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#### Abstract

For any unitary cuspidal representations $\pi_{n}$ of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right), n=2,3,4$, respectively, consider two automorphic representations $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, where $\Pi_{p} \cong \wedge^{2} \pi_{4, p}$ for $p \neq 2,3$ and $\pi_{4, p}$ not supercuspidal ( $\pi_{4, p}$ denotes the local component of $\pi_{4}$ ), and $\Pi^{\prime}=$ $\pi_{2} \boxtimes \pi_{3}$. First, Hypothesis H for $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ is proved. Then contributions from prime powers are removed from the prime number theorem for cuspidal representations $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ and $G L_{m^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, respectively. The resulting prime number theorem is unconditional when $m, m^{\prime} \leqslant 4$ and is under Hypothesis H otherwise. Keywords: Hypothesis H, functoriality, prime number theorem.


## 1. Introduction

Recent developments in functoriality by the Langlands-Shahidi method have many profound applications in prime distribution. To name a few, we recall a recent proof of Hypothesis H for any cuspidal representation of $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ and for $\operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\pi)$ by $\operatorname{Kim}[2]$, where $\pi$ is an automorphic cuspidal representation of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$. Here Hypothesis H predicts the convergence of a certain Dirichlet series associated with $\left(L^{\prime} / L\right)^{\prime}(s, \pi \times \widetilde{\pi})$ taken over prime powers.

More precisely, let $\pi=\otimes_{p} \pi_{p}$ be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation of $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$. Or more generally, let $\pi$ be an automorphic representation irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations, i.e., $\pi=\operatorname{Ind} \sigma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{k}$, where $\sigma_{j}$ is a cuspidal representation of $G L_{m_{j}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, with $m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k}=m$. The local component $\pi_{p}$ with $p<\infty$ can be parameterized by the Satake parameters $\operatorname{diag}\left[\alpha_{\pi}(p, 1), \ldots, \alpha_{\pi}(p, m)\right]$. For $\nu \geqslant 1$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{\pi}(p, j)^{\nu} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let $\widetilde{\pi}$ be the contragredient representation of $\pi$, and $L(s, \pi \times \widetilde{\pi})$ the Rankin-Selberg $L$-function. Then for $\Re e s>1$, we have (see [10], RS 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\right)^{\prime}(s, \pi \times \widetilde{\pi})=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n) \Lambda(n)\left|a_{\pi}(n)\right|^{2}}{n^{s}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Lambda(n)=\log p$ if $n=p^{\nu}$ and $\Lambda(n)=0$ otherwise, so that the series in (1.2) is taken over primes and prime powers.

Hypothesis H. (Rudnick and Sarnak [10]) For any fixed $\nu \geqslant 2$,

$$
\sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}}<\infty
$$

Hypothesis H is trivial for $m=1$. For $m=2,3$, Hypothesis H follows from the Rankin-Selberg theory 10]. The $G L_{4}$ case was proved by Kim [2] based on his proof of the (weak) functoriality of the exterior square $\wedge^{2} \pi$ from a cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ (see [1]). Beyond $G L_{4}$, the only known special case for Hypothesis H is the symmetric fourth power $\operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\pi)$ of a cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, which is an automorphic representation of $G L_{5}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$.

The first goal of the present paper is to prove Hypothesis H for two types of automorphic representations of $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$.
Theorem 1. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal representation of $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$. Denote by $T$ the set of places consisting of $p=2,3$ and those $p$ at which $\pi_{p}$ is supercuspidal. Let $\Pi$ be the automorphic representation of $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ such that $\Pi_{p} \cong \wedge^{2} \pi_{p}$ if $p \notin T$, according to [1]. Then Hypothesis $H$ holds for $\Pi$.
Theorem 2. Let $\pi_{1}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}$ ) be a cuspidal representation of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ (resp. $\left.G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right)$. Let $\Pi^{\prime}$ be the automorphic representation of $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ equal to $\pi_{1} \boxtimes \pi_{2}$ according to [3]. Then Hypothesis $H$ holds for $\Pi^{\prime}$.

