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#### Abstract

We use duality in convex analysis and particularly the famous Attouch-Brezis theorem to prove subdifferentiability of infimal convolution on Banach couples.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ be a regular Banach couple, i.e. $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in both $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$, and let $\varphi_{0}: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be convex and proper functions (see Section 2 for used definitions from convex analysis) and let

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{i}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{i}(u) & \text { if } u \in X_{i}  \tag{1.1}\\
+\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{i}
\end{array} \quad i=0,1\right.
$$

be functions defined on the sum $X_{0}+X_{1}$. Then the $K-, L$ - and $E$-functionals (see $[4,5])$ are particular cases of infimal convolution of functions $\bar{\varphi}_{0}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{1}$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left(\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infimal convolution (1.2) is called exact at a point $x \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)$ if the infimum is achieved, i.e., $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\min _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left\{\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$. Suppose that $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)$ is finite and exact. Then the decomposition $x=x_{0}+x_{1}$, on which the infimum is attained will be called optimal and denoted as $x=x_{0, o p t}+x_{1, \text { opt }}$.

Usually, calculation of optimal decomposition is a difficult extremal problem and only near-optimal decomposition can be constructed (see [8]). However for applications, for example in image processing (see [9], [1] and [6]), exact optimal decomposition is required.

[^0]In [7] the following dual characterization of optimal decomposition was obtained:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi_{0}: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be convex proper functions. Suppose also that $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ is subdifferentiable for a given element $x \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)$. Then the decomposition $x=x_{0, \text { opt }}+x_{1, \text { opt }}$ is optimal for $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ if and only if there exists $y_{*} \in X_{0}^{*} \cap X_{1}^{*}$ such that it is dual to both $x_{0, \text { opt }}$ and $x_{1, \text { opt }}$ with respect to $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$, respectively, i.e.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0, o p t}\right)=\left\langle y_{*}, x_{0, o p t}\right\rangle-\varphi_{0}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1, o p t}\right)=\left\langle y_{*}, x_{1, o p t}\right\rangle-\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that to use Theorem 1.1 we need to check subdifferentiability of the function $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ for a given $x \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)$, which is often not trivial problem. In this paper we develop an approach based on Attouch-Brezis theorem that provides sufficient conditions for subdifferentiability of infimal convolution defined on a Banach couple. Important feature of this result is that it works also for boundary points of the set $\operatorname{dom}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)$. Moreover, we show how these conditions can be verified for the $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{L}$ and E -functionals.

Remark 1.1. We would like to note that all the Banach spaces considered throughout this paper are real.

## 2. Some definitions and results from convex analysis

Below we present some definitions and results from convex analysis that are needed for the proofs of our main results. Throughout, $E$ will denote a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ and $E^{*}$ will denote its dual space. In this section, by $F: E \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ we will denote a convex function on $E$. The effective domain or simply domain of a function $F$ is a convex set $\operatorname{dom} F$, defined by

$$
\operatorname{dom} F=\{x \in E: F(x)<+\infty\} .
$$

A function $F$ is said to be proper if $\operatorname{dom} F \neq \emptyset$. If the epigraph of $F$, i.e. the set

$$
e p i F=\{(x, \alpha) \in E \times \mathbb{R}: F(x) \leqslant \alpha\},
$$

is closed then the function $F$ is called lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.). Equivalently, this can be expressed as

$$
F(x) \leqslant \liminf _{y \rightarrow x} F(y),
$$

i.e. for every $x \in \operatorname{dom} F$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ of $x$ such that $F(y) \geqslant F(x)-\varepsilon$ for all $y \in \mathcal{O}$.

As we will see later on, the $K-, L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}-$ and $E$-functionals can be obtained as infimal convolutions of two convex functions on $X_{0}+X_{1}$. The definition of the operation of infimal convolution is the following.

Definition 2.1. The infimal convolution of two functions $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ from $E$ into $\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ is the function denoted by $F_{0} \oplus F_{1}$ that maps $E$ into $\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{0} \oplus F_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left\{F_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is exact at a point $x \in E$ if the infimum is achieved, i.e., $\left(F_{0} \oplus F_{1}\right)(x)=$ $\min _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left\{F_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+F_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$. Suppose that $\left(F_{0} \oplus F_{1}\right)(x)$ is finite and exact. Then the decomposition $x=x_{0}+x_{1}$, on which the infimum is attained will be called optimal and denoted as $x=x_{0, \text { opt }}+x_{1, \text { opt }}$.

