

Modular operads of embedded curves

SATOSHI KONDO

CHARLES SIEGEL

JESSE WOLFSON

For each $k \geq 5$, we construct a modular operad $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^k$ of “ k –log-canonically embedded” curves. We also construct, for $k \geq 2$, a stable cyclic operad $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_c^k$ of such curves, and, for $k \geq 1$, a cyclic operad $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,c}^k$ of “ k –log-canonically embedded” rational curves.

14H10; 18D50

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1 Let S be a scheme. We define a k –log-canonically embedded stable marked curve $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ over S to be a stable marked curve $(\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, along with a projective embedding by a *complete* linear system

$$\eta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee.$$

Isomorphisms of k –log-canonically embedded stable marked curves are defined in the natural manner.

A pair of stable marked curves $(\mathcal{C}_1, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_2, \{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^m)$ can be glued together to obtain a third such curve $(\mathcal{C}_1 \cup_{\sigma_k \sim \tau_l} \mathcal{C}_2, \{\sigma_i, \tau_j\}_{i \neq k, j \neq l})$ for any choice of k and l . Similarly, two points σ_k and σ_l on the same curve $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ can be glued together to obtain a new curve $(\mathcal{C}/\sigma_k \sim \sigma_l, \{\sigma_i\}_{i \neq k, l})$. In this article, we construct analogous gluings for k –log-canonically embedded curves. More conceptually, denote by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ the moduli of k –log-canonically embedded stable curves of genus g with n marked points (see [Definition 4.1](#)). For $k \geq 2$ (or $k \geq 1$ when $g_1 = g_2 = 0$), we construct maps

$$(1-1) \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1, n_1+1}^k \times \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_2, n_2+1}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1+g_2, n_1+n_2}^k$$

encoding the gluing of two embedded curves. For $k \geq 5$, we construct maps

$$(1-2) \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g, n+2}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g+1, n}^k$$

which encode gluing two points together on the same embedded curve. Our main result is now the following:

Theorem 1.2

- (1) For each $k \geq 5$, the maps (1-1) and (1-2) endow the collection $\{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k\}$ with the structure of a modular operad (in DM stacks), which we denote by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^k$.
- (2) For $k \geq 1$, the maps (1-1) endow the collection $\{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,n}^k\}$ with the structure of a cyclic operad (in schemes), which we denote by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,c}^k$.
- (3) For each $k \geq 2$, the maps (1-1) endow the collection $\{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k\}$ with the structure of a stable cyclic operad (in DM stacks), which we denote by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_c^k$.

Further, the maps

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

given by forgetting the embedding determine maps

- (1) of modular operads (in DM stacks)

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}},$$

- (2) of cyclic operads (in schemes)

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,c}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_0,$$

- (3) and of stable cyclic operads (in DM stacks)

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_c^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We refer to the operads $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,c}^k$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_c^k$ and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^k$ as the *cyclic*, *stable cyclic* and *modular operads of k -log-canonically embedded curves*. These operads expand the small collection of examples of cyclic, stable cyclic and modular operads in schemes and DM stacks. We were led to them by the analogy between $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ and the topological modular operad \mathcal{M}_{top} of smooth, connected, oriented surfaces with boundary. Because the space of embeddings of a manifold M in \mathbb{R}^∞ is contractible, the operad \mathcal{M}_{top} is equivalent to the operad (up to coherent homotopy) $\mathcal{E}_{\text{top}}^\infty$ of smooth, connected, oriented surfaces with boundary inside \mathbb{R}^∞ . This equivalence provides the starting point for many results on moduli of topological surfaces (eg Madsen and Weiss's proof [5] of the Mumford conjecture). It is natural to ask whether one can similarly obtain information about the moduli of stable marked curves by studying moduli of embedded curves. We hope to pursue this in future work.

Acknowledgements We are very grateful to Kyoji Saito and Kavli IPMU for making this collaboration possible by hosting Wolfson during the 2013–2014 academic year. We thank the editors and the anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

Kondo was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 30372577. Kondo and Siegel were partially supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT Japan. Wolfson was partially supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship under Grant No. DMS-1400349.

2 Preliminaries on curves

Definition 2.1 (see [4]) Let S be a scheme and let g and n be nonnegative integers such that $n \geq 3 - 2g$. A *stable marked curve of genus g over S* , $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, is a flat, projective morphism

$$\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S,$$

of relative dimension 1, along with pairwise disjoint sections

$$\sigma_i: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We require that, for all geometric points s of S ,

- (1) the fibers \mathcal{C}_s are reduced, connected curves with at most nodal singularities;
- (2) the points $\sigma_i(s)$ lie in the smooth locus of \mathcal{C}_s for all i ;
- (3) $h^1(\mathcal{C}_s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_s}) = g$; and
- (4) the normalization $\mathcal{C}_{a,s}^v$ of each irreducible component of \mathcal{C}_s contains at least $3 - 2g_{a,s}$ special points, where $g_{a,s}$ is the arithmetic genus of $\mathcal{C}_{a,s}^v$ and where a point is *special* if it is either a point of the form $\sigma_i(s)$ or the preimage of a node.

