

The Index of Scattering Operators of Dirac Equations

Taku Matsui

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

Abstract. A new index formula of Atiyah Singer type for scattering operators is proved. The index corresponds to the vacuum polarization of the Fermion (on the Minkowski space) coupled to an external non abelian gauge field.

1 Introduction

Geometric features of gauge theories have been extensively investigated since the discovery of instantons. In spite of several successes, the methods have been based on the compactification of the space-time manifold, which is of great disadvantage if we wish to find precise relations between the obtained results and realistic quantum field theories. Even though the non-compactness of \mathbb{R}^4 is controlled by the boundary condition at infinity, we don't know how to relate results for compact Riemannian manifolds to the Minkowski space (the theory of elliptic operators, e.g. Atiyah-Singer's index theorem to the theory of hyperbolic differential operators). In the Minkowski space, we have no effective geometric tools for the study of anomalies and other topological effects.

The motivation of the present work is to find a geometric invariant of gauge theories in quantum systems on the Minkowski space. We explain the background of our results in more detail now.

Consider a (second) quantized *charged* Fermion coupled with an external field on a Fock space. Mathematically the Fermion field is an element of a CAR (canonical anticommutation relations) algebra which is isomorphic to an infinite dimensional Clifford algebra \mathfrak{A} on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with an antiunitary involution. The Fock representation is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{A} with a special vector Ω called vacuum. This representation is completely specified by a projection P on \mathcal{H} . See [1].

In most physical situations, the representation $u(g)$ on \mathcal{H} of a compact group G is given which is canonically lifted to an action α_g of G on \mathfrak{A} via Bogoliubov automorphisms. α_g is identified with the global gauge transformation, and the fixed point subalgebra \mathfrak{A}^G of this action is regarded as the observable algebra.

Address after April 1987: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158, Japan

In the free Dirac field, the projection P above is the positive spectral projection of the free Dirac operator and $G = U(1) = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}, |Z| = 1\}$, which distinguishes particles and antiparticles. If $[P, u(g)] = Pu(g) - u(g)P = 0$, the above G action is unitarily implemented on the Fock space associated to P by $W(g)$ satisfying

$$W(g)\Omega = \Omega, \quad W(g)QW(g) = \alpha_g(Q), \quad Q \in \mathfrak{A}. \tag{1.1}$$

For the global $U(1)$ gauge transformation in the free Dirac field the infinitesimal generator of $W(g)$ is identified with the charge operator.

Given a gauge potential, the associated scattering operator S of the Dirac equation defines a scattering automorphism α_S of \mathfrak{A} via a Bogoliubov automorphism. α_S is unitarily implemented on the Fock space associated to P if and only if $[P, S]$ is in the Hilbert–Schmidt class. If this condition is satisfied, the implementor W_S for α_S is regarded as the second quantized scattering operator. In this framework, the in and out vacua are identified with Ω and $W_S\Omega$ respectively,

$$\Omega_{\text{in}} = \Omega, \quad \Omega_{\text{out}} = W_S\Omega. \tag{1.2}$$

The charge defined by $W(e^{i\theta})(G = U(1))$ is not necessarily conserved in the scattering, i.e. $[W(e^{i\theta}), W_S] \neq 0$ even though it is at the quantum mechanical level on \mathcal{H} . We can only show

$$W(e^{i\theta})\Omega_{\text{out}} = e^{in\theta}\Omega_{\text{out}}. \tag{1.3}$$

The integer n is the shift of charge of vacuum during the scattering. The appearance of non-trivial charge shift is referred to as vacuum polarization. The mathematical meaning of the phase factor in (1.3) is that the cyclic representation of the vector state Ω_{out} of the observable algebra \mathfrak{A}^G is non-equivalent to that of Ω_{in} if $n \neq 0$.

By the result of [3], the charge shift is computed by

$$n = \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP, \tag{1.4}$$

where the right-hand side of (1.4) is the index of the operator PSP restricted to $P\mathcal{H}$. (Note that the Fredholm property of PSP is ensured by the compactness of $[P, S]$ and unitarity of S . See Lemma 3.9.) See also [7]. Thus we are led to the following question:

(A) Can we find potentials with scattering operators S satisfying

- (i) $[P, S]$ is in the Hilbert–Schmidt class,

and

- (ii) $\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP \neq 0$?

In [3] and [12], only trivial answers were given; under very restrictive conditions on potentials the index always vanishes.

A possible variant of (A) which seems interesting is the following:

(B) Can we find potentials with scattering operators S satisfying

- (i) $[P, S]$ is compact,

and

- (ii) $\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP \neq 0$?

The goal of this article is an index formula to the problem (B).

In the massless Dirac case of 1 + 3 dimensional space-time, we will consider

the index corresponding to the chiral charge shift. Under some technical conditions the index of the scattering operator of the Dirac equation will be shown equal to the *instanton number*,

$$\text{ind}_{P, \mathcal{H}} PSP = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \text{Tr } F \wedge F, \tag{1.5}$$

where F is the curvature (the energy of the external gauge field) for the connection (gauge potential).

We remark that the integrality of the right-hand side of (1.5) was proved for a fairly large class of potentials by K. Uhlenbeck in [13], but we feel some additional conditions are necessary for the unitarity of S and the compactness of $[P, S]$.

We conclude this introduction by a brief summary of the rest of this article.

In Sect. 2 basic standing assumptions and the main results are presented. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of the main theorem. We show that the computation of index of the scattering operator is reduced to that of a pseudo-differential operator in Sect. 3, and we derive an index formula of Toeplitz type operators using a general result of L. Hörmander in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5 we give some remarks.

2. Statement of Main Results

We first introduce Dirac operators on \mathbb{R}^3 . We consider both massive and massless cases. For the massless case the basic Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$, where Pauli matrices (spinors) act on \mathbb{C}^2 and the $U(N)$ gauge group acts on \mathbb{C}^N in the standard way. In the massive case, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$, and the 4×4 Dirac matrices act on \mathbb{C}^4 .

The free massless Dirac operator is an essentially selfadjoint operator (on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$) defined by

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i p_i = \sigma \cdot p, \tag{2.1}$$

where $p_k = -(i\partial/\partial x_k)$ and $\{\sigma_k; k = 1, 2, 3\}$ are 2×2 Pauli spin matrices which are selfadjoint and satisfy

$$\sigma_k^2 = 1, \quad \sigma_k \sigma_1 = i\epsilon_{klm} \sigma_m. \tag{2.2}$$

(ϵ_{klm} is the totally antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon_{123} = 1$.)