As an application, one can use Hypothesis H to deduce the following Mertens' theorem for automorphic representations, or the so-called Selberg orthogonality conjecture, from unconditional results on similar sums taken over primes and prime powers:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2}}{p}=\log \log x+O(1)  \tag{1.3}\\
& \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{a_{\pi}(p) \bar{a}_{\pi^{\prime}}(p)}{p}=O(1) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

when $\pi \not \approx \pi^{\prime}$. Here (1.3) was proved by Rudnick and Sarnak [10], while (1.4) was proved by Liu, Wang and Ye ([6], [4]). Results in (1.3) and (1.4) played crucial roles in the $n$-level correlation of nontrivial zeros of automorphic $L$-functions and random matrix theory ([10], [5], [7]).

Another application of Hypothesis H is on the prime number theorem for automorphic representations. For any self-dual cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, Liu, Wang and Ye [4] showed that there is a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda(n)\left|a_{\pi}(n)\right|^{2}=x+O\left(x e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, Liu and Ye [8] proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \leqslant x} \Lambda(n) a_{\pi}(n) \bar{a}_{\pi^{\prime}}(n) \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{x^{1+i \tau_{0}}}{1+i \tau_{0}}+O\left(x e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right) & \text { if } \pi^{\prime} \cong \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{i \tau_{0}} \\
\text { for some } \tau_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \\
O\left(x e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right) & \text { if } \quad \pi^{\prime} \not \approx \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{i \tau} \quad \text { for any } \tau \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are cuspidal representations of $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ and $G L_{m^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, respectively, such that at least one of them is self-dual.

The second goal of the present paper is to use Hypothesis H to remove terms on prime powers from the left side of (1.6) and deduce a prime number theorem over primes.
Theorem 3. Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be as above. (i) If $m, m^{\prime} \leqslant 4$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p \leqslant x}(\log p) a_{\pi}(p) \bar{a}_{\pi^{\prime}}(p) \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{x^{1+i \tau_{0}}}{1+i \tau_{0}}+O\left(x e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right) & \text { if } \pi^{\prime} \cong \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{i \tau_{0}} \quad \text { for some } \tau_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \\
O\left(x e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}\right) & \text { if } \pi^{\prime} \not \approx \pi \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{i \tau} \quad \text { for any } \tau \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) If $\max \left(m, m^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 5$, asymptotic relation (1.7) is true under Hypothesis $H$ with error terms replaced by $O(x / \log x)$.

We remark that (i) is an unconditional result.

## 2. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Lemma 2.1. Let $\pi$ be a unitary cuspidal representation for $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$, or an automorphic representation irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations. Then for any $\nu_{0} \geqslant\left(m^{2}+1\right) / 2+1, \varepsilon>0$, and integer $\ell \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu \geqslant \nu_{0}, p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll x^{1-2 /\left(m^{2}+1\right)+1 / \nu_{0}} \log x  \tag{2.1}\\
& \sum_{p} \frac{(\log p)^{\ell}\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (1.1) and the bound toward the Ramanujan conjecture ([10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2-1 /\left(m^{2}+1\right)} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we know that

