Efficiency of the MLE in a multivariate parallel profile model with random effects

Takahisa YoKOYAMA (Received January 14, 2004) (Revised May 31, 2004)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a multivariate parallel profile model with polynomial growth curves. The covariance structure based on a random effects model is assumed. The maximum likelihood estimators (MLE's) are obtained under the random effects covariance structure. The efficiency of the MLE is discussed.

1. Introduction

Suppose that *m* response variables x_1, \ldots, x_m have been measured at *p* different occasions on each of *N* individuals, and each individual belongs to one of *k* groups or treatments. Let $\mathbf{x}_j^{(g)}$ be an *mp*-vector of measurements on the *j*-th individual in the *g*-th group arranged as

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} = (x_{11j}^{(g)}, \dots, x_{1mj}^{(g)}, \dots, x_{p1j}^{(g)}, \dots, x_{pmj}^{(g)})',$$

and assume that $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)}$'s are independently distributed as $N_{mp}(\mu^{(g)}, \Omega)$, where Ω is an unknown $mp \times mp$ positive definite matrix, $j = 1, \ldots, N_g$, $g = 1, \ldots, k$. Further, we assume that mean profiles of k groups are parallel polynomial growth curves, i.e.,

(1.1)
$$\mu^{(g)} = (\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m)\xi^{(g)} + (B' \otimes I_m)\xi_2, \qquad g = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_p$ is a *p*-vector of ones, $(\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m)$ defines the Kronecker product of $\mathbf{1}_p$ and the $m \times m$ identity matrix,

(1.2)
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}'_p \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_p \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_1^{q-1} & \cdots & t_p^{q-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a $q \times p$ within-individuals design matrix of rank $q \ (\leq p), \ \xi^{(g)}: m \times 1$ and

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 62H12.

Key words and phrases. multivariate parallel profile model, random effects covariance structure, maximum likelihood estimator, efficiency.

 $\xi_2: mq \times 1$ are vectors of unknown parameters. Yokoyama [7] considered a multivariate parallel profile model with

$$\mu^{(g)} = (\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m)\xi^{(g)} + \mu, \qquad g = 1, \dots, k.$$

Therefore, the model (1.1) means that μ has a linear structure. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi^{(k)} = \mathbf{0}$. In the following we shall do this. Let

$$X = [\mathbf{x}_1^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N_1}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_1^{(k)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N_k}^{(k)}]', \qquad N = N_1 + \dots + N_k.$$

Then the model of X can be written as

(1.3)
$$X \sim N_{N \times mp}(A_1 \Xi_1(\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + \mathbf{1}_N \xi'_2(B \otimes I_m), \Omega \otimes I_N),$$

where

$$A_1 = egin{bmatrix} {f 1}_{N_1} & 0 \ & \ddots & \ 0 & {f 1}_{N_{k-1}} \ & \dots & \ & 0 & \end{bmatrix}$$

is an $N \times (k-1)$ between-individuals design matrix of rank k-1 ($\leq N - p-1$), $\Xi_1 = [\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(k-1)}]'$ is an unknown $(k-1) \times m$ parameter matrix. The model (1.3) may be called the multivariate parallel growth curve model. The model (1.3) with $B = I_p$ is a special case of mixed MANOVA-GMANOVA models considered by Chinchilli and Elswick [2], Kshirsagar and Smith [4, p. 85], etc. The mean structure of (1.3) can be written as

(1.4)
$$E(X) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{1}_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \xi'_{21} & \xi'_{22} \end{bmatrix} (B \otimes I_m),$$

where $\Xi_1 = \Xi_{11}$ and $\xi'_2 = [\xi'_{21} \quad \xi'_{22}]$. We note that the model (1.3) is the multivariate growth curve model (Reinsel [5]) with a linear restriction on mean parameters.

