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#### Abstract

Let $S(\infty)$ be the group of finite permutations on countably many symbols. We exhibit an embedding of $S(\infty)$ into a UHF-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of Glimm type $n^{\infty}$ such that, if $\delta$ is a *-derivation vanishing on $S(\infty)$ and satisfying $\tau \circ \delta=0$, where $\tau$ is the unique trace on $\mathfrak{A}$, then $\delta$ admits an extension which is the generator of a $C^{*}$-dynamics.


## 1. Introduction

In [4] Goodman showed that if $G$ is a locally compact group, and $\delta$ is a closed *-derivation on $C_{0}(G)$ commuting with the action of $G$ as left translations on the algebra, then $\delta$ is a generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of *-automorphisms on $C_{0}(G)$. In a more recent paper, [5], Goodman and Jørgensen consider closed ${ }^{*}$-derivations on a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ commuting with a strongly continuous representation $\alpha_{G}$ of a compact group $G$ on $\mathfrak{H}$. They define a *-derivation $\delta$ to be tangential to $\alpha_{G}$ if it has the aforementioned property (i.e., $\delta \circ \alpha_{g}=\alpha_{g} \circ \delta$, for all $\left.g \in G\right)$ and if $\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha}$, the $C^{*}$-algebra of fixed elements of $\mathfrak{A}$, lies in the kernel of the derivation. Under certain restrictions on the system ( $\alpha, G, \mathfrak{Z}$ ) (e.g., $\mathfrak{A}$ is abelian, or the action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is ergodic) they prove that a derivation tangential to $\alpha_{G}$ is, in fact, the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group of automorphisms.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a UHF (uniformly hyperfinite) $C^{*}$-algebra of Glimm type $n^{\infty}$ : i.e., $\mathfrak{A}=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1}^{*} B_{k}$, where each $B_{k}$ is a full $n \times n$ matrix algebra over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Define $S(\infty)$ to be the group of finite permutations on the symbols of $\mathbb{N}$, the positive integers. Then there exists a natural embedding of $S(\infty)$ into $\mathfrak{A}$ such that, if $G$ is any compact group, and $\alpha_{G}$ a strongly continuous representation of product type, then $S(\infty)$ lies in the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha}$ of fixed points of $\alpha_{G}$ (see [8]). Motivated by the results of [5], we show the following: if $\delta$ is a

[^0]symmetric *-derivation vanishing on $S(\infty)$ and satisfying $\tau \circ \delta=0$, where $\tau$ is the (normalized) trace on $\mathfrak{A}$, then $\delta$ extends to a generator $\hat{\delta}$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ whose associated one-parameter group is of product type.

## 2. Derivations Vanishing on $S(\infty)$

We shall make use of the following notation throughout. For $n$ a fixed positive integer, let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of $n \times n$ matrix algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, where $B_{k}$ has identity $I_{k}$ and matrix units $\left\{e_{i j}^{k}: 1 \leqq i, j \leqq n\right\}$ satisfying $e_{i j}^{k} e_{p q}^{k}=\delta_{j p} e_{i q}^{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the UHF-algebra formed as the infinite tensor product $\mathfrak{A}=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1} B_{k}$. We write $I$ for the identity of $\mathfrak{A}$. For finite subsets $\Lambda$ of $\mathbb{N}$, there exists a canonical embedding $L_{A}: \bigotimes_{k \in \Lambda} B_{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ which carries $\bigotimes_{k \in A}^{\bigotimes} y_{k}$ into $\left(\bigotimes_{k \in \Lambda}^{\bigotimes} y_{k}\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \Lambda}^{\otimes} I_{k}\right)$, and extends by linearity. Denote the image of $L_{\Lambda}$ by $\mathfrak{H}_{\Lambda}$. (Whenever there is no danger of confusion we shall identify $\bigotimes_{k \in A} B_{k}$ with its image $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. In particular, we regard the algebras $B_{k}$ as embedded in $\mathfrak{A}$.) For finite disjoint subsets $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{A^{\prime}}$ are commuting subalgebras. For $m$ a positive integer, let $\Lambda_{m}$ denote the subset $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$, and denote $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_{m}}$ by $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$. Then clearly $\mathfrak{A}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{2} \subset \ldots$, and the union $\mathfrak{H}_{0}=\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{A}_{m}$ is a uniformly dense subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$. We call $\mathfrak{H}_{0}$ the subalgebra of local elements of $\mathfrak{A}$. We refer the reader to [6] for the general theory of infinite tensor products of $C^{*}$-algebras.