The notion of conjugate function will be important for us:
Definition 2.2. The conjugate function of $F$ is the function $F^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}(y)=\sup _{x \in E}\{\langle y, x\rangle-F(x)\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we will say that $y$ is dual to $x$ with respect to $F$ if $F^{*}(y)=\langle y, x\rangle-F(x)$ or, in symmetric form,

$$
F(x)+F^{*}(y)=\langle y, x\rangle .
$$

Definition 2.3. A dual element $y \in E^{*}$ to $x \in E$ is also called a subgradient of the convex function $F$ at the point $x$. The set of all dual elements to $x$ is denoted by $\partial F(x)$ and the function $F$ is called subdifferentiable at the point $x \in E$ if the set $\partial F(x)$ is nonempty.

The next proposition (see [3]) contains examples of functions that will be often used below.

Proposition 2.1. Consider the following cases:
a) Let $F(x)=\frac{1}{p}\|x\|_{E}^{p}$, where $1<p<\infty$. Then $F^{*}(y)=\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\|y\|_{E^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$.
b) Let $F(x)=\|x\|_{E}$. Then

$$
F^{*}(y)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\|y\|_{E^{*}} \leqslant 1 \\ +\infty & \text { if }\|y\|_{E^{*}}>1\end{cases}
$$

c) Let

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & \|x\|_{E} \leqslant 1 \\
+\infty & \text { if } & \|x\|_{E}>1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then $F^{*}(y)=\|y\|_{E^{*}}$.
For convenience of the reader we will give the proof of a) (the proofs of b) and c) are simpler).

Proof. Let us define the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as

$$
\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{p}|t|^{p} .
$$

It is clear that this function is convex, lower semicontinuous, proper, and even. The dual $\varphi^{*}$ of $\varphi$ is by definition

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(t^{*}\right)=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left(t^{*} \cdot t-\frac{1}{p}|t|^{p}\right) .
$$

The supremum is attained at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $t^{*}=|t|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(t)$ and we obtain

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(t^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\left|t^{*}\right|^{p^{\prime}}
$$

Then the conjugate to the function $F(x)=\frac{1}{p}\|x\|_{E}^{p}$ is equal to

$$
F^{*}(y)=\sup _{x \in E}\left\{\langle y, x\rangle-\varphi\left(\|x\|_{E}\right)\right\}
$$

This can be rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{*}(y) & =\sup _{t \geqslant 0} \sup _{\|x\|_{E}=t}\{\langle y, x\rangle-\varphi(t)\}=\sup _{t \geqslant 0}\left\{t \sup _{\|x\|_{E}=1}\langle y, x\rangle-\varphi(t)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{t \geqslant 0}\left\{t\|y\|_{E^{*}}-\varphi(t)\right\}=\varphi^{*}\left(\|y\|_{E^{*}}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\|y\|_{E^{*}}^{p^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\varphi$ is an even function.
The following simple observation will also be useful.
Proposition 2.2. Consider the following cases:
a) Let $a \in E$ and $F: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$. Then for the function $F_{a}(x)=F(x+a)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F_{a}\right)^{*}(y) & =\sup _{x \in E}\{\langle y, x\rangle-F(x+a)\} \\
& =\sup _{u \in E}\{\langle y, u-a\rangle-F(u)\}=F^{*}(y)-\langle y, a\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

b) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ then

$$
(\lambda F)^{*}(y)=\sup _{x \in E}\{\langle y, x\rangle-\lambda F(x)\}=\lambda F^{*}\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) .
$$

The following two results show that the operations of addition and infimal convolution of convex functions are dual to each other. The property that the conjugate of infimal convolution of convex functions is equal to the sum of their conjugates holds without any additional requirements. However, the property that the conjugate of the sum is equal to the infimal convolution of the conjugates requires some additional qualification conditions.