The massive Dirac operator is defined by

$$H_0 = \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k p_k + m\beta = \alpha \cdot p + m\beta, \tag{2.3}$$

where m is a positive constant corresponding to the mass and $\{\alpha_k; k = 1, 2, 3\}$ and β are 4×4 Dirac matrices satisfying

$$\alpha_k^2 = \beta^2 = 1, \quad \{\alpha_k, \alpha_1\} = \{\alpha_k, \beta\} = 0 \quad (k \neq 1), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$.

Let $A_k(t, x) = A_k(t) (k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ be skew selfadjoint $N \times N$ matrix valued (time

dependent) gauge potentials. We assume for simplicity

$$A_k(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \otimes M_N.$$

(M_N is the set of all complex $N \times N$ matrices.)

$A_k(t)$ acts as a multiplication operator on \mathcal{H} . We use the notation $A_k(t)$ or $A_k(t, x)$ instead of $\mathbb{1} \otimes A_k(t, x)$. The Dirac operator coupled with gauge potentials is defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} H_0 + i \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k A_k(t) - iA_0(t) & \text{(massless case)} \\ H_0 + i \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k A_k(t) - iA_0(t) & \text{(massive case).} \end{cases} \tag{2.5a}$$

$$\tag{2.5b}$$

If $A_k(t)$ are bounded the domains of $H(t)$ and H_0 coincide,

$$D(H_0) = D(H(t)) \quad \text{for arbitrary } t. \tag{2.6}$$

The unitary propagator $U(t, s)$ for $H(t)$ is characterized by

(i) $U(t, s)$ is a strongly continuous two parameter unitary,

$$(ii) \quad U(t, s)U(s, u) = U(t, u), \tag{2.7a}$$

$$(iii) \quad U(t, s)D(H_0) = D(H_0), \tag{2.7b}$$

$$(iv) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s)f = H(t)U(t, s)f, \tag{2.7c}$$

$$-i \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, s)f = U(t, s)H(s)f \tag{2.7d}$$

for f in $D(H_0)$.

The two parameter unitary satisfying (2.7a ~ d) is unique.

The scattering operator S is defined by

$$S = \text{st-} \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \infty \\ s \rightarrow -\infty}} e^{itH_0} U(t, s) e^{-isH_0}, \tag{2.8}$$

provided that the limit exists in the strong operator topology. The projection to the positive spectral subspace of H_0 is denoted by P . By the Fourier transformation P is represented by a multiplication operator $P(\xi)$.

$$P(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sigma \cdot \xi}{|\xi|} \right\} & \text{(massless case)} \end{cases} \tag{2.9a}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha \cdot \xi + \beta m}{[|\xi|^2 + m^2]^{1/2}} \right\} & \text{(massive case)} \end{cases} \tag{2.9b}$$

$$\text{Let } \langle x \rangle = \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^3 x_k^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Assumption A

(i) $A_k(t, x)$ is a smooth bounded function.

$$(ii) \quad \|A_k(t, x)\| \leq C \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\delta_1}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where C and δ_1 are some positive constants and $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the norm of finite matrices. (C may depend on t .)

- (iii) There exist constants T_{\pm} and unitary valued functions $W_{\pm}(t, x)$ satisfying Assumption B below such that

$$\|A_k(t, x) - (\partial_k W_+(t, x)) W_+(t, x)^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\delta_2}} \times G(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq T_+, \quad (2.11a)$$

and

$$\|A_k(t, x) - (\partial_k W_-(t, x)) W_-(t, x)^*\| \leq \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\delta_2}} \times G(t) \quad \text{for } t \leq T_-, \quad (2.11b)$$

where $\partial_0 = (\partial/\partial t)$, $\partial_k = (\partial/\partial x_k)$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), δ_2 is a positive constant and $G(t)$ is a positive integrable continuous function on \mathbb{R} satisfying $G(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

- (iv) δ_2 in (iii) satisfies $\delta_2 > 1$.

- (v) Let $A = A_0(t, x)dt + \sum_{k=1}^3 A_k(t, x)dx_k$ be an 1 form on \mathbb{R}^4 and $F = dA + A \wedge A$.

F is square integrable on \mathbb{R}^4 and

$$\|F(t, x)\| < C \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{3-\delta_3}},$$

where C is a positive constant independent of t , $\delta_3 < \min(\delta_2, 1 + \delta)$ and δ is the constant appearing in (2.12).

- (vi) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr}(F \wedge A) = 0$.

Assumption B

Let $W(t, x)$ be a $U(N)$ valued smooth function on \mathbb{R}^4 .

- (i) $\|\partial_x^\alpha (W(t, x) - 1)\| \leq C_\alpha \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{|\alpha|+\delta}}$, (2.12)

where C_α and δ are positive constants independent of t and α is a multi-index,

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad \partial_x^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_3}}{\partial x_3}.$$

- (ii) The following limit exists uniformly in v in \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} W(t, tv) = \tilde{W}_{\pm}(v). \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $A_k(t, x)$ satisfy Assumptions A and B.*

- (i) *The scattering operator S of (2.8) exists, is unitary and $[P, S]$ is compact.*
- (ii) *PSP restricted to $P\mathcal{H}$ is Fredholm and its index is given by*

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} \text{PSP} = \frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \text{Tr} F \wedge F, \quad (\text{massless case}), \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP = 0, \quad (\text{massive case}), \tag{2.14b}$$

where $\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP$ denotes the Fredholm index of the operator PSP restricted to $P\mathcal{H}$.

Remark 2.1. The integral in (2.14a) is called the instanton number.

The main part of the proof of Theorem 1 is given in Sects. 3 and 4. In the rest of this section, we give the latter half of the proof assuming the following formula (2.15) whose proof is given in Proposition 3.1,

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP = -\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PW_+(t_+)P + \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PW_-(t_-)P, \tag{2.15}$$

for any $t_+ \geq T_+$ and any $t_- \leq T_-$.