Let $\tau$ be the unique normalized trace on $\mathfrak{H}$, i.e., $\tau$ is the unique state on $\mathfrak{H}$ satisfying $\tau(x y)=\tau(y x), x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$. If $e_{i j}^{k}$ is a matrix unit of $B_{k}$, then $\tau\left(e_{i j}^{k}\right)=\delta_{i j} / n$; furthermore, for $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}, y \in \mathfrak{H}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$, and $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ disjoint, $\tau(x y)=\tau(x) \tau(y)$. $\tau$ is a product state $\left(\tau=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1} \tau_{k}\right.$, where $\tau_{k}$ is the normalized trace on $\left.B_{k}\right)$, hence [7, Theorem 2.5], a factor state, i.e., $\pi_{\tau}(\mathscr{H})^{\prime \prime}$ is a factor in the associated GNS representation $\left(\pi_{\tau}, H_{\tau}, \Omega_{\tau}\right)$. For convenience we shall write $\pi_{\tau}=\pi, H_{\tau}=H, \Omega_{\tau}=\Omega$. That $\pi$ is a faithful representation follows from the fact [3, Theorem 5.1] that $\mathfrak{H}$ is simple.

We now describe an embedding $\varrho$ of the group $S(\infty)$ of finite permutations on the symbols of $\mathbb{N}$ into the group of unitary elements of $\mathfrak{A}$. We write $e$ for the identity element of $S(\infty)$, and define $\varrho(e)=I$. Let $t=(k l) \in S(\infty)$ be a transposition $(k \neq l, k, l \in \mathbb{N})$, and define $\varrho(t)$ to be the operator $\varrho(t)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} e_{i j}^{k} \otimes e_{j i}^{l}$. Note that $\varrho(t)$ is self-adjoint and that $[\varrho(t)]^{2}=I=\varrho\left(t^{2}\right)$, hence $\varrho(t)$ is unitary. Moreover, suppose $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{0}$, then $x$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{p_{r}} . \mathrm{A}$ straightforward calculation gives, for $t=(k l)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(t)\left[e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{p_{r}}\right] \varrho\left(t^{-1}\right)=e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{t\left(p_{1}\right)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{t\left(p_{r}\right)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t(p)$ is the image of $p \in \mathbb{N}$ under the permutation $t$. In particular, Eq. (1) indicates that the mapping $x\left(\in B_{p}\right) \mapsto \varrho(t) x \varrho\left(t^{-1}\right)$ is an isomorphism between $B_{p}$ and $B_{t(p)}$.

Let $q \in S(\infty)$, then $q$ may be written as a product of transpositions $q=t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{s}$. We define $\varrho(q)=\varrho\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{s}\right)$. To see that this is well-defined, suppose $q=e$ $=t_{1} \ldots t_{s}$. Making repeated use of (1), we have, for $u=\varrho\left(t_{s}\right) \varrho\left(t_{s-1}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u\left\{e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}\right\} u^{*}=\varrho\left(t_{s}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{2}\right)\left\{\varrho\left(t_{1}\right)\left[e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{p_{r}}\right] \varrho\left(t_{1}^{-1}\right)\right\} \varrho\left(t_{2}^{-1}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{s}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\varrho\left(t_{s}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{2}\right)\left\{e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{t_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{t_{1}\left(p_{r}\right)}\right\} \varrho\left(t_{2}^{-1}\right) \ldots \varrho\left(t_{s}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\ldots \\
& =e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{t_{s} \ldots t_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{t_{s} \ldots t_{1}\left(p_{r}\right)} \\
& =e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{e\left(p_{1}\right)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_{r} j_{r}}^{e\left(p_{r}\right)} \\
& =e_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i, j_{r}}^{p_{r}} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}_{0}$, Eq. (2) yields $u x u^{*}=x$. By norm continuity, the same holds for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since $\mathfrak{H}$ has trivial center, however, and since $u$ is unitary, $u=\lambda I$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C},|\lambda|=1$. But $u$ is a product of operators of the form $\varrho(t)=\varrho((k l))=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} e_{i j}^{k} \otimes e_{j i}^{l}$, hence clearly $\lambda=\tau(u)>0$. Thus $\lambda=1, u=I=\varrho(e)$, and $\varrho$ is well-defined.