Now let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a curve, ie a flat, projective morphism of relative dimension 1, not necessarily connected. We further assume that for each geometric point s of S , the fiber \mathcal{C}_s has at most nodal singularities. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be two disjoint sections such that $\sigma_1(s)$ and $\sigma_2(s)$ lie in the smooth locus of the fiber \mathcal{C}_s for each geometric point s of S . Define $\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}} := \mathcal{C}/\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$, denote the quotient map by $\text{gl}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}$, and let $\sigma := \text{gl} \circ \sigma_1 = \text{gl} \circ \sigma_2$. Recall that for each line bundle L on \mathcal{C}^{gl} we have a short exact sequence

$$(2-1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \text{gl}_* \text{gl}^* L \rightarrow \sigma_* \sigma^* L \rightarrow 0.$$

Next, recall that the canonical line bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}$ of a family of nodal curves, defined as $\det(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}^1)$, admits the following description; see Knudsen [4, page 163]. Every section α of $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}$, when restricted to the fiber \mathcal{C}_s over a geometric point s of S , is a rational 1-form α_s on the normalization of \mathcal{C}_s . Moreover, α_s has at most simple poles at the preimages $\{p_{\pm,s}\}$ of the nodes $\{p_s\}$ and

$$\text{res}_{p_{+,s}} \alpha_s + \text{res}_{p_{-,s}} \alpha_s = 0$$

for each node p_s of the fiber \mathcal{C}_s . Along with Nakayama's lemma, this implies that we have a canonical exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}}$ -modules

$$(2-2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \rightarrow \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Choosing $L = \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}$ and taking the obvious vertical maps from (2-1) to (2-2), an application of the 5-lemma tells us that $\text{gl}_* \text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \cong \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$.

Lemma 2.2 *In the situation above, let $D \subset \mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}$ be a divisor such that $D \rightarrow S$ is a flat map of degree d and such that, for each geometric point s of S , the fiber D_s is supported on the smooth locus of the fiber $\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{gl}}$. Then, for each $k \geq 1$, there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(D)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(D + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof If we take $L = \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(D)^{\otimes k}$, then (2-1) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(D)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \text{gl}_* \text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(D)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

It remains to show that $\text{gl}_* \text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(D)^{\otimes k} \cong \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(D + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^{\otimes k}$.

Using Nakayama's lemma, it suffices to check that this isomorphism holds at each geometric point s of S . Let $U_s \subset \mathcal{C}_s$ be an open set such that either both or neither of the points $\sigma_1(s)$ and $\sigma_2(s)$ are in U_s . So long as both $\text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{gl}}}(D_s)^{\otimes k}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_s}(D_s + \sigma_1(s) + \sigma_2(s))^{\otimes k}$ agree on every such U_s , the pushforwards will be isomorphic. By the above discussion, we see that

$$\Gamma(U_s, \text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{gl}}}(D_s)) \cong \Gamma(U_s, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_s}(D_s + \sigma_1(s) + \sigma_2(s)))$$

for each such U_s , and therefore

$$\Gamma(U_s, \text{gl}^* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_s^{\text{gl}}}(D_s)^{\otimes k}) \cong \Gamma(U_s, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_s}(D_s + \sigma_1(s) + \sigma_2(s))^{\otimes k}),$$

as required. □

Now let \mathcal{C} be a nodal curve over a field κ and let $\nu: \mathcal{C}^\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be its normalization. Recall that for any line bundle L on \mathcal{C} we have a short exact sequence

$$(2-3) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \nu_* \nu^* L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_N \rightarrow 0$$

analogous to (2-1). By reasoning analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.2, we also have the following:

Lemma 2.3 *In the situation above, let D be a divisor on \mathcal{C} . Denote by N the divisor of nodes on \mathcal{C} and denote by P the divisor of preimages of nodes in the normalization \mathcal{C}^ν . Then for each $k \geq 1$, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(D)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow v_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\nu}(D + P)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_N \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 2.4 (Riemann–Roch) *Let κ be a field and let \mathcal{C} be a curve of arithmetic genus g over κ , with at most nodal singularities. Let L be a line bundle on \mathcal{C} of total degree d . Then*

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^1(\mathcal{C}, L) = d - g + 1.$$

Proof Let $v: \mathcal{C}^\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the normalization of \mathcal{C} and let N be the divisor of nodes in \mathcal{C} . The sequence (2-3) gives a long exact sequence on cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, L) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) \rightarrow H^0(N, \mathcal{O}_N) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}, L) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Exactness then implies that

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^0(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) + j - h^1(\mathcal{C}, L) + h^1(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) = 0,$$

where j is the length of N . We can rearrange terms and apply the smooth Riemann–Roch theorem:

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^1(\mathcal{C}, L) = h^0(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) - h^1(\mathcal{C}^\nu, v^*L) - j = \sum_a d_a - \sum_a g_a + l - j,$$

where l is the number of irreducible components \mathcal{C}_a^ν of \mathcal{C}^ν , d_a is the degree of L restricted to the component \mathcal{C}_a^ν , and g_a is the geometric genus of \mathcal{C}_a^ν . Using that $d = \sum_a d_a$ and $g = \sum_a g_a - (l - 1) + j$, we conclude the result. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a stable marked curve over a field κ . Then, for $k \geq 2$, we have*

$$h^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) = (2k - 1)(g - 1) + kn.$$

When \mathcal{C} has arithmetic genus 0, the same formula holds for $k \geq 1$.