Chinchilli and Carter [1] discussed the LR test for a patterned covariance structure

$$\Omega = (\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m) \Sigma_{\lambda} (\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + (W \otimes I_m) \Sigma_{\tau} (W' \otimes I_m) + I_p \otimes \Sigma_e,$$

in a multivariate GMANOVA model, where W is a known $p \times (p-1)$ matrix of rank p-1 such that $\mathbf{1}'_p W = \mathbf{0}$, Σ_{τ} is an arbitrary $m(p-1) \times m(p-1)$ positive semi-definite matrix, Σ_{λ} and Σ_e are arbitrary $m \times m$ positive semidefinite and positive definite matrices, respectively. We are now interested in a multivariate random effects covariance structure

Efficiency of the MLE

(1.5)
$$\Omega = (\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m) \Sigma_{\lambda} (\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + I_p \otimes \Sigma_e$$

The covariance structure (1.5) is based on the following model:

(1.6)
$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)} = (\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m})(\xi^{(g)} + \lambda_{j}^{(g)}) + (B' \otimes I_{m})\xi_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{j}^{(g)},$$

where $\lambda_j^{(g)}$'s and $e_j^{(g)}$'s are independently distributed as $N_m(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{\lambda})$ and $N_{mp}(\mathbf{0}, I_p \otimes \Sigma_e)$, respectively. From (1.6), we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(g)}) = \Omega = (\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m}) \Sigma_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1}_{p}^{\prime} \otimes I_{m}) + I_{p} \otimes \Sigma_{e}.$$

Therefore, the model of X with random effects can be written as

(1.7)
$$X \sim N_{N \times mp} (A_1 \Xi_1 (\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + \mathbf{1}_N \xi_2' (B \otimes I_m),$$
$$((\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m) \Sigma_\lambda (\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + I_p \otimes \Sigma_e) \otimes I_N).$$

Fujikoshi and Satoh [3] obtained the MLE's in the growth curve model with two different within-individuals design matrices when the covariance matrix has no structures, i.e., is any unknown positive definite. In this paper we consider the problems of estimating unknown mean parameters Ξ_1 and ξ_2 when Ω has the structure (1.5). By making this stronger assumption about Ω , we can expect to have more efficient estimators. In §2 we obtain the MLE's of Ξ_1 and ξ_2 in the model (1.7), using a canonical form of (1.7). In §3 it is shown how much gains can be obtained for the maximum likelihood estimation of Ξ_1 by assuming a multivariate random effects covariance structure.

2. The MLE's

First we reduce the model (1.7) to a canonical form. Let $H = [H_1 \ N^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_N \ H_3]$ be an orthogonal matrix of order N such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{1}_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & N^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ l'_{21} & N^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= H_{(2)}L,$$

where $H_1: N \times (k-1)$, and $L_{11}: (k-1) \times (k-1)$ is a lower triangular matrix. Similarly, let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_p & Q'_2 & Q'_3 \end{bmatrix}'$ be an orthogonal matrix of order p such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}'_{p} \otimes I_{m} \\ B_{2} \otimes I_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p^{1/2}I_{m} & 0 \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1/2}\mathbf{1}'_{p} \otimes I_{m} \\ Q_{2} \otimes I_{m} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= RQ_{(2)},$$

Takahisa Yokoyama

where $Q_2: (q-1) \times p$, and $R_{22}: m(q-1) \times m(q-1)$ is a lower triangular matrix. Then the mean structure of (1.7) can be written as

(2.1)
$$A_1 \Xi_1(\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + \mathbf{1}_N \xi'_2(B \otimes I_m) = p^{-1/2} H_1 \Theta_1(\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + N^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_N \theta'_2 Q_{(2)},$$

where

$$\Theta_1 = p^{1/2} L_{11} \Xi_1, \qquad \theta'_2 = N^{1/2} \xi'_2 R + I'_{21} [\Xi_1 \quad 0] R$$

Here we note that (Ξ_1, ξ_2) is an invertible function of (Θ_1, θ_2) . In fact, Ξ_1 and ξ_2 can be expressed in terms of Θ_1 and θ_2 as

(2.2)
$$\Xi_1 = p^{-1/2} L_{11}^{-1} \Theta_1, \qquad \xi_2' = N^{-1/2} \theta_2' R^{-1} - N^{-1/2} l_{21}' [p^{-1/2} L_{11}^{-1} \Theta_1 \quad 0].$$

Using the above transformation, we can write a canonical form of (1.7) as

(2.3)
$$Y = H'X(Q' \otimes I_m) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} \\ y'_{21} & y'_{22} & y'_{23} \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & Y_{33} \end{bmatrix} \sim N_{N \times mp}(E(Y), \Psi \otimes I_N),$$

where the mean E(Y) and the covariance matrix Ψ are given by

(2.4)
$$E(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \theta'_{21} & \theta'_{22} & \mathbf{0'} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{11} & 0 \\ \theta'_{21} & \theta'_{22} \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{11} & 0 \\ \xi'_{21} & \xi'_{22} \end{bmatrix} R,$$

$$\Theta_1 = \Theta_{11}, \quad \theta'_2 = [\theta'_{21} \quad \theta'_{22}], \quad \Theta_{11} : (k-1) \times m, \quad \theta_{21} : m \times 1, \quad \theta_{22} : m(q-1) \times 1,$$