The faithfulness of $\varrho$ is apparent from Eq. (1), and thus we have
Lemma 1. The mapping $\varrho$ of $S(\infty)$ into the unitaries of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a faithful group representation.

In what follows, we shall identify $S(\infty)$ with its embedding $\varrho(S(\infty)$ ) in $\mathfrak{A}$ given above. Under this identification, the map $\operatorname{Ad}: S(\infty) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{N})$ defined by $\operatorname{Ad}(p)(x)$ $=x^{-1}, p \in S(\infty), x \in \mathfrak{U}$, forms a group of inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{U}$. Moreover, if $x$ is local, i.e., $x \in \mathfrak{M}_{l}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p(k)=i_{k}, 1 \leqq k \leqq l$, an application of Eq. (1) yields $\operatorname{pxp}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{A}_{A}$, where $\Lambda=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l}\right\}$. By [9, Lemma 2.1], $\mathfrak{H}$ is asymptotically abelian with respect to this group action.

If $G$ is a compact group, and $g \mapsto \alpha_{g}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{M})$ is a strongly continuous representation of $G$ as *-automorphisms on an $n \times n$ matrix algebra M , then define corresponding representations $g \mapsto \alpha_{g}^{k} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(B_{k}\right)$ as follows: if $\left\{e_{i j}: 1 \leqq i, j \leqq n\right\}$ are matrix units for M , and if $\alpha_{g}^{\prime}\left(e_{i j}\right)=\sum_{s, t=1}^{n} \beta_{i j s t} e_{s t}$, define $\alpha_{g}^{k}\left(e_{i j}^{k}\right)=\sum_{s, t=1}^{n} \beta_{i j s t} e_{s t}^{k}$. We may then construct a strongly continuous group of product automorphisms $\left\{\alpha_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ by forming the tensor product $\alpha_{g}=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1} \alpha_{g}^{k}$. Let $t \in S(\infty)$ and let $g \in G$; then it is clear, using (1), that $\alpha_{g}\left(t x t^{-1}\right)=t \alpha_{g}(x) t^{-1}$, all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus $\left(t^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{g}(t)\right)$ is a central unitary element of $\mathfrak{M}$, and since $\mathfrak{H}$ has trivial center, we must have $\alpha_{g}(t)=\lambda t$, some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C},|\lambda|=1$. But $\tau=\tau \circ \alpha_{g}$, by the uniqueness of the trace on $\mathfrak{N}$, and a slight modification of the argument preceding Lemma 1 shows that $\tau(t)>0$, so that $\tau(t)$ $=\tau\left(\alpha_{g}(t)\right)=\lambda \tau(t)$, or $\lambda=1$. Thus $\alpha_{g}(t)=t$, all $t \in S(\infty)$, and therefore $S(\infty) \subset \mathfrak{H}^{\alpha}$, the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$ of fixed elements of $\alpha_{G}$. Hence if $\delta$ is any derivation vanishing on $\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha}$, then certainly $\delta p=0$, all $p \in S(\infty)$, and thus we are led by [5] to consider symmetric *-derivations $\delta$ on $\mathfrak{Q}$ [i.e., $D(\delta)$ is a dense *-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A l}$, and $\delta\left(x^{*}\right)$ $=(\delta x)^{*}$, all $\left.x \in D(\delta)\right]$ which vanish on $S(\infty)$. If we impose the restriction $\tau \circ \delta=0$, then it follows (Theorem 6) that $\delta$ has an extension $\hat{\delta}$ which is a generator.

As a preliminary to proving this we make a definition and establish some results on strong convergence in $\pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime \prime}$.

Definition 1. Let $r>m$ be non-negative integers, then define $S_{r, m} \subset S(\infty)$ to be the subgroup [of order $(r-m)!$ ] of permutations which fix the symbols of $\mathbb{N} \backslash\{m+1, \ldots, r\}$.

