x_{3}\right)-h_{2}^{-}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$, then

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2 \bar{s}}}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2 \bar{s}}}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \bar{\eta}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
$$

where $\bar{\eta}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty, \bar{s}=\min \left\{s_{2}, s_{1}-1\right\}$.
Proof. From Theorem 3.2 we have, that the vector function $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ corresponding to the solution $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ of reduced problem (3.5), (3.6) satisfies energy equality (3.10) and since $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in $V(\Omega), \boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\psi}$ in $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$, for all $t \in[0, T]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq c_{1}\left(|\boldsymbol{\psi}|^{2}+\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}|\boldsymbol{f}(\tau)|^{2} d \tau+\|\boldsymbol{g}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|\boldsymbol{g}(0)\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial t}(\tau)\right\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2}(\tilde{\Gamma})}^{2} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\tau)\right\|^{2}\right) d \tau\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma ([32]), from the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}<c_{2}, \quad \forall N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbf{N}, t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be pointed out, that the method of constructing of the approximate solutions $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\}$ doesn't coincide with Faedo-Galerkin's method, because for each pair $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ the unknown vector functions ${ }_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{k_{1} k_{2}}\left(0 \leq k_{1} \leq N_{1}\right.$, $0 \leq k_{2} \leq N_{2}$ ) depend on two variables. However, in order to prove strong pointwise with respect to the variable $t$ convergence of the sequence of approximate solutions $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right\}$ it is possible to use the arguments which are applied to prove the same property when the approximate solutions are constructed by Faedo-Galerkin's method (Chap. 18, sect. 5 of [31]). Therefore we present only the scheme of the proof.

Since the sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\}$ satisfies (3.11), it is bounded in the space $L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$, while $\left\{\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ belongs to the bounded set of the space $L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Hence, taking into account the density of the union $\bigcup_{N_{1}, N_{2} \geq 0} V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)$ in $V(\Omega)$, we obtain that as
$\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$, $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; V(\Omega)), \text { weakly-* in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega)), \\
& \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right) \text {, weakly-* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying energy equalities for $\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$, we obtain the following equality for their difference $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)=\boldsymbol{u}(t)-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}\right. & \left.(t), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
= & \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)\right) \\
& +\tilde{L}^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)(t)+2 \tilde{J}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)= & \left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(0), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}(0), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)\right)-B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right) \\
& -\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ are solutions of problems (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), (3.4), respectively, from (3.11) we obtain that for any fixed $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}(t) & \text { weakly in } V(\Omega), \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t) & \text { weakly in } \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega),
\end{array} \quad \text { as } \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Applying the energy equality for $\boldsymbol{u}$ and passing to the limit in $J_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)$ as $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ tend to infinity, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{J}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t) \rightarrow & \left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(0), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(0)\right)+B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}(0), \boldsymbol{u}(0))+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u})(t)  \tag{3.14}\\
& -\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t)\right)-B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u}(t), \boldsymbol{u}(t))=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (3.13) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq & c_{3}\left(2 \tilde{J}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)+\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)\right)\right.  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left.+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)\right)+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)(t)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the conditions of the theorem it follows that $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ strongly in $V(\Omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ strongly in $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega)$. Applying (3.12), (3.14), we obtain

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)\right)+2 \tilde{J}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)+\tilde{L}^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)(t) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$, and from (3.15) we have

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore, for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}(t) & \text { strongly in } V(\Omega), \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t) & \text { strongly in } \mathbf{L}^{2}(\Omega),
\end{array} \quad \text { as } \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Now we prove the estimates of the theorem. The solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the threedimensional problem satisfies equation (3.1) for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega)$ and hence satisfies it for all $\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega) \subset V(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=L^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)
$$

Since the vector function $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ corresponds to the solution $\vec{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ of problem (3.5), (3.6) and satisfies equation (3.3), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)=0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega)
$$

Suppose that $\boldsymbol{u} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{0}, s_{0}, 1}(\Omega)\right), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{1}, s_{1}, 1}(\Omega)\right), \quad \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}(0, T$; $\left.\mathbf{H}^{s_{2}, s_{2}, 1}(\Omega)\right), s_{0} \geq s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq 1, s_{1} \geq 2$. From the regularity theorems we obtain $\boldsymbol{u} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{1}, s_{1}, 1}(\Omega)\right), \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{2}, s_{2}, 1}(\Omega)\right)$. Let us consider the Fourier-Legendre expansion of the vector function $\boldsymbol{u}$ with respect to the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}$. We denote by $\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ the piece of series, consisting of the first $N_{1}+N_{2}+2$ terms, while the remainder term is denoted by $\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}$, i.e. $\boldsymbol{u}=$ $\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}+\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} a_{1} a_{2}\left(k_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(k_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k_{1} k_{2}} \boldsymbol{u}^{2} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right), \\
{\stackrel{k}{1} k_{1} k_{2}}_{\boldsymbol{u}^{2}}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \boldsymbol{u} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \quad \omega_{1}=a_{1} x_{1}-b_{1}, \omega_{2}=a_{2} x_{2}-b_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

