

## TOEPLITZ OPERATORS WITH DISTRIBUTIONAL SYMBOLS ON FOCK SPACES

ANTTI PERÄLÄ, JARI TASKINEN, JANI VIRTANEN

Dedicated to the memory of Susanne Dierolf

**Abstract:** We define and study Toeplitz operators  $T_a$  with distributional symbols in the setting of weighted Fock spaces of entire functions on the complex plane. Sufficient conditions for boundedness and compactness are presented in terms of the symbol belonging to a weighted Sobolev space  $W_\omega^{-m,\infty}$  of negative order.

**Keywords:** Toeplitz operator, Fock space, Sobolev space, distributional symbol, boundedness, compactness.

### 1. Introduction

We consider Toeplitz operators  $T_a$  on weighted Fock spaces  $F_\gamma^p$  of entire functions on the complex plane. The Fock space is also known as the Segal-Bargmann space in the case  $p = 2$ , and various aspects of Toeplitz operators and their applications to canonical quantization theory of theoretical physics have been considered in that case e.g. in [4], [5], [6], [8], [9]. More recent studies are included in [2], [7], [10]. For related studies in Bergman spaces we refer to [3], [11], [14], [16], [17], [19].

We are concerned in this paper with the problems of finding sufficient conditions for the boundedness and compactness of  $T_a : F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$ , if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . In the context of Bergman spaces, a weak sufficient condition was recently found in [12] even for distributional symbols  $a$ . Our purpose is to establish similar results in Fock spaces. The main result (Theorem 4.1) states that  $T_a : F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$  is bounded, if the symbol  $a$  can be presented (2.3) as a sum of (in general, distributional) derivatives of functions vanishing rapidly enough at infinity (the order of vanishing being equal to the order of the derivative). This result is interesting already

---

The first author was supported by The Finnish National Graduate School in Mathematics and its Applications. The second author was partially supported by the Academy of Finland project “Functional analysis and applications”. The third author was supported by a Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Programme.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary: 47B35; secondary: 46A15

for function symbols, since it yields plenty of examples of unbounded functions which induce bounded Toeplitz operators. A quite dramatic case is presented in Example 4.2.

Recall that, if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $F_\gamma^p$  is the closed subspace of

$$L_\gamma^p = L^p(\mathbb{C}, e^{-\gamma p|z|^2/2} dA)$$

consisting of analytic functions. The spaces are endowed with the norm

$$\|f; L_\gamma^p\|^p := \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p e^{-\gamma p|z|^2/2} dA(z) =: \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^p dA_{p,\gamma}(z) \tag{1.1}$$

where  $dA = dx dy$  is the area measure on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . The space  $F_\gamma^\infty$  consists entire functions  $f$  such that  $z \mapsto f(z)e^{-\gamma|z|^2/2}$  is bounded, and the norm is given by

$$\|f; L_\gamma^\infty\| := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-\gamma|z|^2/2} \tag{1.2}$$

Note that for every  $\gamma > \gamma' > 0$  and  $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ , we have

$$F_\gamma^1 \subset F_\gamma^p \subset F_\gamma^q \subset F_\gamma^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\gamma'}^p \subset F_\gamma^p$$

with bounded inclusions.

The Fock projection  $P$  is the orthogonal projection of  $L_\gamma^2$  onto  $F_\gamma^2$ , and it has the integral representation

$$Pf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} dA(w).$$

It is also known to be a bounded projection of  $L_\gamma^p$  onto  $A_\gamma^p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . This fact depends on the correct form of the weight in (1.1): the boundedness is not true for the norm of  $L^p(\mathbb{C}, e^{-\gamma|z|^2} dA)$ . Note also that unlike in the Bergman spaces setting, things like the boundedness of the projection also hold in the cases  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$ . Henceforth we will always assume that  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

For an essentially bounded  $a : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in F_\gamma^p$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_a$  with symbol  $a$  is defined by setting

$$T_a f = P(af).$$

Since  $P$  is bounded, it follows easily that  $T_a$  is a bounded operator  $F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $a$  is a compactly supported distribution on  $\mathbb{C}$ , one can easily define the corresponding Toeplitz operator by

$$T_a f(z) = \langle f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2}, a \rangle_w \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$  denotes the dual pairing of the test function and distribution spaces and the test function is considered as a function of the variable  $w$  with  $z$  being

a parameter. Analogously to the Bergman space case, we give below a more general definition for distributional symbols without compactness restrictions. Sufficient conditions for boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators are given in Theorem 4.1, Section 4, and Proposition 5.1, Section 5, respectively. The distributional symbol class will be a weighted Sobolev space of negative order, the analysis of which is the object of Section 2. New integral estimates, specific to the Fock space case, are derived in Section 3.