$$
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant m^{2} p^{\left\{1-2 /\left(m^{2}+1\right)\right\} \nu} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu \geqslant \nu_{0}, p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant m^{2} \sum_{\nu_{0} \leqslant \nu \leqslant 2} \sum_{p \log x \leqslant x^{1 / \nu}}(\log p) p^{\left\{1-2 /\left(m^{2}+1\right)\right\} \nu} \\
& <_{m} x^{1-2 /\left(m^{2}+1\right)+1 / \nu_{0}} \log x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inequality (2.2) follows from the fact that the $\ell$ th-derivation of $\log L(s, \pi \times \widetilde{\pi})$ converges absolutely for $\Re e s>1$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ (resp. $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ ) be a unitary cuspidal representation, or an automorphic representation irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations, for $G L_{m^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G L_{m^{\prime \prime}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right)$. Let $\nu \geqslant 2$ be an integer and $\mathcal{P}$ a set of prime numbers. If there are fixed constants $\delta^{\prime} \in(0,1]$ and $\delta^{\prime \prime} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu}\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2} p^{\left(1-\delta^{\prime}\right)(\nu-1)}+p^{\left(1 / 2-\delta^{\prime \prime}\right) \nu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in \mathcal{P}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu, \varepsilon} x^{1-\delta} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\delta:=\min \left\{\delta^{\prime} /\left(2+\delta^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.
Proof. By (2.4) and the Rankin-Selberg theory, for any $\eta>0$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \leqslant x \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll \nu \sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \leqslant x \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2} p^{\left(1-\delta^{\prime}\right)(\nu-1)}+x^{1 / 2+1 / \nu-\delta^{\prime \prime}} \\
& <_{\nu} x^{\eta} \sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \leqslant \eta^{\eta} \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)}}+x \sum_{\substack{x^{\eta}<p^{\nu} \leqslant x \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)}}+x^{1-\delta^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.2) with $\pi=\pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $\ell=1$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \leqslant x^{\eta} \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)}} \ll 1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{x^{\eta}<p^{\nu} \leqslant x \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\left(x^{\eta / \nu}\right)^{\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)-\varepsilon}} \sum_{\substack{\left.x^{\eta} \underset{\begin{subarray}{c}{p^{\nu}} }}{p \in \mathcal{P}}\right\}}\end{subarray}} \frac{(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}(p)\right|^{2}}{p^{1+\varepsilon}} \\
& \leqslant x^{-\eta\left[\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)-\varepsilon\right] / \nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting these two estimates into the preceeding inequality, we find

$$
\sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \leqslant x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll \nu, \varepsilon x^{\eta}+x^{1-\eta\left[\delta^{\prime}(\nu-1)-\varepsilon\right] / \nu}+x^{1-\delta^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

Taking $\eta=\nu /\left\{\left(1+\delta^{\prime}\right) \nu-\delta^{\prime}\right\}+\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in \mathcal{P}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & <_{\nu, \varepsilon} x^{\nu /\left\{\left(1+\delta^{\prime}\right) \nu-\delta^{\prime}\right\}+\varepsilon}+x^{1-\delta^{\prime \prime}} \\
& \ll \nu, \varepsilon x^{1-\delta^{\prime} /\left(2+\delta^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon}+x^{1-\delta^{\prime \prime}} \\
& <_{\nu, \varepsilon} x^{1-\delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second inequality, we have used the fact that $\nu \geqslant 2$.
Remark. In proving Hypothesis H, an inequality of the form of (2.4) plays a crucial role. Lemma 2.2 has more flexibility as $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ is allowed to be different from $\pi^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ be either $\Pi$ or $\Pi^{\prime}$ as in Theorems 1 and 2. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu \geqslant 2, p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\varepsilon} x^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of (2.1) with the choice of $m=6$ and $\nu_{0}=[37 \times 38 / 39]+1$, it suffices to show that for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and $\nu \geqslant 2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu, \varepsilon} x^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon},  \tag{2.7}\\
& \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu, \varepsilon} x^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

First let us consider the case of $\Pi$. Let $\pi=\otimes \pi_{p}$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation for $G L_{4}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Recall that $\Pi$ is irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations. Let $S_{0}$ be the set of places where $\Pi_{p}$ is tempered. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in S_{0}}(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.9) is also true if we replace $S_{0}$ by $T$, which is given in Theorem 1, because at most two terms for $p=2,3$ will then be added to (2.9).

If $p \notin S_{0} \cup T$, we want to determine the Satake parameters of $\pi_{p}$. Recall that the general non-tempered representation $\pi_{p}$ can be described as a Langlands quotient based on a standard parabolic subgroup $P$ of type $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)=(4)$, $(3,1),(2,2)$, or $(2,1,1)$ :

$$
\pi_{p}=J\left(G, P ; \sigma_{1}\left[t_{1}\right], \ldots, \sigma_{r}\left[t_{r}\right]\right)
$$