Lemma 2. Let $x$ be a fixed element of $\mathfrak{A}$. Define, for $r>0$,

$$
x_{r}=(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, 0}} p x p^{-1}
$$

Let $(\pi, H, \Omega)$ be the GNS construction for $\tau$. Then the sequence $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r}\right)\right\}$ has a strong limit in $\pi(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}$, and st- $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r}\right)=\tau(x) \pi(I)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $x$ to be self-adjoint. Furthermore, we may assume $x$ to be local, i.e., $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{0}$. For suppose $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, and st- $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ exists for all $x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{H}_{0}$. If $x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{H}_{0}$ is chosen such that $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|<\varepsilon$, for given $\varepsilon>0$, then one easily checks that $\left\|\pi\left(x_{r}\right)-\pi\left(x_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$, and the strong convergence of $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r}\right)\right\}$ will follow by continuity. So assume $x=x^{*} \in \mathfrak{H}_{l}$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

We begin by showing that $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r}\right) \Omega\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $r \geqq s$, then, since $x_{r}, x_{s}$ are self-adjoint,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi\left(x_{r}\right) \Omega-\pi\left(x_{s}\right) \Omega\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\pi\left(x_{r}-x_{s}\right) \Omega\right\|^{2} \\
& =\tau\left(\left[x_{r}-x_{s}\right]^{2}\right) \\
& \tau\left(x_{r}^{2}\right)-2 \tau\left(x_{r} x_{s}\right)+\tau\left(x_{s}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $N(r ; l)$ be the set of those $p \in S_{r, 0}$ which permute all of the symbols of $\Lambda_{l}$ into the set $\{l+1, \ldots, r\}$. For such $p, \operatorname{pxp}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\{l+1, \ldots, r\}}$, and therefore, since $x \in \mathfrak{H}_{A_{i}}$, $\tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right)=\tau\left(p x p^{-1}\right) \tau(x)=\tau(x)^{2}$. Furthermore, one may check by a counting argument that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}[\# N(r ; l) / r!]=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(x_{r}^{2}\right) & =\left(1 /(r!)^{2}\right) \cdot \sum_{p, q \in S_{r, 0}} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} q x q^{-1}\right) \\
& =\left(1 /(r!)^{2}\right) \cdot \sum_{p, q \in S_{r, 0}} \tau\left(\left[q^{-1} p x p^{-1} q\right] x\right) \\
& =(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, 0}} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right) \\
& =(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in N(r ; l)} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right)+(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, o l N(r ; l)}} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right) \\
& =(\# N(r ; l) / r!)[\tau(x)]^{2}+(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, o} \backslash N(r ; l)} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum $(1 / r!) \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r}, \bigcirc \backslash N(r ; l)} \tau\left(p x p^{-1} x\right)$ is bounded in absolute value by $\|x\|^{2} \cdot[r!-\# N(r ; l)] / r!$, hence it tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(x_{r}^{2}\right)$ $=\tau(x)^{2}$. Similarly, $\quad \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(x_{s}^{2}\right)=\tau(x)^{2}=\lim _{r, s \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(x_{r} x_{s}\right), \quad$ thus $\quad \lim _{r, s \rightarrow \infty}$ $\left\|\pi\left(x_{r}\right) \Omega-\pi\left(x_{s}\right) \Omega\right\|=0$.

Let $y, z \in \mathfrak{U}_{0}$, then employing a convergence argument similar to the one above, one shows that the sequences $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r}\right) \pi(y) \pi(z) \Omega: r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi(y) \pi\left(x_{r}\right) \pi(z) \Omega: r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ are Cauchy in $H$ and that their limits coincide. Letting $y=I$ in the first sequence, one sees that the uniformly bounded (by $\|x\|$ ) sequence of operators $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r}\right)\right\}$ converges on all vectors in the dense subset $\pi\left(\mathfrak{A}_{0}\right) \Omega$ of $H$, and therefore has a strong limit in $\pi(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}$. Again using uniform boundedness, we have $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi(y) \pi\left(x_{r}\right) \xi=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r}\right) \pi(y) \xi$, all $\xi \in H, y \in \mathfrak{H}_{0}$, hence

$$
\text { st- }-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r}\right) \in \pi\left(\mathfrak{A}_{0}\right)^{\prime} \cap \pi(\mathfrak{A})^{\prime \prime}=\pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime} \cap \pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime \prime}=\{\lambda \pi(I): \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\text { st }-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}}^{\pi\left(x_{r}\right)} & =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\pi\left(x_{r}\right) \Omega, \Omega\right\rangle \cdot \pi(I) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(x_{r}\right) \cdot \pi(I) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}(1 / r!) \cdot \tau\left(\sum_{p \in S_{r, 0}} p x p^{-1}\right) \cdot \pi(I) \\
& =\tau(x) \cdot \pi(I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
We describe a generalization of the "averaging map" defined in Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$ be the commutant of $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$ relative to $\mathfrak{A}$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{U}_{m}^{c}=\left\{y \in \mathfrak{A}: x y=y x\right.$, all $\left.x \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}\right\}$ ). In particular, if $t \in S_{r, m}$, then $t x t^{-1}=x$, for all matrix units $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}$, by Eq. (1), so that $t \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$. Hence $S_{r, m}$ lies in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$. Let $y \in \mathfrak{X}_{m}^{c}$, and for $r>m$, form the operator

$$
y_{r, m}=[1 /(r-m)!] \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} p y p^{-1} .
$$