In the massive case the right-hand side of (2.15) always vanishes due to Theorem 2 (ii) of Sect. 4 while in the massless case it is equal to

$$(2.15) = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr}((dW_+ W_+^*)^3 - (dW_- W_-^*)^3). \tag{2.16}$$

Note that $d\text{Tr}(F \wedge A - \frac{1}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A) = \text{Tr} F \wedge F$. (This identity is seen as follows. Using the trace property, $\text{Tr} XY = (-1)^{\text{deg}X \cdot \text{deg}Y} \text{Tr} YX$ for matrix valued differential forms. X, Y . In particular, $\text{Tr} A^4 = 0$. The rest of the computation is an exercise.) By Green’s formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \text{Tr}(F \wedge F) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_x^3} \text{Tr}(F \wedge A - \frac{1}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A)_{t=T} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}_x^3} \text{Tr}(F \wedge A - \frac{1}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A)_{t=-T} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

By (2.11), (2.12), and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A^3 - (dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)^3) &= \text{Tr}((A - dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)^3 + 3(A - dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)^2 dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^* \\ &\quad + 3(A - dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)(dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\|A \wedge A \wedge A - (dW_{\pm} W_{\pm}^*)^3\| \leq CG(t) \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\rho},$$

where $\rho = \min\{3\delta_2, 2\delta_2 + 1 + \delta, \delta_2 + 2(1 + \delta)\}$, $\rho > 3$, since $\delta_2 > 1$ by our assumptions.

By Assumption A (v) and (vi)

$$(2.17) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{3} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr}((dW_+ W_+^*)^3_{t=T} - (dW_- W_-^*)^3_{t=-T}).$$

Thus (2.14a) follows from (2.15).

Example. Let $U(x)$ be a $U(N)$ valued function satisfying assumptions of Theorem 2 of Sect. 4 satisfying

$$-\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Tr}((dUU^*)^3) = n. \tag{2.18}$$

($U(x)$ satisfying (2.18) exists due to the Remark at the end of Sect. 4.) Set

$W(t, x) = U(x/t)$, and let $A_k(t)$ be potentials satisfying

$$A_k(t, x) = \begin{cases} \partial_k W(t, x) W(t, x)^* & \text{for } t > T_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

$W(t, x)$ obviously satisfies Assumption B and the potential satisfying (2.18), and Assumption A gives rise to an example of a scattering operator with index n in (2.14a). (Note that F vanishes if $t < T_0$ or $T > 0$).

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The aim of this chapter is to give a proof of Theorem 1 (i) and that of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A_k(t, x)$ satisfy Assumption A (i) ~ (iii). Then*

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP = -\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PW_+(t_+)P + \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PW_-(t_-)P \tag{3.1}$$

for any $t_+ \geq T_+$ and any $t_- \leq T_-$.

As explained in Sect. 2, Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1 (ii).

We now collect basic properties of Fredholm operators and compact operators which we will use in our proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{F} be the set of all Fredholm operators equipped with norm topology of bounded operators.*

- (i) The index map $\text{ind}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow Z$ is continuous.
- (ii) If $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ and B is compact, then $A + B$ is Fredholm and

$$\text{ind}(A + B) = \text{ind } A. \tag{3.2}$$

- (iii) For $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\text{ind } A_1 A_2 = \text{ind } A_1 + \text{ind } A_2$. (3.3)

Lemma 3.3.

- (i) *The set of all compact operators on a Hilbert space is norm closed.*
- (ii) *Let $\Phi(x)$ and $\Psi(\xi)$ be continuous functions of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying*

$$|\Phi(x)| < C \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\delta}, \quad |\Psi(\xi)| < C' \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{\delta'}} \tag{3.4}$$

for some positive constants C, C', δ, δ' . Let $\Psi(D_x)$ be an operator defined by

$$\Psi(D_x)u(x) = \iint e^{i\xi x} \Psi(\xi) \tilde{u}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^n}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{u}(\xi) = \iint e^{-i\xi x} u(x) dx$.

Then $\Phi(x) \Psi(D_x)$ is compact.

- (iii) *A pseudo-differential operator $a(x, D_x)$ with symbol $a(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{-\delta, -\delta'}$ is compact if δ and δ' are strictly positive. (The definition of $\mathcal{A}^{m, m'}$ is given in Sect. 4.)*

Throughout this chapter we give a proof for the massless case. The massive case may be treated by the same way.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $W(t, x)$ satisfy Assumption B. Then,*

$$\text{st-} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{itH_0} W(t, x) e^{itH_0} = \tilde{W}_{\pm}(v), \tag{3.6}$$

where $v = H_0^{-1} P = P/H_0$ and $\tilde{W}_{\pm}(v)$ is defined in (2.13).

Proof. First note that

$$e^{itH_0} W(t, x) e^{itH_0} = W(t, tv(t)),$$

where $v(t) = e^{-itH_0} x/t e^{itH_0}$. For an $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $T > 0$ such that

$$\|W(t, tv(t)) - \tilde{W}_{\pm}(v(t))\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } \pm t > T, \tag{3.7}$$

due to the uniform convergence of (2.13) and the joint spectral decomposition of commuting operators $v_k(t) = e^{-itH_0}(x_k/t)e^{itH_0}$, ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Thus we have only to show

$$\text{st-} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \tilde{W}_{\pm}(v(t)) = \tilde{W}_{\pm}(v). \tag{3.8}$$

By Theorem VIII.20(b) of [9], (3.8) follows from the strong resolvent convergence of $v(t)$ to v which we prove now.

It is easy to check

$$[H_0, x_k] = -i\sigma_k, \quad [H_0, \sigma_k] = 2H_0 \left(\sigma_k - \frac{p_k}{H_0} \right). \tag{3.9}$$

By integrating (3.9),

$$v_k(t)u = -\frac{1 - e^{-itH_0 t}}{2iH_0 t} \left(\sigma_k - \frac{p_k}{H_0} \right) u + \frac{p_k}{H_0} u + \frac{x_k}{t} u \tag{3.10}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}$.

Note that p_k/H_0 and $(1 - e^{-i2H_0 t}/2iH_0 t)$ are bounded operators.

Set

$$\tilde{u}_{\delta}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \int e^{-ix\xi} u(x) dx & |\xi| \geq \delta \\ 0 & |\xi| < \delta \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_{\delta}(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} \tilde{u}_{\delta}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then for δ sufficiently small,

$$\|u_{\delta} - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|\tilde{u}_{\delta} - \tilde{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}. \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand

$$\left\| \frac{1 - e^{-i2H_0 t}}{2iH_0 t} \left(\sigma_k - \frac{p_k}{H_0} \right) u_{\delta} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{2}{\delta|t|} \|u_{\delta}\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.12}$$

if $t \neq 0$ because of $\|\sigma_{\kappa}\| = \|(p_k/H_0)\| = 1$ and the support property of \tilde{u}_{δ} .