Here $\sigma_{j}$ is a tempered representation of $G L\left(m_{j}\right), t_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\sigma_{j}\left[t_{j}\right]=\sigma_{j} \otimes|\operatorname{det}|^{t_{j}}$, with $\left\{\sigma_{j}\left[t_{j}\right]\right\}=\left\{\tilde{\sigma}_{k}\left[-t_{k}\right]\right\}$. Consequently, the Satake parameters of $\pi_{p}$ are in one of the following forms in view of (2.3):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} p^{a}, u_{2} p^{a}, u_{1} p^{-a}, u_{2} p^{-a}\right], & \text { where } 0<a \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{17}, \\
S_{2}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} p^{a}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{1} p^{-a}\right], & \text { where } 0<a \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{17},  \tag{2.10}\\
S_{3}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} p^{a_{1}}, u_{2} p^{a_{2}}, u_{1} p^{-a_{1}}, u_{2} p^{-a_{2}}\right], \text { where } 0<a_{2}<a_{1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{17},
\end{array}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are complex numbers of absolute value 1 and we have suppressed their dependence on $p$ for the simplicity of notation. As in [1], the corresponding Satake parameters of $\Pi_{p} \simeq \wedge^{2} \pi_{p}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} u_{2} p^{2 a}, u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1}^{2}, u_{2}^{2}, u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-2 a}\right] \\
& S_{2}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} u_{2} p^{a}, u_{1} u_{3} p^{a}, u_{1}^{2}, u_{2} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-a}, u_{1} u_{3} p^{-a}\right] \\
& S_{3}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} u_{2} p^{a_{1}+a_{2}}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{a_{1}-a_{2}}, u_{1}^{2}, u_{2}^{2}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Pi$ is a automorphic representation for $G L_{6}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ which is irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal, (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{cases}0<2 a \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{37} & \text { if } p \in S_{1}  \tag{2.11}\\ 0<a \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{17} & \text { if } p \in S_{2} \\ 0<a_{2}<a_{1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{17} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{1}+a_{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{37} & \text { if } p \in S_{3}\end{cases}
$$

If $p \in S_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| & =\left|\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)^{\nu}\left(p^{2 a \nu}+p^{-2 a \nu}+2\right)+u_{1}^{2 \nu}+u_{2}^{2 \nu}\right| \leqslant p^{2 a \nu}+5 \\
\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right| & =\left|u_{1} u_{2}\left(p^{2 a}+p^{-2 a}+2\right)+u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right| \geqslant p^{2 a}
\end{aligned}
$$

From these and (2.3) with $m=6$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant\left(\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|^{\nu}+5\right)^{2} \\
& \ll{ }_{\nu}\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+1 \\
& \ll{ }_{\nu}\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 37)(\nu-1)}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the implied constants are all independent of $p$.

Similarly if $p \in S_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| & =\left|u_{1}^{\nu}\left(u_{2}^{\nu}+u_{3}^{\nu}\right)\left(p^{a \nu}+p^{-a \nu}\right)+u_{1}^{2 \nu}+\left(u_{2} u_{3}\right)^{\nu}\right| \leqslant 2 p^{a \nu}+4, \\
\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right| & =\left|u_{1}\left(p^{a}+p^{-a}\right)+u_{2}+u_{3}\right| \geqslant p^{a}-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These and (2.3) with $m=4$ imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant\left\{2\left(\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|+2\right)^{\nu}+4\right\}^{2} \\
& \ll{ }_{\nu}\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+1  \tag{2.12}\\
& \ll{ }_{\nu}\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 17)(\nu-1)}+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally if $p \in S_{3}$, then

$$
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 p^{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \nu}+4, \quad\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right| \geqslant p^{a_{1}+a_{2}}-1
$$

from which we deduce, as before,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant\left\{2\left(\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|+1\right)^{\nu}+4\right\}^{2} \\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+1  \tag{2.13}\\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\Pi}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 37)(\nu-1)}+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.2 with the choice of parameters

$$
\left(\pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\Pi, \Pi, \frac{2}{37}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{P}=S_{1} \text { or } S_{3} \\ \left(\Pi, \pi, \frac{2}{17}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{P}=S_{2}\end{cases}
$$

to write

$$
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in S_{j}}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu} \begin{cases}x^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon} & \text { if } j=1,3  \tag{2.14}\\ x^{1-1 / 19+\varepsilon} & \text { if } j=2,\end{cases}
$$