Then clearly $y_{r, m} \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$, and the sequence $\left\{y_{r, m}: r>m\right\}$ is uniformly bounded in norm by $\|y\|$. Arguing as in Lemma 2, one shows that the sequence $\left\{\pi\left(y_{r, m}\right): r>m\right\}$ converges strongly to an operator $\bar{y} \in \pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime \prime}$, and for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}_{0} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}, \bar{y} \pi(z)=\pi(z) \bar{y}$, hence $\bar{y} \in \pi\left(\mathfrak{A}_{0} \cap \mathfrak{U}_{m}^{c}\right)^{\prime}=\pi\left(\mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}\right)^{\prime}$. Clearly, $\bar{y} \in \pi\left(\mathfrak{U}_{m}\right)^{\prime}$ (since $y_{r, m} \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$, all $r>m$ ), so that $\bar{y} \in \pi\left(\mathfrak{U}_{m}^{c}\right)^{\prime} \cap \pi\left(\mathfrak{U}_{m}\right)^{\prime} \cap \pi(\mathfrak{U})^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\mathfrak{H}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{I}_{m}^{c}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{m}, \pi\left(\mathfrak{H}_{m}^{c}\right)^{\prime} \cap \pi\left(\mathfrak{U}_{m}\right)^{\prime}$ $=\pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime}$, thus $\bar{y} \in \pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime} \cap \pi(\mathfrak{l})^{\prime \prime}=\{\lambda \pi(I)\}$. Arguing as before, one now shows that $\bar{y}=$ st- $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(y_{r, m}\right)=\tau(y) \cdot \pi(I)$.

Let $\left\{f_{i j}: 1 \leqq i, j \leqq n^{m}\right\}$ be matrix units for the $n^{m} \times n^{m}$-dimensional matrix algebra $\mathfrak{Y}_{m}$. By [2], any $x \in \mathfrak{A l}$ may be written uniquely in the form $x=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}} f_{i j} y_{i j}$, where the $y_{i j}$ lie in $\mathfrak{A}_{m}^{c}$. For $r>m$ define $x_{r, m}=[1 /(r-m)!] \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} p x p^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\mathrm{st}-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \pi\left(x_{r, m}\right) & =\mathrm{st}-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}[1 /(r-m)!] \cdot \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}} \pi\left(p f_{i j} y_{i j} p^{-1}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{st}-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}[1 /(r-m)!] \cdot \sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}}\left[\pi\left(f_{i j}\right) \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} \pi\left(p y_{i j} p^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}} \pi\left(f_{i j}\right) \tau\left(y_{i j}\right) \\
& =\pi\left\{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}} f_{i j} \tau\left(y_{i j}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [2, Lemma 2], $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n^{m}} f_{i j} \tau\left(y_{i j}\right)=\phi_{m}(x)$, where $\phi_{m}$ is the conditional expectation of the trace $\tau$ onto $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$. Hence st- $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r, m}\right)=\pi\left(\phi_{m}(x)\right)$. Thus we have
Lemma 3. Let $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, and for fixed $m$ define $x_{r, m}$ as above. Then the sequence $\left\{\pi\left(x_{r, m}\right): r>m\right\}$ has a strong limit in $\pi(\mathfrak{H})^{\prime \prime}$, and there exists a unique element $\phi_{m}(x) \in \mathfrak{A r}_{m}$ such that

$$
\pi\left(\phi_{m}(x)\right)=\mathrm{st}-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r, m}\right)
$$

The mapping $\phi_{m}: \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{m}$ is the conditional expectation of the trace onto $\mathfrak{H}_{m}$. Proof. The above argument shows that the conditional expectation $\phi_{m}$ has the required properties. Uniqueness follows from the faithfulness of $\pi$.