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v(t)_k u - \frac{p_k}{H_0} u \right\|_{L^2} &\leq \frac{1}{|t|} \|x_k u\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{1 - e^{-i2H_0 t}}{2iH_0} \left(\sigma_k - \frac{p_k}{H_0} \right) (u - u_\delta) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{1 - e^{-i2H_0 t}}{2iH_0} \left(\sigma_k - \frac{p_k}{H_0} \right) u_\delta \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|t|} \|x_k u\|_{L^2} + 2 \|u_\delta - u\|_{L^2} + \frac{2}{\delta|t|} \|u_\delta\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $|t| > T$, $T = \max \{ (3/\varepsilon) \|x_k u\|_{L^2}, (6/\delta\varepsilon) \|u_\delta\|_{L^2} \}$.

This proves

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} v(t)u = vu \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{3.13}$$

Next

$$\left\| \left(\frac{1}{v(t)_k + i} - \frac{1}{v_k + i} \right) u \right\|_{L^2} = \left\| \left(\frac{1}{v_k(t) + i} (v_k - v_k(t)) \frac{1}{v_k + i} u \right) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| (v_k - v_k(t)) \frac{1}{v_k + i} u \right\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

Since \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, (3.13) and (3.14) imply the strong resolvent convergence of $v_k(t)$ to v_k . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. (q.e.d.)

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A_k(t, x)$ satisfy Assumption A (i) ~ (iii). The scattering operator exists, is unitary and is represented by the formula:*

$$S = e^{iT_+ H_0} \tilde{W}_+(v) W_+(T_+, x)^* V_+ U(T_+, T_-) V_- W_-(T_-, x) \tilde{W}_-(v)^* e^{iT_- H_0}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $v = (p/H_0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &= \mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{T_+ \leq s_n \leq s_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq s_1 < \infty} W(T_+, x) e^{-i(T_+ - s_1)H_0} \\ &\quad \cdot W(s_1, x)^* B_+(s_1, x) W(s_1, x) e^{-i(s_1 - s_2)H_0} W(s_2, x)^* \dots B_+(s_n, x) W(s_n, x) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-i(s_n - T_+)H_0} W(T_+, x) ds_1 \dots ds_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.16a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_- &= \mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n \leq T_-} W(T_-, x)^* e^{-i(T_- - s_n)H_0} \\ &\quad \cdot W(s_n, x) B_-(s_n, x) \dots B_-(s_1, x) W(s_1, x) e^{-i(T_- - s_1)H_0} \\ &\quad \cdot W(T_-, x)^* ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16b}$$

$$B_{\pm}(s, x) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sigma_k (A_k(s, x) - \partial_k W_{\pm}(s, x) W_{\pm}(s, x)^*) + (A_0(s, x) - \partial_0 W(s, x) W(s, x)^*). \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. First we remark that (3.16) is convergent. In fact, by (iii) of Assumption A

the operator norm of n -th term in (3.16a) is bounded above by

$$C^n \int_{T_+ \leq s_n \leq \dots \leq s_2 \leq s_1 < \infty} G(s_1)G(s_2)\cdots G(s_n) ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left(C \int_{T_+}^{\infty} G(s) ds \right)^n.$$

(The integral may be carried out inductively.)

These same estimate works for (3.16b) and as a consequence,

$$\|V_{\pm}\| \leq \exp(C \|G\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^1)}).$$

Next by the identity,

$$e^{itH_0}U(t, -s)e^{isH_0} = (e^{itH_0}U(t, T_+))U(T_+, T_-)U(T_-, -s)e^{isH_0}.$$

We have only to show that existence and the unitarity of

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0}U(t, T_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(T_-, -t)e^{itH_0}.$$

Let $A_k^{(1)}$ and $A_k^{(2)}$ be gauge potentials, $U^1(t, s)U^2(t, s)$ be corresponding propagators for Dirac equations.

We use the Dyson expansion for $U^1(t, s)^*U^2(t, s)$. More precisely we consider $U^1(t, s)^*U^2(t, s)$ as the unique solution of the following equation with the initial condition $U^1(s, s)^*U^2(s, s) = 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(U^1(t, s)^*U^2(t, s)) = U^1(t, s)^*X(t)U^1(t, s)(U^1(t, s)^*U^2(t, s)), \quad (3.18a)$$

where

$$X(t) = \{\sigma \cdot (\mathbb{A}^{(2)}(t) - \mathbb{A}^{(1)}(t)) + A_0^{(2)}(t) - A_0^{(1)}(t)\}. \quad (3.18b)$$

The integration of (3.18a) leads to

$$U^1(t, s)^*U^2(t, s) = \mathbb{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s \leq t_n \leq t_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq t} U^1(t_1, s)^*X(t_1)U^1(t_1, t_2)X(t_2)\cdots X(t_n)U^1(t_n, s)dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_n. \quad (3.19)$$

We set $A_k^{(1)} = \partial_k W_+ W_+^*$, $A_k^{(2)} = A_k$. Obviously $U^{(2)}(t, s) = U(t, s)$, and it is easy to check

$$U^1(t, s) = W_+(t, x)e^{-i(t-s)H_0}W_+(s, x)^*.$$

Then

$$e^{itH_0}U(t, T_+) = e^{itH_0}W_+(t)e^{-itH_0}e^{iT_+H_0}W_+(T_+)^*(U^1(t, T_+)^*U^2(t, T)).$$

The limit of $e^{itH_0}W_+(t)e^{-itH_0}$ was computed in the previous lemma. By (3.19), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U^1(t, T_+)^*U^2(t, T_+) = V_+$. Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{itH_0}U(t, T) = e^{i^{\cdot} \cdot H_0} \tilde{W}_+(v)W(T_+, x)^*V_+. \quad (3.20)$$

(Note that $[v, H_0] = 0$ implies $[e^{itH_0}, \tilde{W}_+(v)] = 0$.) V_+ is an isometry as it is a limit of unitaries. If we consider V_+^* , it is also an isometry. This tells us that V_+ is unitary.

The same argument works for the limit of $U(T_-, -s)e^{isH_0}$. (q.e.d.)

Lemma 3.6. $[W_{\pm}(t, x), P]$ is compact.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| > 1$, $= 0$ if $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$[W_{\pm}(t, x), P] = [(W_{\pm}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}), \varphi(D_x)P] + [(W_{\pm}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}), (\mathbb{1} - \varphi(D_x)P)]. \quad (3.21)$$

The second term is compact because $(W_{\pm}(t, x) - \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} - \varphi(D_x))$ and $(\mathbb{1} - \varphi(D_x))(W_{\pm}(t, x) - \mathbb{1})$ are compact due to Lemma 3.3 (ii).