Theorem 2.1 (Conjugate of infimal convolution). Let $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ be convex functions from $E$ into $\mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$. Then

$$
\left(F_{0} \oplus F_{1}\right)^{*}=F_{0}^{*}+F_{1}^{*} .
$$

The following theorem by H. Attouch and H. Brezis (see [2]) provides a sufficient condition for the conjugate of the sum of two convex, lower semicontinuous and proper functions to be equal to the exact infimal convolution of their conjugates.

Theorem 2.2 (Conjugate of a sum). Assume $\varphi, \psi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ are convex, lower semicontinuous, and proper functions such that

$$
\bigcup_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \lambda(d o m \varphi-d o m \psi)
$$

is a closed vector subspace of $E$. Then

$$
(\varphi+\psi)^{*}=\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*} \quad \text { on } \quad E^{*}
$$

and, moreover, the infimal convolution $\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}$ is exact.
The following corollary will be very useful. It follows immediately from the Attouch-Brezis theorem and it provides a connection between minimization problem on $E$ and infimal convolution on $E^{*}$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\varphi, \psi: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be functions satisfying conditions of the Attouch-Brezis theorem. Then

$$
\inf _{x \in E}(\varphi(x)+\psi(x))=-\left(\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}\right)(0),
$$

where the infimal convolution on the right-hand side is exact.
Proof. From Theorem 2.2 we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi+\psi)^{*}(z)=\left(\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}\right)(z) \quad \forall z \in E^{*} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}\right)(z)$ is exact. By definitions of convex conjugate and infimal convolution, we can write (2.3) as

$$
\sup _{x \in E}(\langle z, x\rangle-\varphi(x)-\psi(x))=\inf _{z=z_{1}+z_{2} \in E^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(z_{1}\right)+\psi^{*}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Since infimal convolution $\left(\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}\right)(z)$ is exact, we can write

$$
\sup _{x \in E}(\langle z, x\rangle-\varphi(x)-\psi(x))=\min _{z_{2} \in E^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(z-z_{2}\right)+\psi^{*}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $z=0$, then we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in E}(-\varphi(x)-\psi(x))=\min _{f \in E^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}(-f)+\psi^{*}(f)\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\sup _{u \in E}[-F(u)]=-\inf _{u \in E} F(u) .
$$

Then the expression (2.4) can be written as

$$
\inf _{x \in E}(\varphi(x)+\psi(x))=-\min _{f \in E^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}(-f)+\psi^{*}(f)\right) .
$$

By definition of infimal convolution, we can rewrite this as

$$
\inf _{x \in E}(\varphi(x)+\psi(x))=-\left(\varphi^{*} \oplus \psi^{*}\right)(0)
$$

where the infimal convolution on the right-hand side is exact.

## 3. Infimal convolution on couples of Banach spaces

In this section we prove a theorem on subdifferentiability of infimal convolution on couples of Banach spaces. We start with the definition of infimal convolution on couples. Let $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)$ be a regular Banach couple, i.e. $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in both $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$, and let $\varphi_{0}: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be convex and proper functions. Let us also define the following functions on $X_{0}+X_{1}$ :

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{i}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{i}(u) & \text { if } u \in X_{i} \\
+\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{i}
\end{array} \quad i=0,1\right.
$$

Then we can define the infimal convolution $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ on the space $X_{0}+X_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left(\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

Remark 3.1. The functions $\bar{\varphi}_{0}, \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ may be not lower semicontinuous even when $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}$ are lower semicontinuous.

The infimal convolution $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)$ can be obtained by solving a minimization problem on the intersection $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$. Indeed, let us fix $x \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)$ such that $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)<+\infty$ and fix a decomposition

$$
x=a_{0}+a_{1}, \quad a_{0} \in X_{0}, \quad a_{1} \in X_{1}
$$

If $x=x_{0}+x_{1}$, where $x_{0} \in X_{0}$ and $x_{1} \in X_{1}$, then $x_{0}+x_{1}=a_{0}+a_{1}$. Thus the element $y=a_{0}-x_{0}=x_{1}-a_{1} \in\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$ and we have

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left(\varphi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\inf _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left(\varphi_{0}\left(a_{0}-y\right)+\varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}+y\right)\right) .
$$