Lemma 4. Let $\Delta$ be a dense linear subset of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\phi_{m}$ maps $\Delta$ onto $\mathfrak{A}_{m}$.
Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{m}$, and for given $\varepsilon>0$, choose $y \in \Delta$ such that $\|x-y\|<\varepsilon$. Since $\left\|\phi_{m}\right\|=1$, by [2, Lemma 2], $\left\|x-\phi_{m}(y)\right\|=\left\|\phi_{m}(x)-\phi_{m}(y)\right\| \leqq\|x-y\|$. Hence $\phi_{m}(\Delta)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{U}_{m}$. But since $\phi_{m}$ is linear and $\mathfrak{U}_{m}$ is finite-dimensional, $\phi_{m}(\Delta)=\mathfrak{V I}_{m}$.
Lemma 5. Let $\delta$ be $a *$-derivation with dense domain $D(\delta) \subset \mathfrak{A}$ which satisfies $\tau \circ \delta \equiv 0$. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the *-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{H}$ consisting of all elements $A \in \mathfrak{A l}$ such that there exists a sequence $\left\{A_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq D(\delta)$ satisfying:
(i) $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta A_{n}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded sequences in $\mathfrak{H}$.
(ii) $\left\{\pi\left(A_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right)\right\}$ are strongly convergent sequences in $\pi(\mathfrak{R})^{\prime \prime}$.
(iii) $\pi(A)=$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(A_{n}\right)$, and there exists an $A^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\pi\left(A^{\prime}\right)=$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right)$.

Define a linear operator $\delta^{\prime}: \mathscr{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ by $\delta^{\prime} A=A^{\prime}$, then $\delta^{\prime}$ is a well-defined *-derivation on $\mathfrak{A}$ extending $\delta$ and satisfying $\tau \circ \delta^{\prime}=0$.

Proof. Clearly, $\mathscr{D}$ is a linear set containing $D(\delta)$. Suppose $A$ and $B$ are elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ with corresponding sequences $\left\{A_{n}\right\},\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Then by (iii) and the faithfulness of $\pi$ there exist unique elements $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\pi\left(A^{\prime}\right)=$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right)$ respectively, $\pi\left(B^{\prime}\right)=$ st- $\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\delta B_{n}\right)\right]$. Using (i) one verifies easily that the sequences $\left\{A_{n} B_{n}\right\},\left\{A_{n} \delta B_{n}\right\},\left\{\left(\delta A_{n}\right) B_{n}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded, hence so is $\left\{\delta\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right)\right\}$, since $\delta\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right)=\left(\delta A_{n}\right) B_{n}+A_{n}\left(\delta B_{n}\right)$. Let $M=\sup _{n}\left\{\left\|A_{n}\right\|\right\}$, and suppose that $f \in H_{\tau}$. Then applying the strong convergence of the sequences $\left\{\pi\left(A_{n}\right)\right\}$, $\left\{\pi\left(B_{n}\right)\right\}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[\pi(A B)-\pi\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right)\right] f\right\| & \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left\|\left[\pi(A B)-\pi\left(A_{n} B\right)\right] f\right\|+\|\left[\pi\left(A_{n} B\right)-\pi\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right) f \|\right\}\right. \\
& \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left\|\left[\pi(A)-\pi\left(A_{n}\right)\right](\pi(B) f)\right\|+M\left\|\left[\pi(B)-\pi\left(B_{n}\right)\right] f\right\|\right\} \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right)=\pi(A B)$. Similarly, one verifies that the sequence $\left\{\pi\left(\delta A_{n} \cdot B_{n}\right)\right\}\left[\right.$ respectively, $\left.\left\{\pi\left(A_{n} \cdot \delta B_{n}\right)\right\}\right]$ converges strongly to $\pi\left(A^{\prime} B\right)$ [respectively, $\left.\pi\left(A B^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and therefore the sequence $\left\{\pi\left(\delta\left(A_{n} B_{n}\right)\right)\right\}=\left\{\pi\left(\delta A_{n} \cdot B_{n}\right)+\pi\left(A_{n} \cdot \delta B_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges strongly to $\pi\left(A^{\prime} B+A B^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $A B \in \mathscr{D}$.