Next note that $\varphi(D_x)P, W_{\pm}(t, x) - \mathbb{1}$ are pseudo-differential operators with symbol in $A^{0,0}$. (See Sect. 4.) The commutator of these operators is also a pseudo-differential operator with symbol in $A^{-1,-1}$. (The symbols of the above operators commute as matrices and the term in $A^{0,0}$ of the asymptotic expansion vanishes.)

Combined with Lemma 3.3 (iii) this proves the compactness of the first term of (3.21). (q.e.d.)

Lemma 3.7. Let $A_k(t, x)$ satisfy Assumption A (i) ~ (iii). Then $[P, U(t, s)]$ is compact.

Proof. Recall that $e^{itH_0}U(t, s)e^{-isH_0}$ is given by the norm convergent Dyson expansion:

$$e^{itH_0}U(t, s)e^{-isH_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{s \leq t_n \leq t_{n-1} \dots \leq t_1 \leq t} e^{it(t_1-s)H_0} X(t_1) \cdot e^{-it_1(t_1-t_2)H_0} X(t_2) \dots X(t_n) e^{-it_n H_0} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n. \quad (3.22)$$

If $X(t, x)$ defined in (3.18b) is compactly supported in x , the commutator $[P, X(t)]$ is compact by the same proof as in Lemma 3.6. The norm convergence of (3.22) suggests the claim. The case that $X(t, x)$ is not compactly supported in x may be proved as follows.

Let ψ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \geq 2 \\ 1 & |x| \leq 1 \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad (3.23)$$

Let $U^R(t, s)$ be the propagator with $A(t)$ replaced by $A(t)\psi(x/R)$. As $A(t)\psi(x/R)$ is compactly supported in x , $[P, U^R(t, s)]$ is compact, as we have already seen. By Assumption A (ii),

$$\|X(t, x) - X_R(t, x)\| \leq C \frac{1}{R^\delta}, \quad (3.24)$$

where C is a constant independent of t and x .

By (3.22) and (3.24)

$$\text{norm-} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} [P, U^R(t, s)] = [P, U(t, s)]. \quad (3.25)$$

As the left-hand side of (3.25) is compact, the proof is completed. (q.e.d.)

Lemma 3.8. $[P, V_{\pm}]$ is compact.

Proof. By (3.16a),

$$V_{\pm} = W(T_{\pm}, x)e^{-iT_{\pm}H_0} \tilde{V}_{\pm} e^{iT_{\pm}H_0} W(T_{\pm}, X)^*,$$

$$\tilde{V}_+ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{T_+ \leq s_n \leq s_1 < \infty} e^{is_1 H_0} \tilde{B}(s_1, x) e^{-is_1 H_0} \cdots \tilde{B}(s_n, x) e^{-is_n H_0} ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_n, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\tilde{B}(s, x) = W(s, x)^* B(s, x) W(s, x)$.

It suffices to show that $[P, \tilde{V}_+]$ is compact, since $[P, W(T_{\pm})]$ is compact by Lemma 3.6.

Consider the operator

$$[P, e^{isH_0} \tilde{B}(s, x) e^{-isH_0} \cdots \tilde{B}(s_n, x) e^{-is_n H_0}]. \quad (3.27)$$

$[P, \tilde{B}(s, x)]$ is compact by the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.7. By the identity $[A, BC] = [A, B]C + B[A, C]$, (3.27) is compact. As (3.26) is norm convergent by Assumption A (iii) $[P, \tilde{V}_+]$ is compact. The same argument leads to the compactness of $[P, \tilde{V}_-]$. (q.e.d.)

Lemmas 3.4. ~ 3.8 imply Theorem 1 (i). Next we show Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that U_1, U_2 are unitary and $[P, U_i] (i = 1, 2)$ are compact. Then $PU_k P (k = 1, 2), PU_1 U_2 P$ on $P\mathcal{H}$ are Fredholm and*

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU_1 U_2 P = \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU_1 P + \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU_2 P. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. To see $PU_j P$ is Fredholm, it suffices to show that it is invertible modulo compact operator. In fact $PU_j^* P$ is the inverse of $PU_j P$ in the following sense:

$$PU_j^* PU_j P = P - P[P, U_j^*][P, U_j]P, \quad (3.29a)$$

$$PU_j PU_j^* P = P - P[P, U_j][P, U_j^*]P. \quad (3.29b)$$

Next note that $PU_1 U_2 P - PU_1 PU_2 P = P[P, U_1]U_2 P$ is compact. Equation (3.28) is valid due to Lemma 3.2 (ii) and (iii). (q.e.d.)

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.9 it suffices to show

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU(t, s)P = \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PV_{\pm} P = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

As the Dyson expansion for $e^{itH_0} U(t, s) e^{-isH_0}$ is norm convergent we have the estimate

$$\| e^{itH_0} U(t, s) e^{-isH_0} - \mathbb{1} \| \leq C(e^{K|t-s|} - 1). \quad (3.31)$$

By $[P, e^{itH_0}] = 0$, and Lemma 3.2 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU(t, s)P &= \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} (P e^{itH_0} U(t, s) e^{-isH_0} P) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s} \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} (P e^{itH_0} U(t, s) e^{-isH_0} P) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Next let V_{\pm}^{λ} be defined by (3.26) replacing $B(s, x)$ with $\lambda B(s, x)$. It is easy to show that V_{\pm}^{λ} is norm continuous in λ . Thus,

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PV_{\pm} P = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PV_{\pm}^{\lambda} P = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. (q.e.d.)

Remark. Hilbert norm estimates of $[P, S]$ are given in [8, 11, 12] under more restrictive situations.

4. An Index Formula for Gauge Groups

Theorem 2. *Let $U(x)$ be a $U(N)$ valued smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 acting as a multiplication operator on \mathcal{H} . Suppose that there exist positive constants δ and C_α such that*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha(U(x) - \mathbb{1})\| \leq C_\alpha \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{\delta+|\alpha|}} \tag{4.1}$$

for an arbitrary multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Then PUP restricted to $P\mathcal{H}$ is a Fredholm operator and,

$$(i) \text{ ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PUP = \frac{-1}{24\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int \text{Tr}((dU \cdot U^*)^3) \text{ for the massless case.} \tag{4.2a}$$

$$(ii) \text{ ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PUP = 0 \text{ for the massive case.} \tag{4.2b}$$

We remark that the integral of (4.2a) gives rise to an element of $\pi_3(U(N)) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$ is identified with S^3 . On the odd dimensional compact spin^c manifold, the formula corresponding to (4.2a) is a special case of Atiyah-Singer's index theorem. See [2]. We derive (4.1) from a result of L. Hörmander in [5].