(2.5)
$$\Psi = (Q \otimes I_m)\Omega(Q' \otimes I_m) = \begin{bmatrix} p\Sigma_{\lambda} + \Sigma_e & 0\\ 0 & I_{p-1} \otimes \Sigma_e \end{bmatrix},$$
$$p\Sigma_{\lambda} + \Sigma_e : m \times m, \qquad I_{p-1} \otimes \Sigma_e : m(p-1) \times m(p-1).$$

From (2.3), it is easy to see that the MLE's of Θ_1 and θ_2 are given by

$$\hat{\Theta}_1 = Y_{11}, \qquad \hat{\theta}'_2 = y'_{2(12)},$$

where $\mathbf{y}'_{2(12)} = [\mathbf{y}'_{21} \ \mathbf{y}'_{22}]$. Hence the MLE's of Ξ_1 and ξ_2 are given by (2.6) $\hat{\Xi}_1 = p^{-1/2} L_{11}^{-1} Y_{11}, \quad \hat{\xi}'_2 = N^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}'_{2(12)} R^{-1} - N^{-1/2} \mathbf{l}'_{21} [p^{-1/2} L_{11}^{-1} Y_{11} \ 0].$

Now we express the MLE's given in (2.6) in terms of the original observations. Let

(2.7)
$$\tilde{A}_1 = \left(I_N - \frac{1}{N}\mathbf{1}_N\mathbf{1}_N'\right)A_1, \qquad \tilde{B}_2 = B_2\left(I_p - \frac{1}{p}\mathbf{1}_p\mathbf{1}_p'\right).$$

Then, from the definitions of L and R it is seen that

Efficiency of the MLE

$$H_{1} = \tilde{A}_{1}L_{11}^{-1}, \qquad I_{21}' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\mathbf{1}_{N}'A_{1},$$
$$Q_{2} \otimes I_{m} = R_{22}^{-1}(\tilde{B}_{2} \otimes I_{m}), \qquad R_{21} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(B_{2}\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m})$$

Using these results, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. The MLE's of Ξ_1 and ξ_2 in the multivariate parallel profile model (1.7) are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{1} &= \frac{1}{p} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1}' \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1})^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1}' X (\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m}), \\ \hat{\mathcal{\xi}}_{21}' &= \frac{1}{p} \bigg[\bar{\mathbf{x}}' \{ (I_{p} - \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2}' (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2}')^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{2}) \otimes I_{m} \} \\ &- \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}_{N}' \mathcal{A}_{1} (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1}' \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1})^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1}' X \bigg] (\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m}), \\ \hat{\mathcal{\xi}}_{22}' &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}' \{ (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2}' (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2}')^{-1}) \otimes I_{m} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{B}_2 are given by (2.7), and \bar{x} is the sample mean vector of observations of all the groups.

We note that the MLE's of unknown variance parameters Σ_{λ} and Σ_{e} in the model (1.7) are complicated and impractical. The MLE's of Σ_{λ} and Σ_{e} in the model (1.7) are the same ones as in the model of Yokoyama [7], in which μ has no structures. For a detailed discussion of the MLE's of these parameters, see Yokoyama [7].

3. Efficiency of \hat{z}_1

In this section we consider the efficiency of the MLE for Ξ_1 in the case when the covariance structure (1.5) is assumed. Let S_w be the matrix of the sums of squares and products due to the within variation, i.e.,

$$S_w = X'H_3H'_3X = \sum_{g=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} (\mathbf{x}_j^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)}) (\mathbf{x}_j^{(g)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)})',$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(g)}$ is the sample mean vector of observations of the *g*-th group. When no special assumptions about Ω are made, the MLE of Ξ_1 is given by

(3.1)
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Xi}}_1 = (\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_1'\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_1)^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_1'\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{S}_w^{-1}(\boldsymbol{1}_p\otimes \boldsymbol{I}_m)\{(\boldsymbol{1}_p'\otimes \boldsymbol{I}_m)\boldsymbol{S}_w^{-1}(\boldsymbol{1}_p\otimes \boldsymbol{I}_m)\}^{-1}$$

(see, e.g., Srivastava [6]). The estimators $\hat{\Xi}_1$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_1$ have the following properties.