**2. Preliminaries**

Concerning notation and basic definitions, we follow the terminology of [13] for general theory of distributions, [1] for Sobolev spaces, and [18] for operator theory and analytic function spaces. In the following we consider various function and distribution spaces, all of which are defined on  $\mathbb{C}$ . For the norm of an element  $f$  of a Banach function space  $X$  we use the notation  $\|f; X\|$ ; for the operator norm of a bounded linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  we write  $\|T : X \rightarrow Y\|$ . The standard space of infinitely smooth compactly supported test function in the plane is denoted by  $C_0^\infty = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ , and its dual, the space of distributions on  $\mathbb{C}$ , is  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$ . The order of a multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , where  $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , is denoted by  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . The notation  $\alpha \geq \beta$  for the multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  means that  $\alpha_j \geq \beta_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . As for derivatives, the notation  $D^\alpha f$  stands for

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x^{\alpha_1}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_2}}{\partial y^{\alpha_2}} f,$$

if  $f$  is a function of  $z = x + iy$ , where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\alpha$  is a multi-index. The same notation is used for both classical and distributional derivatives. We also write  $D_w^\alpha f$ , if it is necessary to indicate the differentiation of a function  $f$  with respect to its variable  $w$ . For an analytic function  $f$  of the variable  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $f^{(l)}$  the  $l$ :th derivative with respect to  $z$ , for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $C, C', C_1, c$  etc. (respectively,  $C_n$  etc.) we mean positive constants independent of functions, variables or indices occurring in the given calculations (respectively, depending only on  $n$ ). These may vary from place to place, but not in the same group of inequalities.

We define  $\omega : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  to be the standard weight function

$$\omega(z) = 1 + |z|. \tag{2.1}$$

Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we denote by  $W_\omega^{m,1} = W_\omega^{m,1}(\mathbb{C})$  the weighted Sobolev space consisting of measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|f; W_\omega^{m,1}\| := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |D^\alpha f(z)| \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|} dA(z) < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

The following fact is known; the proof is easier than the one in the Bergman space setting, [12], Lemma 2.2, since now it is possible to define suitable cut-off functions  $\chi_n$  with bounded derivatives, instead of those in the citation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The subspace  $C_0^\infty$  of compactly supported infinitely smooth functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  is dense in  $W_\omega^{m,1}$ .*

**Definition 2.2.** *Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $W_\omega^{-m,\infty} = W_\omega^{-m,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  the weighted Sobolev space consisting of distributions  $a$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  which can be written in the form*

$$a = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha b_\alpha, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $b_\alpha \in L_\alpha^\infty := L^\infty(\mathbb{C}, \omega(z)^{|\alpha|})$ , i.e.,

$$\|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\| := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathbb{C}} \omega(z)^{|\alpha|} |b_\alpha(z)| < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Here every  $b_\alpha$  is considered as a distribution like a locally integrable function, and the identity (2.3) contains distributional derivatives. Note that if  $b_\alpha \in L_\alpha^\infty$ , then

$$|b_\alpha(z)| \leq \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\| (1 + |z|)^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{2.5}$$

for almost every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular  $\|b_\alpha; L^\infty\| \leq \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|$ .

We remark that using a representation (2.3) even for smooth symbols yields interesting result on boundedness of  $T_a$ ; see Example 4.2.

The representation (2.3) is not unique in general. Hence, we define the norm of  $a$  by

$$\|a\| := \|a; W_\omega^{-m,\infty}\| := \inf \max_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|, \tag{2.6}$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations (2.3).

**Lemma 2.3.** *The dual of  $W_\omega^{m,1}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $W_\omega^{-m,\infty}$  with respect to the dual pairing*

$$\langle f, a \rangle := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (D^\alpha f) b_\alpha dA, \tag{2.7}$$

where the functions  $b_\alpha$  are as in (2.3).