Proof Stability and $k \geq 2$ (or $k \geq 1$ for genus 0) imply that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes (\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes -k})$ has negative degree on each component of \mathcal{C} , and thus

$$H^1\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) = 0.$$

If \mathcal{C} is smooth (or even just irreducible) then, by Riemann–Roch, we have

$$h^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) = k(2g - 2 + n) - g + 1 = (2k - 1)(g - 1) + nk.$$

For nonsmooth \mathcal{C} , let N be the divisor of nodes of \mathcal{C} and let j be the length of N . Let $\nu: \mathcal{C}^\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a normalization of \mathcal{C} and let P be the divisor of preimages of the nodes. Because $H^1(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k}) = 0$ (as we showed above), [Lemma 2.3](#) shows that we have a short exact sequence

$$(2-4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) \rightarrow H^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) \rightarrow \kappa^j \rightarrow 0.$$

Write \mathcal{C}^ν as a union of its irreducible components, $\mathcal{C}^\nu = \bigcup_{a=1}^l \mathcal{C}_a^\nu$. Denote by $\{\sigma_{(a,i)}\}$ the set of marked points on the component \mathcal{C}_a^ν and define $n_a := |\{\sigma_{(a,i)}\}|$. Denote by g_a the geometric genus of \mathcal{C}_a^ν . Denote by P_a the restriction of P to \mathcal{C}_a^ν , and define $p_a := \deg(P_a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right) &= h^0\left(\mathcal{C}^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\nu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i + P\right)^{\otimes k}\right) - j, \\ h^0\left(\mathcal{C}^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\nu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i + P\right)^{\otimes k}\right) &= \sum_{a=1}^l h^0\left(\mathcal{C}_a^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a^\nu}\left(\sum_{(a,i)} \sigma_{(a,i)} + P_a\right)^{\otimes k}\right), \\ h^0\left(\mathcal{C}_a^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a^\nu}\left(\sum_{(a,i)} \sigma_{(a,i)} + P_a\right)^{\otimes k}\right) &= \deg\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_a^\nu}\left(\sum_{(a,i)} \sigma_{(a,i)} + P_a\right)^{\otimes k}\right) - g_a + 1 \\ &= k(2g_a - 2 + n_a + p_a) - g_a + 1 \\ &= (2k - 1)(g_a - 1) + k(n_a + p_a). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting back, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\left(\mathcal{C}^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}^\nu}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i + P\right)^{\otimes k}\right) &= \sum_{a=1}^l h^0\left(\mathcal{C}_a^\nu, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a^\nu}\left(\sum_{(a,i)} \sigma_{(a,i)} + P_a\right)^{\otimes k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^l ((2k - 1)(g_a - 1) + k(n_a + p_a)) \\ &= (2k - 1) \sum_{a=1}^l (g_a - 1) + k \sum_{a=1}^l (n_a + p_a) \\ &= (2k - 1) \left(\sum_{a=1}^l g_a - l \right) + k(n + 2j). \end{aligned}$$

Using that $g = \sum_{a=1}^l g_a - (l-1) + j$, we have

$$h^0\left(C^v, \omega_{C^v}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i + P\right)^{\otimes k}\right) = (2k-1)(g-1) + j + kn.$$

In light of the exact sequence (2-4), this implies the result. \square

3 Gluing embedded curves

Definition 3.1 Let S be a scheme. A *marked, k -log-canonically embedded curve over S* consists of the data $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$, where

- (1) $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is a flat, projective morphism of relative dimension 1,
- (2) the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\})$ is a disjoint union of stable marked curves over S ,
- (3) η is a projective embedding over S by a *complete* linear system

$$\eta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S\left(\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right)^\vee.$$

Our goal in this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 3.2 (gluing embedded curves) *Let S be a scheme. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked, k -log-canonically embedded curve over S . Denote by l_{σ_1, σ_2} the line in $\mathbb{P}_S(\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee$ spanned by σ_1 and σ_2 . If $k \geq 5$, then:*

- (1) *There exists a section*

$$\gamma: S \rightarrow l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}$$

depending functorially in S .

- (2) *The projection from γ gives an embedding*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}} := \mathcal{C}/\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2 \xrightarrow{\eta^{\text{gl}}} \mathbb{P}_S\left(\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}\left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right)^\vee.$$

If σ_1 and σ_2 live on different connected components of \mathcal{C} , then the claims hold for $k \geq 2$. If, in addition, all components of \mathcal{C} have arithmetic genus 0, then the claims hold for $k \geq 1$.

Remark 3.3 We choose σ_1 and σ_2 for notational convenience. Our proof applies equally well to any choice of i and j .

Proof To prove the theorem, we need to establish the claims (1) and (2).

3.1 Constructing the section

Lemma 3.4 (claim (1)) *Let S be a scheme, let $k \geq 2$ and let $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked, k -log-canonically embedded curve over S . Denote by l_{σ_1, σ_2} the line in $\mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee$ spanned by σ_1 and σ_2 . Then there exists a section*

$$\gamma: S \rightarrow l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2},$$

depending functorially in S .

If all irreducible components of \mathcal{C} have arithmetic genus 0, and if σ_1 and σ_2 lie on different connected components, then we can take $k \geq 1$.

Proof Define $\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}} := \mathcal{C}/\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$. Denote the quotient map by $\text{gl}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}$ and let $\sigma := \text{gl} \circ \sigma_1 = \text{gl} \circ \sigma_2$. By Lemma 2.2, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Pushing this sequence forward to S along the projection $\pi^{\text{gl}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}} \rightarrow S$, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} &\rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_S \\ &\rightarrow R^1 \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \text{gl}_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $k \geq 2$ (or $k \geq 1$ if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^{gl} have arithmetic genus 0), degree considerations combine with Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch to show that the higher direct image sheaves vanish. Because $\pi^{\text{gl}} \sigma = 1_S$ and $\pi^{\text{gl}} \text{gl} = \pi$, we can rewrite the cohomology long exact sequence as the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing and projectivizing, we obtain the sequence

$$S \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee,$$

where the dashed arrow indicates the projection from the point γ .