Therefore, if we define the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a_{0}}(y)=\varphi_{0}\left(a_{0}-y\right), \quad R_{a_{1}}(y)=\varphi_{1}\left(a_{1}+y\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the intersection $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$, then we have

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left(S_{a_{0}}(y)+R_{a_{1}}(y)\right) .
$$

This representation of infimal convolution is useful because the functions $S_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{1}}$ are usually convex, lower semicontinuous, and proper on $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$. The following result will serve as a tool for checking if an infimal convolution defined on a given Banach couple is subdifferentiable.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the functions $S_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{1}}$, defined by (3.1) on $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$, are convex, lower semicontinuous, and proper. Let $\varphi_{0}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{1}^{*}$ be the respective conjugate functions of $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$, defined on the spaces $X_{0}^{*}$ and $X_{1}^{*}$, respectively. Suppose that
a) the sets $\operatorname{dom}\left(S_{a_{0}}\right)$ and dom $\left(R_{a_{1}}\right)$ satisfy the equality

$$
\bigcup_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \lambda\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(S_{a_{0}}\right)-\operatorname{dom}\left(R_{a_{1}}\right)\right)=X_{0} \cap X_{1}
$$

b) the conjugate function $S_{a_{0}}^{*}$ of $S_{a_{0}}$, defined on $\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)^{*}=X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}$, is equal to

$$
S_{a_{0}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{0}^{*}(-z)+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

c) the conjugate function $R_{a_{1}}^{*}$ of $R_{a_{1}}$, defined on $\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)^{*}=X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}$, is equal to

$$
R_{a_{1}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}^{*}(z)+\left\langle-z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Then the function $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ is subdifferentiable on its domain in $X_{0}+X_{1}$.
Proof. For any given $x \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)$ such that $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)<+\infty$ we consider a decomposition $x=a_{0}+a_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\inf _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left(S_{a_{0}}(y)+R_{a_{1}}(y)\right)
$$

From the condition (a) it follows that the functions $S_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{1}}$ satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2.1 and therefore by applying this corollary we obtain the equality

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=-\left(S_{a_{0}}^{*} \oplus R_{a_{1}}^{*}\right)(0)
$$

and that the infimal convolution $S_{a_{0}}^{*} \oplus R_{a_{1}}^{*}$ is exact. Thus there exists an element $y_{*} \in \operatorname{dom} S_{a_{0}}^{*} \cap \operatorname{dom} R_{a_{1}}^{*}$ such that

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=-S_{a_{0}}^{*}\left(-y_{*}\right)-R_{a_{1}}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right) .
$$

By the assumptions (b) and (c) in Theorem 3.1, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x) & =-\varphi_{0}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right)+\left\langle y_{*}, a_{0}\right\rangle-\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right)+\left\langle y_{*}, a_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle y_{*}, x\right\rangle-\varphi_{0}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right)-\varphi_{1}^{*}\left(y_{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the functions $\left(\bar{\varphi}_{i}\right)^{*}(i=0,1)$ on $\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)^{*}=X_{0}^{*} \cap X_{1}^{*}$ coincide with their restrictions $\varphi_{i}^{*}(i=0,1)$ on $X_{0}^{*} \cap X_{1}^{*}$, therefore from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$
\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)=\left\langle y_{*}, x\right\rangle-\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)^{*}\left(y_{*}\right) .
$$

Thus the element $y_{*}$ is dual to the element $x$ with respect to the function $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ and hence the function $\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}$ is subdifferentiable on its domain in $X_{0}+X_{1}$.

## 4. Subdifferentiability of $K-, L$-, and $E$-functionals

In this section we illustrate Theorem 3.1 by proving subdifferentiability of the $K$-, $L$ - and $E$-functionals.

### 4.1. Some Lemmas

Below we will prove several simple lemmas that show that the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for some functions $S_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{1}}$ from (3.1). These functions will be used to describe the $K-, L_{p_{0}, p_{1}-}$ and $E$-functionals. Everywhere below the couple ( $X_{0}, X_{1}$ ) is a regular couple.