The proof uses Lemma 2.1 and the arguments of [1], Sections 3.8–3.10. See [12] for some more explanations.

**Remark 2.4.** If  $a \in W_\omega^{-m,\infty}$ , the value of the expression on the right hand side of (2.7) is unique, although the representation (2.3) is not. Namely, for every  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ , the value of

$$\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (D^\alpha \varphi) b_\alpha dA$$

coincides with  $\langle \varphi, a \rangle$ , by the standard definition of distributional derivative, and the uniqueness of (2.7) follows from Lemma 2.1.

We shall need a pointwise estimate for Fock functions, which follows from [10], Lemma 1 in Section 2.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , and let  $f \in F_\gamma^p$ . There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|f(z)| \leq C e^{\gamma|z|^2/2} \|f; L_\gamma^p\|$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** When  $p = \infty$ , this is trivial because of the definition of the norm. When  $p < \infty$ , enlarge the integration domain from the disc  $B(z, r)$  to the entire plane and take the  $p$ :th root in the reference. ■

### 3. Integral estimates in Fock spaces

In this section we present some integral estimates including a weighted norm estimate for the differentiation operator in the Fock spaces, see Lemma 3.4. The proofs in this section rely on a simple but useful integral splitting trick.

We will make use of the "maximal" Fock projection. Let  $t > 0$ . By  $P_t$  we mean the general Fock projection;

$$P_t f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) e^{tz\bar{w}} e^{-t|w|^2} dA(w).$$

By  $\bar{P}_t$  we mean the maximal Fock projection;

$$\bar{P}_t f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) |e^{tz\bar{w}}| e^{-t|w|^2} dA(w).$$

We will use the special case of the following main result in [7]. Note that the formulation of the lemma given below is slightly less general than the one in the reference; Our definition of  $L_\gamma^p$  guarantees that both  $P_\gamma$  and  $\bar{P}_\gamma$  are bounded on  $L_\gamma^p$ . The reference focuses only on the case where  $p < \infty$ . However, it is not difficult to see that the dual of  $L_\gamma^1$  can be identified with  $L_\gamma^\infty$ , so the case  $p = \infty$  follows from  $p = 1$  by standard duality argument.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $s > 0$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P_t$  is bounded  $L_s^p \rightarrow F_s^p$ .
- (2)  $\bar{P}_t$  is bounded  $L_s^p \rightarrow L_s^p$ .
- (3)  $t = s$ .

In particular the lemma says that if  $\gamma > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then  $\bar{P} := \bar{P}_\gamma$  is bounded on  $L_\gamma^p$ .

The boundedness of the maximal projection gives us more freedom when dealing with integral estimates. We use this to prove the following.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$  be any multi-index and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The operator*

$$T_k f(z) := z^k \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} b_\alpha(w) dA(w)$$

*is bounded  $L_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$ , whenever  $k \leq |\alpha|$  and  $b_\alpha \in L_\alpha^\infty$ . Moreover,  $\|T\| \leq C \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|$  for some positive constant  $C = C(p, \gamma, \alpha)$ .*

**Proof.** Let first  $p < \infty$ . Write  $T_k = T_k^1 + T_k^2$ , where

$$T_k^1 f(z) := z^k \int_{\{|w| \leq |z|/4\}} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} b_\alpha(w) dA(w)$$

and

$$T_k^2 f(z) := z^k \int_{\{|w| > |z|/4\}} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} b_\alpha(w) dA(w).$$

For  $T_k^1$  we note that if  $|w| \leq |z|/4$ , then  $|e^{\gamma z \bar{w}}| \leq e^{\gamma |z|^2/4}$ . This, lemmas 2.5 and 3.1 and the remark following (2.5) imply for  $f \in L_\gamma^p$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_k^1 f; L_\gamma^p\|^p &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |T_k^1 f(z)|^p e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{kp} e^{\gamma p |z|^2/4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)| |b_\alpha(w)| e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w) \right)^p e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{kp} e^{\gamma p |z|^2/4} \left( P(|f b_\alpha|)(0) \right)^p e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq C' \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{kp} e^{\gamma p |z|^2/4} \|b_\alpha f; L_\gamma^p\|^p e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq C' \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{kp} e^{\gamma p |z|^2/4} \|b_\alpha; L^\infty\|^p \|f; L_\gamma^p\|^p e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq C'' \|f; L_\gamma^p\|^p \|b_\alpha; L^\infty\|^p \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{kp} e^{-\gamma p |z|^2/4} dA(z) \\ &\leq C''' \|f; L_\gamma^p\|^p \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $T_k^1$  is bounded.