First we introduce a symbol class of pseudo-differential operators. For real numbers m and m' we define $\mathcal{A}^{m,m'}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^{m,m'} = \{a(x, \xi) \text{ in } C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}); |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle x \rangle^{m-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m'-|\beta|}\}. \tag{4.3}$$

For $a(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{m,m'}$, the pseudo-differential operator $a(x, D_x)$ is defined by

$$a(x, D_x)u(x) = \text{Os-}\int \int e^{i\xi \cdot x} a(x, \xi) \tilde{u}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{(2\pi)^n} \tag{4.4}$$

for u in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\text{Os-}\int$ denotes the oscillatory integral, and $\tilde{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} u(x) dx$. $a(x, D_x)$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if both m and m' are non-positive.

The following formula is fundamental.

Product of Pseudo-differential operators. Let $a_1(x, \xi)$ be in \mathcal{A}^{m_i} , $m_i (i = 1, 2)$. Then there exists a symbol $b(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{m_1+m_2, n_1+n_2}$ such that

$$a_1(x, D_x) a_2(x, D_x) = b(x, D_x) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$r_N(x, \xi) \equiv b(x, \xi) - \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha a_1(x, \xi) \cdot \partial_x^\alpha a_2(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{A}^{m_1+m_2-N, n_1+n_2-N}. \tag{4.6}$$

For the proof, see [5] or modify the proof for $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ in [6].

L. Hörmander has computed the index of elliptic pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{R}^n in [5].

Theorem 3. *If $a(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{0,0}$ takes values in $k \times k$ matrices such that $a(x, \xi)^{-1}$ exists, is bounded outside an open ball B in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , then $a(x, D_x)$ is a Fredholm operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ with index*

$$\text{ind } a(x, D_x) = (-1)^n \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \right)^n \frac{(n-1)!}{(2n-1)!} \int_{\partial B} \text{Tr}((a^{-1} da)^{2n-1}) \tag{4.7}$$

if \mathbb{R}^{2n} is oriented by $dx_1 \wedge d\xi_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge d\xi_2 \cdots \wedge d\xi_n > 0$.
 (See Theorem 19.3.1 and its remark of [5] and also [4].)

We study first massless case in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let φ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} satisfying*

$$0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1, \quad \varphi(x) = 0 \quad (\text{if } x \leq \frac{1}{2}), = 1 \quad (\text{if } x \geq 1). \quad \text{Set } P_\varphi(\xi) = P(\xi)\varphi(|\xi|).$$

Then $a(x, \xi) \equiv \mathbb{1} + (U(x) - \mathbb{1})P_\varphi(\xi)$ satisfies assumptions of Theorem 3 and

$$\text{ind}_{P_\varphi} PUP = \text{ind}_{P_\varphi} \{ \mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P_\varphi(x, D_x) \}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Clearly $\text{ind}_{P_\varphi} PUP = \text{ind}_{P_\varphi} [(\mathbb{1} - P) + PUP]$. Hence (4.6) is proved if $\{(\mathbb{1} - P) + PUP\} - \{ \mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P_\varphi \}$ is compact. In fact,

$$\{(\mathbb{1} - P) + PUP\} - \{ \mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P_\varphi \} = (U - \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} - \varphi)(x, D_x)P + [P, U]P. \tag{4.9}$$

$(U - \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} - \varphi)(x, D_x)$ and $[P, U]$ are compact due to Lemma 3.3. (iii) and the proof of Lemma 3.6. Thus (4.8) is verified. $a(x, \xi)$ is obviously in $\mathcal{A}^{0,0}$. Next we check that $a(x, \xi)$ has a bounded inverse outside a compact set of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . To see this, let $b(x, \xi)$ be a symbol in $\mathcal{A}^{0,0}$ determined by

$$b(x, \xi) = \mathbb{1} + (U(x)^* - \mathbb{1})P_\varphi(\xi). \tag{4.10}$$

If $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$a(x, \xi)b(x, \xi) = b(x, \xi)a(x, \xi) = \mathbb{1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Let R_0 be a constant sufficiently large that $\|U(x) - \mathbb{1}\| < 1$ if $|x| < R_0$. Then by the Neumann expansion,

$$a(x, \xi)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (U(x) - \mathbb{1})^n P_\varphi \varphi(\xi)^{n-1} \tag{4.12}$$

for $|x| > R_0$.

Thus $a(x, \xi)$ has the bounded inverse on $\{|\xi| \geq 1\} \cup \{|x| > R_0\}$. (q.e.d.)

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a(x, \xi)$ and $b(x, \xi)$ be defined as above. Then*

$$\text{ind } a(x, D_x) = \frac{(-2i)}{(2\pi)^3 \cdot 5!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \{|\xi| < 1\}} \int \text{Tr}((dadb)^3), \tag{4.13}$$

where the orientation is same as in Theorem 3.

Proof. By Theorem 3

$$\text{ind } a(x, D_x) = \frac{(-2)}{(-2\pi i)^3 \cdot 5!} \int_{\partial B_R} \int \text{Tr}((a^{-1} da)^5), \tag{4.14}$$

where B_R is the ball of radius R centered at origin and $R > R_0 + 1$. As $a^{-1} daa^{-1} = -d(a^{-1})$,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \int \text{Tr}((a^{-1} da)^5) = \int_{\partial B_R} \int \text{Tr}(a^{-1}(da(da^{-1}))^2 da). \tag{4.15}$$

We first prove

$$|\int \int_{\partial B_R} \{ \text{Tr}(a^{-1}(dada^{-1})^2 da) - \text{Tr}(b(dadb)^2 da) \}| < C_1 \frac{1}{R^\delta}. \tag{4.16}$$

As $b(x, \xi) = a^{-1}(x, \xi)$ if $|\xi| \geq 1$ by (4.11), we estimate the contribution of the integral from $|\xi| < 1, |x|^2 = R^2 - |\xi|_0^2 > R$. As $a(x, \xi)$ is in $\mathcal{A}^{0,0}$,

$$\| \partial_{x_k} a(x, \xi) \| \leq C_2 \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\delta}}, \quad \| \partial_{x_k} b(x, \xi) \| \leq C_3 \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\delta}}. \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand

$$U(x)^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (U(x) - \mathbb{1})^n \tag{4.18}$$

if $|x| > R_0$ due to $U(x)^* = [\mathbb{1} + (U(x) - \mathbb{1})]^{-1}$.