The first map gives the desired section,

$$S \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_* \omega_{C/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee.$$

We must still show that γ factors through l_{σ_1, σ_2} . We have the map

$$C \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_* \omega_{C/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{C^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k} \right)^\vee,$$

which comes from restricting the linear system $\pi_* \omega_{C/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k}$ to the sections in $\pi_*^{\text{gl}} \omega_{C^{\text{gl}}/S} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i \right)^{\otimes k}$. These sections, by construction, agree on σ_1 and σ_2 . Thus, this composition factors through C^{gl} , and γ factors through l_{σ_1, σ_2} . \square

3.2 Verifying that projecting gives an embedding

It remains to show that projecting from γ induces an embedding η^{gl} of the glued curve C^{gl} . Because the projection from γ is a map over S , it suffices to check that it gives an embedding on fibers. Therefore, throughout this section, we assume that $S = \text{Spec}(\kappa)$ for a field κ .

The following proposition provides the basis for our approach:

Proposition 3.5 [2, Proposition IV.3.7] *Let κ be a field, let C be a curve in \mathbb{P}_κ^3 , let γ be a κ -point not on C and let $\eta': C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\kappa^2$ be the morphism determined by projection from γ . Then η' is birational onto its image and $\eta'(C)$ has at most nodes as singularities if and only if*

- (1) γ lies on only finitely many secants of C ,
- (2) γ is not on any tangent line of C ,
- (3) γ is not on any secant with coplanar tangent lines, and
- (4) γ is not on any multisequant of C .

Now let $(C, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked k -log-canonically embedded curve over the field κ . We show that any κ -point $\gamma \in l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}$ on the line spanned by σ_1 and σ_2 satisfies an analogue of Proposition 3.5. As a first step, we have:

Lemma 3.6 *Let $k \geq 2$ and let $(C, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked k -log-canonically embedded curve over the field κ , and suppose C is a disjoint union $C_1 \sqcup C_2$ with $\sigma_1 \in C_1$ and $\sigma_2 \in C_2$. Then the projection from a point $\gamma \in l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ is an isomorphism on $C \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ with a nodal singularity at $\sigma = l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}$. Further, if all components of C have arithmetic genus 0, then the result holds for $k \geq 1$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.4, our assumptions on \mathcal{C} and k guarantee the existence of γ . The fibers of the projection restricted to \mathcal{C} are intersections with lines through γ . In other words, any line through γ intersecting \mathcal{C} in more than one point is a fiber where the map is noninjective. Thus, to show the map is injective, it suffices to show that γ lies on a unique secant line of \mathcal{C} . To see that it is an isomorphism, we note that, if γ lies on a unique secant, then the projection from γ will be an isomorphism at any point where the line intersects the curve transversely, so we just need to rule out the existence of tangent lines to \mathcal{C} containing γ .

We first observe that any k -log-canonical embedding of $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2$ will embed \mathcal{C}_i in disjoint projective subspaces, which, up to a projective linear transformation, we can take to be

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_a, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a}(D_a)^{\otimes k})) \subset \mathbb{P}\left(H^0\left(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\sum_i \sigma_i\right)^{\otimes k}\right)\right),$$

where D_a denotes the divisor $\mathcal{C}_a \cap \sum_i \sigma_i$ for $a = 1, 2$. From this, we immediately see that, if $\gamma \in l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, then γ is not contained in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_a, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a}(D_a)^{\otimes k}))$ for $a = 1, 2$. Therefore, γ does not lie on any tangent line of \mathcal{C} . Similarly, if $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$ are two points in \mathcal{C}_a , then the secant line $l_{p,q}$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_a, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_a}(D_a)^{\otimes k}))$ and therefore does not contain γ . From this we also see that \mathcal{C} has no multiseccants connecting \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 . Therefore, any four points $\sigma_1 \neq p \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\sigma_2 \neq q \in \mathcal{C}_2$ are in general position, and $l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \cap l_{p,q} = \emptyset$. We conclude that l_{σ_1, σ_2} is the unique secant containing γ . \square

For $k \geq 5$ and with no assumptions on σ_1 and σ_2 , we can prove a stronger statement than the conditions of Proposition 3.5. A priori, it suffices to change the first requirement so that γ lies on a unique secant l_{σ_1, σ_2} . Using $l_{p,q}$ for the line between p and q , we now rephrase (and strengthen) the four criteria as

- (1) for all $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $l_{p,q} \cap l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \emptyset$ unless $\{p, q\} \cap \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \neq \emptyset$;
- (2) for all $p \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $T_p \mathcal{C} \cap l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = \emptyset$ unless $p \in \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$;
- (3) for all $p, q \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $T_p \mathcal{C} \cap T_q \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ unless $p = q$; and
- (4) \mathcal{C} has no multiseccant.

We can further simplify as follows. Recall that the *length* of a zero-dimensional scheme X over a field κ is the dimension of the κ -vector space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We now note that conditions (1)–(3) are special cases of the same thing. In particular, if we begin with condition (1) and take the limit as q approaches p , we arrive at condition (2), and, as σ_1 approaches σ_2 , we get (3). Second, \mathcal{C} has no multiseccants if and only if \mathcal{C} has no triseccants. With these changes, the statement becomes the following:

Proposition 3.7 *Let \mathcal{C} be a curve in \mathbb{P}_κ^N and let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Then the projection from a point $\gamma \in l_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ if:*

- (1) $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_\kappa^N$ has no trisecant.
- (2) No length 4 subscheme of \mathcal{C} is contained in a plane.