To show that  $T_k^2$  is bounded, just note that if  $|w| > |z|/4$ , then

$$|T_k^2 f(z)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} 4^k |w|^k |f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} b_\alpha(w)| e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w).$$

Using the fact that

$$|w|^k |b_\alpha(w)| \leq \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|,$$

whenever  $k \leq |\alpha|$ , and the boundedness of the maximal projection, we conclude that  $T_k^2$  is also bounded.

The above things also work when  $p = \infty$ ; the reasoning is completely similar, even though the norm is not defined as an integral. The details are left as an easy exercise for the reader.

Combining the above estimates we see that

$$\|T_k\| \leq C(p, \gamma, \alpha) \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\|,$$

as claimed. Note that  $T_k f$  is clearly analytic. ■

The following is a corollary of the proof rather than the lemma itself. The norm on the left hand side is for the function of the variable  $z$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *If the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied, we have the bound*

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \omega(z)^k \omega(w)^{-|\alpha|} |f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}}| e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w) ; L_\gamma^p \right\| \leq C \|f; L_\gamma^p\|$$

for  $f \in L_\gamma^p$ . The same is still true, if  $\omega(z)^k$  is replaced by  $z^k$ .

The proof of the following result uses similar ideas.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The operator  $S_k$  defined by*

$$S_k f(z) := \omega^{-|\alpha|}(z) f^{(k)}(z)$$

is bounded  $F_\gamma^p \rightarrow L_\gamma^p$ , whenever  $k \leq |\alpha|$ .

**Proof.** Let first  $p < \infty$ . Assume that  $f \in F_\gamma^p$ . Using the reproducing property, we have

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w).$$

Differentiating  $k$  times under the integral sign, we see that  $S_k$  has the representation

$$S_k f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} (\gamma \bar{w})^k \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w).$$

Now  $S_k = S_k^1 + S_k^2$ , where

$$S_k^1 f(z) = \int_{\{|w| \geq 4|z|\}} (\gamma \bar{w})^k \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} dA(w)$$

and

$$S_k^2 f(z) = \int_{\{|w| < 4|z|\}} (\gamma\bar{w})^k \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|} f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} dA(w).$$

For  $S_k^1$ , note that  $|e^{\gamma z \bar{w}}| \leq e^{\gamma|w|^2/4}$ , since  $|z| \leq |w|/4$ . Using the pointwise estimate in Lemma 2.5 for  $f$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k^1 f; L_\gamma^p\|^p &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} |S_k^1 f(z)|^p e^{-\gamma p|z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left( \int_{\{|w| \geq 4|z|\}} |\gamma\bar{w}|^k \|f; L_\gamma^p\| e^{\gamma|w|^2/2} e^{\gamma|w|^2/4} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} dA(w) \right)^p e^{-\gamma p|z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq C \|f; L_\gamma^p\|^p \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\bar{w}|^k e^{-\gamma|w|^2/5} dA(w) \right)^p e^{-\gamma p|z|^2/2} dA(z) \\ &\leq C' \|f; L_\gamma^p\|. \end{aligned}$$

For the operator  $S_k^2$ , note that  $|z| > |w|/4$  and  $k \leq |\alpha|$ , hence,  $(\bar{w}\gamma)^k \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|}$  is bounded and the claim easily follows from the boundedness of the maximal projection.