Lemma 4.1. Let $1 \leqslant p_{0}, p_{1}<+\infty$ and let $a_{0} \in X_{0}, a_{1} \in X_{1}$ be given. Then the functions $S, R: X_{0} \cap X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$, defined by

$$
S(y)=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}, \quad R(y)=\frac{t}{p_{1}}\left\|a_{1}+y\right\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}}
$$

are convex, proper, and lower semicontinuous.
Proof. We will give the proof only for the function $S$ (the proof for $R$ is similar). It is clear that $S$ is convex and proper. Let us show that it is lower semicontinuous. Suppose that $\left(u_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{+\infty} \in\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$ converges to $y$ in the norm of $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{j}-y\right\|_{X_{0} \cap X_{1}}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the function $S$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(y) & =\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|a_{0}-y+u_{j}-u_{j}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{p_{0}}\left(\left\|a_{0}-u_{j}\right\|_{X_{0}}+\left\|u_{j}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}\right)^{p_{0}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{p_{0}}\left(\left\|a_{0}-u_{j}\right\|_{X_{0}}+\left\|u_{j}-y\right\|_{X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\right)^{p_{0}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left(\left[p_{0} S\left(u_{j}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}}+\left\|u_{j}-y\right\|_{X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\right)^{p_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left[p_{0} S(y)\right]^{1 / p_{0}} \leqslant\left[p_{0} S\left(u_{j}\right)\right]^{1 / p_{0}}+\left\|u_{j}-y\right\|_{X_{0} \cap X_{1}} .
$$

Using (4.1) we obtain

$$
S(y) \leqslant \liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} S\left(u_{j}\right)
$$

and thus the function $S$ is lower semicontinuous.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(\tilde{a}_{1} ; t\right)$ denote the ball of $X_{1}$ of radius $t>0$ centered at $\tilde{a}_{1} \in X_{1}$ and let $R: X_{0} \cap X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be the function defined by

$$
R(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(\tilde{a}_{1} ; t\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then $R$ is convex, proper, and lower semicontinuous and its conjugate function $R^{*}$ is equal to

$$
R^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}t\|z\|_{X_{1}^{*}}+\left\langle z, \tilde{a}_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. It is clear that $R$ is convex, proper, and lower semicontinuous (as an indicator function of a convex, closed set). Let $z \in X_{1}^{*}$. Since

$$
R^{*}(z)=\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1} \\\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\langle z, y\rangle
$$

and

$$
\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{1} \\ y \tilde{a}_{1}-y \|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\langle z, y\rangle=\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{1} \\\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\left\langle z, y-\tilde{a}_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle z, \tilde{a}_{1}\right\rangle=t\|z\|_{X_{1}^{*}}+\left\langle z, \tilde{a}_{1}\right\rangle
$$

therefore to prove the formula for $R^{*}$ for $z \in X_{1}^{*}$ it is sufficient to demonstrate that for any $\bar{y} \in X_{1}$ such that $\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-\bar{y}\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t$ we have

$$
\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1} \\\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\langle z, y\rangle \geqslant\langle z, \bar{y}\rangle .
$$

Let $u=\tilde{a}_{1}-\bar{y}$. Then

$$
\left\|\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) u\right\|_{X_{1}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\|u\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) t .
$$

As $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in $X_{1}$, we can find $u_{n} \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ and $a_{1, n} \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{n}-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) u\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant \frac{t}{2 n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-a_{1, n}\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant \frac{t}{2 n} .
$$

Let us pick $\overline{y_{n}}=a_{1, n}-u_{n} \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\bar{y}-\overline{y_{n}}\right\|_{X_{1}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-u+u_{n}-a_{1, n}\right\|_{X_{1}}=0
$$

and

$$
\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-\overline{y_{n}}\right\|_{X_{1}}=\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-a_{1, n}+u_{n}\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t
$$

We conclude that

$$
\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1} \\\left\|\bar{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\langle z, y\rangle \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle z, \overline{y_{n}}\right\rangle=\langle z, \bar{y}\rangle .
$$

Let us now consider the case $z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}$. We need to show that

$$
\sup _{\substack{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1} \\\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}}\langle z, y\rangle=+\infty .
$$