Now suppose $A \in \mathscr{D}$ with corresponding sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subseteq D(\delta)$. Then the sequences $\left\{A_{n}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta\left(A_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}\left(=\left\{\left(\delta A_{n}\right)^{*}\right\}\right)$ are uniformly bounded. To see that $\left\{\pi\left(A_{n}^{*}\right)\right\}$ converges strongly to $\pi\left(A^{*}\right)$ it suffices to check, by the uniform boundedness of $\left\{A_{n}^{*}\right\}$, that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(A_{n}^{*}\right) f=\pi\left(A^{*}\right) f$ for all $f$ in the dense subspace $\pi(\mathscr{H}) \Omega_{\tau}$ of $H$. Let $f=\pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}, z \in \mathfrak{A}$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left[\pi\left(A^{*}\right)-\pi\left(A_{n}^{*}\right)\right] f\right\|^{2} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\pi\left(A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right) \pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}, \pi\left(A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right) \pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\pi\left(z^{*}\right) \pi\left(A-A_{n}\right) \pi\left(A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right) \pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}, \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(z^{*}\left(A-A_{n}\right)\left(A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right) z\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(\left[A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right] z z^{*}\left[A-A_{n}\right]\right) \\
& \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z z^{*}\right\| \cdot \tau\left(\left[A^{*}-A_{n}^{*}\right]\left[A-A_{n}\right]\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z z^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|\pi\left(A-A_{n}\right) \Omega_{\tau}\right\|^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one verifies that st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\delta A_{n}^{*}\right)=$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\left(\delta A_{n}\right)^{*}\right)=\pi\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{*}$.
To see that $\delta^{\prime}$ is well-defined, suppose st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(A_{n}\right)=0$ and st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right)=B$. In particular, $\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $B$, hence for all $f, g$ in the dense subspace $\pi(D(\delta)) \Omega_{\tau}$ of $H_{\tau}$ we have, letting $f=\pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}$, [respectively, $\left.g=\pi\left(y^{*}\right) \Omega_{\tau}\right], z, y \in D(\delta)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle B f, g\rangle & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right) \pi(z) \Omega_{\tau}, \pi\left(y^{*}\right) \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(y\left[\delta A_{n}\right] z\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(z y\left[\delta A_{n}\right]\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\left(\tau\left([\delta(z y)] A_{n}\right)\right. \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\left\langle\pi\left(A_{n}\right) \Omega_{\tau}, \pi(\delta[z y]) * \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $B=0$, by continuity, and $\delta^{\prime}$ is well-defined. Clearly, $\delta^{\prime}$ extends $\delta$.
Again let $A, B \in \mathscr{D}$, with corresponding sequences $\left\{A_{n}\right\},\left\{B_{n}\right\}$. Then $A B^{*}$ has corresponding sequence $\left\{A_{n} B_{n}^{*}\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\delta^{\prime}\left[A B^{*}\right]\right) & =\operatorname{st}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(\delta\left[A_{n} B_{n}^{*}\right]\right) \\
& =\operatorname{st}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right) \pi\left(B_{n}^{*}\right)+\pi\left(A_{n}\right) \pi\left(\delta\left[B_{n}^{*}\right]\right)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{st}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right) \pi\left(B_{n}\right)^{*}+\pi\left(A_{n}\right) \pi\left(\left[\delta B_{n}\right]^{*}\right)\right\} \\
& =\pi\left(\left[A^{\prime}\left(B^{*}\right)+A\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right]\right) \\
& =\pi\left(\left(\delta^{\prime} A\right) B^{*}+A\left(\delta^{\prime} B\right)^{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\delta^{\prime}\left(A B^{*}\right)=\left(\delta^{\prime} A\right) B^{*}+A\left(\delta^{\prime} B\right)^{*}$, by the faithfulness of $\pi$, and therefore $\delta^{\prime}$ is a *-derivation. Finally, note that for $A \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\delta^{\prime} A\right) & =\left\langle\pi\left(\delta^{\prime} A\right) \Omega_{\tau}, \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\pi\left(\delta A_{n}\right) \Omega_{\tau}, \Omega_{\tau}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(\tau \circ \delta)\left(A_{n}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\tau \circ \delta^{\prime}=0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Corollary. Let $\delta$ be a*-derivation on $\mathfrak{A l}$ vanishing on $S(\infty)$ and satisfying $\tau(\delta x)=0$, all $x \in D(\delta)$. Then there exists a generator $\hat{\delta}$ which extends $\delta$, i.e., $D(\delta) \subset D(\hat{\delta})$, and $\left.\hat{\delta}\right|_{D(\delta)}=\delta$.