$k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}$. Let us take initial conditions $\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{1}}$ of the problem (3.5), (3.6) such that $\vec{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{00}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}, \ldots,{ }_{\varphi}^{N_{1} N_{2}}\right), \vec{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\left(\stackrel{0}{\boldsymbol{\psi}}, \ldots,{ }_{\boldsymbol{N}}^{N_{1} N_{2}} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)$,

$$
\stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \boldsymbol{\varphi} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \quad \stackrel{k_{1} k_{2}}{\boldsymbol{\psi}}=\int_{h_{1}^{-}}^{h_{1}^{+}} \int_{h_{2}^{-}}^{h_{2}^{+}} \boldsymbol{\psi} P_{k_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) P_{k_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2},
$$

where $k_{1}=\overline{0, N_{1}}, k_{2}=\overline{0, N_{2}}$. Hence the vector function $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ is a solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\boldsymbol{U}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad=-\left(\left(\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}}\right)\right), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v}_{N_{1} N_{2}} \in V_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\Omega), \\
& \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(0)-\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(0)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N_{1} N_{2}}=\mathbf{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the last problem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)+B^{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right) \\
&=-2 \int_{0}^{t}\left(\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(\tau), \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau-2 B^{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t), \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right) \\
&+2 \int_{0}^{t} B^{\Omega}\left(\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau), \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\tau)\right) d \tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality it follows that for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq c_{4}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(\tau)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2}\right) d \tau\right.  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{t}\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|^{2} d \tau+\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} d \tau\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2}=B^{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})$, for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V(\Omega)$ and $c_{4}$ is independent of $\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}, \Delta_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ and $\Omega$. Applying Gronwall's lemma to (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq c_{5}( & \int_{0}^{t}\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|^{2} d \tau+\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} d \tau\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq c_{6}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$, for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega)$, where $c_{6}=3 \max \{3 \lambda, \mu\}, \lambda$, $\mu$ are Lamé constants and hence $c_{6}$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\Omega$. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|^{2} d \tau \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}\right) \bar{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \\
\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s_{1}-3}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s_{1}-3}}\right) \bar{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),  \tag{3.17}\\
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} d \tau \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s_{1}-3}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s_{1}-3}}\right) \bar{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty, 0 \leq t \leq T$.
Consequently, taking into account coerciveness of the bilinear form $B^{\Omega}(.,$.$) , we have that for all t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{U}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \hat{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
$$

where $\hat{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty, s=\min \left\{s_{2}, s_{1}-3 / 2\right\}$.

In addition, since $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; \mathbf{H}^{s_{2}, s_{2}, 1}(\Omega)\right)$, we have

$$
\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}\right) \tilde{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T],
$$

where $\tilde{\eta}\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}(t)-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}(t)-\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{1}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \eta\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\eta\left(T, h_{1}^{ \pm}, h_{2}^{ \pm}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$.
If $\left\|d^{k} \boldsymbol{u} / d t^{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T_{;} ; \mathbf{H}_{k}{ }^{s_{k}, s_{k}, 1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq \tilde{c}, k=0,1,2$, where $\tilde{c}$ is independent of $h_{1}$, $h_{2}$, then instead of (3.17) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{t}\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right|^{2} d \tau \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}{N_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}{N_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}\right) \bar{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \\
\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}}{N_{1}^{2 s_{1}-3}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}}{N_{2}^{2 s_{1}-3}}\right) \bar{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right), \\
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(\tau)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} d \tau \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}}{N_{1}^{2 s_{1}-3}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}}{N_{2}^{2 s_{1}-3}}\right) \bar{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{N_{1} N_{2}}(t)\right\|_{E(\Omega)}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2 \bar{s}}}{N_{1}^{2 s}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2 \bar{s}}}{N_{2}^{2 s}}\right) \hat{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
$$

where $\hat{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty, \bar{s}=\min \left\{s_{2}, s_{1}-1\right\}$.
Similarly, for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\left|\gamma_{N_{1} N_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left(\frac{h_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}{N_{1}^{2 s_{2}}}+\frac{h_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}{N_{2}^{2 s_{2}}}\right) \tilde{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right),
$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_{1}\left(T, N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $\min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \infty$, from which we obtain the second estimate of the theorem.
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