Proof As noted above, the fibers of the projection restricted to \mathcal{C} are intersections with lines through γ . The absence of a trisecant line guarantees that fibers consist of at most two points. The lack of a quadrisecant plane guarantees that there is only one line through γ which intersects the curve in at least two points. Thus, away from l_{σ_1, σ_2} , the projection map is injective on the curve \mathcal{C} . As noted above, to see that it is an isomorphism, it remains to rule out the existence of tangent lines to \mathcal{C} containing γ . Such a line would, along with l_{σ_1, σ_2} give a length 4 subscheme of \mathcal{C} contained in a plane (through γ); by hypothesis, none exists. \square

We now verify that every marked k -log-canonically embedded curve satisfies the conditions of the proposition.

Lemma 3.8 *Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_a$ be a nodal curve (with irreducible components \mathcal{C}_a) of arithmetic genus g over a field κ . Let g_a be the geometric genus of the normalization \mathcal{C}_a^\vee of \mathcal{C}_a . Let L be a line bundle of degree d on \mathcal{C} , let L_a be the pullback to \mathcal{C}_a^\vee of L and let $d_a := \deg L_a$. Assume that $d_a \geq 2g_a + 2 + j_a$ for all a , where j_a is the number of preimages of nodes in \mathcal{C}_a^\vee . Then \mathcal{C} has no trisecant lines when embedded by the complete linear system $|L|$.*

Proof A trisecant is an effective divisor T of degree 3 that is contained in a line. For a curve embedded by the complete linear system of a line bundle L , this condition on T can be rewritten as $h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^0(\mathcal{C}, L(-T)) = 2$. Riemann–Roch (Proposition 2.4) tells us that

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^1(\mathcal{C}, L) = d - g + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(\mathcal{C}, L(-T)) - h^1(\mathcal{C}, L(-T)) = d - g - 2.$$

Applying Serre duality and then subtracting one from the other, we get

$$(h^0(\mathcal{C}, L) - h^0(\mathcal{C}, L(-T))) - (h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes L^{-1}) - h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \otimes L^{-1})) = 3.$$

This equation implies that, in order to show that T is not a trisecant, it suffices to show that

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes L^{-1}) - h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \otimes L^{-1}) = 0.$$

In particular, it suffices to show that both terms vanish. A line bundle can be shown to have no global sections by checking that there is no component on which the degree is

positive. Thus, we want $2g_a - 2 + j_a - d_a < 0$ and $2g_a - 2 + 3 + j_a - d_a < 0$. We see that $d_a \geq 2g_a + 2 + j_a$ suffices for both. \square

Lemma 3.9 *In the situation of Lemma 3.8, assume that $d_a \geq 2g_a + 3 + j_a$ for all a . Then, when embedded by the complete linear system $|L|$, the curve \mathcal{C} has no quadrisecant planes.*

Proof Let T be an effective divisor of degree 4 on \mathcal{C} that is contained in a plane. Then, by a similar calculation as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, the divisor T must satisfy

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \otimes L^{-1}) - h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes L^{-1}) = 1.$$

By the degree condition, $\omega_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes L^{-1}$ already has negative degree on each component, and so has no global sections. Therefore, T is contained in a plane if and only if

$$h^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \otimes L^{-1}) = 1.$$

However, we can compute that the degree on each component is

$$2g_a - 2 + j_a + 4 - d_a = 2g_a + 2 + j_a - d_a.$$

By hypothesis, this is negative. \square

A direct computation now shows that if $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a disjoint union of stable curves, then $\deg(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.9 (and thus Lemma 3.8) so long as $k \geq 5$.

Corollary 3.10 (claim (2)) *Let $k \geq 5$. Let S be a scheme and let $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked, k -log-canonically embedded curve over S (as in Theorem 3.2). Then the projection from γ in $\mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^{\vee}$ induces an embedding η^{gl} of \mathcal{C}^{gl} in $\mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}}/S}(\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^{\vee}$.*

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

4 Moduli of pluri-log-canonically embedded curves

We now introduce a smooth DM stack $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ parametrizing stable curves \mathcal{C} , of genus g , with n marked points $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in the smooth locus of \mathcal{C} , equipped with a projective embedding η by the complete linear system $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k}$.

Definition 4.1 Let $k \geq 2$. We define the *moduli stack of k -log-canonically embedded marked curves* $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ to be the DM stack representing the functor

$$S \mapsto \{(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)\}$$

which maps a scheme S to the groupoid of stable curves of genus g with n marked points and a k -log-canonical embedding $\eta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/S}(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee$. When $g = 0$, we can take $k \geq 1$, in which case $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,n}^k$ is in fact a scheme.

Remark 4.2 We see that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ is representable and smooth over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Denote by $V(g, n, k) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ the “ k -log-Hodge” bundle whose fiber at $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\})$ is given by $H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_i \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee$. Because any two projective embeddings by a complete linear system differ by a change of basis, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a torsor for the relative group scheme $\text{PGL}(V(g, n, k)) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of projective linear automorphisms of $V(g, n, k)$. Further, the torsor $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ has a section, given by sending a curve \mathcal{C} to the embedding which sends a point $x \in \mathcal{C}$ to the hyperplane $H_x \subset H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}(\sum_i \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})$ consisting of sections vanishing at x . Therefore the torsor trivializes, and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(V(g, n, k))$ over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. In less elementary fashion, one could also directly exhibit a smooth atlas for $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k$ by a construction analogous to the construction of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ from the Hilbert scheme.