The fact that $z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}$ implies that $z$ is defined on $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ and is unbounded on the set $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; 1) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$. Indeed, if it were bounded on $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; 1) \cap$ ( $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ ), then, since $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in $X_{1}$, it would be possible to extend $z$ as a bounded linear functional on $X_{1}$. Let $\Omega=\left\{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}:\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t\right\}$. We need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \Omega}\langle z, y\rangle=+\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\langle z, y\rangle$ is well-defined because $z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right)=\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)^{*}$. Let us assume the contrary to (4.2), i.e.

$$
\sup _{y \in \Omega}\langle z, y\rangle=C<+\infty
$$

Let us choose $a_{1, t} \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ such that $\left\|\tilde{a}_{1}-a_{1, t}\right\|_{X_{1}}<\frac{t}{2}$ and consider the set

$$
\Omega_{\frac{t}{2}}=\left\{u \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}:\left\|u-a_{1, t}\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant \frac{t}{2}\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\Omega_{\frac{t}{2}} \subset \Omega$. We consider the set $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(0 ; \frac{t}{4}\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$ and pick an element $v \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(0 ; \frac{t}{4}\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$. Then $u=\left(2 v+a_{1, t}\right) \in \Omega_{\frac{t}{2}}$ and for such $v$ we have

$$
\langle z, v\rangle=\left\langle z,-\frac{a_{1, t}}{2}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle z, 2 v+a_{1, t}\right\rangle \leqslant\left\langle z,-\frac{a_{1, t}}{2}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} C=C_{1}<+\infty,
$$

i.e.

$$
\sup _{v \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(0 ; \frac{t}{4}\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)}\langle z, v\rangle \leqslant C_{1}<+\infty .
$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that $z$ is unbounded on $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; 1) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\sup _{y \in \Omega}\langle z, y\rangle=+\infty .
$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $a_{0} \in X_{0}$ and $a_{1} \in X_{1}$ be given. Let also the functions $S$ and $R$ be defined on $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ by

$$
S(y)=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad R(y)=\frac{t}{p_{1}}\left\|y+a_{1}\right\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}}
$$

and let $p_{i}^{\prime}, i=0,1$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_{i}}+\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\prime}}=1$. Then
a) in the case when $1<p_{0}, p_{1}<+\infty$, we have

$$
S^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\|z\|_{X_{0}^{*}}^{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{z}{t}\right\|_{X_{1}^{*}}^{p_{1}^{\prime}}+\left\langle-z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

b) in the case when $p_{0}=p_{1}=1$, we have

$$
S^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1) \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\left\langle-z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}^{*}}(0 ; t) \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}^{*}}(0 ; t) .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We will only prove the formulas for the function $S^{*}$ (the proofs for $R^{*}$ are similar). Let us first consider

The case when $1<p_{0}<+\infty$ : Assume that $z \in X_{0}^{*}$. From Proposition 2.1 it follows that the conjugate function to the function $T: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, defined by $T(y)=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\|y\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}$, is equal to

$$
T^{*}(z)=\frac{1}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\|z\|_{X_{0}^{*}}^{p_{0}^{\prime}} .
$$

Let us calculate $S^{*}$. We have

$$
S^{*}(z)=\sup _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left\{\langle z, y\rangle-\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|y-a_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}\right\}=\sup _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left\{\langle z, y\rangle-T\left(y-a_{0}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since our couple ( $X_{0}, X_{1}$ ) is regular, i.e. $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in both $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ and $T$ is a continuous function with respect to the norm of $X_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{*}(z) & =\sup _{y \in X_{0}}\left\{\left\langle z, y-a_{0}\right\rangle-T\left(y-a_{0}\right)\right\}+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =T^{*}(z)+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\|z\|_{X_{0}^{*}}^{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}$, then $z$ is unbounded on $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}}(0 ; 1) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$. Hence

$$
S^{*}(z)=\sup _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left\{\langle z, y\rangle-\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|y-a_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}\right\}=+\infty .
$$

The case when $p_{0}=1$ : In this case the function $T$ becomes

$$
T(y)=\|y\|_{X_{0}}
$$

and its conjugate (see Proposition 2.1) is equal to

$$
T^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1) \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1)\end{cases}
$$