Proof. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ be the extension of $\delta$ given in the lemma above. We show $\mathfrak{A}_{0} \subset \mathscr{D}$ [ $\left.=D\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)\right]$. To see this, let $x \in D(\delta)$, let $m$ be a positive integer, and form the sequence of operators $\left\{x_{r, m}: r>m\right\}$, where $x_{r, m}$ is defined as in Lemma 3. Clearly, $\left\{x_{r, m}: r>m\right\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence contained in $D(\delta)$; moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(x_{r, m}\right) & =[1 /(r-m)!] \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} \delta\left(p x p^{-1}\right) \\
& =[1 /(r-m)!] \sum_{p \in S_{r, m}} p(\delta x) p^{-1} \\
& =(\delta x)_{r, m}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is immediate that the sequence $\left\{(\delta x)_{r, m}: r>m\right\}$ is also uniformly bounded. By Lemma 3, $\pi\left(\phi_{m}(x)\right)=$ st- $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left(x_{r, m}\right)\left[\right.$ respectively, $\left.\pi\left(\phi_{m}(\delta x)\right)=s t-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \pi\left((\delta x)_{r, m}\right)\right]$, hence by the preceding lemma, $\phi_{m}(x) \in D\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(\phi_{m}(x)\right)=\phi_{m}(\delta x)$. Since $\phi_{m}: D(\delta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{m}$ is onto, by Lemma 4, the preceding equation implies $\delta^{\prime}: \mathfrak{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{m}$, for all $m$. Thus $\mathfrak{H}_{0}$ is a dense set of analytic elements for $\delta^{\prime}$.

Since $\tau \circ \delta^{\prime}=0, \delta^{\prime}$ is closable, by [1, Theorem 6]: denote its closure by $\hat{\delta}$. Then $\delta \subset \delta^{\prime} \subset \hat{\delta}$, and $\hat{\delta}$ is a closed *-derivation with a dense set of analytic elements, hence [1, Theorem 6], $\hat{\delta}$ is a generator.

Finally we can prove
Theorem 6. Let $\delta$ be a symmetric *-derivation on $\mathfrak{A}$ which vanishes on $S(\infty)$ and satisfies $\tau \circ \delta=0$. Then $\delta$ has an extension $\hat{\delta}$ which is a generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group $\left\{\beta_{t}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ of product automorphisms of the form $\beta_{t}=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1} \beta_{t}^{\prime}$.

Proof. By the corollary to Lemma $5, \delta$ has an extension to a generator $\hat{\delta}$. We have only to show that the associated one-parameter group $\left\{\beta_{t}\right\}$ has the desired form.

First note that $\hat{\delta}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}$ (since $\mathfrak{A}_{1}=B_{1}$ and $\hat{\delta}: \mathfrak{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{m}$ for all $m$ ), so that $B_{1}$ consists of analytic elements for $\hat{\delta}$. Let $p \in S(\infty)$, then $\delta p=\delta p=0$. Hence for $x \in B_{1}$, $p \in S(\infty), p x p^{-1}$ is entire analytic for $\hat{\delta}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{t}\left(p x p^{-1}\right) & =\sum_{n \geqq 0}\left(t^{n} / n!\right)\left[(\hat{\delta})^{n}\left(p x p^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{n \geqq 0}\left(t^{n} / n!\right) p\left[(\hat{\delta})^{n} x\right] p^{-1} \\
& =p\left\{\sum_{n \geqq 0}\left(t^{n} / n!\right)\left[(\hat{\delta})^{n} x\right]\right\} p^{-1} \\
& =p \beta_{t}(x) p^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $p=I[=\varrho(e)]$, Eq. (3) gives $\beta_{t}: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}$. Now suppose $x=e_{i j}^{1} \in B_{1}$ and $\beta_{t}\left(e_{i j}^{1}\right)$ $=\sum_{r, s=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j r s}(t) e_{r s}^{1}$. Letting $p=(1 k) \in S(\infty)$ and applying both Eqs. (1) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{t}\left(e_{i j}^{k}\right) & =\beta_{t}\left(p e_{i j}^{1} p^{-1}\right) \\
& =p \beta_{t}\left(e_{i j}^{1}\right) p^{-1} \\
& =\sum_{r, s=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j r s}(t) e_{r s}^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\beta_{t}: B_{k} \rightarrow B_{k}$, all $k$, and under the obvious identification $B_{1}=B_{2}=\ldots$, we have $\beta_{t \mid B_{1}}=\beta_{t \mid B_{2}}=\ldots$. Thus $\beta_{t}=\bigotimes_{k \geqq 1} \beta_{t}^{\prime}$, where $\beta_{t}^{\prime}=\beta_{t \mid B_{1}}$, and the proof is complete.
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