Every S -point of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n+2}^k$ or $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1,n_1+1}^k \times \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_2,n_2+1}^k$ determines an embedded curve satisfying the conditions of [Theorem 3.2](#).¹ Because the section γ in [Theorem 3.2](#) is natural with respect to base change, [Theorem 3.2](#) immediately implies the following:

Corollary 4.3 For each $k \geq 2$ (and $k \geq 1$ for $g_1 = g_2 = 0$), there exists a map

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1,n_1+1}^k \times \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_2,n_2+1}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1+g_2,n_1+n_2}^k.$$

For $k \geq 5$, there exists a map

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n+2}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g+1,n}^k.$$

These maps fit into commuting squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1,n_1+1}^k \times \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_2,n_2+1}^k & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1+g_2,n_1+n_2}^k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,n_2+1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1+g_2,n_1+n_2} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n+2}^k & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g+1,n}^k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+2} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1,n} \end{array}$$

¹For $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_1,n_1+1}^k \times \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g_2,n_2+1}^k$, take the disjoint union of the factors.

Remark 4.4 In the case $g = 0$ and $k = 1$, the discussion of [Section 3](#) could be carried out for embedded stable genus 0 curves $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ which are further equipped with the canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi: \mathbb{P}_S^{n-2} i \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{P}_S \left(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \right) \right)^\vee$$

which sends the standard coordinate points of \mathbb{P}^{n-2} to the n points in general position $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We could then consider the functor

$$S \mapsto \{(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta, \varphi)\}$$

which maps an S -scheme to a stable, marked log-canonically embedded curve of genus 0 with the specified trivialization of the ambient projective bundle. In the notation of the previous remark, this functor is represented by the closed subscheme $\mathcal{H}_{0,n}^1$ of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{P}^{n-2} . Kapranov [3] has shown that the forgetful map $\mathcal{H}_{0,n}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ is an isomorphism. Kapranov's work can thus be understood as a first instance of gluing maps for log-canonically embedded stable curves.

For larger g and k , one could similarly consider *framed* k -log-canonically embedded stable marked curves, that is, curves as above equipped with a collection of $(2k-1)(g-1) + nk + 1$ points in general position containing the n marked points as a subset. The constructions of [Section 3](#) extend to framed k -log-canonically embedded curves. However, we do not know, even in the genus 0 case for $k > 1$, how to ensure that the gluing maps for framed curves satisfy the necessary equivariance properties required of a cyclic (and thus a modular) operad as required for the next section.

5 Modular operads of embedded curves

In this section, we prove [Theorem 1.2](#). For the reader familiar with modular operads, we remark that, given the above construction of the gluing maps, the only nontrivial point which remains is to prove that the gluing maps are associative. For the rest of our readers, we begin by recalling the definition of modular operads and stating what it is we need to show. Readers familiar with these notions should feel free to skip the following paragraph.

Review of modular operads Our goal in this subsection is to provide a minimal list of things one must produce to exhibit a modular operad. For a more elegant and thorough treatment, we refer the reader to Getzler and Kapranov [1], which we take as our primary reference.

Definition 5.1 Denote by S_n the permutation group on n elements $\{1, \dots, n\}$.² For $\pi \in S_m$, $\rho \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, denote by

$$\pi \circ_i \rho \in S_{m+n-1}$$

the permutation which reorders $\{i, \dots, i+n-1\}$ according to ρ and then reorders the set of sets $\{\{1\}, \dots, \{i-1\}, \{i, \dots, i+n-1\}, \{i+n\}, \dots, \{m+n-1\}\}$ by π . Explicitly,

$$(\pi \circ_i \rho)(j) := \begin{cases} \pi(j) & \text{if } j < i \text{ and } \pi(j) < \pi(i), \\ \pi(j) + n - 1 & \text{if } j < i \text{ and } \pi(j) > \pi(i), \\ \pi(i) + \rho(j - i + 1) - 1 & \text{if } i - 1 < j < i + n, \\ \pi(j - n + 1) & \text{if } j \geq i + n \text{ and } \pi(j - n + 1) < \pi(i), \\ \pi(j - n + 1) + n - 1 & \text{if } j \geq i + n \text{ and } \pi(j - n + 1) > \pi(i). \end{cases}$$

Let $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \sigma)$ be a symmetric monoidal category³ such that \otimes preserves coproducts; for example \mathcal{D} could be the category of DM stacks with the Cartesian product. We further assume that there exists an initial object $0 \in \mathcal{D}$; for example 0 could be the DM stack \emptyset .

Definition 5.2 An operad in $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \sigma)$ consists of

- (1) for each nonnegative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, an object $\mathcal{P}(n) \in \mathcal{D}$ with a homomorphism $S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}(n))$; and
- (2) for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, a map $\circ_i: \mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(m+n-1)$.

We require that these satisfy the following conditions. For $\pi \in S_m$, $\rho \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, we require

$$(5-1) \quad (\pi \circ_i \rho) \cdot \circ_i = \circ_{\pi(i)} \cdot (\pi \otimes \rho)$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(m+n-1)$.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, we require

$$(5-2) \quad \circ_{j+m-1} \cdot (\circ_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{P}(n)}) = \circ_i \cdot (\circ_j \otimes 1_{\mathcal{P}(m)}) \cdot (1_{\mathcal{P}(l)} \otimes \sigma)$$

and, for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we require

$$(5-3) \quad \circ_{i+j-1} \cdot (\circ_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{P}(n)}) = \circ_i \cdot (1_{\mathcal{P}(l)} \otimes \circ_j)$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}(l) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(l+m+n-2)$.