Again, the case  $p = \infty$  bears no additional difficulties. ■

#### 4. Boundedness of Toeplitz operators with distributional symbols

The main result about the boundedness of  $T_a$  can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume the symbol  $a \in \mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$  belongs to  $W_\omega^{-m, \infty}$  for some  $m$ . Then the Toeplitz operator  $T_a$ , defined by the formula*

$$T_a f(z) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} D_w^\alpha \left( f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} \right) b_\alpha(w) dA(w), \quad f \in F_\gamma^p, \quad (4.1)$$

is well defined and bounded  $F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . The resulting operator is independent of the choice the representation (2.3). Moreover, there is a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|T_a\| \leq C_1 \|a; W_\omega^{-m, \infty}\|. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proof.** Fix a representation (2.3) for  $a$  such that the inequality  $\|a; W_\omega^{-m, \infty}\| \geq \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|b_\alpha, L_\alpha^\infty\|/2$  holds. For  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , we have

$$\left| D_w^\alpha \left( f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} \right) b_\alpha(w) \right| \leq C \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \left| D_w^{\alpha-\beta} (f(w)) D_w^\beta \left( e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} \right) b_\alpha(w) \right|.$$

Furthermore, for each multi-index  $\beta$  we have

$$\left| D_w^\beta \left( e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma|w|^2} \right) \right| \leq C_\beta \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \omega(z)^{|\sigma|} \omega(w)^{|\beta|-|\sigma|} |e^{\gamma z \bar{w}}| e^{-\gamma|w|^2},$$

for some positive constant  $C_\beta$ . This can be seen by a direct calculation. We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_w^\alpha \left( f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} \right) b_\alpha(w) \right| &\leq C' \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} \omega(z)^{|\sigma|} \omega(w)^{|\beta| - |\sigma|} \\ &\quad \times \left| f^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)}(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} \right| e^{-\gamma |w|^2} |b_\alpha(w)| \\ &\leq C'' \|b_\alpha; L_\alpha^\infty\| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \sum_{\sigma \leq \beta} |\omega(w)^{-|\alpha| + |\beta|} f^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)}(w)| \\ &\quad \times \omega(z)^{|\sigma|} \omega(w)^{-|\sigma|} |e^{\gamma z \bar{w}}| e^{-\gamma |w|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Notice that by Lemma 3.4 the function

$$w \mapsto |\omega(w)^{-|\alpha| + |\beta|} f^{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)}(w)|$$

belongs to  $L_\gamma^p$ , with norm bounded by a constant times  $\|f; L_\gamma^p\|$ . Hence, integrating with respect to  $w$  and applying Corollary 3.3 to each of the terms (4.3) separately, yields the bound

$$\left\| D_w^\alpha \left( f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2} \right) b_\alpha; L_\gamma^p \right\| \leq C \|f; L_\gamma^p\|.$$

The operator above is also unambiguously defined; Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 and some simple estimates it is not difficult to see that if  $f \in F_\gamma^p$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , then

$$w \mapsto f(w) e^{\gamma z \bar{w}} e^{-\gamma |w|^2}$$

is an element of the space  $W_\omega^{m,1}$ . This, by the reasoning in Remark 2.4, implies the uniqueness of  $T_a$ . ■

**Example 4.2.** 1°. Consider the function

$$b_{(1,0)}(z) = \frac{\sin(\exp^{100}(x^2))}{\omega(z)}$$

where  $z = x + iy$  and  $\exp^k(x) := \exp(\exp^{k-1}(x))$  for all natural numbers  $k$ . The function  $a := D^{(1,0)}b_{(1,0)} = \partial b_{(1,0)}/\partial x$  is very far from being  $L^1$ , but, by Theorem 4.1,  $T_a$  is still bounded.

2°. We provide an example of a distributional symbol with noncompact support. Consider

$$a := b_{(0,0)} + D^{(1,0)}b_{(1,0)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} b_{(1,0)}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$b_{(0,0)}(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ -2x/(1+r^2)^2, & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_{(1,0)}(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1/(1+r^2), & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $z = x + iy$ ,  $r = |z|$ . We have  $b_{(1,0)} = Y(x)/(1 + r^2)$ , where  $Y(x)$  is the usual step function of one variable, and moreover

$$a = b_{(0,0)} + D^{(1,0)}b_{(1,0)} = \delta_0/(1 + y^2).$$

Here  $\delta_0$  denotes the Dirac measure in variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so the symbol  $a$  is a weighted Dirac measure of the imaginary axis. Since  $a \in W_\omega^{-1,\infty}$ , it defines a bounded Toeplitz operator  $T_a : F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$  (it is even compact, see below).

**5. Compactness of Toeplitz operators with distributional symbols**

The observation in Proposition 4.1 of [12] remains true also in the Fock space case.