As before, we have $S^{*}(z)=T^{*}(z)+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
S^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1) \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1)\end{cases}
$$

### 4.2. Subdifferentiability of the $\boldsymbol{E}$-functional

Given $x \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)$ and $t>0$, the $E$-functional is defined by

$$
E\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\inf _{\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}\left\|x-x_{1}\right\|_{X_{0}}
$$

We can express the $E$-functional as the following infimal convolution on the $\operatorname{sum} X_{0}+X_{1}$

$$
E\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x)
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}_{0}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{1}$ are functions defined on the sum $X_{0}+X_{1}$ by

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{0}(u)= \begin{cases}\|u\|_{X_{0}} & \text { if } u \in X_{0}  \tag{4.3}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{0}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)  \tag{4.4}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)$ is the ball of $X_{1}$ of radius $t$ centered at the origin. In this case the functions $\varphi_{0}: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ are defined by

$$
\varphi_{0}(u)=\|u\|_{X_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } u \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)  \tag{4.5}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in X_{1} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)\end{cases}
$$

Let us fix $a_{0} \in X_{0}$ and $a_{1} \in X_{1}$ such that $x=a_{0}+a_{1}$. Then

$$
E\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\inf _{\left\|a_{1}+y\right\|_{X_{1}} \leqslant t}\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}, \quad y \in\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)
$$

and, similarly to (3.1), we can rewrite the $E$-functional as

$$
E\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\inf _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left\{S_{a_{0}}(y)+R_{a_{1}}(y)\right\},
$$

where $S_{a_{0}}(y), R_{a_{1}}(y): X_{0} \cap X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ are the functions defined by

$$
S_{a_{0}}(y)=\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}
$$

and

$$
R_{a_{1}}(y)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } y \in\left(\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(-a_{1} ; t\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)\right) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 4.1. The E-functional is subdifferentiable on its whole domain.
Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the function $S_{a_{0}}$ is convex, lower semicontinuous, and proper. The function $R_{a_{1}}$ is convex and lower semicontinuous as an indicator function of a convex and closed set $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(-a_{1} ; t\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$. Since the space $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ is dense in $X_{1}$, then $\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(-a_{1} ; t\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and therefore the function $R_{a_{1}}$ is proper. Moreover, since $\operatorname{dom} S_{a_{0}}=X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dom} R_{a_{1}}=\mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}\left(-a_{1} ; t\right) \cap\left(X_{0} \cap X_{1}\right)$ then

$$
\bigcup_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \lambda\left(\operatorname{dom} S_{a_{0}}-\operatorname{dom} R_{a_{1}}\right)=X_{0} \cap X_{1} .
$$

Thus the condition (a) of the Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. The conjugate function $\varphi_{0}^{*}$ of $\varphi_{0}$ is defined on $X_{0}^{*}$ and is given by

$$
\varphi_{0}^{*}(z)=\sup _{u \in X_{0}}\left(\langle z, u\rangle-\|u\|_{X_{0}}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1)  \tag{4.6}\\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1)\end{cases}
$$

The conjugate function $S_{a_{0}}^{*}$ of $S_{a_{0}}$ can be obtained from Lemma 4.3:

$$
S_{a_{0}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1) \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}^{*}}(0 ; 1) .\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
S_{a_{0}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{0}^{*}(-z)+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

The conjugate $\varphi_{1}^{*}$ of $\varphi_{1}$ is defined on $X_{1}^{*}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{*}(z)=\sup _{x \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{1}}(0 ; t)}\langle z, x\rangle=t\|z\|_{X_{1}^{*}} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 4.2, where we take $\tilde{a}_{1}=-a_{1}$, we obtain

$$
R_{a_{1}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}t\|z\|_{X_{1}^{*}}-\left\langle z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

i.e.

$$
R_{a_{1}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}^{*}(z)-\left\langle z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

So all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, therefore the $E$-functional is subdifferentiable on its domain in $X_{0}+X_{1}$.