²By convention, S_0 is the trivial group, ie the group of automorphisms of the empty set.

³ σ is the symmetry isomorphism.

We define a map of operads $\mathcal{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^2$ in the obvious manner, ie it consists of a collection of equivariant maps $\mathcal{P}^1(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^2(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which intertwine the various maps \circ_i for \mathcal{P}^1 and \mathcal{P}^2 .

Remark 5.3 To make sense of these axioms, it is helpful to picture $\mathcal{P}(n)$ as a collection of labels for trees with one outgoing leaf and n incoming leaves marked $1, \dots, n$; the group S_n acts by permuting the markings of the incoming leaves. In this picture, the map \circ_i corresponds to gluing the outgoing leaf of a tree in $\mathcal{P}(n)$ to the i^{th} incoming leaf of a tree in $\mathcal{P}(m)$ to obtain a tree in $\mathcal{P}(n + m - 1)$. Axiom (5-1) requires that if we first relabel and then glue, this is equivalent to gluing first and then relabeling in the natural fashion. Axioms (5-2) and (5-3) require the gluing of three trees to be associative in the natural fashion.

Denote by S_{n+} the permutation group on $n + 1$ letters $\{0, \dots, n\}$. Denote by τ_n the cycle $(01 \dots n)$.

Definition 5.4 A *cyclic operad* is an operad \mathcal{P} in $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes, \sigma)$ such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the S_n -action on $\mathcal{P}(n)$ extends to an S_{n+} -action⁴ and such that

(5-4)
$$\tau_{n+m-1} \cdot \circ_m = \circ_1 \cdot (\tau_n \otimes \tau_m) \cdot \sigma$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}(m) \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(m + n - 1)$.

We define maps of cyclic operads in the obvious manner.

Remark 5.5 In the picture of Remark 5.3, the objects $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of a cyclic operad can be pictured as a collection of labels for trees with one outgoing and n incoming leaves, where we are also allowed to permute the outgoing leaf with the incoming leaves. Equivalently, we can view $\mathcal{P}(n)$ as a collection of labeled trees with $n + 1$ leaves marked $0, \dots, n$, where S_{n+} acts by permuting the markings on the leaves. Axiom (5-4) requires that if we first relabel using the extra symmetry in S_{n+} and then glue, this is equivalent to gluing first and relabelling in the natural fashion.

Notation 5.6 If \mathcal{P} is a cyclic operad, we write $\mathcal{P}((n + 1))$ for the object $\mathcal{P}(n)$. In this notation, we have

$$\circ_i: \mathcal{P}((m)) \otimes \mathcal{P}((n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((m + n - 2))$$

for $m, n > 1$. We will also consider cyclic operads \mathcal{P} for which we define $\mathcal{P}((0))$. However, we do not assume the existence of maps \circ_i with source $\mathcal{P}((m)) \otimes \mathcal{P}((n))$ for either m or n equal to 0.

⁴Under the embedding $S_n \hookrightarrow S_{n+}$ corresponding to the inclusion $\{1, \dots, n\} \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 5.7 A *stable cyclic operad* is a cyclic operad \mathcal{P} such that, for each non-negative integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an S_n -equivariant decomposition

$$\mathcal{P}((n)) := \coprod_{g \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}((g, n))$$

such that $\mathcal{P}((g, n)) = 0$ if $n < 3 - 2g$, and such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $n > 0$, the map \circ_i restricts to a map

$$\mathcal{P}((g, m)) \otimes \mathcal{P}((h, n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((g + h, m + n - 2)).$$

Remark 5.8 In a stable cyclic operad, we can picture the object $\mathcal{P}((g, n))$ as a collection of labels for dual graphs of stable curves of genus g with n marked points. In this picture, the maps \circ_i correspond to gluing the first leg of a graph in $\mathcal{P}((h, n))$ to the i^{th} leg of a graph in $\mathcal{P}((g, m))$, and relabelling the remaining legs accordingly.

Definition 5.9 Let $n \geq 2$, $\rho \in S_n$ and $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $\rho_{\setminus \{i, j\}} \in S_{n-2}$ the induced bijection

$$\{1, \dots, n-2\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\} \xrightarrow{\rho} \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\rho(i), \rho(j)\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \{1, \dots, n-2\},$$

where the first and last bijections are the canonical order-preserving bijections.

Definition 5.10 A *modular operad* is a stable cyclic operad \mathcal{P} such that, for each g, n and $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists a map

$$\xi_{ij}: \mathcal{P}((g, n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((g + 1, n - 2))$$

such that the following properties are satisfied: For each $\rho \in S_n$, we require

$$(5-5) \quad \rho_{\setminus \{i, j\}} \cdot \xi_{ij} = \xi_{\rho(i)\rho(j)} \cdot \rho$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}((g, n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((g + 1, n - 2))$.

For $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \neq l \leq n$, we require

$$(5-6) \quad \xi_{ij} \cdot \xi_{kl} = \xi_{kl} \cdot \xi_{ij}$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}((g, n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((g + 2, n - 4))$.⁵

⁵Note that we are abusing notation slightly on the left-hand side of (5-6) by writing ξ_{ij} for the map which corresponds to the image of the pair i, j under the identification $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, l\} \cong \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. An analogous abuse of notation also occurs on the right-hand side.