Thus by (4.12) and (4.18) the following estimates hold if $|x| > R_0$.

$$a(x, \xi)^{-1} - b(x, \xi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n (U(x) - \mathbb{1})^n P_\varphi \{ \varphi(|\xi|)^{n-1} - 1 \}, \tag{4.19a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| \partial_{x_k} (a(x, \xi)^{-1} - b(x, \xi)) \| &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \times \| \partial_{x_k} U(x) \| \| U(x) - \mathbb{1} \|^{n-1} | \varphi(|\xi|) - 1 | \\ &\leq C_4 \frac{|\varphi(|\xi|) - 1|}{\langle x \rangle^{1+\delta}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.19b}$$

$$\| \partial_{\xi_k} (a(x, \xi)^{-1} - b(x, \xi)) \| \leq C_5 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \| U(x) - \mathbb{1} \|^n \Phi(\xi) \leq C_6 \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\delta} \Phi(\xi), \tag{4.19c}$$

where $\Phi(\xi)$ is a smooth function supported in $\{|\xi| \leq 1\}$.

$$\| a(x, \xi)^{-1} - b(x, \xi) \| \leq C_7 \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle^\delta} | \varphi(|\xi|) - 1 |. \tag{4.19d}$$

Consider the integral of the type

$$\int \int_{\partial B_R \cap \{|\xi| \leq 1\}} \text{Tr}(c(dadc)^2 da) \quad c = a^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad b.$$

The integrand is differentiated in x directions more than twice. Taking into account of (4.16) and (4.19b) the above integral is uniformly bounded in R . This estimate and (4.18) imply (4.16) due to the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ a^{-1}(dada^{-1})^2 da \} - \{ b(dadb)^2 da \} \\ &= (a^{-1} - b)(dada^{-1})^2 da + bdad(a^{-1} - b)dada^{-1} da + bdadbda(a^{-1} - b)da. \end{aligned}$$

Next note that $d[\text{Tr}(b(dadb)^2 da)] = -\text{Tr}(dadb)^3$. Then

$$\int \int_{\partial B_R} \text{Tr}(b(dadb)^2 da) = - \int \int_{B_R} \text{Tr}(dadb)^3. \tag{4.20}$$

Using $db = -a^{-1} da \cdot a^{-1} (|\xi| \geq 1)$, anticommutativity of one forms and trace

property lead to $\text{Tr}((dadb)^3) = -\text{Tr}(da \cdot a^{-1})^6 = 0$. Thus

$$(4.20) = - \int_{|\xi| \leq 1, |x|^2 + |\xi|^2 \leq R^2} \text{Tr}(dadb)^3. \tag{4.21}$$

By (4.14) (4.16) and (4.20),

$$\left| \text{ind } a(x, D_x) - \frac{(-2i)}{(2\pi)^3 \cdot 5!} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1, |x|^2 + |\xi|^2 \leq R^2} \text{Tr}(dadb)^3 \right| \leq C \frac{1}{R^\delta}.$$

Letting R tend to infinity, we obtain (4.13). (q.e.d.)

Proof of Theorem 2. The Fredholm property is due to unitarity of $U(x)$ and compactness of $[P, U(x)]$ (see Lemma 3.9). We prove (4.2a) first. By definition,

$$da = (U - \mathbb{1})dP_\varphi + P_\varphi dU, \quad db = (U^* - \mathbb{1})dP_\varphi + P_\varphi dU^*.$$

Thus

$$(dadb) = [(\mathbb{1} - U^*)dP_\varphi + P_\varphi dU \cdot U^*][(\mathbb{1} - U)dP_\varphi - P_\varphi dU \cdot U^*]. \tag{4.22}$$

In the integration of ξ coordinate in (4.13) only the following terms occur,

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \int \text{Tr}((dP_\varphi)^2 P_\varphi dP_\varphi P_\varphi \cdot \varphi) = \int \int \varphi^5 d\varphi \text{Tr}((dP)^2 P) = \frac{\pi i}{3}, \tag{4.23a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \int \text{Tr}((dP_\varphi)^3 P_\varphi \cdot \varphi^2) &= i \int \int \varphi^5 d\varphi \text{Tr}((dP)^2 P) \\ &\quad + \int \int \varphi^6 \text{Tr}((dP)^3 P) \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{3}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23b}$$

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \int \text{Tr}((dP_\varphi P_\varphi)^3) = 0. \tag{4.23c}$$

(The integrals of (4.23) may be carried out by the polar coordinate.)

By (4.14) and (4.22),

$$\text{ind } a(x, D_x) = \frac{(-2i)}{(2\pi)^3 \cdot 5!} \int_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\} \times R^3} \text{Tr}[(A^* dP_\varphi + P_\varphi B)(AdP_\varphi - P_\varphi B)]^3, \tag{4.24}$$

where $A = \mathbb{1} - U, B = dU \cdot U^*$.

The integrand of (4.24) consists of ${}_6C_3 (= 20)$ terms. After using trace property and anticommutativity of 1 forms, we obtain

$$\text{Tr} \{ 3(dP_\varphi)^3 P_\varphi \varphi^2 \cdot AA^*(A + A^*)B^3 + 6(dP_\varphi)^2 P_\varphi dP_\varphi P_\varphi \varphi \cdot AA^*B(A + A^*)B^2 \}. \tag{4.25}$$

Recall that $AA^* = A^*A = A + A^* = 2\mathbb{1} - U - U^*$.

Next we give several formulae of differential forms,

$$\int \text{Tr} UB^3 = \int \text{Tr}(dU \cdot U^* dU \cdot U^* dU) = - \int \text{Tr}(dU dU^* dU) = - \int d(\text{Tr}(U dU^* dU)) = 0. \tag{4.26a}$$

Similarly

$$\int \text{Tr } U^* B^3 = 0. \tag{4.26b}$$

We now show

$$\int \text{Tr } [UBU^* B^2 + U^* BUB^2] = 0. \tag{4.27}$$

Equation (4.27) is a consequence of the following two identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \text{Tr } (dUdUU^*dU^* - UdUdU^*dU^*) &= \int \text{Tr } (d(UdUU^*)dU^*) \\ &= \int d\text{Tr } (UdUU^*dU^*) = 0, \\ \int \text{Tr } UBU^* B^2 &= \int \text{Tr } (dUU^*)(U^*dUU^*)dU = - \int \text{Tr } (dUdUU^*dU^*). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\int \text{Tr } (UBUB^2 + U^2 B^3) = - \int d\text{Tr } (U^2(dUdU^* + dU^*dU)) + \int d\text{Tr } (U^2dU^*dU) = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

By (4.25) ~ (4.28),

$$\int \text{Tr } (dadb)^3 = (-2\pi i) \times 10 \times \int B^3.$$

This proves (4.2a).