THEOREM 3.1. In the multivariate parallel profile model (1.7) it holds that both the estimators $\hat{\Xi}_1$ and $\tilde{\Xi}_1$ are unbiased, and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\Xi}_{1})) = \frac{1}{p} (p\Sigma_{\lambda} + \Sigma_{e}) \otimes (\tilde{A}_{1}'\tilde{A}_{1})^{-1},$$
$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{vec}(\tilde{\Xi}_{1})) = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 1 + \frac{m(p-1)}{N-k-m(p-1)-1} \right\} (p\Sigma_{\lambda} + \Sigma_{e}) \otimes (\tilde{A}_{1}'\tilde{A}_{1})^{-1},$$

where \tilde{A}_1 is given by (2.7).

PROOF. From (2.2), (2.6) and $\tilde{A}'_1 \tilde{A}_1 = L'_{11}L_{11}$, we obtain the result on $\hat{\Xi}_1$. It can be shown that for any positive definite covariance matrix Ω ,

$$E(\tilde{\Xi}_1) = \Xi_1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{vec}(\tilde{\Xi}_{1})) = \left\{1 + \frac{m(p-1)}{N-k-m(p-1)-1}\right\} \{(\mathbf{1}'_{p} \otimes I_{m})\Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{p} \otimes I_{m})\}^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{A}'_{1}\tilde{A}_{1})^{-1}$$

(see, e.g., Fujikoshi and Satoh [3], Yokoyama [7]). Under the assumption that

$$\Omega = (\mathbf{1}_p \otimes I_m) \Sigma_{\lambda} (\mathbf{1}'_p \otimes I_m) + I_p \otimes \Sigma_e,$$

it holds that

$$\{(\mathbf{1}'_p\otimes I_m)\Omega^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_p\otimes I_m)\}^{-1}=\frac{1}{p}(p\Sigma_{\lambda}+\Sigma_e),$$

which proves the desired result on $\tilde{\Xi}_1$.

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain

(3.2)
$$\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\Xi}_{1})) - \operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{vec}(\hat{\Xi}_{1})) = \frac{m(p-1)}{p\{N-k-m(p-1)-1\}} (p\Sigma_{\lambda} + \Sigma_{e}) \otimes (\tilde{A}_{1}'\tilde{A}_{1})^{-1} > 0,$$

which implies that $\hat{\Xi}_1$ is more efficient than $\tilde{\Xi}_1$ in the model (1.7). This shows that we can get a more efficient estimator for Ξ_1 by assuming a multivariate random effects covariance structure. Especially, when p is large relative to N, we can obtain greater gains. It is not simple and is left as a future problem

how much gains can be obtained for the maximum likelihood estimation of ξ_2 by assuming the covariance structure (1.5).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referees and Professor Y. Fujikoshi, Hiroshima University, for their helpful comments and discussions.

References

- V. M. Chinchilli and W. H. Carter, A likelihood ratio test for a patterned covariance matrix in a multivariate growth-curve model, Biometrics 40 (1984), 151–156.
- [2] V. M. Chinchilli and R. K. Elswick, A mixture of the MANOVA and GMANOVA models, Commun. Statist.—Theor. Meth. 14 (12) (1985), 3075–3089.
- [3] Y. Fujikoshi and K. Satoh, Estimation and model selection in an extended growth curve model, Hiroshima Math. J. 26 (1996), 635–647.
- [4] A. M. Kshirsagar and W. B. Smith, Growth Curves, New York: Dekker, 1995.
- [5] G. Reinsel, Multivariate repeated-measurement or growth curve models with multivariate random-effects covariance structure, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 77 (1982), 190–195.
- [6] M. S. Srivastava, Profile analysis of several groups, Commun. Statist.—Theor. Meth. 16 (3) (1987), 909–926.
- [7] T. Yokoyama, Statistical inference on some mixed MANOVA-GMANOVA models with random effects, Hiroshima Math. J. 25 (1995), 441–474.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education Tokyo Gakugei University Koganei, Tokyo 184-8501, Japan e-mail: yok@u-gakugei.ac.jp