We further require

$$(5-7) \quad \xi_{12} \cdot \circ_m = \circ_{m-2} \cdot (\xi_{12} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{P}((h,n))}),$$

$$(5-8) \quad \xi_{m,m+1} \cdot \circ_m = \circ_m \cdot (1_{\mathcal{P}((g,m))} \otimes \xi_{12}),$$

$$(5-9) \quad \xi_{m-1,m} \cdot \circ_m = \xi_{m+n-2,m+n-1} \cdot \circ_{m-1} \cdot (1_{\mathcal{P}((g,m))} \otimes \tau_n^{-1}),$$

as maps $\mathcal{P}((g,m)) \otimes \mathcal{P}((h,n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}((g+h+1, m+n-4))$.

We define maps of modular operads in the obvious manner.

Remark 5.11 A modular operad is a stable cyclic operad with extra structure encoded by the maps ξ_{ij} . If we picture $\mathcal{P}((g,n))$ as a collection of labels for dual graphs of stable marked curves, then the maps ξ_{ij} correspond to gluing together the i and j legs of a graph Γ to obtain a new graph Γ' . As usual, axiom (5-5) requires that relabelling and then gluing is equivalent to gluing first and relabelling in the natural fashion. Similarly, axioms (5-6)–(5-9) require the various operations involving gluing two pairs of legs together to be associative in the natural fashion.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Because the forgetful maps $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are S_n -equivariant, by Corollary 4.3, it suffices to verify that the gluing maps on $\{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k\}$ form a modular operad in order to conclude that the forgetful maps

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{g,n}^k \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

determine a map of operads (the analogous observation applies to the cyclic and stable cyclic operads $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{0,c}^k$ and $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_c^k$).

Further, the construction of the gluing maps in the proof of Theorem 3.2 immediately implies that the equivariance axioms (5-1), (5-4) and (5-5) are all satisfied in each case. Therefore, it only remains prove that the gluing maps satisfy the associativity properties (5-2), (5-3) and (5-6)–(5-9). These will all be immediate consequences of the following lemma:

Lemma 5.12 *Let $k \geq 5$, let S be a scheme and let $(C, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \eta)$ be a marked k -log-canonically embedded curve over S . Denote by*

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n, \eta^{\text{gl}_{12,34}})$$

the embedded curve obtained by first gluing σ_1 to σ_2 and then gluing σ_3 to σ_4 . Likewise, denote by

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n, \eta^{\text{gl}_{34,12}})$$

the embedded curve obtained by first gluing σ_3 to σ_4 and then gluing σ_1 to σ_2 . Then there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n, \eta^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}) \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n, \eta^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}).$$

The same conclusion holds if $k \geq 2$ and $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ and $\{\sigma_3, \sigma_4\}$ lie on disjoint components of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^{gl} . In addition, if all components of \mathcal{C} have arithmetic genus 0, then we can take $k \geq 1$.

Proof The associativity of the classical gluing maps for curves guarantees the existence of a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n) \cong (\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=5}^n).$$

Using this isomorphism, our observations about the vanishing of higher direct image sheaves imply that there exists a commuting diagram of \mathcal{O}_S -modules with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}/S} (\sum_{i=5}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}/S} (\sum_{i \neq 3,4} \sigma_i)^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12}}/S} (\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} (\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_S & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Dualizing and projectivizing, we obtain a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & S & \xlongequal{\quad} & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/S} (\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12}}/S} (\sum_{i=3}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{34}}/S} (\sum_{i \neq 3,4} \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_S(\pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{gl}_{12,34}}/S} (\sum_{i=5}^n \sigma_i)^{\otimes k})^\vee \end{array}$$

where the dashed arrows indicate the projections. The commutativity of the lower right square implies that $\eta^{\text{gl}_{12,34}} = \eta^{\text{gl}_{34,12}}$. \square

Remark 5.13 There is an equivalent, although more manifestly geometric, formulation of the above argument. Each of the pairs of points $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ and $\{\sigma_3, \sigma_4\}$ lying over

eventual nodes gives a point on the line between them. Then, projection from one point followed by projection from the image of the other, in either order, is the same map as projection from the line spanned by the two points. Here, the equivalence of the embeddings follows from the fact that these are just two factorizations of the same projection map, with one-dimensional center.

References

- [1] **E Getzler, M M Kapranov**, *Modular operads*, Compositio Math. 110 (1998) 65–126 [MR](#)
- [2] **R Hartshorne**, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer, New York (1977) [MR](#)
- [3] **M M Kapranov**, *Veronese curves and Grothendieck–Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* , J. Algebraic Geom. 2 (1993) 239–262 [MR](#)
- [4] **F F Knudsen**, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, II: The stacks $M_{g,n}$* , Math. Scand. 52 (1983) 161–199 [MR](#)
- [5] **I Madsen, M Weiss**, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture*, Ann. of Math. 165 (2007) 843–941 [MR](#)

Faculty of Mathematics, Higher School of Economics, National Research University
6 Usacheva Str., Moscow, 119048, Russia

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
902 Battelle Blvd, Richland, WA 99354, United States

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago
5734 S University Ave., Chicago, IL 60637, United States

skondo@hse.ru, charles.siegel@pnnl.gov, wolfson@math.uchicago.edu

Proposed: Lothar Göttsche
Seconded: Jim Bryan, Dan Abramovich

Received: 18 August 2014
Revised: 4 May 2016