Next we prove (4.2b).

Recall that P is given by the formula (2.9b) and (3.5). Let P_0 be a projection given by the symbol $P(\xi)$ with $m = 0$. Then $\{\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P\} - \{\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P_0\}$ is compact. In fact this operator is given by (4.4) with the symbol $c(x, \xi)$,

$$c(x, \xi) = (U(x) - \mathbb{1}) \frac{m\beta}{[|\xi|^2 + m^2]^{1/2}} + (U(x) - \mathbb{1}) \frac{1}{[|\xi|^2 + m^2]^{1/2} + |\xi|} \cdot \frac{(-m^2)\alpha \cdot \xi}{|\xi| [|\xi|^2 + m^2]^{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, the symbol $(U(x) - \mathbb{1})(1/[|\xi|^2 + m^2]^{1/2})$ gives rise to a compact operator. Thus

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PUP = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{H}} \{\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})P_0\}. \tag{4.29}$$

The $*$ algebra generated by $\alpha_k = \alpha_k^*$ and β has the unique irreducible representation (up to unitary equivalence). Thus we set

$$\alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this representation of Dirac matrices the operator in the right-hand side of (4.29) decouples into two operators and

$$(4.29) = \text{ind}(\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})\bar{P}) + \text{ind}(\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} - \bar{P})),$$

where \bar{P} denotes the projection with symbol (2.9a). The operator $(\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})\bar{P})(\mathbb{1} + (U - \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} - \bar{P})) - U = (U - \mathbb{1})\bar{P}U(\mathbb{1} - \bar{P})$ is compact by the proof of Lemma 3.6.

This combined with Lemma 3.2 (iii) leads to

$$\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PUP = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{H}} U = 0 \tag{4.30}$$

(q.e.d.)

Remark. A non-trivial example of index in (4.2a) is given by

$$U(x) = \frac{|x|^2 - 1}{|x|^2 + 1} + \frac{-2i\sigma \cdot x}{|x|^2 + 1} \quad \text{for } G = SU(2).$$

By integrating (4.2a), we have $\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PU^n P = n$. (For the detail of the computation, see Sect. 4 of [10].)

5. Remarks

We conclude this article by two remarks.

1. As explained in Sect. 1, if $[P, S]$ is of Hilbert–Schmidt class and $\text{ind}_{P\mathcal{H}} PSP = n$, the second quantized scattering operator shifts n charges. But we have not tried to estimate the Hilbert–Schmidt norm.

It is plausible that the finiteness of this norm leads automatically to the triviality of index because the integer invariant (e.g. instanton number, monopole charge) appears only when the local gauge transformation enters into the systems, and we know that the local gauge transformation on R^3 is not unitarily implementable on Fock representations of free fields.

We may of course improve our results by use of more refined methods than the Dyson expansion, but we do believe that the essential mechanism giving rise to the non-trivial index is the same as our results.

We also remark that the index in the massless case studied in this article corresponds to the chiral charge shift while the index for the ordinary charge vanishes in our assumptions by the same reason to the massive case.

In contrast with higher dimensional cases, the index of the scattering operator and the Hilbert–Schmidt norm can be exactly computed in the $1 + 1$ dimensional massless case. The result is that both chiral and ordinary charge shifts may occur.

2. We now discuss the physical relevance of our technical conditions A' and B .

First recall that the physical observable quantity is not the connection $A(t, x)$ itself but the curvature $F(t, x)$. So we may claim that the change of the connection yields no physical effects as far as the curvature is fixed.

We consider the curvature F with compact support which is the most easy and physically reasonable case. If the curvature vanishes the potential is a pure gauge,

$$A_k(t, x) = \partial_k W(t, x) \cdot W(t, x)^* \quad \text{for } |x| + |t| \gg 1 \quad \text{for some } W(t, x) \text{ in } C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, U(N)). \tag{5.1}$$

If the variables x are written by the spherical coordinate (r, ω) ,

$$W(t, x) = W_i(r, \omega). \tag{5.2}$$

W can be viewed as a smooth family of mappings from S^2 to $U(N)$ for each t . It is known $\pi_2(U(N)) = 0$. As a consequence, we may deform, without change of

physical contents, W_t to \tilde{W}_t satisfying

$$\tilde{W}_t(r, \omega) = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{for } r \geq C, \quad \text{where } C \text{ is a constant.} \quad (5.3)$$

Thus for the compactly supported curvature F we may deform continuously the potential satisfying Conditions A and (i) of B .

The condition (ii) of B is necessary for the unitarity of the scattering operator.

Conclusion. If the curvature is compactly supported, we may deform continuously the connection to fit to Assumptions A and B . This deformation gives no physical effects.

References

1. Araki, H.: Bogoliubov automorphisms and fock representations of canonical anticommutation relations. Preprint R.I.M.S.
2. Baum, P., Douglas, R. G.: K homology and index theory, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **38**, Part 1, 117–173 (1982)
3. Carey, A. L., O'Brien, D. M.: Absence of vacuum polarization in fock space. Lett. Math. Phys. **6**, 335–340 (1982)
4. Fedosov, B. V.: Direct proof of the formula for the index of an elliptic system in Euclidean space, Funct. Anal. Appl. **4**, 339–341 (1970)
5. Hörmander, L.: The analysis of partial differential operators III. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1985
6. Kumanogo, H.: Pseudo-differential operators Cambridge, MA: M.I.T. Press 1982
7. Matsui, T.: Factoriality and quasi-equivalence of quasifree states for \mathbb{Z}_2 and $U(1)$ invariant CAR algebras. (To appear)
8. Palmer, J.: Scattering automorphisms of the Dirac field. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **64**, 189–215 (1978)
9. Reed, M., Simon, B.: Methods of modern mathematical physics, I. Academic Press New York: 1972
10. Reuter, M., Dittrich, W.: Selected topics in gauge theories. Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. **244**. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1985
11. Ruijsenaars, S.: Gauge invariance and implementability of the S -operator for spin 0 and spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles in time dependent external fields. J. Funct. Anal. **33**, 47–57 (1979)
12. Seipp, H. P.: On the S -operator for the external field problem of QED, Helv. Phys. Act. **55**, 1–28 (1982)
13. Uhlenbeck, K.: The chern classes of sobolev connections. Commun. Math. Phys. **101**, 449–457 (1985)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received September 17, 1986; in revised form January 6, 1987