Now the required estimate (2.7) for $\Pi$ follows from (2.11) and (2.14).
Next let us turn to the case of $\Pi^{\prime}$. Let $\pi_{1}=\otimes_{p} \pi_{1, p}$ (resp. $\pi_{2}=\otimes_{p} \pi_{2, p}$ ) be a cuspidal representation of $G L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$ (resp. $\left.G L_{3}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)\right)$. We may just consider those $p$ such that at least one of $\pi_{1, p}$ and $\pi_{2, p}$ is not tempered. By the same construction as before (2.10), the Satake parameters of $\pi_{1, p}$ and $\pi_{2, p}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{1, p}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} p^{a}, u_{1} p^{-a}\right], & \text { where } 0 \leqslant a \leqslant \frac{7}{64}, \\
\pi_{2, p}: \operatorname{diag}\left[u_{2} p^{b}, u_{3}, u_{2} p^{-b}\right], & \text { where } 0 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{10}
\end{array}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2} u_{3}$ are complex numbers of absolute value 1 . Here we used the parabolic subgroups of type (2) for $\pi_{1, p}$, and of type (3) or $(2,1)$ for $\pi_{2, p}$. Thus the Satake parameters of $\Pi_{p}^{\prime}=\pi_{1, p} \boxtimes \pi_{2, p}$ are:

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left[u_{1} u_{2} p^{a+b}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{b-a}, u_{1} u_{3} p^{a}, u_{1} u_{3} p^{-a}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-(b-a)}, u_{1} u_{2} p^{-(a+b)}\right]
$$

If $\Pi^{\prime}$ is cuspidal, following the bound (2.3) proved in [10], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a+b \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{37} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Pi^{\prime}$ is not cuspidal, then it is irreducibly induced from unitary cuspidal representations of smaller $G L_{m}$ 's, and (2.15) holds with an even smaller bound. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)^{\nu}\left(p^{(a+b) \nu}+p^{(a-b) \nu}+p^{(b-a) \nu}+p^{-(a+b) \nu}\right)+\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right)^{\nu}\left(p^{a \nu}+p^{-a \nu}\right)\right| . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.16) we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| \leqslant 6 p^{(a+b) \nu}, \quad\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}(p)\right| \geqslant p^{a+b}-p^{a} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in view of (2.15), (2.17) and the fact that $a \leqslant \frac{7}{64},{ }^{(*)}$ we can deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \ll\left(\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}(p)\right|+p^{a}\right)^{2 \nu}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+p^{2 a \nu} \\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 37)(\nu-1)}+p^{(1 / 2-9 / 32) \nu} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with $\pi^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime \prime}=\Pi^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}=\frac{2}{37}$ and $\delta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{9}{32}$, we now conclude that

$$
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll x^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon} .
$$

This completes the proof.

The proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Let $\Pi^{\prime \prime}$ be either $\Pi$ or $\Pi^{\prime}$. We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p^{\nu}>x, \nu \geqslant 2} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}} & =\sum_{j \geqslant 0} \sum_{2^{j} x<p^{\nu} \leqslant 2^{j+1} x, \nu \geqslant 2} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \frac{\log \left(2^{j+1} x\right)}{2^{j} x} \sum_{2^{j} x<p^{\nu} \leqslant 2^{j+1} x, \nu \geqslant 2}(\log p)\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p^{\nu}>x, \nu \geqslant 2} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\Pi^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}} & \ll \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \frac{\log \left(2^{j+1} x\right)}{2^{j} x}\left(2^{j+1} x\right)^{1-1 / 38+\varepsilon} \\
& \ll \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \frac{\log \left(2^{j+1} x\right)}{\left(2^{j+1} x\right)^{1 / 38-\varepsilon}} \\
& \ll x^{-1 / 38+2 \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the required result.
(*) Note that instead of using the bound $0 \leqslant a \leqslant 7 / 64$, it suffices to use a bound with $7 / 64$ being replaced by $1 / 4-\delta$ for any $\delta>0$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 3 follows immediately from (1.6) and the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\pi$ be a unitary automorphic cuspidal representation for $G L_{m}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}}\right)$.
(i) For each $m \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, there is a constant $\delta_{m}>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, \nu \geqslant 2}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll x^{1-\delta_{m}} .
$$