### 4.3. Subdifferentiability of the $L$-functional

Let $x \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right)$ and let $t>0$ be a fixed parameter. We consider the following $L$-functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\inf _{x=x_{0}+x_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|x_{0}\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}}+\frac{t}{p_{1}}\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}}\right), \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant p_{0}, p_{1}<\infty$. Note that the $K$-functional corresponds to the particular case when $p_{0}=p_{1}=1$.

The $L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}$-functional can be written as the infimal convolution

$$
L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x),
$$

where the functions $\bar{\varphi}_{0}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{1}$ are both defined on the sum $X_{0}+X_{1}$ as follows

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{0}(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{p_{0}}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}} & \text { if } u \in X_{0}  \tag{4.9}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{0} .\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{p_{1}}\|u\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}} & \text { if } u \in X_{1}  \tag{4.10}\\ +\infty & \text { if } u \in\left(X_{0}+X_{1}\right) \backslash X_{1} .\end{cases}
$$

In this case, the functions $\varphi_{0}: X_{0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ and $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{1}(u)=\frac{t}{p_{1}}\|u\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. The L-functional (4.8) is subdifferentiable on $X_{0}+X_{1}$.
Proof. We will only consider the case when $p_{0}, p_{1}>1$, as the proofs for the other cases are similar. For given $a_{0} \in X_{0}$ and $a_{1} \in X_{1}$ such that $x=a_{0}+a_{1}$, we can define the $L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}$-functional as

$$
L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\inf _{y \in X_{0} \cap X_{1}}\left\{S_{a_{0}}(y)+R_{a_{1}}(y)\right\}
$$

where

$$
S_{a_{0}}(y)=\frac{1}{p_{0}}\left\|a_{0}-y\right\|_{X_{0}}^{p_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad R_{a_{1}}(y)=\frac{t}{p_{1}}\left\|y+a_{1}\right\|_{X_{1}}^{p_{1}} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}\left(t, x ; X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\left(\bar{\varphi}_{0} \oplus \bar{\varphi}_{1}\right)(x),
$$

where the functions $\bar{\varphi}_{0}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{1}$ are defined by (4.9) and (4.10), respectively. From Lemma 4.1 we see that the functions $S_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{1}}$ are convex, proper, and lower semicontinuous and since $\operatorname{dom} S_{a_{0}}=\operatorname{dom} R_{a_{1}}=X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ then

$$
\bigcup_{\lambda \geqslant 0} \lambda\left(\operatorname{dom} S_{a_{0}}-\operatorname{dom} R_{a_{1}}\right)=X_{0} \cap X_{1} .
$$

Thus the condition (a) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. The respective conjugate functions $S^{*}$ and $R^{*}$ of $S$ and $R$ are given in Lemma 4.3:

$$
S_{a_{0}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\bar{p}_{0}^{\prime}}\|z\|_{X_{0}^{*}}^{p_{0}^{\prime}}+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R_{a_{1}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{z}{t}\right\|_{X_{1}^{*}}^{p_{1}^{\prime}}+\left\langle-z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*} .\end{cases}
$$

The conjugate functions $\varphi_{0}^{*}$ of $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}^{*}$ of $\varphi_{1}$ are defined on $X_{0}^{*}$ and $X_{1}^{*}$, respectively, and are given by (see Propositions 2.1-2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}^{*}(z)=\frac{1}{p_{0}^{\prime}}\|z\|_{X_{0}^{*}}^{p_{0}^{\prime}} \quad \forall z \in X_{0}^{*} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{*}(z)=\frac{t}{p_{1}^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{z}{t}\right\|_{X_{1}^{*}}^{p_{1}^{\prime}} \quad \forall z \in X_{1}^{*} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
S_{a_{0}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{0}^{*}(-z)+\left\langle z, a_{0}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{0}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{0}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R_{a_{1}}^{*}(z)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}^{*}(z)+\left\langle-z, a_{1}\right\rangle & \text { if } z \in X_{1}^{*} \\ +\infty & \text { if } z \in\left(X_{0}^{*}+X_{1}^{*}\right) \backslash X_{1}^{*}\end{cases}
$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and therefore the $L_{p_{0}, p_{1}}-$ functional is subdifferentiable on its domain, which is equal to $X_{0}+X_{1}$.
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