**Proposition 5.1.** *An arbitrary compactly supported distribution  $a \in \mathcal{D}'$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W_\omega^{-m,\infty}$  and defines a compact Toeplitz operator  $F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$ .*

The proof is the same as in the citation.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{D}'$  belong to  $W_\omega^{-m,\infty}$  for some  $m$ . The Toeplitz operator  $T_a$ , (4.1), is compact, if  $a$  has a representation (2.3) such that the functions  $b_\alpha$  satisfy*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|z| \geq r} \omega(z)^{-|\alpha|} |b_\alpha(z)| = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

**Proof.** We pick up functions  $b_\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$ , as in (5.1). For  $0 < r < \infty$ , we define for all  $\alpha$  the compactly supported functions

$$b_{\alpha,r}(z) = \begin{cases} b_\alpha(z), & \text{if } |z| \leq r \\ 0, & \text{if } |z| > r \end{cases}$$

Let also  $a_r = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha b_{\alpha,r}$ , where the derivatives are distributional. Of course,  $a_r$  is a distribution with compact support, hence, by the remark above, the Toeplitz operator  $T_{a_r} : F_\gamma^p \rightarrow F_\gamma^p$  is compact for every  $r$ . On the other hand, due to the definition (2.6), the property (5.1) and the norm estimate (4.2), the operator norm  $\|T_a - T_{a_r}\|$  can be made arbitrarily small choosing  $r$  close enough to 1. Consequently,  $T_a$  must be a compact operator. ■

**Example 5.3.** Returning to the example 4.2, the symbol

$$D^{(1,0)}b_{(1,0)}(z) = D^{(1,0)} \left( \frac{\sin(\exp^{100}(x^2))}{\omega(z)^2} \right)$$

defines a Toeplitz operator which is even compact.

In view of Theorem 5.2, also the symbol (4.4) defines a compact Toeplitz operator.

## References

- [1] R.A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] A. Alexandrov and G. Rozenblum, *Finite rank Toeplitz operators: some extensions of D. Luecking's theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), no. 7, 2291–2303.
- [3] S. Axler and D. Zheng, *Compact operators via the Berezin transform*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 2, 387–400.
- [4] F.A. Berezin, *Quantization*, Math. USSR Izv. **8** (1974), 1109–1163.
- [5] F.A. Berezin, *Quantization in complex symmetric spaces*, Math. USSR Izv. **9** (1975), 341–379.
- [6] C.A. Berger and L.A. Coburn, *Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), no. 2, 819–827.
- [7] M. Dostanić and K. Zhu, *Integral operators induced by the Fock kernel*, Integral Eq. Operator Theory **60** (2008), no. 2, 217–236.
- [8] V. Guillemin, *Toeplitz operators in  $n$ -dimensions*, Int. Eq. Oper. Th. **7** (1984), 145–205.
- [9] R. Howe, *Quantum mechanics and partial differential equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **38** (1980), 188–254.
- [10] J. Isralowitch and K. Zhu, *Toeplitz operators on the Fock space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **66** (2010), no. 4, 593–611.
- [11] D.H. Luecking, *Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (1987), no. 2, 345–368.
- [12] A. Perälä, J. Taskinen and J. Virtanen, *Toeplitz operators with distributional symbols on Bergman spaces*, to appear in Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Mc Graw-Hill, 1973.
- [14] D. Suarez, *The essential norm of operators in the Toeplitz algebra on  $A^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 5, 2185–2232.
- [15] J. Taskinen and J.A. Virtanen, *Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces with locally integrable symbols*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **26** (2010), no. 2, 693–706.
- [16] N. Vasilevski, *Commutative algebras of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 185, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2008.
- [17] K. Zhu, *BMO and Hankel operators on Bergman spaces*, Pac. J. Math. **155** (1992), no.2, 377–395.
- [18] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, 2nd edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [19] N. Zorboska, *Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols and the Berezin transform*, Int. J. Math. Sci. **46** (2003), 2929–2945.

**Addresses:** Antti Perälä and Jari Taskinen: Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki, P.O.Box 68, 00014 Helsinki, Finland;  
 Jani Virtanen: Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, N.Y.10012.

**E-mail:** antti.i.perala@helsinki.fi, jari.taskinen@helsinki.fi, jani.virtanen@helsinki.fi

**Received:** 5 July 2010; **revised:** 28 January 2011