(ii) If $m \geqslant 5$, under Hypothesis $H$ we have

$$
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, \nu \geqslant 2}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll x / \log x .
$$

Proof. In view of (2.1) of Lemma 2.1 with a suitable choice of $\nu_{0}$, it suffices to show, for fixed $\nu \geqslant 2$, that (i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu} x^{1-\delta_{m}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m \leqslant 4$, and (ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}<_{\nu} x / \log x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $m \geqslant 5$ under Hypothesis H .
First we prove (3.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}= & \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x^{1 / 2}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}+\sum_{x^{1 / 2}<p^{\nu} \leqslant x}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \\
\leqslant & x^{1 / 2} \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x^{1 / 2}} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}} \\
& +\frac{2 x}{\log x} \sum_{x^{1 / 2}<p^{\nu} \leqslant x} \frac{(\log p)^{2}\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}}{p^{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $\ll x / \log x$ under Hypothesis H .
Next we prove (3.1) for $m=4$, since other cases are easier. As before it suffices to consider the sum on the left side of (3.1) taken over $p \neq 2,3$ with $\pi_{p}$ being not tempered. Then for such a $p, \Pi_{p} \cong \wedge^{2} \pi_{p}$. There are then three possibilities.

If $p \in S_{1}$ as in (2.10), using $\Pi_{p}$ we get $0<2 a \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{37}$ as in (2.11). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\left(u_{1}^{\nu}+u_{2}^{\nu}\right)\left(p^{a \nu}+p^{-a \nu}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant 16 p^{(1 / 2-1 / 37) \nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in S_{1}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \ll \sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in S_{1}}(\log p) p^{(1 / 2-1 / 37) \nu}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \ll x^{1-1 / 37}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p \in S_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| & =\left|u_{1}^{\nu}\left(p^{a \nu}+p^{-a \nu}\right)+u_{2}^{\nu}+u_{3}^{\nu}\right| \leqslant p^{a \nu}+3, \\
\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right| & =\left|u_{1}\left(p^{a}+p^{-a}\right)+u_{2}+u_{3}\right| \geqslant p^{a}-2
\end{aligned}
$$

with $0<a \leqslant 1 / 2-1 / 17$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant\left\{\left(\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|+2\right)^{\nu}+3\right\}^{2} \\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+1  \tag{3.4}\\
& \ll{ }_{\nu}\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 17)(\nu-1)}+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly if $p \in S_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right| & =\left|u_{1}^{\nu}\left(p^{a_{1} \nu}+p^{-a_{1} \nu}\right)+u_{2}^{\nu}\left(p^{a_{2} \nu}+p^{-a_{2} \nu}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 p^{a_{1} \nu}+2, \\
\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right| & =\left|u_{1}\left(p^{a_{1}}+p^{-a_{1}}\right)+u_{2}\left(p^{a_{2}}+p^{-a_{2}}\right)\right| \geqslant p^{a_{1}}-2 p^{a_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, (2.3) with $m=4$ and the last inequality of (2.11), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant\left\{2\left(\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|+2 p^{a_{2}}\right)^{\nu}+2\right\}^{2} \\
& \ll \nu\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2 \nu}+p^{2 a_{2} \nu}  \tag{3.5}\\
& <_{\nu}\left|a_{\pi}(p)\right|^{2} p^{(1-2 / 17)(\nu-1)}+p^{(1 / 2-1 / 37) \nu}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we can apply Lemma 2.2 with the choice of parameters

$$
\left(\pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime \prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\pi, \pi, \frac{2}{17}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{P}=S_{2} \\ \left(\pi, \pi, \frac{2}{17}, \frac{1}{37}\right) & \text { if } \mathcal{P}=S_{3}\end{cases}
$$

to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p^{\nu} \leqslant x, p \in S_{j}}(\log p)\left|a_{\pi}\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} \ll_{\nu} x^{1-1 / 37} \quad(j=2,3) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the required result follows from (3.3) and (3.6).
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